Date: March 10, 2009
To: Honorable Mayor Foster and Members of the City Council
From: Gary DeLong, Councilmember, Third District G)

Subject: Rescind Council Action R-25, February 3, 2009, and replace with Alternative Prop C allocation.

On February 3, 2009, the City Council voted to approve the Equal Total Allocation Alternative for Proposition 1B proceeds.

This item provides a third scenario that includes Proposition $C$ funds. The three scenarios are detailed in the attached Exhibit.

Suggested Action: I respectfully request that the City Council rescind the action taken on February 3, 2009, and approve the Alternative Prop C allocation scenario.

| Equal Allocations from CIP and Prop 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Source | Amount | Council District |  | RDA |  | CIP |  | Prop 1B | Total |  |
| RDA | \$ 4,699,000 | 1 |  | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 2,885,988.22 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | \$ - | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,385,988.22 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | \$ | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,385,988.22 |  |
| CIP | \$ 5,146,096 | 4 |  | \$ 185,000.00 | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,570,988.22 |  |
|  |  | 5 |  | \$ - | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,385,988.22 |  |
| Prop 1B | \$ 7,327,798 | 6 |  | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,885,988.22 |  |
|  |  | 7 |  | \$ - | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,385,988.22 |  |
|  | \$ 7,327,708 | 8 |  | \$ 2,514,000.00 | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 3,899,988.22 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  | \$ - | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | 814,199.78 | \$ 1,385,988.22 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 17,172,894 |  |  | \$ 4,699,000.00 | \$ | 5,146,096.00 | \$ | 7,327,798.00 | \$ 17,172,894.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equal Total Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Source | Amount | Council District |  | RDA |  | CIP |  | Prop 1B | Total |  |
| RDA | \$4,699,000 | 1 |  | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ | - - | \$ | 332,361.75 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | \$ | \$ | 833,013.72 | \$ | 999,348.03 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | \$ | \$ | 833,013.72 | \$ | 999,348.03 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
| CIP | \$5,146,096 | 4 |  | \$ 185,000.00 | \$ | 648,013.71 | \$ | 999,348.04 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
|  |  | 5 |  | - | \$ | 833,013.72 | \$ | 999,348.04 | \$ 1,832,361.76 |  |
| Prop 1B | \$7,327,798 | 6 |  | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ | 333,013.71 | \$ | 999,348.04 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
|  |  | 7 |  | \$ | \$ | 833,013.71 | \$ | 999,348.04 | \$ 1,832,361.75 |  |
|  |  | 8 |  | \$ 2,514,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | -- | \$ 2,514,000.00 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  | \$ | 833,013.71 | \$ | 999,348.03 | \$ 1,832,361.74 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$17,172,894 |  |  | \$ 4,699,000.00 | \$ | 5,146,096:00 | \$ | 7,327,798.00 | \$ 17,172,894.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative - Prop C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Source | Amount | Council District | Prop C | RDA |  | CIP |  | Prop 1B | Total | Rae vs. Existing |
| RDA | \$4,699,000 | 1 |  | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ | 285,894.22 | \$ | 407,099.89 | \$ 2,192,994.11 | \$ 360,632.36 |
|  |  | 2 |  | \$ | \$ | 777,426.12 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,863,025.82 | \$ 30,664.07 |
|  |  | 3 |  | \$ | \$ | 777,426.12 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,863,025.82 | \$ 30,664.07 |
| CIP | \$5,146,096 | 4 |  | \$ 185,000.00 | \$ | 592,426.12 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,863,025.82 | \$ 30,664.07 |
|  |  | 5 |  | - | \$ | 777,426.12 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,863,025.82 | \$ 30,664.06 |
| Prop 1B | \$7,327,798 | 6 |  | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ | 300,388.52 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,885,988.22 | \$ 53,626.47 |
|  |  | 7 |  | \$ | \$ | 777,426.12 | \$ | 1,085,599.70 | \$ 1,863,025.82 | \$ 30,664.07 |
| Prop C | \$1,300,000 | 8 | 1,300,000 | \$ 1,214,000.00 | \$ | 285,894.22 | \$ | 407,099.89 | \$ 3,206,994.11 | \$ 692,994.11 |
|  |  | 9 |  | \$ 1,300,000.00 | \$ | 571,788.44 | \$ | - - | \$ 1,871,788.44 | \$ 39,426.70 |
|  | \$18,472,894 |  | 1,300,000.00 | \$ 4,699,000.00 | \$ | 5,146,096.00 | \$ | 7,327,798.00 | \$ 18,472,894.00 | \$ 1,300,000.00 |

February 3, 2009

## HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL City of Long Beach California

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approve allocation of Proposition 1 B proceeds for each Council District. (Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

On November 11, 2008, Council adopted a list of street projects for funding with Proposition 1B bond proceeds and authorized staff to apply for release of the second round of funds estimated at $\$ 7,477,345$. These funds were to be made available on a first-come, first-served basis. On November 12, 2008, staff submitted the required application and on November 14, 2008, the State Department of Finance certified the completeness of staff's submittal. On December 15, 2008, funds in the amount of $\$ 7,327,798$ were received.

Concurrently, the State's budget crisis has compelled the City to implement a number of strategies to protect the City's budget from possible shortfalls resulting from the State's failure to pay anticipated revenues, particularly, Proposition 42 and Gas Tax payments. As a result, the City's residential street repair program, which is ninety percent funded by these two sources, was suspended until actual funds are received.

The receipt of the Proposition 1B proceeds allows the City to again proceed with its residential street repair program. However, staff seeks direction on the methodology to allocate these proceeds to each Council District. Until such allocation is determined, the amount of design and construction work by each Council District cannot be determined.

To assist in analysis, staff has prepared two scenarios, which Council may choose to consider in their deliberations. These scenarios are detailed on the attached Exhibit $A$.

This matter was reviewed by Deputy City Attorney Linda Trang on January 13, 2009 and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on January 13, 2009.

## SUSTAINABILITY

All streets rehabilitated with Proposition 1B funds will require that old asphalt material removed under these contracts be recycled into new asphalt or utilized as base material on other street projects. In addition, these streets will be resurfaced with asphalt containing up to 15 percent recycled material as well as recycled rubber from old tires.
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Finally, all projects will require the road base be made from recycled concrete, rock, sand, and asphalt, and that all concrete work include recycled waste ash, diverting these materials from our landfills.

## TIMING CONSIDERATIONS

City Council action on this matter is requested on February 3, 2009, in order to allow staff to promptly proceed with residential street design and construction efforts.

## FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact. Proposition 1B funds are currently appropriated in the Capital Improvement Program in the Department of Public Works.

SUGGESTED ACTION:


MPC:bs
Attachment - Exhibit A

APPROVED:



