

City of Long Beach Working Together to Serve



Date:

October 11, 2005

To:

Honorable Mayor and City Council

From:

Councilmember Dan Baker, Chair, Personnel and Civil Service Committee

Subject:

REPORT ON HIRES FOR 2004 – EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

PROGRAM PLAN (2004-2006)

The Personnel and Civil Service Committee, at its meeting held October 4, 2005, considered communications relative to the above subject.

It is the recommendation of the Personnel and Civil Service Committee to the City Council that the communications be received and filed; and request that staff return with a report to the full City Council in four weeks.

Respectfully submitted,

PERSONNEL AND CIVIL SERVICE COMMITTEE

Councilmember Dan Baker, Chair

Prepared by: Martha Cobb

Unanimous vote Absent: None

PCS/Report on Hires for 2004-EEO Program Plan (2004-2006).doc



CITY OF LONG BEACH



DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

333 WEST OCEAN BOULEVARD . LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802 . (562) 570-6621

August 16, 2005

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL City of Long Beach California



RECOMMENDATION:

Refer the report on hires for 2004, the first year of the City's three-year Equal Employment Opportunity Program Plan (2004-2006), to the Personnel and Civil Service Committee for review.

DISCUSSION

Annual status reports are provided as part of the City's three-year Equal Employment Opportunity Program Plan (2004-2006), which was presented to the City Council on August 17, 2004 and referred to the Personnel and Civil Service Committee for review. This report sets forth the City's hiring data for the 2004 calendar year, the first year of the three-year plan.

Background

The EEOP analyzes the City's workforce in comparison to its relevant labor market to determine if females and/or minorities are under-represented in the work force. Historically, the City has used the combined labor forces of Los Angeles and Orange Counties to determine the Labor Market Availability. The 2000 Census data was used to determine the City's relevant labor market availability statistics.

	Wor	k Force	Analys	sis		
	2000 LMA	2003	Actual	Actua	al 2004	2004
	%	#	%	#	%	Over/Under-Utilization
Total Employees		4629		4489		
Total Females	45.1%	1610	34.8%	1537	34.2%	-10.9%
Black	3.9%	295	6.4%	281	6.3%	2.4%
Hispanic	15.1%	328	7.1%	320	7.1%	-8.0%
Asian	6.4%	244	5.3%	247	5.5%	-0.9%
American Indian	0.2%	12	0.3%	11	0.2%	0.0%
White	18.7%	731	15.8%	678	15.1%	-3.6%
Total Males	54.9%	3019	65.2%	2952	65.8%	10.9%
Black	3.4%	398	8.6%	377	8.4%	5.0%
Hispanic	20.5%	644	13.9%	662	14.7%	-5.8%
Asian	6.9%	313	6.8%	312	7.0%	0.1%
American Indian	0.2%	19	0.4%	18	0.4%	0.2%
White	22.9%	1645	35.5%	1583	35.3%	12.4%
Total Minorities	58.5%	2253	48.7%	2228	49.6%	-8.9%
White Females & Minorities	77.1%	2984	64.5%	2906	64.7%	-12.4%

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL August 16, 2005 Page 2 of 4

As shown in the chart above, the City's "Work Force Analysis" illustrates some progress towards achieving the work force objectives. Citywide objectives were established for females, Hispanics and Asians. Although the City has made progress, we are still under-represented in the following categories: females, as a group, particularly Hispanic and White, and male Hispanics.

In an effort to address EEO challenges and opportunities for improving female and minority hires and promotions, as identified in the 2004-2006 EEOP, the City's Executive Management Team held a retreat on September 27, 2004. The purpose of the retreat was to discuss key issues facing the City, including diversifying the organization, future management and employee recruitment strategies, and the EEOP. On May 6, 2005, Executive Management and Civil Service met to discuss employee recruitment practices and EEOP objectives. Two standing committees were established to identify how the City Manager departments and Civil Service could work together to enhance recruitment efforts and provide employees with better training and career development opportunities. The committees are meeting on an on-going basis. The Assistant City Manager has oversight responsibility for the committees and will make regular progress reports to the City Manager as to their status.

In addition, the City will continue to work with Civil Service to enhance outreach efforts in areas where females and minority candidates are concentrated, such as minority organizations, community colleges and universities, and trade schools, etc. We will continue to incorporate diversity enhancing programs and activities in our recruitment process. City staff has also made a concerted effort over the past year to reach out to current non-career employees when filling permanent positions.

