Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
REGULAR AGENDA	Theresa Hew	lthew1@yahoo.co m		Please adopt Final Map 1 as it unites our communities of interest, does not divide California Heights, and allows the airport to be in two districts most affected by airport activity.	11/18/2021
REGULAR AGENDA	Theresa Hew	lthew1@yahoo.co m		Please adopt Final Map 1 as it unites our communities of interest, does not divide California Heights, and allows the airport to be in two districts most affected by airport activity.	11/18/2021
REGULAR AGENDA	Birgit De La Torre	delatorre.birgit@v erizon.net		Despite a legal requirement to respect geographic factors, the maps attaches physically-disconnected Bixby Knolls/Cal. Heights CD 5.  You pitting two very different communities against each other. Please, consider voting on one of the previously proposed maps that keeps Cal. Heights/Bixby Knolls in a CD that is mostly on the westside of the airport.  Why push through this contorted district E? If you don't reconsider, please, explain why you think this CD E as currently proposed is a good idea and serves the citizens in that area best.  Sincerely, Birgit De La Torre  P.S. Please, keep in mind that some of us do not feel safe to come to the in person meeting during this pandemic.	11/18/2021
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council	Cheri Hawks	cheridvtek@gmail.		I am a long time resident and homeowner in Bluff Park. I am in complete disagreement with the current proposed maps. At a minimum we are asking for 0ne small change of moving Bluff Park alone into District C does not significantly impact the population, diversity, variance, expanse, or shape of any District, while helping balance our Community of Interest pertaining to the important aspects of its coastal historic districts. Without this it seems that our interests and voices have been ignored by the council. I am hoping you will give serious consideration.	11/18/2021

ItemNameE-mailAddressComment3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a ProposedTheresa HewIthew1@yahoo.co mPlease adopt Final Map 1 as it unites our communities of inter California Heights and keeps the airport in districts most affect Thank you.	rest, does not divide Received 11/18/2027
Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical	

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving	Cascia Lutz	lutzgo48@gmail.c	Audress	I am in favor of IRC Proposed Final Map 1. Please keep the communities of Bixby Knolls, California Heights and Los Cerritos together. As the westernmost communities in newly configured District 5, this bloc needs to stay together to give a unified voice for this area.	11/18/2021
IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and					
Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.					
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:	Reneddie Malucia	mazzarenette@g mail.com		Dear Commissioners,  Speaking on behalf of the North Long Beach Neighborhood Alliance the two elevated IRC maps move the southern border of Deforest Park Open Space	11/18/2021
(A) Adopt resolution approving				north to 59th so that all the Deforest Park Wetlands will be removed from the 9th	

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	Melvin Ramos	melvinramosbixby @gmail.com		I am a Latino and I am Gay. I live in Bluff Park as do lots of my brown and gay friends. We have melted into our community and have never had issues where we felt the need to be boxed in with any specific LBGTQ community. We participate in the life of our historic district, frequent Belmont Shore, and Naples, and run businesses in those areas. We fight to be a whole. Why are you trying to box us in and single us out? Bluff Park to stay a whole with its historic partners on the east side!!! And Gay and straight to be a whole too!	11/18/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	kathryn green	katgreen@aol.co		As suggested by Commissioner Beckenhaupt in the last meeting, please leave Bluff Park in Section C. I have lived in the Belmont Shore, Belmont Park, Naples, and Belmont Heights area for over thirty-five years. I currently own a home in Bluff Park and our family home is in Belmont Park. All of my shopping and entertainment is on the East side. I have worked with my coastal neighbors over the years on so many common interests including crime, litter, and quality of life. A few years back I was able get our field deputy to put up signs from Bayshore to Livingston on Broadway to prevent oversized business trucks from parking in front of my Mother's and her neighbors homes in Belmont Park. By friends in Naples and Belmont Shore and Belmont Heights helped to support our Lamp Post project here in Bluff Park. We are stronger together. I support with my Bluff Park neighbor's the letter submitted on Nov.16 to the Commission by the BPNA president. Thank you for your valued consideration.	11/18/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	Ketty Citterio	w_ild2000@yahoo .com		I am still in disbelieve that all the comments from the members of Bluff Park have not been considered at all by the commission. So many of us stood up to let you know we want to stay whole and together with the other historic neighborhoods we more easily identify with, and with which we have partnered up in a multitude of projects. We stand behind BPNA's letter to the Independent Redistricting Commission dated November 16, 2021 and concur with all it suggests.	11/17/2021

	In toportion		•		
Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation	Padric Gleason	padric.gleason@g		I WRITE IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED FINAL MAP 2. This map, amended over the	11/17/2021
to adopt one the following two	Gonzales	mail.com		course of several months and incorporating tens of hours of public testimony from all	
options to approve a Proposed				corners of the city, pulls off something remarkable- it creates balanced district	
Final Map as the Final Map:				populations in each district while also maintaining the territorial integrity of the vast	
				majority of established neighborhoods.	
(A) Adopt resolution approving					
IRC Proposed Final Map 1				I remind the commission that maintaining previous district lines should NOT be a	
establishing new City Council				priority because doing so would only perpetuate past harm from a heavily	
district boundaries; or				gerrymandered process. You were entrusted to wipe the slate clean and forge ahead	
				with a more equitable and democratic process.	
(B) Adopt resolution approving				With a more equitable and democratic process.	
IRC Proposed Final Map 2				Not everyone got what they wanted in this map- and that's a good thing! Everyone	
establishing new City Council				gave up a little, which means the city as a whole gained a lot. Please pass Proposed	
•					
district boundaries; and				Final Map 2.	
A dente final near at a miletonic at the					
Adopt a final report explaining the					
City Council district boundaries;					
and					
Authorize the City Clerk to make					
any necessary technical					
adjustments to implement the new	'				
City Council district boundaries.					

