

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
1. 21-1241 Recommendation to adopt resolution to communicate to the State of California Department of Healthcare Services the City's full support of Molina Healthcare of California's bid to continue providing healthcare to Medi-Cal recipients in the County of Los Angeles as the Primary Contracted Commercial Plan. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		Molina has terrible insurance coverage and it is a conflict of interest for City Council to encourage this when the Molina family donates thousands to Mayor Garcia	12/7/2021
1. 21-1241 Recommendation to adopt resolution to communicate to the State of California Department of Healthcare Services the City's full support of Molina Healthcare of California's bid to continue providing healthcare to Medi-Cal recipients in the County of Los Angeles as the Primary Contracted Commercial Plan. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support	12/3/2021
6. 21-1246 Recommendation to authorize City Attorney to pay sum of \$120,000, in full settlement of lawsuit entitled Executive Residences, LLC v. City of Long Beach, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC688376.	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		What does the City Attorney do when he uses outside law firms and keeps settling cases?	12/7/2021
14. 21-1254 Recommendation to approve the 2022 Office of Sustainability Work Plan. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		The climate crisis is, of course, a crisis. With wildfires raining ash on our city from hundreds of miles away and heat waves killing off the elderly in their own homes, this Council takes an occasional vote to maybe proceed with one small action after another. Plant some trees, then lock in a natural gas pipeline, then build a dog park, but approve a riverside parking lot... Time and again, green economy and carbon transition initiatives are afterthoughts or they're farmed out to the Sustainability Commission or some obscure department. The Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) website still says "Final adoption is anticipated Fall 2021," which reflects the urgency with which this Council and the City government at large is acting- which is to say, not acting swiftly at all. Do better. Take meaningful action. Demand results. Invest in renewables. Redesign roads to prioritize active transportation. Take action. The climate is not waiting.	12/6/2021
17. 21-1257 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend contracts with various Pacific Gateway Workforce Innovation Network service and product providers for training and employment services to residents, to increase the total amount by \$450,000, for a revised total aggregate amount not to exceed \$5,855,712, and to extend the contracts term through December 31, 2022, with an option to renew for one additional one-year period, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		How many jobs has Pacific Gateway helped people to get? They don't even use Zoom for interviews?	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
19. 21-1259 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary documents including any subsequent amendments, with Engineered Mechanical Services, Inc., of Escondido, CA, for rental and maintenance of a temporary HVAC chiller, in a total annual amount not to exceed \$150,000, for a period of two years, with the option to renew for three additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Cold-storage supply chains are increasingly going to rely on microgrids and mobile charging, fyi.	12/3/2021
20. 21-1260 Recommendation to refer to a Hearing Officer the business license revocation appeal by SOCAL Equity Holdings, LLC, located at 214-216 Atlantic Avenue, Long Beach, for business license number BU21903666. (District 2)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support - refer it to a Hearing Officer.	12/3/2021
22. 21-1262 Recommendation to receive and file annual reports on Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 - Douglas Park Commercial Area (CFD No. 2007-1); Community Facilities District No. 2007-2 - Belmont Shore (CFD No. 2007-2); Community Facilities District No. 5 - Long Beach Towne Center (CFD No. 5); Community Facilities District No. 6 - Pike Public Improvements (CFD No. 6); and, Community Facilities District No. 2011-1 Douglas Park - North of Cover Street Area (CFD No. 2011-1), to comply with the Local Agency Special Tax Bond and Accountability Act. (Districts 2,3,5)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support. What would it mean to apply for climate certified bonds for redevelopment projects in these districts, especially where co-located with areas of change in the redistricting map? See: https://calmatters.org/commentary/2021/11/californias-climate-accomplishment-missed-at-cop26/	12/3/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
24. 21-1264 Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. ITB FS21-093 and award a contract to Enterprise Rent A Car Company of Los Angeles, LLC, of Orange, CA, for the purchase of used cars, in a total annual amount not to exceed \$500,000, for a period of two years, with the option to renew for three additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into the contract including any necessary amendments. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		Every time you purchase non-electric vehicles, you lock in several more years of gasoline costs, carbon emissions, and ground-level toxic air. If and when you want to take bold climate action, you will deny these expenses and instead spend this \$500,000 on green infrastructure that actually transitions us to a new economy. We can't wait for someone else to phase out fossil fuels or solve the climate crisis. We have to begin taking action today, which means declining these decisions that we know will cause harm to the environment, but in which we pretend we don't have a choice. By passing this motion, you are affirmatively voting in favor of carbon emissions. Use our budget more wisely. Reject these unnecessary purchases.	12/6/2021
25. 21-1265 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, with Lenco Industries, Inc., of Pittsfield, MA, for the purchase of a BearCat armored tactical vehicle, with related equipment and accessories, on the same terms and conditions afforded to the San Diego Unified Port District, in an amount not to exceed \$349,583, including taxes and fees. (Citywide)	Alyssa Bishop	alyssabishopyoga@gmail.com		From Tongva Land I oppose agenda item 25. We do not need or want this new SWAT van. There was nothing wrong with the SWAT van used July 2020 that closed in peaceful protestors off Pine to shoot rubber bullets at them. The money could be sent to aid the children who were detained at the long beach convention center to help them be reunified with their families. Instead, these children where sent to the Pomona Child Detention Center that has a higher holding capacity. This city is playing out the next phase of the genocide of this land. What was once the boarding schools is now child detention centers. The city made more money off the Child Detention center than the city normally makes off the Convention Center. So a 'sanctuary city' is now buddy buddy with homeland security? The story line is crystal clear. The people want the police defunded while the city takes money feeding the war machine to control the masses. Please vote in opposition of agenda item 25 for future generations.	12/7/2021