	2	2003		2004
	#	%	#	. %
Total Hires	255		289	
Total Females	112	43.9%	95	32.9%
Black	18	7.1%	15	5.2%
Hispanic	33	12.9%	30	10.4%
Asian	9	3.5%	15	5.2%
American Indian	1	0.4%	_ 1	0.3%
White	51	20.0%	34	11.8%
Total Males	143	56.1%	194	67.1%
Black	13	5.1%	17	5.9%
Hispanic	36	14.1%	66	22.8%
Asian	80	31.4%	21	7.3%
American Indian	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
White	80	31.4%	90	31.1%
Total Minorities	124	48.6%	165	57.1%
White Females & Minorities	175	68.6%	199	68.9%

The table above provides a breakout of the new hires for the permanent full-time work force. Diversity efforts in both 2003 and 2004 have been hampered by the fact that City Manager Departments are operating with a hiring freeze, and only positions in critical

service delivery areas (Public Safety, Building Inspection, etc.) are being filled. For the calendar year 2004, there were 289 hires, which represent an increase of 34 positions, or 12%, from 2003. Of those, 118, or 41%, were for public safety positions in the Police and Fire Departments. In addition, 95 or 32.9% were females, 165 or 57.1% were minorities, and 199 were white females and minorities, for a combined white female and minority rate of 68.9%. This rate represents an increase of 0.3% over the previous year. The City continues to hire minorities at a higher rate than work force representation.

More specific information is contained in Attachment A, "Hires, Promotions, and Separations for 2004 by Department", and Attachment B, Civil Service Department's "Applicant Flow Data Summary for Classified Service." Attachment C shows the report on Management Appointments for the "Officials/Administrators" job category for 2004.

The table below indicates the Police Officer and Firefighter Recruits hired in 2004. Of the 118 combined hires in 2004, 19.5% were females, 52.5% were minorities, and 7.6% white females. The combined white female and minority-hiring rate is 60.2%, which represents a 7% increase from 2003. The combined hires for public safety personnel meet, or exceed, labor market availability in all categories, except Blacks and American Indians. More specific information regarding Police Officer and Firefighter Recruit academies is contained in Attachments D and E.

	LMA			Total Rec	ruit Hires 2	004	
	Protected Services		e Officer ecruit	Firefight	er Recruit	Combi	ned Hires
		#		#	%	#	%
Total Hires		90		28		118	
Total Females		20	22.2%	3	10.7%	23	19.5%
Black	5.6%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%
Hispanic	5.2%	10	11.1%	0	0.0%	10	8.5%
Asian	0.8%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%
American Indian	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	6.6%	6	6.7%	3	10:7%	9	7.6%
Total Males		70	77.8%	25	89.3%	95	80.5%
Black	13.9%	3	3.3%	1	3.6%	4	3.4%
Hispanic	24.7%	31	34.4%	4	14.3%	35	29.7%
Asian	7.1%	4	4.4%	5	17.9%	9	7.6%
American Indian	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	35.2%	32	35.6%	15	53.6%	47	39.8%
Total Minorities		52	57.8%	10	35.7%	62	52.5%
White Females & Minorities		58	64.4%	13	46.4%	71	60.2%

TIMING CONSIDERATIONS

City Council action on this matter is not time critical.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL August 16, 2005 Page 4 of 4

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

SUGGESTED ACTION:

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

Director of Human Resources

KB:DJ:slm

Share/eeostatusreport/2004/04CouncilLtr

Approved:

moralque Gerald R. Miller City Manager

- A: Hires, Promotions, & Separations for 2004 by Department
 B: Civil Service Applicant Flow Data Summary for Classified Service
 C: Management Hiring Opportunities: Recruitment and Selection
- D: Police Officer Recruit Academies 1990 December 31, 2004
- E: Firefighter Recruit Academies 1993 December 31, 2004

Hires, Promotions, Separation for 2004 by Department **Permanent Full-Time Work Force**

					Total F	emales			То	tal							Amer	ican
Total	Total	Males	Total F	emales	& Mir	norities	<u> </u>	/hite	Mino	rities	Bla	ck	His	panic	As	sian	Indi	an
#	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

