



Date: December 14, 2022

To: Ethics Commission

From: Julian Cernuda, Assistant to the City Manager

Subject: Overview of California Ethics Commissions

On September 14, 2022, the Ethics Commission (Commission) requested a report on the authorities of other local government ethics commission, with a focus on staffing, enforcement capabilities, scope of duties, powers, and responsibilities. The Local Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Matrix (Matrix – Attachment A) provides an overview of the Commission duties and responsibilities as well as information on findings in the requested areas of other local ethics commissions.

Ethics Commission Powers and Duties

The Long Beach City Charter (Charter) was amended in 2018 through Measure CCC to add Article XXIV, establishing the Commission and the powers and duties outlined in Section 2402.

"Sec. 2402 Powers and Duties of the Ethics Commission.

The Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances concerning campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics.

The City Ethics Commission shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

- (a) To provide support to agencies and public officials in administering the provisions
 of the Charter and other laws relating to campaign finance, conflict of interest,
 and governmental ethics;
- (b) To make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council concerning campaign finance reform, lobbying, governmental ethics and conflicts of interest and to report the Council concerning the effectiveness of these laws;
- (c) To assist departments in developing their conflict of interest codes as required by state law;
- (d) To advocate understanding of the Charter, City ordinances and the roles of elected and other public officials, City institutions and the City electoral process;
- (e) To develop an educational program to familiarize newly elected and appointed officers and employees, candidates for elective office and their campaign treasurers, and lobbyist with City, state and federal ethics laws and the importance of ethics to the public's confidence in municipal government; and
- (f) Such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code"

On February 3, 2021, pursuant to a request by the City Manager, the City Attorney provided an opinion via memorandum outlining the parameters of the authority of the City Ethics Commission (Attachment B). The memorandum notes that when legislation was drafted to create the Commission, it envisioned that the Commission would act as a central policy-making body for City employees and officials related to government ethics with a focus on increasing awareness of ethical responsibilities and establishing a clear City policy on compliance with current ethics laws and regulations.

A Charter amendment would be necessary to add investigatory authority and the ability to discipline employees to the Commission's duties in the Charter. Since the Civil Service Commission currently has exclusive authority in the City to adjudicate appeals involving employee discipline, a Charter amendment would be required to divest discipline authority from the Civil Service Commission to grant said authority to the Ethics Commission. Any change to the Charter that could impose new discipline on employees would also require meet and confer with City employee labor unions.

Finally, Charter section 2402(f) allows the City Council to assign addition duties and responsibilities consistent with current Charter provisions to the Commission in the Municipal Code.

Survey Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Powers and Duties

Local Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Matrix

The Matrix includes information on the Ethics Commissions of the following jurisdictions:

- City of Berkeley

- City of Oakland
- Orange County

- City of Sacramento
- City of Long Beach
 City of San Diego
 City of Los Angeles
 City and County of San Francisco
 - City of San Jose
 - City of Santa Clara

The City of Berkeley and City of Santa Clara commissions have atypical structures and differ significantly from the remaining commissions in the Matrix. Despite these commissions' differences, they were included in the Matrix as to demonstrate how much commissions can differ in the State.

The Matrix provides information on the 13 categories below for each of the jurisdictions included in this report, if available.

- Jurisdiction Name
- Year Established
- Operating Budget
- Mission/Vision Statement
 Authority to Audit/Investigate
- Member Compensation
- Staff Support
- Makes Policy Recommendations

- Roles and Responsibilities
- Member Structure
- Member Restrictions While Serving
- Authority to Discipline
- Creating Authority

Commissions Commonalities and Patterns Overview

Staff identified seven comparable Ethics Commissions across California jurisdictions, identifying several patterns and takeaways across the categories above. Below is an overview of the Matrix.

Year Established – Historically, ethics commissions in California are a recent undertaking, with most being created in the 1990's and 2000's.