25. 21-1265 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, with Lenco Industries, Inc., of Pittsfield, MA, for the purchase of a BearCat armored tactical vehicle, with related equipment and accessories, on the same terms and conditions afforded to the San	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		Not two years after a Long Beach police officer was photographed pointing a gun in the face of a toddler, you're being asked to provision an 18,000-lb military weapon which will be used by our civilian police. \$349,000 that should be spent on education or mental health or community-building, but you're purchasing a weaponized toy for the police. Shame on this council for participating in the military-industrial complex and for promoting violence with this purchase.	12/6/2021
25. 21-1265 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, with Lenco Industries, Inc., of Pittsfield, MA, for the purchase of a BearCat armored tactical vehicle, with related equipment and accessories, on the same terms and conditions afforded to the San Diego Unified Port District, in an amount not to exceed \$349,583, including taxes and fees. (Citywide)	Jacquelyn Viale	vialegirl@gmail.com		I don't think we should be investing this kind of money in an armored tactical vehicle at this time. It does not seem to me to be the budgeting direction we should be moving in. This would seem to indicate that we are just going to start responding like the military when citizens take part in protests.	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>25. 21-1265 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, with Lenco Industries, Inc., of Pittsfield, MA, for the purchase of a BearCat armored tactical vehicle, with related equipment and accessories, on the same terms and conditions afforded to the San Diego Unified Port District, in an amount not to exceed \$349,583, including taxes and fees. (Citywide)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		That is a serious machine!	12/3/2021
<p>26. 21-1266 Recommendation to receive and file an extension to Contract No. 35506 with PeopleSolutions Healthcare Staffing, Inc., of Long Beach, CA, to provide temporary medical staffing to support public health needs citywide, and extend the term of the contract to March 11, 2022, with the option to renew for two additional six-month periods at the discretion of the City Manager, made pursuant to Chapters 2.69 and 2.85 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (LBMC) and in response to the proclaimed emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic; and</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 35506 with PeopleSolutions Healthcare Staffing, Inc., of Long Beach, CA, to provide temporary medical staffing to support public health needs citywide, and extend the term of the contract to March 11, 2022, with the option to renew for two additional six-month periods, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)</p>	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		Who else was allowed to bid for this contract?	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
27. 21-1267 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 35819 with The Nonprofit Partnership (TNP), for collaboration support for the implementation of All Children Thrive Long Beach, to extend the term through November 30, 2021. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		As long as all the Office of Equity considerations from April are satisfied, please support.	12/3/2021
28. 21-1268 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to submit a grant application to the California Department of Parks and Recreation's Office of Grants and Local Services through its Outdoor Equity Grant program, in an amount not to exceed \$175,000, to support the Adventures in Outdoor Education and Exploration Program; and, if awarded, execute a grant agreement, and any documents necessary including subsequent amendments, to accept and expend the funds. (District 6)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		I support this motion because ALL residents deserve access to outdoor activities and environmental education. Please note that this \$175,000 three-year social program expense is HALF the cost of one armored vehicle (see Agenda Item #25). When you CHOOSE to spend more on military equipment than you spend on childhood education, it demonstrates your callousness toward residents and an unseriousness about the problems in our city. Support this motion.	12/6/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	David Clement	teamdrclment@gmail.com		Yes we implore our city staff to help us solve this important issue. As tax-paying, law abiding residents, we use Bixby Park for recreation and expect a clean and safe experience for our families. Unfortunately, Bixby has been overrun by mentally unstable, drug-addicted people who use our bathrooms as a place to take drugs, sleep, conduct business, such as prostitution and drug dealing, with no repercussions. We are sick and tired of dealing with this issue; sometimes situations become outright dangerous. Thank you in advance for tending to this blight in our beautiful park. Regards, David Clement	12/7/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Melvin Ramos	melvinramosbixby@gmail.com		Bixby Park bathrooms were closed 8 months ago. Now we need another 6 months to figure out what is best. Most public restrooms around the world have a full time janitor on site. Let's follow suit. We had a plan to give jobs to homeless people, here's their chance. We already have the facilities in place so the cost would be minimum compared to self-cleaning toilettes or fancy cameras. Friends of Bixby Park was doing a great job with their ambassadors keeping an eye on the illegal activities around the stalls and so did the Park Rangers. Unfortunately both group could not be there all the time. Having a janitor monitoring them full time will absolutely make a difference. Puzzling why the park attendants already on site could not oversee the restrooms themselves and be the solution. Personally I like them closed as I now walk my daughter to the playground worry-free, but I also understand the need for them to be open, so, please just hire a full time janitor and open them right away.	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Jennifer Bo	jenniferodaymd@gmail.com		My family and I would like to see full time bathroom attendants at Bixby park. Flash cams and self cleaning toilets will not deter homeless and drug users from camping out in our park's restrooms. Thank you, Bluff Park homeowner for the past 12 years.	