0.0%

0

0.0%

0

0.0%

0

0.0%

City Manager Departments City Manager Hires 2004 2 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0 0 0 **Promotions** 2004 80.0% 5 4 20.0% 2 40.0% 80.0% 4 1 20.0% 0 0.0% 1 20.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Separations 2004 50.0% 2 18 50.0% 50.0% 1 🕸 50.0% 50.0% 0 0.0% 18 50.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% Community Development Hires 2004 3 100.0% 3 0 0.0% 3 100.0% 0 0.0% 3 100.0% 0 0.0% 66.7% 33.3% 0.0% **Promotions** 2004 39 17 43.6% 22 56.4% 34 87.2% 12 30.8% 27 69.2% 7 🕸 17.9% 12 30.8% 20.5% 8 0 0.0% Separations 2004 15 60.0% 40.0% 11 8 73.3% 6 40.0% 9 60.0% 2 13.3% 26.7% 20.0% 0 0.0% 4 Financial Management Hires 2004 3 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 100.0% 0.0% 3 100.0% 0 0 0.0% 2 66.7% 13 0.0% 0 0.0% Promotions 2004 9 4 44.4% 5 55.6% 66.7% 5 6 55.6% 4 44.4% 18 11.1% 1 11.1% 2 22.2% 0 0.0% Separations 11 2004 5 45.5% 6 54.5% 8 72.7% 6 54.5% 5 45.5% 9.1% 4 36.4% 0.0% 18 0 0 0.0% Fire Hires 2004 37 31 83.8% 6 16.2% 20 54.1% 22 59.5% 40.5% 15 2 5.4% 18.9% 0.0% 7 6 16.2% 0 **Promotions** 2004 37 32 86.5% 5 13.5% 15 40.5% 25 67.6% 12 32.4% 0 0.0% 8 21.6% 0.0% 4 10.8% 0 Separations 2004 50 39 78.0% 22.0% 23 11 46.0% 36 72.0% 14 28.0% 5 10.0% 6 12.0% 4.0% 1 2.0% Long Beach Energy 2004 Hires 17 16 94.1% 5.9% 1 13 76.5% 23.5% 4 13 76.5% 9 52.9% 3 8 17.6% 1 🛞 5.9% 0 0.0% **Promotions** 2004 56 45 80.4% 11 19.6% 38 67.9% 22 39.3% 34 60.7% 16 28.6% 16.1% 9 9 16.1% 0 0.0% Separations 2004 46 34 73.9% 12 32 26.1% 69.6% 21 🛞 45.7% 25 54.3% 13 10 28.3% 21.7% 2 4.3% 0.0% 0 Health & Human Services Hires 37.5% 2004 24 9 62.5% 15 22 91.7% 7 29.2% 17 70.8% 4 16.7% 10 41.7% 3 12.5% 0 0.0% **Promotions** 2004 21 33.3% 7 14 66.7% 17 81.0% 5 23.8% 16 76.2% 3 14.3% 9 42.9% 4 19.0% 0 0.0% Separations 2004 44 15 34.1% 29 65.9% 39 16 88.6% 36.4% 28 63.6% 11 🕸 25.0% 10 22.7% 6 13.6% 1 8 2.3% **Human Resources** Hires 2004 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% Promotions 2004 5 2 40.0% 60.0% 3 4 80.0% 4 80.0% 20.0% 20.0% 1 8 0 0.0% 1 8 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 Separations 2004 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 18 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 8

Hires, Promotions, Separation for 2004 by Department Permanent Full-Time Work Force

