

# City of Long Beach Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ND 02-18

Prepared by:

**City of Long Beach**Department of Development Services
Planning Bureau

#### INITIAL STUDY

**Project Title:** 

City of Long Beach Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment

Lead Agency name and address:

City of Long Beach 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Contact person and phone number:

Carrie Tai, Current Planning Officer (562) 570-6411

**Project Location:** 

Citywide, City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, California.

Project Sponsor's name and contact information:

City of Long Beach, Long Beach Development Services Department c/o Carrie Tai 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 570-6411

General Plan:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendment would cover all General Plan Land Use Districts that apply to any zoning district, Specific Plan area, or Planned Development (PD) district in the City of Long Beach.

Zoning:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendment would cover all zoning districts and all Planned Development districts in the City of Long Beach.

**Project Description:** 

The proposed Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment (Project) would consist of the following changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance): 1) update regulations for religious assembly to be equal to or more flexible than other assembly uses; 2) classify different size assembly uses to be permitted, conditionally permitted, or not permitted in various zoning districts; 3) update development standards for religious assembly uses; and 4) provide new definitions to ensure that land uses are categorized properly.

## Surrounding land uses and settings:

The City of Long Beach is adjacent to the following municipalities: City of Los Angeles (Wilmington, Port of Los Angeles), Carson, Compton, Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, Hawaiian Gardens, Cypress, Los Alamitos and Seal Beach. It is also adjacent to the unincorporated communities of Rancho Dominguez and Rossmoor. In addition, the City of Signal Hill is completed surrounded by the City of Long Beach.

## Public agencies whose approval is required:

Long Beach Planning Commission (recommend City Council adopt Negative Declaration 02-18 and approve the Zoning Code Amendment for the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

Long Beach City Council (adopt Negative Declaration 02-18 and approve the Zoning Code Amendment for the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

## **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages:

Aesthetics	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Population and Housing
Agricultural Resources	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology and Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use and Planning	Transportation/Traffic
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities and Service Systems
Geology and Soils	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

## **DETERMINATION:**

Carrie	Tai Date
	I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIAVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed Project, nothing further is required.
	I find that the proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis, as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
	I find that the proposed Project MAY have a significant effect on the environment and ar ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
	I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the Project have been made by or agreed to by the Project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
$\boxtimes$	I find that the proposed Project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
On th	e basis of this initial evaluation:

## **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are supported adequately by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the parenthesis following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration; Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration (per Section 15063(c)(3)(D)). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effect was addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less that Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- 6) Supporting information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 7) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) The significance criteria or threshold. If any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

I.	AES1	THETICS						
	a. W	ould the proj	ect h	nave a substantial	adv	erse effect o	n a sce	nic vista?
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	would of sce ocear the Sa Ana	I not result in enic vistas. T n to the south an Gabriel an Mountains to	signification in the Control of the	is Assembly Uses ficant adverse effect city topography is a Palos Verdes to the Palos Verdes to the Palos Verdes to the Palos Telest Palos	cts to relation the we ntains	any scenic vely flat, with rest. In addit to the north vailable to th	vistas or scenic tion, dis as well	public views vistas of the stant views of as the Santa
	(Zonir propo	ng Ordinance sed Project v onment. The	) to s vould	mendments to Ti simplify the regulat I not result in any re, no further and	ion o nega	f Religious A ative impacts	ssemble to the	y Uses. The City's visual
	nc	ould the proj of limited to, ate scenic hi	trees	ubstantially dama s, rock outcroppi ay?	age s ngs,	scenic resou and historic	rces, in buildi	ncluding, but ngs within a
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	resou implei	rces, trees of mentation.	or ro here	cenic highways look outcroppings would therefore analysis is required	would be r	d be damag	ged du	e to Project
				substantially degr		the existing	visual	character or
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Pleas	e see Section	I.a. a	and b. above for dis	scuss	sion.		

