Item	Name	E-mail	Address		Received
in perpetuity certain lands owned by the City of Long Beach for public park purposes and uses, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		To protect our parks, open spaces, and green spaces, they must be zoned properly. I support this motion because it permanently protects these community spaces from development. I encourage this Council to EXPAND GREEN SPACES throughout Long Beach. There are proven social, environmental, and mental health benefits to facilitating access to healthy, well- maintained open spaces.	
10. (21-0943) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 34467 with AECOM Technical Services, Inc., of Long Beach, CA, for groundwater monitoring and reporting at former City fuel sites 7, 10, 11, and 17, and to monitor and complete the design plan for control of the methane landfill gasses at Davenport Park, and increase the contract amount by \$450,000 for the first renewal period, and annual funds of \$179,393 for the second renewal period, for a total amount not to exceed \$2,510,538. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		While it's important to capture methane gas leaks at landfills, it's even more important to understand the cause of those leaks. One of the primary sources of methane in landfill is from ORGANIC WASTE. California law requires that all Cities implement an organics composting program, starting in January 2022. It's unacceptable that Long Beach will miss this deadline. I urge this Council to do your jobs and set up a citywide organics program, as is mandated by state law. Time and again, this Council talks a big game about climate change and emissions, but when it comes to budgeting for practical solutions, you run for cover. Put up or shut up. Reduce methane emissions by funding diversion programs- not just expensive techie solutions like this one.	9/11/2021
15. (21-0948) Recommendation to receive the application of Saltwater Deck, LLC, dba Saltwater Deck, for an original application of an Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) License, at 2630 East Ocean Boulevard; submit a Public Notice of Protest to ABC; and, direct City Manager to withdraw the protest if a Conditional Use Permit Exemption (CUPEX) is granted. (District 3)	John Martoni	jmart33@hotmail.com		Would be nice to enjoy adult beverages (in moderation) on the beach with friends!	9/13/2021
19. (21-0952) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt Negative Declaration ND08-20; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 21.68 creating Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) to facilitate the development of mixed-income, multifamily housing by establishing regulations that offer a density bonus and development concessions in exchange for the provision of onsite, deed-restricted, very low-, low-, or moderate-income housing units; and by adding Section 21.25.506.A.7 regarding required Site Plan Review (SPR) findings, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Alice Chien	alicechien@aol.com		I am against Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) Ordinance. It only benefits large developers. The large, impersonal developments are not pleasant to live in, lock most people into expensive mortgages, or high rent. The bonus program should be targeting tiny home developments to encourage home ownership at lower costs, like ADU without the big house. It would allow people with less money to enjoy homeownership, with garden and land, as well as building equity.	9/11/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
19. (21-0952) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt Negative Declaration ND08-20; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 21.68 creating Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) to facilitate the development of mixed-income, multifamily housing by establishing regulations that offer a density bonus and development concessions in exchange for the provision of onsite, deed-restricted, very low-, low-, or moderate-income housing units; and by adding Section 21.25.506.A.7 regarding required Site Plan Review (SPR) findings, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		I support this motion. The ONLY solution to California's housing deficit is to build more housing units. The only way to build more housing units on the same amount of land is to increase density. The only way to include low-income families in this benefit is to mandate a proportion of units be allocated for those families. This ordinance is a no-brainer alternative to a more forceful inclusionary housing mandate. It provides market incentives for developers to build for all.	9/11/2021
19. (21-0952) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt Negative Declaration ND08-20; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 21.68 creating Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) to facilitate the development of mixed-income, multifamily housing by establishing regulations that offer a density bonus and development concessions in exchange for the provision of onsite, deed-restricted, very low-, low-, or moderate-income housing units; and by adding Section 21.25.506.A.7 regarding required Site Plan Review (SPR) findings, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Janet West	jayjay76511@verizon.net		Our City does not need to increase the density bonuses and developer concessions from the already generous State Mandates in the State Density Bonus Law. The agendizing memo says that the LUE sets the blueprint for this EDB Ordinance. The LUE says that Transit-Oriented Development is based on existing and FUTURE mass transit. The SCAG map, (not the map of unknown origins in the agenda material) was used for the LUE. The other map provided does now include the required zoning changes which are still ongoing so that our zoning code will agree with the LUE. I have confirmed with Councilmember Supernaw and Development Services that the zoning changes are not completed and still ongoing. Why has a map of undetermined origin been included in the material instead of using the SCAG map which ultimately determines the scope of the EDB Ordinance? Why are you misleading the public by presenting false materials that indicate a much smaller impact than what the EDB will cause? Janet West	9/14/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