12/7/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Ketty Citterio	w_ild2000@yahoo.com		The Friends of Bixby Park have been asking for years for a solution to this problem. Bixby Park stalls were persistently unsanitary and dangerous, used for drugs and as living quarters. Needles, fecal matters on walls, and soiled clothes were a constant in every stall. Police were there daily. When the bathrooms got shut down, the park safety notably improved. But public toilette are needed. Having full time attendants would be a win win if they were hired from the homeless task force. We would have monitored and clean bathroom and give disadvantaged people a chance to get back on their feet. Self cleaning bathrooms are also a good idea, but looking at other cities pilots, they are expensive and still require monitoring as well as technical upkeep. We find the flash cam suggestion useless, as nobody fears cameras anymore, especially with masks. The 3 proposals have been piloted already in numerous cities, maybe we can learn from them and figure it out in less than 180 days? Thank you.	12/7/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Diana Jones	hrhdiana2000@yahoo.com		In a normal household, necessities are handled before frivolities. The city needs to stop spending our hard-earned tax dollars on parties and celebrations and Queen Mary debacles instead of caring about the basics. Clean facilities are a necessity. Do your job.!	12/7/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		Very disappointed that Cindy Allen and her staff did not reach out to District 2 residents. Bixby Park has bathrooms closed because the drug addicts were smearing feces all over the walls and the bathrooms were filthy. Please consider park rangers in all park and start enforcing the no camping ordinance at the parks. We should not have homeless encampments in parks or beaches. You job is to provide safety and services to the residents of Long Beach, not to encourage drug activity and dirty parks.	12/7/2021
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Kate Sachnoff	ksachnoff6@gmail.com		Clean, accessible and safe restrooms are essential to activating our parks and making all the benefits of green space and exercise truly available to residents. The Long Beach Alliance for Food and Fitness supports making improvements.	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
32. 21-1272 Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with all appropriate departments to report back within 180 days on piloting an innovative citywide Clean Parks restroom facilities initiative to address resident concerns and improve the cleanliness, accessibility, and use standards of our public park restroom facilities.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>Ok...</p> <p>For all the time and effort and monies spent on things like so-called "clean teams" in this city, whether by the City itself or the BIDs... We know an immediate solution, for now and after 180 days: just hire more people in Park Services to be physically present on site at whichever bathroom facilities in whichever Parks are 24 hours.</p> <p>Before I hear about any techno solution, I want to hear a jobs solution first.</p> <p>Investment in having at least one person per site during night hours, with the keys to lock/unlock, as well as ... um, hello, cleaning up those facilities in the first place... pay your custodial staff fairly to work more often and hire more there too... hire Park Services folks trained to radio to appropriate referral on live incidents - more mileage per dollar, so to speak.</p> <p>I use a Port example deliberately: if Port of LB has to run 24 hours, and the shipping backlog continues before BIF/BBB monies are useful, we are going to see more 24 hour type problems.</p>	12/6/2021
33. 21-1273 Recommendation to increase appropriations in the General Fund Group in the City Manager Department by \$1,500, offset by \$1,500 of Ninth Council District One-time District Priority Funds transferred from the Citywide Activities Department to provide a donation to Long Beach Blues Society, in the amount of \$500; Kahlo Creative LLC, in the amount of \$1,000; and Decrease appropriations in the General Fund Group in the Citywide Activities Department by \$1,500 to offset a transfer to the City Manager Department.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support.	12/3/2021
35. 21-1275 Recommendation to direct City Attorney to prepare a resolution to declare an Inclusive Language Policy for all future Long Beach Municipal Code ordinances presented to the City Council, as well as for future City Council Policies and ballot proposals for City Charter amendments; and Direct City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code to state that all gender references in the Code shall be considered neutral and inclusive in form and context. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		I support this motion.	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Corliss Lee	corlisslee@aol.com		Landlords are the housing providers for rental properties in our City and as such provide a valuable service. Older properties need periodic renovation. I'm not sure why we would want to discourage that. I don't agree that it is fair to create a new department and ask property owners to pay for their services. There seems to be an underlying attitude in our city staff and council that landlords are selfish evil people interested only in profits. It is a business. Good renters are valued. While renters deserve protection from unjust treatment, they also need to hold up their end of the agreement to keep properties clean and in good shape (beyond normal wear and tear). I urge you to be fair to landlords and vote against this ordinance. Also recognize that costs get passed on to renters. This ordinance does not help keep the cost of rental housing down.	12/7/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Zubair Ahmad	zack@tobarealtors.com		This is an assault on property owner's rights. And another boondoggle for for the city council to make the Property Owner pay 2M for them to get votes for Tenants. It's another form of buying votes. Once a 2 Million dollar department is erected it will never be closed. The City council will increase the amount it charges poor small family landlords (Property Owners) each year to keep that department open and staffed. Make more useless laws so the City Council can continue to get free votes from the Tenants. And what value will the Property Owner receive from this department? Nothing! Stop the madness. We don't need this local law in this case. A law already exists at the state-level. This ordinance will just mean that Property Owners will stop investing into their properties and we will end up with dilapidated building and homes in our neighborhoods. Leading to increased crime and filth. Enough is Enough!	12/7/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Hope Bustamante	hopehadfield@yahoo.com		<p>Hello, members of the City Council.</p> <p>My name is Hope Bustamante, and I live in Long Beach. I am a property owner, realtor and former small business owner here in Long Beach, and I oppose item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and should remain a just cause for eviction. We do not need to pay for a department that reviews tenant habitability. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety. We encourage you not to change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach as they are.</p> <p>I am been investing in small apartment buildings here since 1998 and take pride in the high quality of all of my units, which provide pride of ownership and safe & comfortable housing for my tenants.