	d. W	ould the pr	oject dvers	create a new sely affect day	source or night	e of subst time views	antial lig	ght or glare rea?
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	all ap Zonir	oplicable regung Ordinance	lation . Sin	and land use a s, including Lo ce Project implo or glare impact	ng Beac ementati	h Municipa on would n	I Title 21 ot directly	(Long Beach or indirectly
II.	AGR	ICULTURE R	ESOL	JRCES				
effects	s, lead smen al mo	d agencies ma t Model (199	ay refe 7) pro	es to agricultura er to the Califor epared by the essing impacts	rnia Agri Californ	cultural Lar ia Dept. o	nd Evalua f Conser	tion and Site vation as an
	F	armland of S repared purs	Statev uant	t convert Pri vide Importand to the Farmlar urces Agency,	ce (Farn nd Mapp	nland), as ing and M	shown o	on the maps
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
		Vould the pro Villiamson Ac		conflict with extract?	xisting z	oning for	agricultu	ral use, or a
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	tl	hat, due to	their	involve other location or r pricultural use	nature,	es in the e could resi	existing out	environment onversion of
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

For Sections II. a., b. and c. - There are no agricultural zones within the City of Long Beach, which is a fully urbanized community that has been built upon for over half a century. The Project would have no effect upon agricultural resources within the City of Long Beach or any other neighboring city or county.

#### III. AIR QUALITY

The South Coast Air Basin is subject to some of the worst air pollution in the nation, attributable to its topography, climate, meteorological conditions, large population base, and dispersed urban land use patterns.

Air quality conditions are affected by the rate and location of pollutant emissions and by climatic conditions that influence the movement and dispersion of pollutants. Atmospheric forces such as wind speed, wind direction, and air temperature gradients, along with local and regional topography, determine how air pollutant emissions affect air quality.

The South Coast Air Basin has a limited capability to disperse air contaminants because of its low wind speeds and persistent temperature inversions. In the Long Beach area, predominantly daily winds consist of morning onshore airflow from the southwest at a mean speed of 7.3 miles per hour and afternoon and evening offshore airflow from the northwest at 0.2 to 4.7 miles per hour with little variability between seasons. Summer wind speeds average slightly higher than winter wind speeds. The prevailing winds carry air contaminants northward and then eastward over Whittier, Covina, Pomona and Riverside.

The majority of pollutants found in the Los Angeles County atmosphere originate from automobile exhausts as unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and other materials. Of the five major pollutant types (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, reactive organic gases, sulfur oxides, and particulates), only sulfur oxide emissions are produced mostly by sources other than automobile exhaust.

	Vould the paper of					struct	implen	nenta	ition	of the
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	h		Less That Significa Impact		$\boxtimes$	No Im	pact
that	Southern Cal if a project is located, it is co	consi	stent with the	growt	h for	ecasts 1	for the	subre	gion i	n which

(SCAQMD) Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), and regional emissions are mitigated by the control strategies specified in the AQMP. Since this Project does not propose any specific developments or growth inducing projects that

June 2018

would conflict with the SCAG growth forecasts, it would be consistent with the AQMP and therefore no further analysis is required.

b. Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute to an

	•	-	violate any air d air quality vio			r cont	ribute to an
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
stand	dards or contri uality would be	bute	proposed Project to an air quality then significant	violatio	n. Therefore	, Proje	ct impacts on
a u (i	ny criteria po nder an app	olluta olical asing	result in a cum ant for which to ble federal or g emissions whi	he pro	oject region ambient air	is no quali	n-attainment ty standard
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Plea	se see Sectior	ıs III.a	a. and b. above fo	or discu	ussion.		
	Would the proncentrations	-	expose sensit	ive rec	eptors to su	ıbstanı	tial pollutant
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
athle pollu sens locat of R	etes, elderly an ition than the sitive receptors ted throughout	d sic popu s, inc the	y Handbook de k individuals that ulation at large. luding, schools, City. The Projec Jses. Please se	are mo Facili hospita t only i	ore susceptible ties that sen als, and senic nvolves simp	e to the ve vari or care lifying t	e effects of air ous types of centers, are the regulation
	Vould the pronumber of peo		create objection	onable	odors affec	ting a	substantial