19. (21-0952) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt Negative Declaration ND08-20;	Natalie Hernandez	hernandez.natalie.marie@g mail.com		I support this ordinance to increase affordable housing supply in the city of Long Beach Natalie Hernandez, resident	9/14/2021
Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 21.68 creating Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) to facilitate the development of mixed-income, multifamily housing by establishing regulations that offer a density bonus and development concessions in exchange for the provision of onsite, deed-restricted, very low-, low-, or moderate-income housing units; and by adding Section 21.25.506.A.7 regarding required Site Plan Review (SPR) findings, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;					
19. (21-0952) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt Negative Declaration ND08-20; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 21.68 creating Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) to facilitate the development of mixed-income, multifamily housing by establishing regulations that offer a density bonus and development concessions in exchange for the provision of onsite, deed-restricted, very low-, low-, or moderate-income housing units; and by adding Section 21.25.506.A.7 regarding required Site Plan Review (SPR) findings, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Emma Roy	emmasroy@gmail.com		I strongly support this Enhanced Density Bonus! Please take the realities and risks of housing displacement seriously and provide more pathways for affordable housing construction in Long Beach. We have a great city and people want to live hereâ€"let's find a way to welcome them without pushing out our lifelong residents. This is a critical issue for the future of Long Beach!	9/13/2021
23. (21-0965) Recommendation to request City Attorney to draft an ordinance that would prohibit protests within 300 feet of the target's residence.	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.co m		This is ABSURD!!! How many of the councilmembers have had that happen and they are more concerned about themselves than the people suffering in this city. Also, Cindy Allen lives in Fountain Valley so it won't apply to her.	9/10/2021
23. (21-0965) Recommendation to request City Attorney to draft an ordinance that would prohibit protests within 300 feet of the target's residence.	Christina Martinez	cmartinez1000@aol.com		Dear City Attorney, Our elected officials, their children and loved ones should not be subject to harassing protests, nor should our residential communities be disrupted. 300 feet for most targets will just be the corner of their block. I strongly urge you to draft an ordinance that would prohibit protests in residential neighborhoods, period.	9/14/2021
23. (21-0965) Recommendation to request City Attorney to draft an ordinance that would prohibit protests within 300 feet of the target's residence.	John Martoni	jmart33@hotmail.com		Please prohibit protests in front of people's homes. It is quite threatening and unnecessary. The protests can take place at public spaces where these public officials work.	9/13/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
25. (21-0874) Recommendation to approve the naming of the newly renovated North Health Facility, "The Ronald R. Arias Health Equity Center", in recognition of the legacy, contributions and services of former Long Beach Director of Health and Human Services Ronald R. Arias.	Jeff Rowe	jfrowe@rocketmail.com		I support the proposal to name the north health center after Ronald Arias. Jeff Rowe, North Long Beach	9/14/2021
27. (21-0967) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute an Agreement to Apply with the State of California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council (HCFC), for the entire Homeless Housing and Assistance Program (HHAP) Round 3 grant in the amount of \$8,516,928, to begin the grant application process, and to redirect the City of Long Beach (City) Large Cities program allocation in the amount of \$5,682,194 to the Long Beach Continuum of Care (CoC). (Citywide)		michellebaker199@gmail.co m		We need a presentation on where the homeless money is going? One Multi Service location is not sufficient. What do people do when they have pets? Why aren't the pets in the shelter getting medical attention and being fixed?	
29. (21-0969) Recommendation to adopt Plans and Specifications No. R-7183 and award a contract to Elecnor Belco Electric, Inc., of Chino, CA, for the Santa Fe Avenue Traffic Signal Synchronization, in a total amount of \$2,903,298, with a 10 percent contingency in the amount of \$290,330, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$3,193,628; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into the contract, including any necessary amendments; Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$1,920,197, offset by Proposition C funds from the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) (F7314); and Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$1,920,197, offset by the transfer of Metro Proposition C funds from the Capital Grant Fund. (Districts 1,7)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		According to this motion, the project will install only Class III bike routes- that's a fancy way of saying that they'll paint sharrows and force bikes into motor vehicle traffic. That's unacceptable, not least because this City's own Bicycle Master Plan recommend a protected 8-80 bike route along this exact route. According to the Long Beach Bicycle Master Plan, Santa Fe Ave is recommended for 8-80 bikeway (that's, a physically-protected lane), and intersection improvements at Anaheim and Wardlow. This route is a Secondary Transit Priority. It's heavily pollution-burdened and it registers on the map for bicycle-vehicle collisions. It suffers from "high stress" levels of traffic. Why does this City produce visioning documents and collect community feedback, only to ignore your own planning later on? You're about to spend nearly \$2 million on a roadway that FAILS to implement your own Council-approved changes. I strongly urge you to amend this motion to incorporate bicycle safety.	

## eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 14, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Angela Jakum	angelajakum@gmail.com		NO!! Absolutely do not extend! I own 4 businesses on 2nd St that I pay licensing, taxes and rent for too. 2 retail stores, 1 service business and several rentals. I need to be able to have clients from surrounding areas to be able to patronize my businesses in addition to the locals to cover my expenses. We have no parking structures and there are 1 to zero parking for an entire blocks. There are no more restrictions on the restaurants and they are not more important than all of the other business in the area. I am loosing money on a daily basis because of the horrible parking situation. It is bad enough without the parklets let alone now. Do not extend it again. My patience for helping them is over. Angela Jakum Cityology Citylights Streetwear The Tax Offices Belmont Shore Executive Suites	9/13/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	john forstrom	johnforstrom@gmail.com		I support parklets broadly, but in Belmont Shore we need the ability to address businesses with parklets that have become a nuisance to neighbors. A blanket extension needs to come with some assurance that we will not have to deal with nuisance parklets until June 2022.	9/13/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Mariel Sipman	sip9@verizon.net		I am in favor of extending the temporary parklets through 12/31/21. Further extension should be considered in lat 2021.	9/13/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Steve White	steve@steven-white.com		Please extend the parklet program. As a Council District 3 resident who lives less than 200 feet from a parklet I see first hand the positive benefits these bring to our community. Our streets are more welcoming and safer. The neighborhood is more friendly. Cars driver slower. Restaurants are able to continue serving safely during the pandemic. While I fully support this extension through June 2022 for pandemic reasons, I hope the council will consider making this program permanent even beyond the pandemic helping business to upgrade parklets that already exist and to continue creating new ones. Thank you.	9/13/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	jack man10	man042629@gmail.com		Comfortabl y, the post is really the freshest on this deserving topic. I harmonise with your conclusions and definitely will thirstily look forward to your next updates. Just saying thanks definitely will not simply just be adequate, for the extraordinary clarity in your writing. I can directly grab your rss feed to stay informed of any updates. Gratifying work and also much success in your business dealings! <a href="https://www.blue17.co.uk/">vintage stores</a>	9/13/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Jeannette D. Santage	jdsantage@charter.net		The Second St. Open St. parklets from Livingston Dr. to Bayshore Ave. should not be permitted to be extended beyond September 31. Second St. is a major commuter thoroughfare. Seventy plus parking spaces have been lost in Belmont Shore. This has had a negtative impact on all remaining businesses as well as the residents. Delivery trucks having no where to park block alleys, Second St., and residential streets. Our public sidewalks have become totally dangerous, as they are now to narrow to accommodate all. All of us value PUBLIC SPACES and should have equal access to them. Thank you.	9/12/2021

Item	Name		Address	Comment	Received
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Jeffrey McKinney	jmckinney@charter.net		As a long time resident on Glendora Avenue I am vehemently opposed to the extension of the parklets. While we have been extraordinarily empathetic and supportive of the business on 2nd St. during these times we shouldnâ€ <sup>™</sup> t be asked to continue to bear the brunt of the true cost of the parklets, i.e. the loss of parking from the parklets themselves, the loss of parking compounded by expanded restaurant and bar occupancy, and the quality of life issues associated with a larger bar audience. Without a doubt there has been a dramatic uptick in drunken and disorderly behavior versus prior summers and that behavior now extends well into Sunday night. Once again, we are empathetic towards the business owners but unfortunately there seems to be very little if any concern for the local residents by the restaurant and bar owners. If you have any doubt about this consider the fact that Dogz held a cornhole tournament that monopolized 30+ parking spots for an entire Saturday this summer.	9/11/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		Outdoor dining should be a permanent feature in Long Beach. It's a healthy, pleasant experience. We have good weather and climate year-round. I reject the complaints about cars losing their free on-street parking. Frankly, as a resident who doesn't own a motor vehicle, I'm tired of seeing the city being designed for cars over pedestrians. Unlike someone in their rolling living room, as a Downtown resident, I actually walk through the community, meet my neighbors and small business owners, enjoy the benefits of parklets, spend my money at local businesses. But every time a measure like this comes up for a vote, I heard elderly suburban homeowners demand free on-street parking- despite the free 2-hour parking garages downtown. Despite ample street parking on almost every street in the city. Don't sacrifice a good quality of life and memorable experiences like outdoor dining just because someone wants to reclaim a parking spot. Extend the parklet program. In fact, make it permanent.	
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Kurt Schneiter	kurt@maverickinvestments. com		<ul> <li>Since Parklets were built on 2nd Street, they have provided a short term "solutionâ€to our need for fresh air and spacing of patrons. We are now fortunate to have proof of concept that parklets provide benefit to the Belmont Shore retail district. Sadly some restaurants have closed due to business interruption caused by COVID. In my opinion, many more restaurants &amp; Retailers in Belmont Shore would have closed if we did not have parklets during this incredibly difficult time. The parklets bring life to the street, a cool almost European feel that creates space that makes it easy for our neighbors to take advantage of our outstanding weather. I look forward to you approving the extension which will allow businesses to go through the permanency process without interruption of this much needed amenity.</li> <li>Kurt Schneiter Belmont Shore Business Association President</li> </ul>	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Ed Keane	ed.keane1@gmail.com		Save retail and service businesses. no more _Parkllets in Belmontshore. we need the parking spot back!	9/14/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Kate Karp	doowopqueen@yahoo.com		The parklets benefit restaurant owners who otherwise would have lost revenue for their businesses, and they've become a pleasant alternative to dining in. With that in mind, sufficient parking needs to be ensured and some type of buffer or barrier should be in place to prevent injury from vehicles that might collide with them.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Alicia Velasco	akheideman@gmail.com		I am a resident of La Verne Ave in Belmont Shore and would only want the parklet program extended if it does not impact other Belmont Shore events like the Christmas Parade. Otherwise the restaurants do not need it and it hurts the retail. We need restaurants and retail to be successful to create a vibrant neighborhood.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	William Sheehan	wpsheehan13@gmail.com		Dear Council Member         I urge you to delay approving the extension of city-wide parklet permits until June 20, 2022. I supported the use of parklets at the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic. But restaurants are now operating normally again within state guidelines, including indoor dining. And a 10-month extension is too long and open-ended.         The main issue for me is vehicle traffic and safety. Have you tried to make a right-hand turn onto Second Street from a side street only to have your vision limited because of a parklet? Or have you driven north on Granada Avenue and encountered the congestion at Second Street where people get in and out of cars outside the post office on one side and a parklet shrinks the other side? The loss of parking spaces and the loss of pedestrian space on the sidewalks are other significant problems.         This is not a one-size fits all issue. Let each district have a meeting where people can voice their opinions and concerns.         Bill Sheehan         Long Beach	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Brian Cochrane	bcscribe@gmail.com		<ul> <li>Speaking for myself and reflecting the perspectives of many residents in the Belmont Pier residential area, we strongly oppose the continuation of the parklet program and the Occasional Activity Permits for adjacent businesses Belmont Brewing Co., Iconix and Primal Alchemy. For the parklet program as a whole, a deeper review of the concept is essential, as the program has so far excluded residents adversely impacted by the fallout from the expansion of these businesses. What was pitched as a lifeline during a crisis has now gone on far too long, and the businesses have consistently shown themselves to be abusive of the option and their neighbors on multiple fronts:</li> <li>â€" Noise: music, patrons, motorcycles, dogs, staff, dish cleanup, trash removal</li> <li>â€" Congestion/safety: pedestrian and bike use of public spaces</li> <li>Extending this program for another year utterly disregards the needs of residents.</li> </ul>	9/14/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Alexis Rabenn	lexonpark@gmail.com		I am a resident of Belmont Shore and Business owner. I approve of the parklets on 2nd Street. They are essential for the business, and restaurants. As a resident I do not support the letter send by the BSRA Belmont Shore Resident Assoc. They represent such a small number of Residents.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	David Newell	thecoachnewell@gmail.com		I FULLY SUPPORT the continued use of parklets for restaurants on Second Street.