

City of Long Beach Working Together to Serve

Memorandum

NB-20

Date:

February 13, 2018

To:

Honorable Mayor Garcia and Members of the City Council

From:

Stacy Mungo, Councilwoman, Fifth District

Daryl Supernaw, Councilmember, Fourth District

Al Austin, Councilmember, Eighth District of

Subject:

Agenda Item: Opposition to SB 827, Planning and zoning: transit-rich

housing bonus

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to request City Manager to formally communicate the City's opposition for Senate Bill 827, which would usurp local restrictions.

BACKGROUND

In the State of California, 121 of its 478 cities are charter cities. These cities operate under their own city charters, allowing for more local control than general law cities. One of the many distinctions a charter city enjoys is greater control over zoning regulations.

The City of Long Beach is currently in the process of updating the General Plan, including the Land Use Element and Urban Design Element. The Land Use Element is the document which provides an overall framework for what a parcel of land can be used for, and the maximum size of the buildings that can be built that parcel.

The current proposal of the General Plan's Land Use Element allows for increased housing units in the City of Long Beach, in the locations and manner which have been guided by the Long Beach Planning Commission, Long Beach Development Services, and the residents of Long Beach. These locations, including their size limitations, have been arrived at following a long process of community feedback and communication with thousands of residents.

The Land Use Element guides the locations and sizes of potential residential development, but does not guide the affordability of the development as it is not the appropriate document for such; the General Plan's Housing Element, adopted in 2014, addresses the City's low-income and affordable housing needs.

In Senate Bill 827, the State of California is looking to set statewide planning and zoning guidelines. This bill would exempt certain housing projects from many aspects of local zoning requirements, including: maximum controls on residential density or floor area ratio, minimum parking requirements, maximum height limits, and certain design standards. The proposed

guidelines in the bill would apply to all forms of local government, including charter cities.

This is an egregious usurping of local authority. Zoning and land use are key elements to the make-up of a city. It is the desire of the City of Long Beach that the City and its residents make their own decisions regarding the development of their neighborhoods, not the State of California.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact.



City of Long Beach Working Together to Serve

REQUEST TO ADD AGENDA ITEM

Date:

February 13, 2018

To:

Monique De La Garza, City Clerk

_

Stacy Mungo, Councilwoman, Fifth District

From:

Daryl Supernaw, Councilmember, Fourth District

Al Austin, Councilmember, Eighth District

Subject:

Request to Add Agenda Item to Council Agenda of February

13, 2018

Pursuant to Municipal Code Section 2.03.070 [B], the City Councilmembers signing below request that the attached agenda item (due in the City Clerk Department by Friday, 12:00 Noon) be placed on the City Council agenda under New Business via the supplemental agenda.

The agenda title/recommendation for this item reads as follows: Opposition to SB 827, Planning and zoning: transit-rich housing bonus

Council District	Authorizing Councilmember	Signed by
5	STAN MUNGO	Dong An
4	Daryl Supernaw	April For Daugh Super
8	Al Austin	Just 2 for all dust