Operating Budget – Ethics Commission operating budgets varied widely. For example, the City of San Jose Ethics Commission has a Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 budget of \$40,000, while the City of Los Angeles has an operating FY23 budget of \$9 million. The difference between budgets for these and other jurisdictions in the report can be attributed to a variety of factors such as: the number of staff working for a city, the nature of a commission's roles and responsibilities, the staffing support framework approach, facilities use charges, and even the way in which the budget is reported. San Jose may reflect a lower operating budget because it appears that wages and benefits of its Commission's staff is accounted for by the staff's department and not the commission. San Jose also has a staffing model where an investigator is contracted on a case-by-case basis and does not have an inhouse investigator. This may lead to lower operating costs, especially when compared with commissions that have inhouse investigatory staff.

Mission and Vision Statement – Five of the seven commissions included in the report have a mission and/or vision statement. Statements generally espouse values that promote public trust and confidence, and fairness and integrity while conducting city business.

Roles and Responsibilities – All commissions shared common elements of responsibilities related to campaign finance and policies, Brown Act compliance, lobbying, conflict of interest, and governmental ethics. Although these areas were common across all commissions, the level or depth of responsibility within each element varied among the commissions. Most commissions have the responsibility to ensure impartial and effective administration over their jurisdiction's entire Code of Ethics. Yet, the Campaign Finance and Ethics Commission of Orange County is only responsible for Code of Ethics sections that pertain to the use of public assets and the revolving door policy. Research found that differences like these were common not only with commissions' responsibilities for the Code of Ethics, but also several other areas within each of the elements listed earlier.

There were also responsibilities that appeared to be more unique or uncommon. The City of Los Angeles Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances that concern

contracts and developers. However, no other surveyed commission oversees contracts and developers. Additional uncommon roles and responsibilities found include reviewing gifts policy, setting councilmember salaries, reviewing councilmember existing employment for potential conflict of interest, and establishing Closed Session procedures for council.

Member Structure – Commissions' size ranged between five to seven members and terms served were generally set to two terms of three to four years.

Members Restrictions While Serving – Restrictions on serving members across Commissions centered on holding public office, serving on other commissions, participating in elections – in support or in opposition towards candidates or ballot measures, registered lobbyist status, and employment. Commissions restrictions also varied in scrutiny within each type of restriction. For example, some commissions prohibited a commissioner from only being a registered lobbyist with its jurisdiction, while other commissions prohibited being a registered lobbyist on a county or even federal level.

Member Compensation – Five of the commissions do not compensate members, while two commissions compensate members.

Staff Support – The level of staff support varied based on the level of roles and responsibilities of each commission and the size of the jurisdiction which the commission serves. The City of Los Angeles Ethic Commission, for example, has what may be the widest range of roles and responsibilities. The support of 37 total staff support reflects this by having a range of staff roles, which include an Executive Director, Directors and Program Managers that lead a range of programs, and Analysts, Auditors, and Investigators that support each of the programs. In contrast, the City of San Jose's Board of Fair Campaign and Political Practices does not appear to have dedicated full-time staff, and instead, relies on existing departmental staff and a contract investigator to fulfill their set roles and responsibilities.

Makes Policy Recommendations – Commissions may make policy recommendations.

Authority to Audit/Investigate – Survey commissions have the authority to audit/investigate matters relating to their prescribed roles and responsibilities. A commission's depth of involvement in an audit/investigation also varies from commission to commission and by audited/investigated area.

The Commissions' oversight is also largely focused on elected officials, elected official offices, and lobbyists. It is also common for commissions to have written investigation procedures that describe the investigation process, such as the role of the commission, departments, hearings, appeals, and disciplinary actions. As examples, here are the City and County of San Francisco procedures, and City of San Jose procedures.

Authority to Discipline – Having been given the authority to audit/investigate, it follows that surveyed commissions may also discipline based on their findings. The most common allowed discipline that a commission may impose is that of a monetary penalty. Monetary penalties also varied based on the area (campaign finance, gifts, lobbyist, etc.) in which the violation took

place and the degree of severity. An order to cease and desist activity, requiring the filing of documents, and public reprimands are also common disciplinary actions commissions may take.

Creating Authority - Four of the seven survey commissions were established through city charters, while the remaining three rely on municipal codes.

Conclusion

All commissions surveyed share similarities yet, remain unique. Shared similarities include general roles and responsibilities that an ethics commission is prescribed to assist with in their city. The individuality of each commission – their roles and responsibilities, structure, budget, and staff support – is born out of the unique needs of the city in which it serves.

If you have any questions, please contact Julian Cernuda, Assistant to the City Manager, at (562) 570-6154.

ATTACHMENT A – CALIFORNIA JURISDICTIONS ETHICS COMMISSIONS MATRIX ATTACHMENT B – MEMO RE PARAMETERS OF ETHICS COMMISSION AUTHORITY

CC: APRIL WALKER, ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY CITY MANAGER
HEATHER VAN WIJK, ETHICS OFFICER
TAYLOR ANDERSON, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
JONATHAN NAGAYAMA, CITY CLERK ANALYST

ATTACHMENT A

Mark 19	louisali-si si-	Van Franklich	On another - Secretary	Mission Michael Charles	Delegand Programme Willed	Mamb - Charles	California Jurisdictions Ethics Commission		Demontrace / Co # Co	Makes Deline December 1-2	Australia, sa Austra harrastari	Australia, to Discipline	Constinue Acreticants
Part		2018	FY 23 - \$100,000	To advance public trust and confidence in	Impartial and effective administration and	2 - Appointed by Mayor		Member Compensation		Yes Makes Policy Recommendations	No Authority to Audit/Investigate	No Authority to Discipline	Creating Authority City Charter
Service of the control of the contro							Hold elective office including the LRUSD Board or the LRCC Board of Trustees		Ethics Officer				
Part				that promote each of the City's values	campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of		Serve as an officer in any election campaign for or against a candidate for any elected office or						
The state of the s					interest, and governmental ethics.	Total: 7 members at up to 2 consecutive terms of			Assistant to the City Manager				
The second secon						years	•Employ or be employed as a person required to register as a lobbyist with the City		Deputy City Attorney				
Part									City Clerk Analyst				
September 19 1 Septem									5 total positions				
Company Comp		2010	N/A	N/A			Members cannot: Yes	es		Yes	No	No	City Municipal Code
Part					complaints regarding non-compliance, and								
Column C													
March Marc					g		Endorse, support, oppose, or work on behalf of or against any candidate or measure in a City						
Part	Los Angeles	1990	FY23 - \$ 9 million		Impartial and effective administration and	• 1 - Appointed by Mayor		25	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Charter
## 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10							Hold any other public office		Deputy Executive Director		Alleged violations of state law the Charter and	Cease and desist	
Part				Ciccions.	campaign financing, contracts, developers,	• 1 - Appointed by President of the Council	Participate in or contribute to a City election campaign				City ordinances relating to campaign financing,	Require filings of reports, statements, other documents	
Manual Districts of the control of t						1 - Appointed by President Pro Tem of the Council			Directors				
Property that statement and the statement would and real plants are served to statement would be a statement of the statement would be a statement would						Total: 5 members at 1 term of 5 years			Secretary		also refer cases to another appropriate		
Market District Control of the Contr							Employ or be employed as a person required to register as a lobbyist with the City of Los		Program Managers		agency.		
Fig. 1. And The Control of the Contr							Angeles		Analysts				
Fig. 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10													
District results of the control of t									Auditors				
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO									Investigators				
Clase Colors 198 Pro 19 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4									Other Employees				
Clase Colors 198 Pro 19 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4									37 total positions				
Procession Pro													
Page 1 and 1													
Page 1 and 1	Oakland	1996	FY22 - \$1.4 million	Fairness onenness honesty and integrity in	Oversee compliance with campaign finance	• 3 - Appointed by Mayor	Members cannot:	n	Executive Director	Yes	Ves	Yes	City Charter
Seption Organization Organiz	Canana		,		reform, conflict of interest, lobbying, Brown	• 4 - Appointed by existing Commissioners from a							,
For the control of th									Commission Assistant			Monetary penalty/fine	
Segment of the control of the contro					City Councilmember compensation.	Total: 7 members at 1 consecutive term of 3 years			Enforcement Chief		audits, public hearings, issuing of subpoenas.		
Part David Communication Commu									Disclosure/Filing Officer				
Supplied the supplied of the supplied and files to produce of the supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied a							election		Education Analyst				
Supplied the supplied of the supplied and files to produce of the supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied the supplied and supplied a									laatianta				
Total protection of the protection and improvement of a control protection and improve													
Interpretation of the procession of the control procession of the cont													