June 2018

Land uses subject to this proposed Project would occur in established urbanized areas and would not remove or impact any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities. No further environmental analysis is required.

c.	protected wetla (including, but	oject have a subs ands as defined by not limited to, mars filling, hydrological	Section 404 sh, vernal poo	of the Clea	n Water Act etc.) through
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less The Significa Impact		No Impact
	urbanized areas	ntation of the propose and would not promo No further environme	ote or involve	alteration of	
d.	native resident	ject interfere subs or migratory fish o or migratory wild oursery sites?	r wildlife spe	cies or with	established
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less The Significa Impact		No Impact
	not alter or adve	ntation would occur in ersely impact any nat rs or nursery sites.	tive resident o	r migratory f	ish or wildlife
e.	•	oject conflict with ogical resources, s			
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less That Signification Impact	V V	No Impact
	conformity with eliminate any e	entation would be co all local policies ar existing or future po urther environmental	nd regulations licy or ordina	. It would nce protect	not alter or

	f. Would the project conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?
	Potentially Less Than Significant Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Imcorporation No Impact
	The Project would not have any adverse effects on any existing or future habitat conservation plans. Please see Sections IV.a. through e. above for further discussion.
٧.	CULTURAL RESOURCES
	a. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section §15064.5?
	Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation No Impact
	The City of Long Beach is an urbanized community and nearly all properties within the City (except for areas such as protected park lands) have been previously disturbed and/or developed. The proposed Project would not promote, encourage or enable activities that could remove, degrade or in any way adversely impact local historic resources. No further environmental analysis is required.
	b. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section §15064.5?
	Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant With Impact Mitigation Incorporation No Impact
	Implementation of the Project would not result in any specific construction activities involving extensive excavation, and therefore would not be anticipated to affect or destroy any archaeological resources due its geographic location. Please see Section V.a. above for further discussion.
	c. Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

events. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

to comply with applicable building codes that account for the possibility of seismic

	ii)	Strong	g sei	smic ground shal	king?	?		
	Potentia Significa Impact			Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
seisnother levels deter not p seisr build	nic ever fault sy s of gro mine the ossible nic eve	nt occur ystem ir ound sh he level to dete nt. All es relat	red an Sou naking of da rmine	fault zone could of along that fault. Sinuthern California hand ag throughout the amage to a specific the level of dama douses must confeto to seismic safety.	imilar as the City. c loca age the	ly, a strong seing e potential to con However, nu ation. Given the nat may occur of to all applicable	smic of reate under control of the c	event on any considerable ous variables ariables, it is site during a ate and local
	iii)	Seism	ic-re	elated ground fail	ure, i	including lique	facti	on?
	Potentia Significa Impact			Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
minii sout and the	mal or heaster the wes 405 fre	low lin portion stern poseeway),	quef n of ortion whe	mic Safety Elemen faction potential. the City, where the most of the area are there is either ection VI.a.i. above	Th ere is a wes r mo	e only excep s significant liqu st of Pacific Av derate or sign	tions uefact enue ifican	are in the tion potential, and south of
	iv)	Lands	slide	s?				
	Potentia Significa Impact			Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Par	the Sei	smic S	afety	Flement the City	is re	elatively flat an	d cha	racterized by

Per the Seismic Safety Element, the City is relatively flat and characterized by slopes that are not high (less than 50 feet) or steep (generally sloping flatter than 1-1/2:1, horizontal to vertical). The State Seismic Hazard Zone map of the Long Beach Quadrangle indicates that the lack of steep terrain (except for a few slopes on Signal Hill and Reservoir Hill) results in only about 0.1 percent of the City lying within the earthquake-induced landslide zone for this quadrangle. Therefore, no impact would be expected and no further environmental analysis is required. Please see Section VI.a.i. above for further discussion.