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Susan Darcy	obispoapartments@gmail.com		<p>I am a property owner here in Long Beach, and I OPPOSE item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and they are not happening in Long Beach. On the one hand you have the PRHIP which "was created to maintain livability standards, protect against blight." And now you want landlords to pay for another department that reviews tenant habitability to make sure property owners aren't upgrading their properties too much. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety. We encourage you not to change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach as they are. Thank you, Susan Darcy</p>	12/7/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Diana Jones	hrhdiana2000@yahoo.com		<p>Enough is enough. Isn't it egregious enough that you have deprived housing providers rents for almost two years. How about donating your salaries to the cause.? Tying their hands to rid bad tenants only harms the good tenants and the rest of the city. Stop penalizing housing providers.!</p>	12/7/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Timothy Schugt	tshookie17@yahoo.com		<p>Stop trying to control the use of private property. Allow the moratorium to expire as it should. Property owners should not be forced to endure the hardship of additional time/cost for renovations due to this ordinance.</p>	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Martin Kovalsky	martykovalsky@gmail.com		<p>This is an unnecessary burden addressing a problem that does not exist. It is a limited form of rent control adding a burden to landlords who want to renovate their property. How many complaints of tenants whose property has been renovated has the city received. One would think the city would want many of its 1930's units renovated rather than left in a poor older condition. When the state has expanded landlords ability to renovate and add more units to ease the housing crisis, this proposed law creates more barriers and unnecessary bureaucracy to deter renovations. It is as if the city wants to prevent renovations by creating a \$2,000,000 bureaucracy and charging landlords the cost to boot. It is a bad idea and a limited for. Of rent control that Long Beach residents rejected. Listen to your constituents and do not do this</p>	12/6/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Annie Sjoberg-Kunkle	annies133@verizon.net		<p>We own one single condo unit in Belmont shore and have one tenant. We have been wanting to renovate our property & evict our tenant so that we can move in a family member. (not one that fits your criteria) Under the current ordinance we were told that The "Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies" law would prohibit us from substantially improving our property for the purpose of moving in a new tenant. We feel unjustly punished by this law seeing our tenant has a job and pays her rent. We feel like we should not have our hands tied anymore due to Covid related Codes. This property is our only rental and if we can't fix it up and use it for our family then we would rather sell it and not be landlords anymore. As you know with the price of property, it's likely that no one will be able to buy it with the purpose of renting it out and make a profit. Please untie the hands of landlords in our city. Let us have the freedom to use our property as we see fit. Thank you. Annie Kunkle</p>	12/6/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Rae Gabelich	hoorae1@aol.com		<p>The workshop and survey participants totaled less than 100! If over 50% of our residents are renters that is far less than 1% of this segment of our population. Please do not support this effort to create yet another layer of bureaucracy that your property owners will have to maneuver to improve their investments. This effort began as a movement to support our lack of affordable housing, but will soon turn into a citywide nightmare if these measures are adopted. Send it back.....tell them to tone it down and realize that supporting the property owners that are willing to take on debt to improve their buildings are the ones who will keep Long Beach as a desirable community to live in. We also do not need a new department that will demand financial support from the general fund when we are facing years of budget deficits!</p>	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Gail Jones	gail@jonesindustry.com		"Hello, members of the City Council. My name is Clifton Jones and I live in Long Beach. I am a property here in Long Beach, and I oppose item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and they are not happening in Long Beach. We do not need to pay for a department that reviews tenant habitability. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety. We encourage you not to change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach as they are. Thank you"	12/6/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Nick Ano	beachliving@live.com		<p>Hello, My name is Nick and I am part of the Belmont Shore community. Please vote against Item 36.</p> <p>As a property owner here in Long Beach, I oppose item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and they are not happening in Long Beach. We do not need to pay for a department that reviews tenant habitability. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety.</p> <p>Please do NOT change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach. Thank you</p>	12/6/2021
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Marvin Badawi	marvinbadawi@gmail.com		<p>Hello, members of the City Council. My name is Marvin Badawi, and I live in Long Beach. I am a property owner and realtor here in Long Beach, and I oppose item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and they are not happening in Long Beach. We do not need to pay for a department that reviews tenant habitability. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety. We encourage you not to change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach as they are. Thank you</p>	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>36. 21-1276 Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare an ordinance amending Chapter 8.99 of the Long Beach Municipal Code, Just Cause for Termination of Tenancies, to include the modifications included in Option Two proposed herein; and</p> <p>Adopt ordinance amending Chapter 8.102 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to extend the prohibition on termination of certain lawful residential tenancies described therein through February 28, 2022, declaring the urgency thereof, and declaring that the Ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)</p>	Juan Carlos Aguirre	jcaguirre2011@gmail.com		<p>Hello, members of the City Council. My name is Juan Carlos, and I live in Long Beach. I am a property owner here in Long Beach, and I oppose item 36, the substantial remodel item before you. Evictions due to substantial remodel are not a problem, and they are not happening in Long Beach. We do not need to pay for a department that reviews tenant habitability. In fact, disincentivizing renovations decreases habitability in a town where most housing stock is from the 1930s. Some housing desperately needs to be improved for tenants' health and safety. We encourage you not to change the substantial remodel procedures in Long Beach as they are. Thank you</p>	12/6/2021