					Total Females		Total				American
		Total	Total Males	Total Females	& Minorities	White	Minorities	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Indian
		#	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %
Library Services											
Hires	2004	2	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	9	2 22.2%	7 77.8%	8 88.9%	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	7	2 28.6%	5 71.4%	6 85.7%	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%
Oil Properties						· -					
Hires	2004	2	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 1,0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	0	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	5	4 0.0%	1 0.0%	2 0.0%	3 0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Parks and Recreation	n		:								
Hires	2004	3	0 0.0%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	2 66.7%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	12	3 25.0%	9 75.0%	10 83.3%	6 50:0%	6 50.0%	4 33.3%	1 8.3%	1 8,3%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	22	13 59. 1 %	9 40.9%	14 63.6%	15 68.2%	7 31.8%	5 22.7%	2 9.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Planning and Building	3										
Hires	2004	10	3 30.0%	7 70.0%	8 80.0%	4 40.0%	6 60.0%	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	10	7 70.0%	3 30.0%	6 60.0%	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	10	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	6 60.0%	7 70.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%
Police					•						
Hires	2004	102	75 73,5%	27 26.5%	69 67.6%	40 39,2%	62 60.8%	9 8.8%	45 44 1%	8 7.8%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	134	103 76.9%	31 23.1%	74 55.2%	70 52.2%	64 47.8%	9 6.7%	40 29.9%	14 10,4%	1 0.7%
Separations	2004	100	65 65.0%	35 35 .0%	62 62.0%	53 53.0%	47 47.0%	13 13.0%	30 30.0%	4 4.0%	0 0.0%
Public Works									·		
Hires	2004	5	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	26	16 61.5%	10 38.5%	16 61.5%	12 46.2%	14 53.8%	2 7.7%	1 3.8%	10 38.5%	1 3.8%
Separations	2004	18	13 72,2%	5 27.8%	12 66.7%	8 44.4%	10 55.6%	3 16.7%	3 16.7%	4 22.2%	0 0.0%
Technology Services											
· Hires	2004	8	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	14	9 64.3%	5 35.7%	7 50.0%	8 57.1%	6 42.9%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	5 35.7%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	10	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	7 70.0%	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%

Hires, Promotions, Separation for 2004 by Department Permanent Full-Time Work Force

Tota	ΙT	Total Ma	iles	Total F	emales		emales orities	W	/hite	To Mino	tal rities	Bla	ack	Hisp	anic	As	sian	Amer Indi	
#		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

TOTALS for City Manager Departments

· <u>н</u>	ires	2004	218	152 69.7%	66 30.3%	150 68.8%	90 41,3%	128 58.7%	27 12.4%	75 34.4%	26 11.9%	0 0.0%
P	romotions	2004	377	251 66.6%	126 33.4%	237 62.9%	185 49.1%	192 50.9%	45 11.9%	85 22.5%	60 15.9%	2 0.5%
S	eparations	2004	341	212 62.2%	129 37.8%	224 65.7%	184 54.0%	157 46.0%	56 16.4%	73 21.4%	26 7.6%	2 0.6%

Non-City Manager Departments

City Auditor

2004	1	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	1	1 0.0%	0 0:0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	1	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.0%		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	0	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 00%	0 00%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	2		2 100.0%	2 100.0%	***************************************	* *************************************		* 95905000000000000000000000000000000000	= 000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0.0%
2004	2	0 0.0%	2 100,0%	2 100.0%	1 50.0%		0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	3	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	2 66.7%	2 66.7%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 33 3%	0 0 0%	0 0.0%
2004	2		2 0.0%		***************************************		***************************************	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		0 0.0%
2004	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	1	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0.00%	1 100 0%	0.09%	0 0.0%
2004	8							***************************************		0 0.0%
2004	2	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2004	31	19 61 3%	12 38.7%	22 71.0%	15 48 4%	16 51 6%	2 65%	8 25.8%	6 10 4%	0 0.0%
2004	24	14 58.3%								0 0.0%
2004	27	19 70.4%	8 29.6%	12 44.4%	19 70.4%	8 29.6%	4 14.8%	1 3.7%	3 11.1%	0 0.0%
2004	9	4 44.4%	5 55.6%	7 77.8%	2 22 2%	7 77.8%	2 22 2%	2 22 2%	2 22 2%	1 11.1%
2004	4	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4 100.0%						0 0.0%
2004	15	4 26.7%	11 73.3%	12 80.0%	11 73.3%	4 26.7%	1 6.7%	90,00,00,00,00,00,00,00	T 5000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 6.7%
	2004 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004	2004 1 2004 0 2004 2 2004 2 2004 2 2004 2 2004 1 2004 1 2004 1 2004 8 2004 2 2004 2 2004 2 2004 27 2004 31 2004 24 2004 27	2004 1 1 0.0% 2004 0 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 2004 31 19 61.3% 2004 2 1 7 19 70.4% 2004 9 4 44.4% 2004 9 4 0 0.0%	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 1 100.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 1 100.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 1 0.0% 2004 3 1 12.5% 7 87.5% 2004 1 0.0% 1 100.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 1 0.0% 2004 24 14 58.3% 10 41.7% 2004 27 19 70.4% 8 29.6% 2004 9	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 2 100.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 0.0% 2 0.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 1 100.0% 1 100.0% 2004 1 0 0.0% 1 100.0% 1 100.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 1 100.0% 1 100.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 1 0.0% 1 100.0% 2004 2 1 0.0% 1 0.0% 1 0.0% <	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 0 <t< td=""><td>2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 1 50.0% 1 1 50.0% 1 1 50.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 0.0%</td><td>2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0</td><td>2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0</td><td>2004</td></t<>	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 2004 2 0 0.0% 2 100.0% 1 50.0% 1 1 50.0% 1 1 50.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 1 0.0% 1 1 0.0%	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0	2004 1 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 50.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 1 10.0% 0	2004