	Would the opsoil?	projec	t result	in	subs	tantia	al soil	erosio	on or	the	loss	of
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Tha Significar Mitigation Incorpora	nt wit	th		Less T Signific Impact	ant		No II	mpact	
to a man activ	and uses sub Il applicable agement pra vities such ronmental a	constru actices as ex	iction sta to minimi cavation,	nda ze r re	rds re unoff conto	egard and e	ing ero erosion	sion co impacts	ntrol, i s from	nclud earth	ding b	est ing
r	Vould the por that would esult in of quefaction	d beco n- or	me unsta off-site	able	as a	resu	gic uni Ilt of th ateral	e proje	ct, an	d po	tentia	lly
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significan Mitigation Incorpora	t wit	h		Less TI Signific Impact			No In	npact	
regu	se see Sec lations of the ing code rec	e Projec	ct would	be o	constr	ucted	l in con	land unpliance	ises s e with	ubjec all ap	t to toplicat	he ole
d. V	Vould the   Iniform Buil	project ding C	be loca ode, crea	atec atin	d on g sub	expa stan	ansive tial risk	soil, a	as de e or p	fined rope	in t	he
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Thar Significan Mitigation Incorporat	t with	n	$\boxtimes$	Less Th Significa Impact			No In	npact	
Plea	se see Secti	ons VI.I	o. and c.	abo	ve for	expl	anation					
0	ould the pr f septic ta ewers are n	inks o	r alterna	ativ	e wa	stew	ater d	isposa	l sys	ting tems	the us whe	se re
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Thar Significant Mitigation Incorporat	with	1		Less Th Significa Impact			No Im	npact	

The entire City is served by an existing sewer system and therefore has no need for septic tanks or any other alternative wastewater disposal systems. No further environmental analysis is required.

VII.	GREE	NHOUSE	GAS	FMISSI	ONS
W III.					

VIII.

a. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact Significant Impact Impact
California is a substantial contributor of global greenhouse gases (GHGs), emitting over 400 million tons of carbon dioxide per year. Climate studies indicate that California is likely to see an increase of three to four degrees Fahrenheit over the next century. Methane is also an important GHG that potentially contributes to global climate change. GHGs are global in their effect, which is to increase the earth's ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere. As primary GHGs have a long lifetime in the atmosphere, accumulate over time, and are generally well-mixed, their impact on the atmosphere is mostly independent of the point of emission.
The Project would not result in direct or indirect significant GHG impacts, but rather would establish changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance to simplify the regulation of Religious Assembly Uses. No further environmental analysis is needed.
b. Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?
Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact Significant Impact Impact Incorporation
Please see Section VII.a. above for discussion. The proposed Project would not permit any land use operations that would conflict with any plans, policies or regulations related to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. No further environmental analysis is needed.
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

E		thro	t create a sign ugh the routin ls?			to the puse, or		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Any future land uses or activities that would be subject to the provisions of this Project that involve the handling and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous materials would be required to fully comply with Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 8.86 through 8.88 as well as all existing State safety regulations. No further environmental analysis is required.								
e	nvironment	thro nvolvi	t create a sign ugh reasonably ng the release	fore	eseeable i	upset a	nd accident	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Plea	se see Secti	on VIII.	a. above for discu	ıssion				
а	cutely haza	rdous	emit hazardous materials, subst or proposed sch	tance	ssions or l s, or waste	handle h within	azardous or one quarter-	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Plea	se see Section	on VIII.	a. above for discu	ission.				
h S	azardous n ection 6596	nateria 2.5 an	be located on a ils sites compil d, as a result, w vironment?	ed p	ursuant to	Govern	nment Code	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	

The Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites (Cortese) List is a planning document used by the State, local agencies and developers to comply with CEQA requirements in providing information about the location of hazardous materials release sites. Any future land uses that would be regulated by the provisions of this Project would not be permitted to create any significant hazards to the public or the environment by operating at a location included in the Cortese List. Please see Section VIII.a. above for further discussion.

p u	lan has not be se airport, w	een a ould	ed within an aidopted, within the project in the pr	two mi result i	iles of a pub	olic airp	ort or public
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
betwair testa	reen Cherry Avraffic patterns blished Federa e developmen	enue or e al Avi t nea	is located with and Lakewood ncourage future ation Administr the Long Bead A requirements	d Bouleve e devel ation (F ch Airpo	vard. The Popments that FAA) flight port would be	roject w at could rotection in comp	ould not alter conflict with n zones. All liance with all
r	for a project v esult in a saf irea?	within ety h	n the vicinity on the vicinity of vicinity of the vicinity of vicinity of vicinity of vicinity	of a pri ple res	vate airstrip iding or wo	o, would orking it	d the project n the project
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	re are no priva ronmental ana		rstrips located vis required.	within o	r adjacent to	the Cit	y. No further
g. \	Would the pro an adopted en	ject nerge	impair implem ncy response	entatio plan or	n of or phys emergency	sically i evacua	nterfere with tion plan?
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
The	Project wou ommendations	ld no that	ot encourage could potential	or othe ly impa	rwise set f ir implement	orth an	y policies or f or physically

interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. No further environmental analysis is required.

lo a	oss, injury or o	deat	expose people on the control of the	and f	ires, including	whe	re wild lands
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

The City is a highly-urbanized community and there are no properties located adjacent to wild lands and there is no risk of exposing people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wild land fires. No further environmental analysis is required.

#### IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has produced a series of Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) designating potential flood zones (based on the projected inundation limits as well as the 100-year flood as delineated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).

Would the discharge re			any	water	quality	standards	or	waste
Potentially Significant Impact	S	ess Than Significant v Mitigation ncorporatio			Less Than Significant Impact	1	No Im	pact

The Project would be consistent with all chapters of the General Plan, including the Conservation Element. All activities subject to the provisions of this Project would be required to be in full compliance with all applicable federal, State and local water quality standards and regulations. No further environmental analysis is required.

b. Would the project substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

City's Low Impact Development (LID) policies.

f. \	f. Would the project otherwise degrade water quality?								
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
Please see Sections IX.a. and c. above for discussion. All future developments and land uses activities involving Project regulations would be subject to all applicable water quality standards, regulations and best management practices.									
g. Would the project place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?									
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
Long area	According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), most of Long Beach is located in Zone X, which is outside of the 100-year flood hazard area. The Project would not directly or indirectly result in placing any residential land uses in flood hazard areas. No further environmental analysis is necessary.								
			place within a 10 e or redirect floo			d are	ea structures		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact	$\boxtimes$	No Impact		
Plea	se see Sectior	IX.g	. above for discuss	sion.					
le		deatl	expose people on involving floodie or dam?						
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
			g. above for discu of a levee or dam.	ssion	. The City of	Long	Beach is not		
j. V									

	c. Would the project conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?						
	Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation						
	See Sections X.a. and b. above for discussion. The City is a highly-urbanized environment characterized by in-fill developments that recycle previously developed properties. No habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan would be impacted by Project implementation.						
XI.	MINERAL RESOURCES						
and r	rically, the primary mineral resources within the City of Long Beach have been oinatural gas. However, oil and gas extraction operations have diminished over the century as the resources have become depleted. Today, extraction operations nue but on a reduced scale compared to past levels.						
a. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?							
	Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Solution Impact Incorporation Impact No Impact No Impact Impact Incorporation						
	The Project does not propose any alteration of local mineral resource land uses and there are no mineral resource activities that would be altered or displaced by Project implementation. No further discussion is required.						
	b. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?						
	Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Solution Impact Significant Impact Incorporation						
	Please see Section XI.a. above for discussion.						
XII.	NOISE						

Noise is defined as unwanted sound that disturbs human activity. Environmental noise levels typically fluctuate over time, and different types of noise descriptors are used to account for this variability. Noise level measurements include intensity, frequency, and duration, as well as time of occurrence.