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	Birgit De La Torre	delatorre.birgit@v erizon.net	Address	"Los Cerritos and Bixby Knolls are two very distinct neighborhoods and Long Beach Blvd. is a natural dividing line between the two," a member of the LB Redistricting Commission said at the last meetring. But Bixby Knolls/Cal Heights and northeast Long Beach are NOT distinct deighborhoods and the airport is a natural dividing line between these neighborhoods.  Please, explain your logic, your motive for throwing together these very distinct areas od the city into one huge district. You spent MANY hours manipulating district boundaries in other parts of the city.	11/17/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	Corliss Lee	corlisslee@aol.co	Addiess	Both maps submitted as candidates for a final map are near identical and split CD5 (district E) in half, combining the eastside with the westside, which makes very little sense to those of us that live there. District 5 as a neighborhood and as a community have been together since 1991. Almost all of the maps submitted by the public kept the existing boundaries of CD5 in place. The consultant submitted 4 of 5 maps with those same boundaries indicating the public favored it. The eastside of Long Beach and the westside of Long Beach have very little in common. They have different problems and issues. Please do not approve either of the maps put forward for final vote. There is more work to be done to get this right. The first map moved forward as IRC Draft Map 1 with amendments (dividing an airport census block) solves the airport issues while keeping natural neighborhood boundaries in place. Please reconsider the earlier map that got it right for all of us.	11/16/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation to adopt one the following two options to approve a Proposed Final Map as the Final Map:  (A) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 1 establishing new City Council district boundaries; or  (B) Adopt resolution approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 establishing new City Council district boundaries; and  Adopt a final report explaining the City Council district boundaries; and  Authorize the City Clerk to make any necessary technical adjustments to implement the new City Council district boundaries.	C S Kolding	christiansvaneskol ding@gmail.com		We recently moved to Long Beach and now own a house in the Craftsman Village Historic District. We write to appeal to the Independent Redistricting Commission to place the Craftsman Village Historic District back into Council District 2 (located in area B on both of the latest maps under consideration from the November 10, 2021, Redistricting Meeting)  As one of the oldest historic districts in Long Beach, we believe the preservationist interests of the Craftsman Village are more in line with the neighboring historic districts adjacent to ours (Rose Park and Carroll Park, for starters) and with current talk of expanding the Craftsman Village Historic District further east, it makes even more sense that the Redistricting Commission take every effort to keep this historic district intact as far as voting districts are concerned.  Please note, our neighbors, AOC7 also offers their support to put our historic district back into Area B.  Thank You.	11/16/2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation	annica Nilsson	swedannica@aol.		Hi everyone,	11/15/2021
to adopt one the following two		com			
options to approve a Proposed				I have not been able to attend the meetings but have recently been able to read up on	
Final Map as the Final Map:				it. I wish we could do the meetings on Zoom so I could also make my voice heard. So I have to protest this way instead. I moved from Belmont Shore to Bluff Park for a	
(A) Adopt resolution approving				reason and I want these coastal communities to stay whole together. The historic	
IRC Proposed Final Map 1				district should not be broken up as proposed. I want to stay in district 3 or C as before.	
establishing new City Council				I am an immigrant and having my community stay together as I have gotten to know it	
district boundaries; or				is very, very important to me. Please make my comment official and hear me and	
				others out who also feel the same way.	
(B) Adopt resolution approving					
IRC Proposed Final Map 2					
establishing new City Council					
district boundaries; and					
Adopt a final report explaining the					
City Council district boundaries;					
and					
Authorize the City Clerk to make					
any necessary technical					
adjustments to implement the new	,				
City Council district boundaries.					

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-136RC) Recommendation	Wayne	wayneshima@yah		Dear Redistricting Commission Members,	11/13/2021
to adopt one the following two	Shimabukuro	oo.com			
options to approve a Proposed				I urge you to approve Option B approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 which includes	
Final Map as the Final Map:				the area between E. San Antonio Drive and Del Amo Boulevard between Atlantic	
				Avenue and Cherry Avenue within the boundary of District E. There are two	
(A) Adopt resolution approving				landmarks within our neighborhood (Bixby Knolls Shopping Center and Bixby Knolls	
IRC Proposed Final Map 1				Park) that are an integral part of Bixby Knolls' history and we have a tight knit	
establishing new City Council				community and large group of volunteers who coordinate and participate in trash	
district boundaries; or				pickup/collection events at Bixby Knolls Park and Bixby Knolls Shopping Center. We	
				value this neighborhood, it's history and the volunteer groups who provide assistance	
(B) Adopt resolution approving				with maintaining these landmarks by keeping these areas litter free.	
IRC Proposed Final Map 2					
establishing new City Council				Option B approving IRC Proposed Final Map 2 will also resolve our concerns about	
district boundaries; and				the expansion of District E from El Dorado Park to Rancho Los Cerritos. The distance	
				is too far reaching and we will lose our sense of community.	
Adopt a final report explaining the					
City Council district boundaries;					
and					
Authorize the City Clerk to make					
any necessary technical					
adjustments to implement the new					
City Council district boundaries.					