<p>37. 21-1277 Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. RFP ED20-041 for the development of exempt surplus City-owned property commonly known as the former Long Beach Armory, located at 854 East 7th Street, Assessor Parcel Number 7274-019-900 (Subject Property);</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary, including a Purchase and Sale Agreement (PSA) with Gundry Partners, LP, doing business as Howard CDM, of Long Beach, CA, or affiliate (Buyer/Developer), for the sale of the Subject Property in the amount of \$5,150,000;</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to negotiate and execute a 55-year Residual Receipts Loan Agreement in the amount of \$5,150,000 to Buyer/Developer for the purchase of the Subject Property for the purpose of developing affordable housing; and</p> <p>Accept and approve categorical exemption CE 21-147. (District 1)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>OPPOSE.</p> <p>Should be re-envisioned in light of what we know about climate, jobs, and housing.</p> <p>Is Gundry Partners, LP, doing business as Howard COM, or affiliate, prepared to reach or convert to a Title 24 CEC building standard for redevelopment of the site and synergistic integration with Gumbiner park?</p> <p>Is Gundry Partners LB is also good taking on all of the climate vulnerabilities and risks to the site, based on the City's own role in climate change-forcing activities?</p> <p>Does the City of Long Beach expect the operational life of the repurposed building in 55 year lease to have any discernible effect on State/County housing affordability needs?</p> <p>Every. single. unit. in. the. old. Armory. building. should. be. affordable. housing. for. Veterans.</p> <p>The mania for replacing social infrastructure, public infrastructure, with these Frankenfish privatized models ...</p> <p>How does that parcel and its land use fit into the next 100 years of the City, and a climate stabilized future?</p>	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
38. 21-1278 Recommendation to adopt resolution redeclaring a shelter crisis, suspending applicable provisions of local law, including those contained in the City's Zoning Ordinances of the Long Beach Municipal Code and regulations; and, authorizing the operation of a Winter Shelter for the period of December 1, 2021 through March 31, 2022; and Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Lease Agreement, including any necessary documents and subsequent amendments, with the Volunteers of America Los Angeles, for approximately 5,400 rentable square feet of space at 1718-1722 Hayes Avenue, for use as a Winter Shelter. (District 1)	Jacquelyn Viale	vialegirl@gmail.com		I support this and hope that we can have more year-round housing and shelter for emergency situations. This seems like something that is becoming more and more necessary.	12/6/2021
38. 21-1278 Recommendation to adopt resolution redeclaring a shelter crisis, suspending applicable provisions of local law, including those contained in the City's Zoning Ordinances of the Long Beach Municipal Code and regulations; and, authorizing the operation of a Winter Shelter for the period of December 1, 2021 through March 31, 2022; and Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Lease Agreement, including any necessary documents and subsequent amendments, with the Volunteers of America Los Angeles, for approximately 5,400 rentable square feet of space at 1718-1722 Hayes Avenue, for use as a Winter Shelter. (District 1)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support. It's a good use of the property before redevelopment. It also should be said that this adaptive reuse of an industrial facility, where the lessee will accept the property in an as-is condition and release the City from all liability, and also be responsible for utilities and incidental costs for the Leased Premises --> this is a clear example of where having a Community Choice Aggregation program already in place could help reduce the utility burden, energy inefficiencies, and pathing of converting from industrial to emergency shelter to affordable housing use types as those incur different loads in utilities usage. Compare what some of the jurisdictions in the State, facing surges in shelter populations, have been able to do with their land uses - we just had the SCAG econ conference on Friday. Okay, Dave is going to say all that this week, sure - but right now, this winter, please consider how much this shelter is a public good, and please do support. Thank you	12/6/2021
39. 21-1279 Recommendation to receive and file a report on the feasibility of a Long Beach Community Choice Aggregation. (Citywide)	Sona Coffee	sonacoffee@gmail.com		I appreciate the Council requesting this item and bringing the information for review. Instead of simply suspending review or participation of CCA formation, please direct staff to be active participants in the Clean Power Alliance and CalCCA to get different perspectives on launching a CCA and the benefits of bringing renewable energy to Long Beach. Especially as Long Beach is looking for alternative revenue to phase out dependence on fossil fuels, a CCA program may be a good fit for the city in the future. it was announced yesterday that a Clean Energy Project Revenue Bond in northern CA successfully generated nearly \$1.5 billion in proceeds for 3 participating CCAs. There is tremendous potential that would benefit Long Beach if the right staff and leadership are in place. Please direct Economic Development or CM office staff to further explore this. Thank you.	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
39. 21-1279 Recommendation to receive and file a report on the feasibility of a Long Beach Community Choice Aggregation. (Citywide)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		By deferring community outreach, City Staff and MRW & Associates ignored your explicit instruction to undertake community outreach. And then they chose sides with an existing monopoly and are recommending that you deny choice to the residents of Long Beach. Vote in favor of a CCA option for Long Beach residents- if residents want to pay more to participate in a clean energy consortium, let them. That's capitalism! This Council should not preserve a monopoly while also citing monopolistic pricing as a reason for preserving the monopoly. It will be impossible for CCAs to compete if they're not given the same market access enjoyed by the incumbent, which is SCE. And it's also not appropriate for City Staff to cite SCE strategy in this recommendation. SCE has a direct interest in blocking competition, so why are we consulting them in this decision? SCE should be forced to compete for customers in Long Beach, which will lower prices for everyone. Stop perpetuating anticompetitive practices.	12/6/2021
39. 21-1279 Recommendation to receive and file a report on the feasibility of a Long Beach Community Choice Aggregation. (Citywide)	Jacquelyn Viale	vialegirl@gmail.com		I feel that it is critical that we move forward in the most proactive way to make Long Beach reliant on 100% renewable energy. The resources must be community-controlled in a way that makes it most beneficial to residents, and if that means Community Choice Aggregation, then we should be doing that. There is really no alternative to moving forward in the most aggressive manner when our entire environment is at risk of imminent self-destruction. While companies continue to release toxic chemicals into public waterways and air, regardless of this crisis in which we find ourselves, and while energy companies are moving so slowly to refurbish the grid and make alternative energies available, it behooves us to move forward with urgency. Please establish whatever municipal mechanisms are required to move forward with clean, reliable, renewable energy for Long Beach with community control.	12/6/2021