Services of the County Commands of the County Commands and County Commands and County County and County Cou	Orange County (Campaign Finance and	2016 d	FY22 - \$469,000				Members cannot:	0	Executive Director		Yes	Yes	City Charter
Figure 1900 (District, the Cell for Collection, the Cell for Collection of the Cell for Cell					provisions of the County Campaign Reform				County Counsel				
Part						Total: 5 members at up to 2 consecutive terms of					prescribed duties.	Cease and desist violation	
Ludyids Variable						nd years							
Apergrapher times the air, as County in control of a minute. **Per Service County in the product of a minute. **Per Service County in the produc					,		Lobbyist					Perform remedial measures	
Streaments 2027 17.23 - 5880,000 To review and consister complicate against the continue of the complication of the continue of the continu												Monetary penalty	
** to a projection, or origination, or employes convenient to conditions for public effects or effected directal project and project of effects of directal forms for a relative form in employee directal forms for a relative form in employee directal forms for a relative form in employee and the form of the control of the form of the control of the form													
business with the County, or with any special business with the County, and with any Special business with the County and with any Special business with the County, and with a special business with a special business with the County, and with a special business with the County, and with a special business with the County, and with a special business with the County and with a special business with the County, and with a special business with the County and with a special business with a special business with a special business with a special bus													
New York Committee or an a County of the County of the Market New York County of the County of t													
scrimento 2017 FY 23 - \$188,000 To review and consider complaints against element and appointed city efficient (included and appointed city efficient to include and appointed city efficient effic							Have been, during the previous ten (10) years, employed the County, or with any Joint Powers						
Five served on a County Caraft Juny may serve for ten 10 years from the date of the Commission's entirement — Have proposed, sponsored for ten active establishing the Commission for ten 10 years from the date of the active interest and appointed civil efficial, to ensure these car years for Circles are conforming their conduct to the city's laws and policies. Five served and County Caraft Juny may serve for ten 10 years from the date of the Commission's active establishing the Commission's prescribed and appointed city efficial, to ensure these car years for Circles are conforming their conduct to the city's laws and policies. Five served and county Caraft Juny may serve for ten 10 years from the date of the Commission's Evaluation of the County of the American Served and County Caraft Juny may serve for ten 10 years from the date of the Commission's Upper for the date of the Commission's Upper for the date of the Commission's Upper for City Clay Attorney Five served and County Caraft Juny may serve for ten 10 years from the date of the Commission's Upper for the date of the Commission's Upper for the date of the County City Clay Attorney Five served and County Caraft Juny may serve for the County City Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Cla													
Sacramento 2017 To review and consider complaints against elected and appointed by complaints against elected and appointed by complaints aligning volutions of limitations, compaining their conduct to the city's laws and policies. In complaints aligning volutions of limitations, companies their conduct to the city's laws and policies. In complaints aligning volutions of limitations, companies their conduct to the city's laws and policies. In complaints aligning volutions of limitations, companies their conduct to the city's laws and policies. In complaints aligning volutions of limitations, companies and policies are proposed. proposed or proposed of the Appear of the Appear of the Appear of the Conduct of the City and policies. In complaints aligning volution of limitations, companies of the Conduct of the City and policies. In complaints aligning volution of limitations, companies of the City aligning volution of limitations, companies and policies are proposed. In conduct with the City aligning volution of limitations, companies and policies are proposed. In conduct with the City aligning volution of limitations, companies and policies are proposed. In conduct with the City aligning volution of limitations of the City deleted official and policies. In commission is a proposed. Specific and accordance of the City aligning volution of limitations of limitations of volution of limitations of volutions of limitations of volutions of limitations of volutions of limitations of volutions o							Have served on a County Grand Jury may serve for ten (10) years from the date of the						
Sacramento 2017 FY 23 - \$188,000 To review and consider complaints against elected and appointed city officials, 10 example the conduct to the city's laws and policies. The conduct of members at up to 2 consecutive terms of the con													
elected and appointed city officials, to ensure those city officials are conforming their conduct to the city's laws and policies. The commission's authority extends only to divide cited officials, candidates for city elected officials, and commissions, the city manager, the city commission, the city manager, the city city manager, the city commission, the city manager, the city commission, the city manager, the city city manager, the city city manager, the city city manager, the city to the commission, the city manager, the city city manager, the city to the commission, the city manager, the city city manager, the city to the city of the city to the city of the city o							(10) years from the date of this article's enactment.						
elected and appointed city officials, to ensure those city officials are conforming their conduct to the city's laws and policies their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of their conduct to the city's laws and policies of prescribed duties. The commission's authority extends only to city elected official, sandidates for roty elected official, sandidates for roty elected officials, and conduct to elected official, and conduct to elected official to ele	S	2017	EV 22 - 6100 000	**************************************	T	a F. Naminatad hasha S	Market and the second s	· ·	City Address	Voc	V	V	City Municipal Carla
their conduct to the city's laws and policies. campaign contribution limitations, campaign spending limitations, campaign s	sacramento	2017	F1 23 - \$188,000	elected and appointed city officials, to	complaints alleging violations of: limitations	of Employees Committee and appointed by the Mayor		:5		res	res	res	City Municipal Code
spending limits and public campaign financing, lobyring, governmental ethics, Sunshine Sepending limits and public campaign financing, lobyring, governmental ethics, Sunshine Sea registered as city lobybyring Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate for City elective office Contribute to or participate in any candidate for City elective office									City Clerk				
Ordinance, and conduct of members and Closed Session of the Council Rules of Procedures. The commission's authority extends only to city elected officials, candidates for city elected officials, candidates for city elected office, independent expenditure committees, members of boards and commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and				their conduct to the city's laws and policies.	spending limits and public campaign financin		Receive a non-competitive bid contract with the City		Independent Evaluator		prescribed daties.		
Closed Session of the Council Rules of Procedures. The commission's authority extends only to city elected officials, candidates for city elected officials, candidates for city elected officials, candidates for city elected office, independent expenditure committees, members of boards and committees, members of boards and commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city attorney, the city resourer, the city autoro, the independent bugget analyst, and													
The commission's authority extends only to city elected officials, candidates for city elected officials, candidates for city elected office, independent expenditure committees, members of boards and committees, members of boards and commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city attorney, the city attorney, the city reasurer, the city auditor, the independent bugget analyst, and					Closed Session of the Council Rules of		, sample and any occurrence						
city elected officials, candidates for city elected office, independent expenditure committees, members of boards and commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city tatorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and					Procedures.								
elected office, independent expenditure committees, members of boards and commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and													
commissions, the city manager, the city clerk, the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and					elected office, independent expenditure								
the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and						k.							
					the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city								
						nd							