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Lorraine Bennett	lorrainespeaks@yahoo.com		I live in Belmont Shore and I realize the restaurants need to recover revenue from the pandemic shut down. I also am not happy with the parklets as they obstruct visibility and cause congestion on the sidewalks, not to mention the loss of parking which impacts all businesses and residents. If the parklets end up remaining I propose a compromise: Those restaurants with parklets will be required to have ALL their employees, part time and full time, no exceptions, to park offsite in the beach parking lots. I think this will free up valuable parking and spread the inconvenience more equitably among employers, visitors and residents. Of course a shuttle will be necessary, something that has been tossed around for years and maybe now is the time to implement a service.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Donald Newman	donald.a.newman@gmail.co m		I write in opposition to the proposal to extend, again, the parklets program, at least in Belmon Shore, where I live. This program made sense when initially proposed and implemented. But it now threatens to become permanent. I note Diko Melkonian's statement that the extension will allow staff to work with parties wanting to make parkets PERMANENT. But even as temporary, their function has been served and now it is time to return to the status quo ante, at least in Belmont Shore. First off, the elimination of parking spaces on 2nd Street causes visitors to park on the side streets, such as mine, where parking is already a major problem. Second, during most of the day, these outdoor areas are empty; I've walked by them often. Third, indoor dining is now permitted, meaning the rationale for the program no longer truly exists. I support 2nd Street business but maintaining balance with the residential part of the Shore is required as well.	
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Barbara Newell	bjandthecoach@gmail.com		As a resident of Belmont Shore, I SUPPORT the parklets continuing for participating restaurants on Second Street. I find the increased outdoor dining inviting and pleasant.	9/14/2021
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	John Martoni	jmart33@hotmail.com		I feel much safer dining outdoors amidst yet another wave due to unvaccinated, unmasked people who keep spreading Covid.	9/13/2021

eComments Report for City	Council Meeting A	genda of September 14, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
30. (21-0970) Recommendation to request City Manager, or designee, to extend the previously approved Open Streets Initiative plan allowing restaurants and other related service industry temporary parklets and outdoor dining spaces to remain open through June 30, 2022. (Citywide)	Valli ODonnell	theods@charter.net		We oppose a blanket extension of the initiative with respect to Dogz Bar. Dog'z operation has resulted in adverse behaviors negatively impacting residents. Dogz customers were observed carrying alcohol from Dogz & consuming in the city parking lot, on sidewalks & in parked vehicles. Police & Fire recently responded to numerous excessive alcohol-related instances, ie patron away taken by ambulance, furniture knocked over. Emp. videoed urinating on resident's property, twice. One emp. found unresponsive due to excessive alcohol consumption on Glendora sidewalk. Several, but not all of these issues were demonstrated during Dogz's Corn-Hole event held in the city parking lot. The above evidence ABC Code violations B&P Code 25602-serves an obviously Intoxicated Person & 25601-Keeping Disorderly House. Disturbance of the neighborhood; injurious to public morals, health, convenience, or safety. Please reconsider & revoke Dogz parklet permit. Tim & Valli O'Donnell, 154 Glendora Ave	
34. (21-0921) Recommendation to request City Manager to communicate the City of Long Beach's opposition to Senate Bill 9 and Senate Bill 10 to the Governor and urge his veto of these bills.	Bryan Boghosian	bryanpb12@gmail.com		I expected our Council to be much further ahead of this issue. As one of the largest and most diverse cities in the State, Long Beach should have demonstrated leadership amongst the numerous other cities in California to visibly, collectively and proactively oppose these measures once the bills were introduced. These bills are disastrous to each of the districts and communities within Long Beach and will increase density to unreasonable, unsafe, unlivable, and unhealthy levels. This is truly a moment that matters for Long Beach.	9/13/2021
34. (21-0921) Recommendation to request City Manager to communicate the City of Long Beach's opposition to Senate Bill 9 and Senate Bill 10 to the Governor and urge his veto of these bills.	Steve White	steve@steven-white.com		The council should reject this recommendation. SB10 is a local control bill, so for the council members to oppose it on the grounds that it does not provide enough local control is wrong. SB10 simply gives you, our city leaders, the ability to more easily direct local zoning. SB9 does preempt some local rules, but only in very specific and limited cases (owner occupancy is required) and in ways that many of our cities neighborhoods had historically been built. While my council member is opposed, as a District 3 resident, I see it plainly that our neighborhood is full of multi-unit buildings such as duplexes, despite its current R1 zoning. For most of its history since founding over 100 years ago to as recently as 1989 the neighborhood allowed the exact things that SB9 would allow. Only for the past 30 years have they been ruled illegal. Similarly, there are split lots dating back all the way to the neighborhoods inception. SB9 is an important tool in solving out city's housing crisis.	9/13/2021