39. 21-1279 Recommendation to receive and file a report on the feasibility of a Long Beach Community Choice Aggregation. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Thank you for agendizing this receive and file. I do intend to provide public comment. Dave Shukla	12/6/2021
41. 21-1281 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and all necessary documents including subsequent amendments, including amending the contract amount, with Los Angeles County, to receive and expend up to \$5,236,895 of Measure H funding, to implement Homeless Initiative strategies adopted by the County Board of Commissioners to combat homelessness in Los Angeles County, for the estimated period of November 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, with the option to renew for four additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)	Janet West	jayjay76511@verizon.net		I object to this agenda item. 1) There is no public disclosure on how much, to what organizations, and in what way the funds will be spent to incorporate "the Equity Toolkit and strategies outlined in the Everyone Home Long Beach plan into this recommendation as requested by City Council" 2) Full authority is being given to the City Manager instead of being retained by the City Council and the public. Janet West	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
41. 21-1281 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and all necessary documents including subsequent amendments, including amending the contract amount, with Los Angeles County, to receive and expend up to \$5,236,895 of Measure H funding, to implement Homeless Initiative strategies adopted by the County Board of Commissioners to combat homelessness in Los Angeles County, for the estimated period of November 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, with the option to renew for four additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com		Why isn't the Mulit Service Center open 7 days a week? Why is there not an 800 number for homeless services like LA County? Where has the millions gone to combat homelessness?	12/7/2021
41. 21-1281 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and all necessary documents including subsequent amendments, including amending the contract amount, with Los Angeles County, to receive and expend up to \$5,236,895 of Measure H funding, to implement Homeless Initiative strategies adopted by the County Board of Commissioners to combat homelessness in Los Angeles County, for the estimated period of November 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, with the option to renew for four additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager. (Citywide)	Annie Sjoberg-Kunkle	annies133@verizon.net		How much more money will you throw at this problem? What "strategies" are you implementing here for \$5,236,895? What does this money do to combat drug abuse and mental illness? THAT is our homeless problem.	12/6/2021
44. 21-1284 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to submit a grant application to the State of California, Division of Boating and Waterways, for the Local Assistance Boat Launching Facility grant program for funding up to \$5,990,078 for projects at the Davies Launch Ramp. (District 3)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Hello. I would like any Councilmember who is interested in facilities conversion to ask about Microgrids. What microgrid set-up, if any, is being created, or proposed, for this Boat Launching Facility? Thanking you, Dave Shukla CD3	12/7/2021
45. 21-1285 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, to accept grant funds from the California Department of Parks and Recreation (California State Parks) in an amount not to exceed \$12,050,000, for capital improvements at Bixby, El Dorado, MacArthur, and Ramona Parks. (Districts 2,5,6,9)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support.	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
45. 21-1285 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, to accept grant funds from the California Department of Parks and Recreation (California State Parks) in an amount not to exceed \$12,050,000, for capital improvements at Bixby, El Dorado, MacArthur, and Ramona Parks. (Districts 2,5,6,9)	Diana Jones	hrhdiana2000@yahoo.com		This city keeps talking about equity but doing nothing about it. No money should be extended on improving Eastside Parks until the west side has a reasonable amount of Park acreage equal to that of the East.	12/7/2021
45. 21-1285 Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute any necessary documents including subsequent amendments, to accept grant funds from the California Department of Parks and Recreation (California State Parks) in an amount not to exceed \$12,050,000, for capital improvements at Bixby, El Dorado, MacArthur, and Ramona Parks. (Districts 2,5,6,9)	Rae Gabelich	hoorae1@aol.com		It was my understanding that a portion of these funds identified by Assemblymember O'Donnell was to go towards the LA River park development. How long has it been since District 8 has received any monies to improve Scherer Park and the duck pond water system? Why is there not a conversation about building a community center somewhere in the 8th? At bare minimum, FS9 or the Scherer Park outdated/under built community center is due for some serious TLC and upgrades to serve the district.	12/6/2021
46. 21-1286 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement with the Long Beach Public Transportation Company (Long Beach Transit), for disbursement of fifty-three (53) percent of the City's actual Proposition A local return revenue, for a five-year term, beginning July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2026. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support. This is easy.	12/6/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	jacqui salameh	jacqui@opensesamegrill.com		At Open Sesame we believe that the parklets should remain as they have undoubtedly enhanced the local economy. Along with our amazing community support, these parklets have also helped to create a lifeline for many of the local businesses. They have allowed both the business and the employees working to begin the process of recovering from the losses sustained during the pandemic. During what has been some very challenging times, our local neighbors and other guests have been able to enjoy the option to eat outdoors and in turn the parklets have definitely helped to bring back much needed life and joy to 2nd street. We are so grateful to the City of Long Beach for giving us this opportunity to help rebuild the businesses of Belmont Shore which otherwise might also have fallen victim to this awful COVID pandemic.	