ATTACHMENT A

San Diego	2001	FY23 - \$1.5 million	To preserve public confidence in our city	To monitor, administer, and enforce the City's	7 - Appointed by Mayor from a pool of nominees	Members cannot:	No	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Municipal Code
_			government through education, advice, and	d governmental ethics laws, propose new submitted by the City Council and City Attorn	submitted by the City Council and City Attorney							
			the prompt and fair enforcement of local	governmental ethics law reforms, conduct		Hold any other public office during their tenure or for 12 months after the	leave the	Clerical Assistant		violations of Governmental Ethics Laws,	Cease and desist	
			governmental ethics laws.		•Total: 7 members at up to 2 terms of 4 years	Commission				including referral to other enforcement	 Require filings of reports, statements, other documents 	
				enforcement agencies, audit disclosure	1	Contribute financially to a City election campaign		Investigator		agencies when appropriate.	required by law	
				statements, and advise and educate City		Participate in or contribute to an election campaign to support or oppose	a candidate for City				Monetary penalty	
				officials and the public about governmental		office • Participate in a campaign supporting or opposing a City ballot measure (exception made measure involving activities/authorities of the Commission)		Independent legal counsel			Public reprimand	
				ethics laws.			ception made to					
								Other employees				
Con Francisco	2002	FY23 - \$7.1 million	To practice and promote the highest	Impartial and effective administration and	1 - 1 - 8 1 - 8	Members cannot:	NI-	6 total positions Executive Director	Ves	V	V	City Charter
San Francisco	2002	F123 - \$7.1 IIIIIIIIII	standards of ethical behavior in government	implementation of the provisions of this	1 - Appointed by Mayor 1 - Appointed by Board of Supervisors	wembers cannot:	NO	Executive Director	res	res	res	City Charter
			and to promote a work environment that		1 - Appointed by Board of Supervisors 1 - Appointed by City Attorney	Hold a City, County, or political party office		Other Employees		Campaign statements and other relevant	Cease and desist	
			values health, wellness and diversity.	charter, statutes and ordinances	1 - Appointed by City Attorney 1 - Appointed by District Attorney	Be a registered lobbyist, campaign consultant		other Employees		documents and investigate alleged violation		
			values fieatti, weiffiess and diversity.	concerning campaign finance, lobbying,	• 1 - Assessor	Be employed by or receive gifts from registered lobbyist or campaign const	ultants	Legal Advisor		of state law, city charter, city ordinances	required by law	
				conflicts of interest and governmental	1 7535301	Be employed by the City or County	natures .	EEGGI NAVISOI		relating to roles and responsibilities and to	Monetary penalty	
				ethics.	Total: 5 members at 1 term of 6 years	Participate in any campaign support/opposing a candidate for City elective	e office, a City ballot	34 total positions		report the findings to the City Attorney. City		
					Total 5 members de 1 term of 6 years	measure, City officer	office, a city bundt	3-4 total positions		Attorney participation may also be required		
										during certain instances prior to the		
										Commission delivering findings to the City		
										Attorney.		
San Jose (Board of I		FY23 - \$40,000	N/A	To monitor compliance with the City's	5 - Appointed by no less than two-thirds of Counc	Il Members cannot:	No	City Clerk	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Municipal Code
Campaign and Polit	cal			campaign and ethics ordinances, which								
Practices)				includes: elections, campaign contributions,	Total: 5 members at a maximum of 2 terms of 4	Be employed by the City		City Attorney		Matters relating to the Commission's	 Issue public statement or reprimand 	
				gifts, revolving door restrictions, lobbying,	years	Have any direct or substantial financial interest in any business, work or or	fficial action taken			prescribed duties.	Require corrective action	
				income and time disclosure, concurrent and		by they City		Investigator - contracted case by case			Monetary penalty	
				prospective employments of Councilmembers	S	Hold any public office						
				or City/agency employees.		Publicly endorse any candidate for City office or engage in any political or	campaign activity on					
						behalf of any candidate for City office						
Santa Clara	2019	FY23 - \$0	N/A	To refine or establish policies and procedures	4 Councilmembers	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	No	No	Council Committee
(Governance and Et	hics			regarding City Council operations and general	1							
Committee)				good government practices, as well as the	Total: 4 members at terms unknown							
				further implementation of the City's Code of								
				Ethics and Values program. The Committee is								
				also responsible for reviewing requests for								
				facility naming and honorary recognitions.								
1												1

ATTACHMENT B

Memorandum



City of Long Beach Working Together to Serve

Office of the City Attorney

DATE:

January 13, 2021

To:

Thomas B. Modica, City Manager

FROM:

Amy R. Webber, Deputy City Attorney

SUBJECT:

Parameters of Ethics Commission Authority

In 2018, the Long Beach City Charter was amended by initiative ordinance to add Article 24, establishing a City Ethics Commission. The legislation gave the Commission the following specific powers and duties:

"Sec. 2402. Powers and Duties of the Ethics Commission.

The Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances concerning campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics.

The City Ethics Commission shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

- (a) to provide support to agencies and public officials in administering the provisions of the Charter and other laws relating to campaign finance, conflicts of interest, and government ethics;
- (b) to make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council concerning campaign finance reform, lobbying, governmental ethics and conflicts of interest and to report the Council concerning the effectiveness of these laws;
- (c) to assist departments in developing their conflict of interest codes as required by state law;
- (d) to advocate understanding of the Charter, City ordinances and the roles of elected and other public officials, City institutions and the City electoral process;
- (e) to develop an educational program to familiarize newly elected and appointed officers and employees, candidates for elective office and their campaign treasurers, and lobbyists with City, state and federal ethics laws and the importance of ethics to the public's confidence in municipal government; and
- (f) such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code."

Thomas B. Modica, City Manager January 13, 2021 Page 2

You have asked for an opinion on the current powers of the Ethics Commission; what additional powers could be created in the Municipal Code; and what powers would require future City Charter amendments to provide clarity and options for the Mayor and City Council to consider.

I. <u>Current Powers.</u>

When legislation was drafted creating the Ethics Commission, it envisioned that the Commission would act as a central policy-making body for City employees and officials. As can be seen from Section 2402, most of the powers listed involve increasing awareness of ethical responsibilities; supporting agencies which currently have enforcement authority, such as the District Attorney and the FPPC; and establishing clear City policy on compliance with current ethics laws and regulations. These powers are consistent with those of many ethics commissions in the state, such as those of Santa Clara and Berkeley.

However, there are also ethics commissions which have investigatory authority as well as enforcement powers, such as those in Los Angeles and San Diego. They have investigators and sizeable staffs, and are authorized to make findings regarding particular conduct, and may impose fines and discipline, if appropriate. These powers are not currently part of the Commission's duties and powers.

These issues were brought to prominence by the City Auditor's Ethics Audit. The Audit was prepared by Harvey Rose and Associates, a consultant to the Auditor's office. The Audit was presented to City management and this office, and many legal and practical issues were raised by both. The Audit was subsequently presented to the Ethics Commission.

II. Changes to Current Powers and Duties Which Require a Charter Amendment.

The City Charter currently includes provisions regarding the authority to impose discipline on City employees. To the extent the Ethics Commission seeks to establish powers in conflict with existing Charter authority, a Charter amendment approved by a vote of the people would be required. In addition, actions which could impose new discipline on employees represented by labor unions would require participation in a meet-and-confer process. The following City departments have Charter authority to investigate and impose discipline on affected employees. Moreover, within some departments, such as the Police and Fire Departments, there are additional state law requirements related to investigation of misconduct and discipline.

- City Council and Mayor (see Charter, Art. 2, especially sec. 207);
- City Attorney (see Charter Art. VI, especially sec. 603);
- City Prosecutor (see Charter Art. VII);
- City Auditor (see Charter Art. VIII)

• Civil Service (see Charter Art. XI, especially sec. 1101(b), affecting all classified City employees)

A. <u>Civil Service -- Employee Right of Appeal</u>

Pursuant to section 1100(g) of the City Charter, the Civil Service Commission has been delegated exclusive authority to adjudicate appeals involving employee discipline. Moreover, per section 1103 of the City Charter, no employee in the classified service can be suspended, discharged or reduced in classification for disciplinary reasons until the employee has been presented with the reasons for such action specifically stated in writing. Additionally, employees are afforded the right to appeal disciplinary action to the Civil Service Commission.

At the Appeal hearing, the Civil Service Commission is represented by the City Attorney's Office while the City is represented by outside counsel retained by the City Attorney's Office. The retention of outside counsel is to avoid a conflict of interest in having the City Attorney represent both the Civil Service Commission and the City at appeal hearings. After an appeal hearing is heard, per section 83 of the Civil Service Rules and Regulations, the Civil Service Commission may, at its discretion, either sustain, reduce, or deny the charges alleged against an employee. The decision of the Commission is final. Once the Commission has adopted a final decision, employees have the right to file a petition for judicial review of the Commission's decision within 90 days of the Commission adopting it's final decision.

The Ethics Commission has no authority to impose discipline upon employees. Thus, in order to grant the Ethics Commission more authority beyond making recommendations and vest final authority with the Ethics Commission to hear and adjudicate employee appeals of discipline, a Charter amendment would be required to divest the Civil Service Commission of this authority and vest it with the Ethics Commission.

III. Changes to Current Powers and Duties Which Could be Made by Municipal Code.

When Measure CCC was drafted, it was not possible to include all possible powers the City, its citizens and Ethics Commissioners might find appropriate or useful, so the language of the measure was very broad. It also included subsection 2402(f), which allows amendments "...for such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code." Essentially, this would permit duties and responsibilities not in conflict with a current Charter provision to be added to the Municipal Code.

If you have questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact us.

ARW:bg A20-05214 01228442.DOCX Thomas B. Modica, City Manager January 13, 2021 Page 4

cc: Charles Parkin, City Attorney
Michael J. Mais, Assistant City Attorney
Gary Anderson, Principal Deputy City Attorney
Rebecca Guzman Garner, Deputy City Manager
JT Nagayama, City Clerk Specialist