Hires, Promotions, Separation for 2004 by Department Permanent Full-Time Work Force

Total	Total	Males	Total	Females		emales norities	w	hite	To Mino	tal rities	Bla	ack	Hisp	anic	As	ian	Amer Indi	
#	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

Legislative

Legislative											
Hires	2004	15	6 40.0%	9 60.0%	12 80.0%	8 53.3%	7 46.7%	1 6.7%	5 33.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	3	0 0.0%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Separations	2004	14	5 35.7%	9 64.3%	11 78.6%	7 50.0%	7 50.0%	0 0.0%	5 35.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%
Water											
Hires	2004	11	11 100.0%	0 0.0%	5 45.5%	6 54.5%	5 45.5%	0 0.0%	4 36.4%	1 9,1%	0 0.0%
Promotions	2004	31	27 87.1%	4 12.9%	14 45.2%	19 61.3%	12 38.7%	1 3.2%	5 16,1%	5 16.1%	1 3,2%
Separations	2004	23	17 73.9%	6 26.1%	12 52.2%	14 60.9%	9 39.1%	1 4.3%	7 30.4%	1 4.3%	0 0.0%

TOTALS for Non-City Manager Departments

Hires	2004	71	42	59.2%	29	40.8%	49	69.0%	34	47.9%	37	52.1%	5	7.0%	21	29.6%	10	14:1%	1	1,4%
Promotic	ns 2004	75	43	57.3%	32	42.7%	54	72.0%	32	42.7%	43	57.3%	6	8.0%	25	33.3%	11	14.7%	1	1.3%
Separati	ons 2004	85	47	55.3%	38	44.7%	51	60.0%	54	63.5%	31	36.5%	7	8.2%	16	18.8%	7	8.2%	1	1.2%

CITY-WIDE TOTALS

Hires	2004	289	194 67.1%	95 32.9%	199 68.9%	124 42.9%	165 57.1% 32 11.1%	96 33.2% 36 12.5% 1 0.3%
Promotions	2004	452	294 65.0%	158 35.0%	291 64.4%	217 48.0%	235 52.0% 51 11.3%	110 24.3% 71 15.7% 3 0.7%
Separations	2004	426	259 60.8%	167 39.2%	275 64.6%	238 55.9%	188 44.1% 63 14.8%	89 20.9% 33 7.7% 3 0.7%

Applicant Flow Data Summary For Classified Service January 1, 2004 - December 31, 2004

APPLIED

	Fe	emale		Male	Unknown		•	Total	
		% of Total Applied		% of Total Applied		% of Total Applied		% of Total Applied	
Total White	781	5.59%	4493	32.17%	40	0.29%	5314	38.04%	
Black	857	6.14%	1313	9.40%	28	0.20%	2198	15.74%	
Hispanic	665	4.76%	2968	21.25%	25	0.18%	3658	26.19%	
Asian	397	2.84%	1078	7.72%	12	0.09%	1487	10.65%	
American Indian	18	0.13%	80	0.57%	1	0.01%	99	0.71%	
Total Minorities	1937	13.87%	5439	38.94%	66	0.47%	7442	53.28%	
Total Unknown	219	1.57%	579	4.15%	414	2.96%	1212	8.68%	
TOTAL	2937	21.03%	10511	75.25%	520	3.72%	13968	100%	

QUALIFIED

	F	emale		Male	Unkn	own	Total	
		% of Total Qualified		% of Total Qualified		% of Total Qualified		% of Total Qualified
Total White	346	5.81%	2398	40.28%	17	0.29%	2761	46.38%
Black	246	4.13%	328	5.51%	6	0.10%	580	9.74%
Hispanic	241	4.05%	1187	19.94%	5	0.08%	1433	24.07%
Asian	177	2.97%	456	7.66%	6	0.10%	639	10.73%
American Indian	7	0.12%	40	0.67%	0	0.00%	47	0.79%
Total Minorities	671	11.27%	2011	33.78%	17	0.29%	2699	45.34%
Total Unknown	87	1.46%	242	4.07%	164	2.75%	493	8.28%
TOTAL	1104	18.55%	4651	78.13%	198	3.33%	5953	100%