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to ambient noise levels than other uses due to the amount of noise exposure and the types of activities involved. Residences, motels, hotels, schools, libraries, churches, nursing homes, auditoriums, parks and outdoor recreation areas are more sensitive to noise than are commercial and industrial land uses.

r recreation areas are more sensitive to noise than are commercial and industrial ses.
a. Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation Sensitive Management Sensitive Management Sensitive Management Manageme
Future construction activities related to land uses subject to the provisions of this Project could involve various types of short-term noise impacts from trucks, earth-moving equipment, and paving equipment. However, all construction activities and land use operations must be performed in compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance (Long Beach Municipal Code Section 8.80). Project implementation would not alter the Noise Ordinance provisions or exempt any future land uses or improvements from local noise controls. The local Noise Ordinance would continue to regulate all future land use construction and operational noise levels. No further environmental analysis of this issue is necessary.
b. Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation Solution Impact Significant Significant Impact Significant Impact Incorporation
Please see Section XII.a. above for discussion. Project implementation would occur in compliance with local noise and vibration controls.
c. Would the project create a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

The City of Long Beach is the second largest city in Los Angeles County. At the time of the 2000 Census, Long Beach had a population of 461,522, which was a 7.5 percent increase from the 1990 Census. The 2010 Census reported a total City population of 462,257.

	ould the plither directly		induce subst	tantial	population	growth	in an are	ea,	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
The Project involves various changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance) to simplify the regulation of Religious Assembly Uses. It is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth. No further environmental analysis is required.									
b. Would the project displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?									
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
imple	ementation	measu	t set forth or res that would lity. No further	direct	y or indire	ctly disp	lace exist		
			displace subst replacement l				iecessitati	ing	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
enco	ourage any p	olicies	b. above for dis activities or im ople residing in	plement	tation meası	ct does rures that	ot set forth would dire	n or ctly	
PUE	BLIC SERVIC	CES							

### XIV.

Fire protection would be provided by the Long Beach Fire Department. The Department has 23 stations in the City. The Department is divided into bureaus of Fire Prevention,

Fire Suppression, the Bureau of Instruction, and the Bureau of Technical Services. The Fire Department is accountable for medical, paramedic, and other first aid rescue calls from the community.

Police protection would be provided by the Long Beach Police Department. The Department is divided into bureaus of Administration, Investigation, and Patrol.

The City of Long Beach is served by the Long Beach Unified School District, which also serves the City of Signal Hill, Catalina Island and a large portion of the City of Lakewood. The District has been operating at or over capacity during the past decade.

Would the proposed project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

a. F	ire protection	?						
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
The Project involves changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance regarding Religious Assembly Uses and is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth that could result in increased demand for fire protection services or fire protection facilities. No further environmental analysis is required.								
b. P	olice protecti	on?						
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not significantly increase demands for police protection service, nor require provision of new police facilities.								
c. S	chools?							
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	

XV.

	is not intended to direct not result in any significes.								
d. Parks?									
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	☐ No Impact						
Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not generate any significant additional demand for provision of park services or facilities by the City.									
e. Other public	facilities?								
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact						
No other impacts have been identified that would require the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities.									
RECREATION									
regional par	project increase the ks or other recreati erioration of the facilit	onal facilities suc	h that substantia						
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact						
and is not intend	lves simplifying the City led to directly or indire ed demand for recreati ed.	ctly induce population	on growth that could						
construction									

