

2. CoC Scoring Summary (from FY 2022 CoC NOFO)

| Scoring Category | Maximum Score (Points) | Your CoC Score (Points) |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation | 5 | 4.5 |
| 1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations | 29 | 24 |
| 1D. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations–Continued | 49 | 48 |
| 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition | 30 | 28.5 |
| 2A. Homeless Management Information System(HMIS)–Implementation | 9 | 4.5 |
| 2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count | 5 | 5 |
| 2C. System Performance | 59 | 35 |
| 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare | 14 | 0 |
| Total CoC Application Score* | 200 | 149.5 |

*The total does not include bonus scores.

3. Overall Scores for all CoCs

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Highest Score for any CoC | 188.75 |
| Lowest Score for any CoC | 53.5 |
| Median Score for all CoCs | 154.5 |
| Weighted Mean Score** for all CoCs | 162.25 |

**The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand. CoCs that scored higher than the weighted mean score were more likely to gain funding relative to their Annual Renewal Demand, while CoCs that scored lower than the weighted mean were more likely to lose money relative to their Annual Renewal Demand.

**Continuum of Care (CoC) Program
Competition Debriefing – DV Bonus Scores**

**Fiscal Year
(FY) 2022**

CoC: CA-606

Applicant: City of Long Beach CoC

Project: DV TH-RRH Expansion

Below is your DV Bonus project application score for the FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition. To receive DV Bonus funding, your application needed a score of at least **83.25**, which is the lowest score of any new DV Bonus project we funded. If your DV Bonus application did not score high enough for us to conditionally award DV Bonus funding to your proposed project, we could have still conditionally awarded funding based on (1) where your CoC ranked your project, and (2) if your project met project eligibility requirements.

| RRH or Joint TH-RRH Projects | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| NOFA Language | NOFA Section | Application Question Number | Maximum Points Available | Your DV Bonus Score |
| CoC Score. Up to 50 points in direct proportion to the score received on the CoC Application. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(a) | Not Applicable | 50 | 37.38 |
| CoC Collaboration with Victim Service Providers. Up to 10 points in direct proportion to the score received on the following rating factors in the CoC application: Section VII.B.1.e, Section VII.B.2.c, and Section VII.B.3.b. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(b) | Not Applicable | 10 | 8.3 |
| Need for the Project. Up to 10 points based on the extent the CoC quantifies the need for the project in its portfolio, the extent of need, and how the project will fill that gap. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(c) | 4A-3a | 10 | 8 |
| Quality of the Project Applicant. Up to 15 points based on the previous performance of the applicant in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(d) | 4A-3b.1, 4A-3c, 4A-3d, 4A-3d.1, 4A-3e, 4A-3f | 15 | 4.5 |
| Demonstration of inclusion of victim-centered practices. Up to 8 points based on the quality of the project’s plan to address the housing and safety needs of survivors by adopting victim-centered practices (e.g., Housing First, Trauma-Informed Care, Confidentiality) in operating their project. Full points will be awarded to project applicants that can demonstrate they are already adopting victim-centered practices. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(e) | 4A-3g | 8 | 3 |
| Demonstration of plan to include survivors with lived expertise. Up to 7 points based on the project’s ability to demonstrate its plan to involve survivors in policy and program development throughout the project’s operation. | II.B.11.(e)(1)(f) | 4A-3h | 7 | 7 |
| | | | Total Score | 68.18 |

Below is a summary of all DV Bonus application scores.

| Overall Scores for all DV Bonus Applications | |
|---|-------|
| Highest Score for any CoC | 95.13 |
| Lowest Score for any CoC | 32.88 |
| Median Score for all CoCs | 82.25 |

Special NOFO Competition Debriefing

CoC: CA-606

This document summarizes the scores your Continuum of Care (CoC) received on its CoC Application submitted during the Supplemental CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness (Special NOFO) Competition and includes:

1. **Scoring detail on the CoC’s Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs;**
2. **CoC Scoring Summary**—on the four sections of the application; and
3. **Highest and lowest scores for the Unsheltered Set Aside for all CoCs**

The scores are organized like the Special NOFO CoC Application. We included the Special NOFO references so that you can relate the questions to the Special NOFO sections. If your CoC applied for funding under either (1) the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside only or (2) both the Unsheltered Homelessness and Rural Set Asides, then the score your CoC received for the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside will appear in the “Score Your CoC Received” column. If your CoC only applied for funding under the Rural Set Aside, then the score your CoC received for the Rural Set Aside will appear in the “Score Your CoC Received” column.

1. CoC’s Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs Questions

| CoC Application Question | NOFO Section | Maximum Score Available Unsheltered/Rural | Score Your CoC Received |
|--|--------------|--|-------------------------|
| P-1 Leveraging Housing Resources | | | |
| P-1 – Leveraging Housing Resources <i>These questions assessed your CoC’s ability to apply for permanent housing projects that use housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded through the ESG or CoC Programs.</i> | VII.B.4.a | 10/10 | 8 |

2. CoC Scoring Summary (from Special CoC NOFO)

| Scoring Category | Maximum Score Unsheltered Set Aside (Points) | Maximum Score Rural Set Aside (Points) | Your CoC Score** (Points) |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| 1. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 2. System Performance | 18 | 18 | 10 |
| 3. CoC Coordination and Engagement | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 4. CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs | 70 | 59 | 49 |
| Total CoC Application Score* | 100 | 89 | 70 |

* The total does not include bonus points.

** If your CoC applied for funding under either (1) the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside only or (2) both the Unsheltered Homelessness and Rural Set Asides, then the score your CoC received for the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside will appear. If your CoC only applied for funding under the Rural Set Aside, then the score your CoC received for the Rural Set Aside will appear.

3. Overall Scores for the Unsheltered Set Aside for all CoCs*

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Highest Score for any CoC | 92.84 |
| Lowest Score for any CoC | 15.25 |