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		I have considerable interest in hearing this item. Dave Shukla CD3	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Barbara Newell	bjandthecoach@gmail.com		We would like to see each parklet evaluated by how much use it gets throughout the week so that restaurants that ARE making use of their parklets can continue to provide service on their parklet spaces to their customers. Overall, we like them. We find them inviting, attractive, and a healthy alternative to eating indoors.	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Chris Hayes	chrishayes1981@gmail.com		Iâ€™ve had no issues finding parking during the last 2 years in Belmont shore. The parklets have given the restaurant guests the option to dine in open air and eases congestion inside restaurants limiting potential exposure to Covid. 2nd street is more lively and much more diner friendly now	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Brian Cochrane	bcscribe@gmail.com		I urge elimination of this program immediately for Second Street and the pier areas. But it seems clear that the council and mayor are dead set on continuing this alleged lifeline to business, though its benefits are undocumented except by anecdote and its negative effects are clear, widespread and detrimental to residents in the areas impacted daily. So it is time to impose meaningful criteria to mitigate those impacts on quality of life for residents. One or more city offices or agencies need to be tasked immediately with following up on all resident complaints in a timely manner and documenting them effectively for the public record and future discussion. Residents need to see enforcement with teeth in regard to the business and customer noise, trash, lack of parking, traffic flow, off-hours deliveries, pedestrian hazards, obstacles to the disabled, etc. Stop putting the unrestricted support of businesses ahead of the essential everyday needs of residents, please.	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	jeff miller	jeff.miller5@csulb.edu		The parklets in Belmont Shore should all be removed, as soon as possible. They are a hazard to traffic and make right turns from side streets dangerous. They harm retail and service businesses on Second Street by limiting visibility and reducing parking availability. They make it difficult and certainly less enjoyable to simply walk Second Street sidewalks. Many residents no longer walk there as much or bring visitors there. They cheapen the appearance of the once-vibrant street. It is very telling that when Governor Newsom visited Long Beach recently, Mayor Garcia took him to Fourth Street retro row instead of Belmont Shore!	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Melinda Cotton	mbcotton@hotmail.com		Parking has always been a hot topic in Belmont Shore. The 2nd Street business community and residents alike have struggled for parking. The loss of 56 spaces is a big deal!! Consider! *Just before COVID hit (in the fall of 2019) Coun. Suzie Price asked Public Works to locate all the Red Curbs in the Shore that could be eliminated or shortened. The almost desperate need for parking was evident! * A few years ago the Belmont Athletic Club wanted to turn one (1) parking space into a bike corral, but the Belmont Shore Parking Commission said NO! that vehicle parking space was needed. * And also a few years ago George's Restaurant formally applied for a 'Permanent Parklet' - again the Parking Commission said a resounding NO! Those were critically needed parking spaces that should not become a parklet! When visitors can't locate metered spaces, they park on residential streets - spaces needed for those who live in the Shore. Please phase out the COVID allowed Belmont Shore Parklets.	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Alison Morea	alison.morea@gmail.com		Dear Council Members, I strongly oppose efforts to make "parklets" in Belmont Shore permanent for several reasons. The lack of parking negatively impacts other businesses that are not restaurants. It makes driving down 2nd Street more dangerous and difficult. There are serious questions about legal responsibility for accidents involving parklets (some have already occurred while some ie burst pipe under the street, have not yet happened but undoubtedly will eventually) that do not seem to have been answered by the city. This also represents an over-reach by Council; I question the legality of giving city (ie. resident-owned) land to building owners on major streets. It is a very generous gift to the owners that does not give back to the tax-payers. If you allow businesses to take over our streets, I fully expect home-owners to also be allowed the option of taking over the parking spaces outside their homes, in the interest of fairness. Thank you for listening, and for representing me.	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Steve Donovan	sd3000@charter.net		Because of the noise carry up residential streets, I'd prefer to see parklets on side streets (not on 2nd) removed sometime in Q1 2022.	12/7/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Sandra Davidson	shdavidson6@gmail.com		It's time for parklets in Belmont Shore and Naples to be gone. It's not fair to favor restaurants over other businesses, they are a distraction to drivers, they take too many parking spaces, and they are looking worn and tacky.	12/6/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Claire Nelson	cenelson16@gmail.com		Maintain them on the side streets and remove from 2nd St. The majority of the time they are underused and limits parking opportunities. Drives parking into the neighborhood. Eating in them isn't conducive to an enjoyable experience. It's noisy, you get fumes and distracted drivers make it a little nerve wracking. Tried it didn't like it and by observation many others feel the same. Seats aren't filled even during busy times.	12/6/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Kate Sachnoff	ksachnoff6@gmail.com		Speaking as a resident of Belmont Heights, I appreciate the parklets on 2nd street. While some could use improved maintenance and some do crowd the sidewalk, overall they add safe eating space and vibrancy to Belmont Shore. I both walk and drive to the area and have experienced no reduction in ease of parking since these were put in. Thank you.	12/6/2021
47. 21-1287 Recommendation to receive and file an update on the Open Streets Initiative plan regarding temporary parklets on the 2nd Street Corridor in Belmont Shore. (District 3)	Giovanna LaDuca	gml.bella@verizon.net		i have arthritis in both knees and i can not walk a long distance, so i have to get to Belmont Shore Business no later then 10:00 am to find a place to park due to these parklets. I don't think they are fair to the other Business to take up all the parking	12/6/2021
48. 21-1288 Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. 3004020011 and award a contract to Environmental Construction, Inc., of Woodland Hills, CA, for construction of the Davenport Park Landfill Gas Control System Project, in the amount of \$1,795,544, authorize a 15 percent contingency in the amount of \$269,332, for a total contract amount of \$2,064,876; and, authorize City	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Curious	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
49. 21-1289 Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by amending Section 2.84.010, authority of City Purchasing Agent and others to contract, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Very interested in this discussion. Dave Shukla CD3	12/7/2021