CERTIFIED

	F	emale	Male		Unkn	Unknown		Total
		% of Total Certified		% of Total Certified		% of Total Certified		% of Total Certified
Total White	1526	10.32%	3794	25.66%	13	0.09%	5333	36.07%
Black	1058	7.15%	1348	9.12%	6	0.04%	2412	16.31%
Hispanic	1011	6.84%	2216	14.99%	7	0.05%	3234	21.87%
Asian	725	4.90%	1655	11.19%	37	0.25%	2417	16.35%
American Indian	8	0.05%	89	0.60%	0	0.00%	97	0.66%
Total Minorities	2802	18.95%	5308	35.90%	50	0.34%	8160	55.18%
Total Unknown	307	2.08%	551	3.73%	436	2.95%	1294	8.75%
TOTAL	4635	31.35%	9653	65.28%	499	3.37%	14787	100%

Applicant Flow Data Summary For Classified Service

January 1, 2004 - December 31, 2004

*SELECTED

	Fe	male	_	Male Unknown		Total		
		% of Total Selected		% of Total Selected		% of Total Selected		% of Total Selected
Total White	23	7.35%	99	31.63%	0	0.00%	122	38.98%
Black	13	4.15%	19	6.07%	0	0.00%	32	10.22%
Hispanic	24	7.67%	66	21.09%	1	0.32%	91	29.07%
Asian	16	5.11%	22	7.03%	0	0.00%	38	12.14%
American Indian	0	0.00%	. 1	0.32%	0	0.00%	1	0.32%
Total Minorities	53	16.93%	108	34.50%	1	0.32%	162	51.76%
Total Unknown	5	1.60%	8	2.56%	16	5.11%	29	9.27%
TOTAL	81	25.88%	215	68.69%	17	5.43%	313	100%

Includes all selections to fill Classified positions from Civil Service Eligible Lists, including promotional appointments of existing City employees.

APPLIED:

Refers to applications received for various classifications for which results were

approved by the Civil Service Commission during the program year.

QUALIFIED:

Refers to applicants invited to and reporting for testing who were placed on eligible

lists approved by the Civil Service Commission during the program year.

CERTIFIED:

Refers to candidates whose names were certified from any existing eligible list to fill

requisitioned vacancies during the program year regardless of the year in which the

eligible list was approved by the Civil Service Commission.

SELECTED:

Refers to candidates selected to fill requisitioned vacancies during the program year

regardless of the year in which the certification occurred.

Management Hiring Opportunities Recruitment and Selection Calendar Year 2004

Position Title	Type of Recruitment	Date	s	R
City Manager		.l	<u> </u>	J
Administrative Assistant to the City Mgr	Direct Appointment	7/3/2004	М	H
Administrative Assistant to the City Mgr	Direct Appointment	7/10/2004	М	w
Civil Service				
Employment Services Officer	Direct Appointment	11/20/2004	F	w
Community Development				
Financial Services Officer	Citywide	2/14/2004	F	w
Manager-Property Services	Direct Appointment	6/26/2004	М	w
Real Estate Officer	National	12/11/2004	М	Н
Redevelopment Project Officer	State	12/25/2004	М	В
Redevelopment Project Officer	State	12/25/2004	F	w
Fire				
Fire Chief	Department	6/12/2004	М	w
Manager-Administration	Citywide	7/3/2004	F	Н
Assistant Fire Chief	Direct Appointment	7/3/2004	М	w
Health & Human Services				
Manager-Environmental Health	Citywide	2/14/2004	М	w
Animal Control Services Officer	Direct Appointment	4/24/2004	М	w
Hazardous Waste Operations Officer	Citywide	11/20/2004	М	w
Human Resources				
Manager-Personnel Operations	Direct Appointment	3/6/2004	F	w
Library Services				
Manager-Main Library Services Bureau	State	12/18/2004	F	w

