#18

Long Beach City Council City Hall 3333 West Ocean Blvd Long Beach, CA 90802

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

1

Sincerely <u>Avey</u> name 2041 Evelid address sna. city

Thak you for considering Our opinions. We don't What 2 NG in ar Part!

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely I conit believe our city would even Consider something so inepopreate.

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

ł

Sincereiy name <u>321 Long Ave</u>. address Long Beach 90814

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

ł

<u>Park Hozas</u> <u>2 doma Pista O</u>n. <u>ing Beach Ca</u> 2 1813

3/31/05

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Janno, <u>Alenno voucup</u> <u>1750 East Ocean-Blid #50/</u> address <u>Long Black Ca</u> 90802-6018

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely name 151 ARGONINE AVE address LONG BEACH CAL. 90803 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely WYW name Mura man Anette Beerch, Cer. 40 516

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Adviet Bernich name 254 Bernett due. address <u>Long Beach</u>, CA

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

1

Sincerely Sincerely STELCW Gale 4437 Leg(ALT)address Lig 90807

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerel <u>-69 German</u> B CA 92649 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely DELAIDE WHAN ODE 63 ST #A MBeach, CA 90814

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerel <u>Bon Keliter</u> <u>3121 Maiquita</u> #7 <u>Bong Beach</u>, Ca 90503 name address city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely <u>Houas</u>-Backs Koger Hente <u>280 Ancona</u> Dr <u>address</u> <u>Long Beach</u>, CA, 90803

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely name 75 Raso Aver. address ONG BEACH, CA 90813 Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Mr. CMS 21 Dexiston name <u>6931 E-114t St</u> address Lorg Black CH

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

ł

<u>Middle Juns</u> name <u>4741 Whitewood Aue</u> address Jong Black, CH 90808

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Helen K jeke 14606 Graywood Ave. Jong Beach, CA 90808

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerelv and I prove thes letter is the same your ezy Pattie Aur B USR tone received from atkens hut I have heard mitsibishi's presentation & the Sieria Music I am completely appared to the South terminal in Long Beach Janier Fick

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely

<u>MAR/EECE GooSu</u>N name <u>5971 E. PACIFIC (oAst Hwy</u>#3 <u>Long BEACH, CA</u> 90803 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Harlie & Judy Sherhardt 21 E. Ocean Bluel. 21 Blach, CA. 90803 Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely Ang Blach, CA 90815

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely <u>Duluczyk</u>, M.J. 14449 Dch, CA 90853-4449

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely Sincerely <u>MICHELLE SZTRAICHER</u> address <u>LB</u>, <u>CA</u> 90803

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely Eve Handen Tame 342 Orizaba Aue# 2 address Long Beach, CA 90814 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

<u>Aicele Payne</u> <u>name</u> <u>274 Angonne</u> Ave. <u>Long Beach</u> Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely <u>Alfredo Perez</u> 5r. name <u>IIGIZ Peach S</u>T. <u>address</u> <u>Lynwood CA.</u> 90262 city Marca AZX. Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

NICHOTAS U, MIDALLICK name

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerel addres cit

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

£

Sincerøl name 100 W. 5 + 5 = address L. Beh, 90802 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

. 98706

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely Maria thy Ortega Maria Ortega name <u>12109 S. Budlong Ave</u> address Los Angeles, CA. 90044 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

ncerely few Coars polph few Coars 1947 W. 394/MACE dress DS Angles CA. ty 90062

i.,

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

name <u>15206 S. White AUR</u> <u>address</u> <u>Compton CH</u>90221 city Sincerely

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely Retina ? city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

ŝ

Sincerely

<u>SONIQ Vergarq</u> name <u>3921 E May Fleld</u> AVE APTI address <u>LOWG Beach Ca</u> 90804 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely United Carl MUU name / adatress

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerel

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

1

address city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

ŝ

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

2

Sincerely Robert & Britisrikhum

name <u>2880 ACIOURY</u> AVE address <u>LONAR BENSH</u> CA 90815 city

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

incerely <u>Anna GRAY</u> <u>941 West Brazil St</u> <u>address</u> <u>Compton CA</u>. 90220

Δ.

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely <u>1 Parrish</u> E. 47th St. CALIF. 90805 Jaco name

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

2

Sincerely Maurice Burnett name S Richland Place Address Passeding - Ca

16

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

Sincerely E. Laurel ST

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

Sincerely Marra Anayo-15172.519 paddress 1200265

city

6

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

£

Sincere

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

I

Sincerely VIUMA HILANIN name

address

LOS ANGELES

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

-CA 90240

÷.,

Honorable City Council Members:

I am writing today to urge you to take action to stop the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal and tankers proposed for the Port of Long Beach. Our port has far too many chemical and petroleum facilities in the immediate vicinity of the proposed LNG terminal, all of which lie in the midst of a network of earthquake faults.

There are hundreds of thousands of Long Beach residents living close to the proposed LNG site, not to mention the enormous number of workers threatened within the Port of Long Beach itself. The increased risks of terrorist attacks as well as the very real potential for accidental detonation far outweigh any gains for the state of California or the City of Long Beach.

The children and families who call Long Beach home already suffer significant threats from air pollution and this proposed LNG terminal, the large number of tanker visits and the expanded industrialization within the port area will only exacerbate there difficulties. And at this point, there is no proven need for LNG to be brought anywhere into California. Residential use of natural gas in California is down and is not expected to rise for at least the next decade, so there is no reason to subject the people of this community to this risky project.

The people of Long Beach deserve clean, safe renewable energy sources, not expanded use of polluting foreign fossil fuels threatening our quality of life. Through the use of simple conservation and expanded, readily available renewable resources we can more than make up the energy this LNG terminal could create.

I urge you to stand up for future generations and protect Long Beach residents from the many threats posed by this dangerous and unnecessary LNG facility.

È