50. 21-1212 Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by amending the title of Chapter 8.60, amending Sections 8.60.010, 8.60.020, 8.60.025, 8.60.060, 8.60.087.5, 8.60.140, 18.67.030, and 18.67.070; and, by adding Sections 8.60.370, 18.67.100 and 21.42.060, all to comply with the State mandated legislation SB 1383, the "Short-Lived Climate Pollutants Reduction Act", read and adopt as read. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support. Team Green Bin. Been waiting on that for 10+ years. We recently cut down a couple of fruit trees my parents and I had planted due to the drought, and we're not watering the lawn, there is always some organic waste. The more difficult thing, as we learned from the SERRF presentation this past Friday, December 3rd, is getting the sorting done correctly before it ever reaches the point of burning in an incinerator. Returning nutrient-rich organic content to soil creation for tree planting and soil amendment on city/county property as well to institutions like LB Organic or Armstrong Garden Center, getting the City of Long Beach and the County of Los Angeles to the point of afforestation... that's the kind of stuff I want to hear about. See: https://www.theguardian.com/science/2021/nov/30/worlds-vast-networks-of-underground-fungi-to-be-mapped-for-first-time Once we take seriously potential impacts of 15-20 years of baked-in climate forcing, meeting SB1383 can be easier.	12/6/2021
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Diana Jones	hrhdiana2000@yahoo.com		In a normal household, necessities are handled before frivolities. The city needs to stop spending our hard-earned tax dollars on parties and celebrations and Queen Mary debacles instead of caring about the basics. Stop all the giveaways. Core services are a necessity. Do your job.!	12/7/2021
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Bert Rabenn	4barjj@gmail.com		Would it be possible to have the Health Dept. provide the public with a position statement regarding the use of Hydroxychloroquin and Ivermectin to subdue the Covid 19 virus? I think it's important in as much as Japan has seen an unbelievable drop in Covid cases since dispensing Ivermectin nation wide. So, too has India and any other place in the world where it has been excepted. Don't forget, Ivermectin was awarded the Nobel prize in 2015. Pennicilin and aspirin are wonder drugs. So is Ivermetin. In closing, I would suggest that everyone take the time to read Robert Kennady Jr's new book, "The Real Anthony Fauci". It's a blockbuster with over 2000 footnotes.	12/6/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
51. 21-1303 Recommendation to adopt ordinance amending Long Beach Municipal Code Section 1.27.010, School Board Districts established; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)	Anthony Walker	anthony.walker@gmail.com		<p>All these options are wrong because they are finding a solution to a problem that has not been substantiated or proven by the city.</p> <p>Option 1 is wrong because it creates an expensive department that costs the city and property owners money and puts onerous requirements on making renovations to residential income property.</p> <p>Option 2 is wrong because it will require further regulations, and requires property owners to notify the city when they are making renovations and is too ambiguous in that it changes the definition of substantial remodel but does not specify what those changes will be, and as a result, this issue will keep coming for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>Option 3 is wrong because it imposes an onerous cost on removing tenants from a housing unit. Additionally it defines a cost as being "per household" rather than being per unit, when multiple households may be residing in each unit, making the cost ambiguous and potentially preventing necessary renovations from happening.</p>	12/6/2021
51. 21-1303 Recommendation to adopt ordinance amending Long Beach Municipal Code Section 1.27.010, School Board Districts established; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>Support.</p> <p>For the record, it should be said that LBUSD not only showed the value in having an empowered Redistricting process and commission, so to speak, but in having the process be iterable:</p> <p>Their ability to call for another meeting resulted in further clarity and fairer distribution of principal City Assets than the previous map under consideration had been - Honestly, it's a bit of a softball for me to point out given the past six weeks - but the distributional questions are, frankly, clearer and easier to navigate when they are made explicit, sufficiently early in a process that has room for refinement, and not involution. Arguments can of course be made for how the map could be better, but for the June 2022 election there are virtues of continuity and clarity.</p> <p>Given its relation to the Council Redistricting map, perhaps it is be helpful to provide public comment on some of the findings from LB ACE on our Redistricting process, and the comparison with the LBUSD map/process.</p>	12/6/2021
52. 21-1294 Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to accept and expend grant funding from the Port of Long Beach; and Increase appropriations in the General Fund Group in the City Manager Department by \$6,000 to support the annual Community Concert hosted at Marine Stadium Park, offset by grant revenue received.	Diana Jones	hrhdiana2000@yahoo.com		<p>In a normal household, necessities are handled before frivolities. The city needs to stop spending our hard-earned tax dollars on parties and celebrations and Queen Mary debacles instead of caring about the basics. Safe streets are a necessity. Do your job.!</p>	12/7/2021

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of December 7, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
59. 21-1301 Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. R-7030 and award a contract to Los Angeles Engineering, Inc., of Covina, CA, for the El Dorado Duck Pond Rehabilitation Project, in a total amount of \$5,486,918; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into the contract including any necessary subsequent	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Questionable.	12/7/2021
60. 21-1302 Recommendation to find that all requirements of the final subdivision map for a single lot subdivision to construct thirty-eight (38) condominium townhomes, at 5100 Long Beach Boulevard, have been met; approve the final map for Tract No. 77096; authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute subdivision agreements; and Determine that the project is within the scope of the project previously analyzed as part of Mitigated Negative Declaration IS/MND-03-20 (State Clearinghouse No. 2020050231) and no further environmental review is required. (District 8)	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		These parcels are currently a big ol' empty lot between a CVS Pharmacy and an auto parts store. Directly across the street is an elementary school and a post office. This is the perfect place for new housing. Please approve this development, as it will provide 38 new homes for residents. It's a tiny drop in the bucket toward achieving our housing supply obligations.	12/6/2021