Management Hiring Opportunities Recruitment and Selection Calendar Year 2004

Position Title	Type of Recruitment	Date	s	R	
Long Beach Energy			_	_	
Manager-Fleet Services	Direct Appointment	5/5/2004	F	w	
Superintendent-Operations	Department	5/29/2004	М	w	
Superintendent-Fleet Maintenance	Department	1/5/2004	М	w	
Superintendent-Fleet Operations	Department	2/21/2004	F	Н	
Personnel & Training Officer	Department	2/21/2004	М	w	
Gas Supply & Business Officer	Citywide	9/18/2004	F	w	
Manager-Business Operations	Citywide	7/24/2004	F	W	
Parks, Recreation & Marine					
Supt-Contract Management & Revenue	Citywide	1/24/2004	F	В	
Superintendent-Park Maintenance	Citywide	6/19/2004	М	W	
General Superintendent-Recreation	National	2/28/2004	F	w	
Manager-Business Operations	Citywide	11/20/2004	F	w	
Superintendent-Park Maintenance	Department	8/21/2004	F	w	
Manager-Recreation Services	National	9/30/2004	F	W	
Recreation Superintendent	Citywide	7/24/2004	F	В	
Planning and Building					
Zoning Officer	Citywide	10/18/2004	F	w	
Manager-Planning Bureau	Citywide	7/26/2004	М	w	
Neighborhood/Historic Preservation Ofcr	National	7/19/2004	F	w	
Police					
Commander	Department	1/17/2004	М	w	
Commander	Department	1/17/2004	М	w	
Commander	Department	10/30/2004	F	w	
Commander	Department	1/17/2004	М	w	
Commander	Department	1/17/2004	м	w	
Administrative Officer	Citywide	1/24/2004	М	w	
Commander	Department	10/30/2004	М	w	
Records Administrator	Citywide	11/27/2004	М	w	
Food Services Administrator	National	9/30/2004	F	В	

Attachment C

Management Hiring Opportunities Recruitment and Selection Calendar Year 2004

Position Title	Type of Recruitment	Date	S	R
Public Works				
Superintendent-Airport Operations	Direct Appointment	10/2/2004	М	W
Special Projects Officer	Citywide	11/27/2004	М	w
Superintendent-Fleet Acquisitions	Citywide	8/7/2004	М	w
Superintendent-Fleet Operations	Citywide	10/2/2004	F	Α
Superintendent-Street Maintenance	Direct Appointment	11/20/2004	М	<u> </u>
Technology Services				
Director of Technology Services	Department	2/21/2004	М	Α
Manager-Customer Service	Direct Appointment	3/20/2004	F	W
Water				
Sewer Operations Superintendent	Direct Appointment	5/15/2004	М	w
Water Operations Superintendent	Direct Appointment	5/1/2004	М	w

Management Hiring Opportunities Summary of SELECTIONS for Calendar Year 2004

2004						
#	%					

CITY MANAGER DEPARTMENTS

Total Appointments	49	
Male	28	57.1%
Female	21	42.9%
Total Minorities	11	22.4%
Male	5	10.2%
Female	6	12.2%
Black	4	8.2%
Hispanic	4	8.2%
Asian	2	4.1%
American Indian	1	2.0%
White Females & Minorities	26	53.1%

NON-CITY MANAGER DEPARTMENTS

Total Appointments	3	
Male	2	66.7%
Female	1	33.3%
Total Minorities	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Female	0	0.0%
Black	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
American Indian	0	0.0%
White Females & Minorities	1	33.3%

ALL DEPARTMENTS

Total Appointments	52	
Male	30	57.7%
Female	22	42.3%
Total Minorities	11	21.2%
Male	5	9.6%
Female	6	11.5%
Black	4	7.7%
Hispanic	4	7.7%
Asian	2	3.8%
American Indian	1	1.9%
White Females & Minorities	27	51.9%