(6	d. Would the project substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?								
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
The Project would not create or encourage any hazardous transportation related design features or incompatible uses. No further environmental analysis is required.									
e. V	Vould the proj	ect r	esult in inadequa	te en	nergency acce	ss?			
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
The Project would not propose or encourage any specific land uses or developments or transportation network modifications that would have the potential to result in deficient or inadequate emergency access routes. No further environmental analysis is required.									
			conflict with ado , bus turnouts, bi			ortin	g alternative		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
devo ado	The Project would not propose or encourage any specific land uses or developments or transportation network modifications that would conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation. No further environmental analysis is required.								
TRI	BAL CULTUR	AL R	ESOURCES						
	significance of	fat	ct cause a su tribal cultural res 74 as either a sit	ourc	e, defined in	Publi	ic Resources		

that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the

XVI.

		andscape, Native Ame		place, or o be, that is:	bject w	ith cultural	value to	a Californ	iia
	F	Resources,	or in	for listing a local regis Code Section	ster of	historic res	_		
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	n 🗵	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
	spec wou	cific constru ld not be a	iction a nticipate	above. Proj ctivities invo d to significa No further e	lving ex antly affe	tensive exc ect or destro	cavation, a by any Nat	and therefo	re
	s a C	supported be set forth in supplying the Code Section	y subs subdivis e criter on 5024.	nined by th tantial evide sion (c) of Po ia set forth 1, the Lead a alifornia Nat	nce, to ublic Re in subo Agency	be significa sources Co division (c) shall consi	ant pursuande Section of Public der the sign	int to criter n 5024.1? c Resource	ia In
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	<u> </u>	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
	Plea	se see Sect	ion XVI.	a. above. No	further	environmen	tal analysis	s is required	
XVIII.	U	JTILITIES A	ND SEF	RVICE SYSTI	EMS				
	а			ct exceed wonal Water Q				ments of th	ıe
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	ı 🗵	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
	b	or waste	water ti	ct require or reatment fac n of which	ilities o	r expansioi	n of existi	ng facilitie	s,

Incorporation

For Sections XVIII.a. through g.: The Project involves simplifying the Religious Assembly Uses regulations and would not be expected to place an undue burden on any utility or service system. The City of Long Beach is an urbanized setting with all utilities and services fully in place. Future demands for utilities and service systems have been anticipated in the General Plan goals, policies and programs for future growth. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

#### XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

				-		
a.	environment, species, caus levels, threat number or re	roject have the pote substantially reduction substantially reduction to eliminate a place of the strict the range of a portant examples of the strict the range substant examples of the strict the range substant examples of the strict the strict the range substant examples of the strict the strict the range substant examples of the strict t	ce the opulation or ant or rare or	habitat of on to drop b animal con endangere	f a fish elow se nmunity d plant	n or wildlife elf-sustaining f, reduce the or animal or
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Resort of a	sources, the Fourteral resource rironment, imperaten any plar any rare or en	n Section IV. Biologic Project would have no ces. The proposed Pro act any natural habita at or animal communition dangered plants or ar California history or pre	signification oject wo ts, effect es, alte nimals,	ant adverse ould not degree to any fish or the numbe or eliminate	impacts rade the or wildlife r or rest	on biologica quality of the populations rict the range
	cumulatively the incremer connection w	roject have impacts considerable? ("Cu tal effects of a projoith the effects of past the effects of probab	ımulati ect are st proje	vely consideral cts, the effe	lerable" ble whe	means that en viewed in
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
cor	tribute to any	ulatory provisions for cumulative growth ef General Plan.	•		•	



Negative Declaration ND 02-18 City of Long Beach Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment

C.	Does the substantial indirectly?	project have en adverse effects			which either	will cau	
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant wit Mitigation Incorporation	th	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	

The land use requirements of this Project would not directly or indirectly cause any substantial adverse effects on human beings. For this reason, the City has concluded that this Project can be implemented without causing significant adverse environmental effects and determined that the Negative Declaration is the appropriate type of CEQA documentation.