34. (21-0921) Recommendation to request City Manager to communicate the City of Long Beach's opposition to Senate Bill 9 and Senate Bill 10 to the Governor and urge his veto of these bills.	Andrew Kerr	akerr.ca@gmail.com		The reason our current need for subsidized affordable housing is so great is directly correlated to the loss of naturally occurring affordable housingaffordable housing units that could be found in ADU's, duplexes, and multi-family housing units when we once had an abundance of housing options that made our city affordable. As we have changed and our children have grown, as we have built businesses and created jobs, this abundance disappeared because we didn't plan appropriately as a region. This was always unsustainable and was always going to lead to the crisis we face today. These Senate bills will have a very moderate impact on Long Beach and will assure cities that refuse to plan still participate in modest solutions toward fulfilling needs. Our city leaders should applaud that. Our city leaders should recognize the consequences of continued inaction to reverse the trends of many yearsmore poverty, more homelessness, more housing insecurity and over-crowded living conditions.	9/14/2021
34. (21-0921) Recommendation to request City Manager to communicate the City of Long Beach's opposition to Senate Bill 9 and Senate Bill 10 to the Governor and urge his veto of these bills.	David Newell	thecoachnewell@gmail.com		I ask council to OPPOSE SB 9 and SB 10 and send this opposition to the governor to veto those two bills.	9/14/2021

eComments Report for City	Council Meeting Agenda of September 14, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
34. (21-0921) Recommendation to request City Manager to communicate the City of Long Beach's opposition to Senate Bill 9 and Senate Bill 10 to the Governor and urge his veto of these bills.	Barbara Newell	bjandthecoach@gmail.com		I urge the council to communicate LB residents OPPOSITION to SB 9 and SB 10 and the damaging effects they would have in our neighborhoods.	9/14/2021
9. (21-0942) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary amendments, with Elastec, Inc., of Carmi, IL, for the purchase of an OMNI Catamaran boat, with floating trash collection pods, lighting, and other accessories, for a total amount of \$142,835, including taxes and fees. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		I write in support of this measure. The City has to do much more to reduce waste (expand recycling, ensure clean streets, capture runoff) and also clean up the waste that enters our marine waters. Long Beach receives the runoff of 82 municipalities. Each winter, our beaches become hazardous. Throughout the year, the City should invest in technologies like the OMNI catamaran to clean up the rivers, bays, and marinas. This modest one-time purchase is a start, but it's woefully insufficient. Focus on the root causes of trash in our waterways, too.	9/11/2021
HEARINGS:	Brian Ulaszewski	brian@cityfabrick.org		Thank you honorable Mayor and City Council for the opportunity to express our support for Item 19 – to adopt the Enhanced Density Bonus (EDB) ordinance, which facilitates development of new homes in walkable, transit-rich parts of our City. City Fabrick is supporting dozens of livable city initiatives, including planning and designing thousands of new affordable homes across the Southland. We are working with numerous communities that increase residential density in appropriate areas as means to increase opportunities to develop new homes, addressing housing affordability across a spectrum price-points. The enhanced density bonus increases the number of viable development sites, expanding the variety of residential, commercial, and mixed-use development typologies. It is important for communities across the State to do their part to address housing scarcity and resulting homelessness crisis, the EDB is one more tool for Long Beach to help our neighbors find safe, dignified homes.	9/13/2021
IN-PERSON/VIRTUAL HYBRID CITY COUNCIL MEETING PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER N-08- 21 ISSUED BY GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.co m		When are we going to be able to comment by phone?	9/10/2021
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Alicia Velasco	akheideman@gmail.com		Please help with the scooter problem in Belmont Shore. The residents have been patient hoping the scooter companies would do the right thing. However, they do not and the scooters are nothing but litter on our streets and sidewalks. The routinely block the sidewalk (what about disability rights?), are knocked over, and left in people's yards. They are not used for transportation, only drunk joy riding. We should force the scooter companies to show us the data on how the scooters are used. We gave them a chance but they need to go.	9/14/2021