]
Academy Class		Total							
		White Females &	Total		Total				American
#/Site/Date	Total	Minorities	Females .	White	Minorities	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Indian
									1
1990 ACADEMIES									1
263 LASD (3/27/90) Police Recruits	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
# %	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	0	0.078	0.076	0	0.070	0.070	0.0,0	0	0.070
Probation P.O. Separations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
						-			
62 LBPD (3/31/90)									
Police Recruits # %	33	19	4	17	16	3	12	1	0
# %	100.0%	57.6%	12.1%	51.5%	48.5%	9.1%	36.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	3	2	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	29	16	4	16	13	3	9	1	0
%	100.0%	55.2%	13.8%	55.2%	44.8%	10.3%	31.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	87.9%	84.2%	100.0%	94.1%	81.3%	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%
264 LASD (6/26/90)				_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Police Recruits # %	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	1	0	0	1	0	. 0	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
%			0.0%						
Rate of Completion	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
266 LASD (8/27/90) Police Recruits									
# %		20.40	3			0		1 1	0
Andrew Committee	100.0%	36.4%	27.3%	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%	***************************************	
Academy Separations	3	0	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0			0
Probation P.O. Separations	7	4	3	1 5	9	0			0
Permanent Police Officers # %	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	71.4%		0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	63.6%	100.0%	100.0%	55.6%		0.0%		100.0%	
. tato of completion	00.076	100.076	100.076	JJ.U/6	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.076	0.0%

267 LASD (10/10/90)

Police Recruits	1 15	8	3	9	6	2	4	0	0
# %	100.0%	53.3%	20.0%	60.0%	40.0%	13.3%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	1	0	0	1	0	.0	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	14	8	3	8	6	2	4	0	0
""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	100.0%	57.1%	21.4%	57.1%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	93.3%	100.0%	100.0%	88.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

268 LASD (11/27/90)

268 LASD (11/2//90)										
Police Recr	uits %	10	8	4	4	6	. 1	2	3	0
#	70	100.0%	80.0%	40.0%	40.0%	60.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0
Probation P.O. Separation	s	1	1		0	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	6	5	3	2	4	1	2	1	0
1 chilanelli i olice Officers	%	100.0%	83.3%	50.0%	33.3%	66.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		60.0%	62.5%	75.0%	50.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%

103 OCSD (11/30/90)

Police Recruits	1 9.	3	0	6	3	3	0	0	0
70	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	4	3	0	1	3	3	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1991 ACADEMIES

270 LASD (1/7/91)

270 LASD (117/91)										
Police Recr	uits	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
#	70	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separation	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T Giritarione T Giros Giroscio	<u>"</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

271 L	ASD	(2/1	2/91)	١
-------	-----	------	-------	---

Police Recru	اره	20	16	2	4	16	7	3	5	1
#	%	100.0%	80.0%	10.0%	20.0%	80.0%	35.0%	15.0%	25.0%	5.0%
Academy Separations		4	4	1	0	4	3	0	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations		3	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	1
Permanent Police Officers	#	13	10	1	3	10	4	. 3	3	0
	%	100.0%	76.9%	7.7%	23.1%	76.9%	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		65.0%	62.5%	50.0%	75.0%	62.5%	57.1%	100.0%	60.0%	0.0%

272 LASD (4/9/91)

Police Recru	its	8	6	2	3	5	2	2	1	0
#	~	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	37.5%	62.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Academy Separations		2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	S	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	5	4	1	2	3	0	2	1	0
	%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		62.5%	66.7%	50.0%	66.7%	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%

274 LASD (5/21/91)

Police Recruits # %	1 8	6	1	3	5	2	2	1	0
# 70	100.0%	75.0%	12.5%	37.5%	62.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Academy Separations	3	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	5	4	1	2	3	1	2	0	0
%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	40.0%	60.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	62.5%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

275 LASD (7/2/91)

213 LASD (112191)										
Police Reci	uits %	14	6	1	9	5	2	3	0	0
#	7 °	100.0%	42.9%	7.1%	64.3%	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Probation P.O. Separation	าร	0	0	0	-2	2	0	2	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	11	6	1	8	3	2	1	0	0
Termanent once omcers	%	100.0%	54.5%	9.1%	72.7%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		78.6%	100.0%	100.0%	88.9%	60.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%

276 LASD (8/17/91)

Police Recrui	10	9	2	3	7	1	5	1	0
#	100.0%	6 90.0%	20.0%	30.0%	70.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3 2	0	1	2	0	1	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations		1 1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers		6 6	2	2	4	1	3	0	0
	% 100.0%	6 100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	60.0%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	57.1%	100.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%

279 LASD (12/10/91)

Police Recruits	22	13	. 8	15	7	1	5	1	0
# %	100.0%	59.1%	36.4%	68.2%	31.8%	4.5%	22.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Academy Separations	10	8	7	7	3	1	2	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	10	4	1	7	3	0	2	1	0
"" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	100.0%	40.0%	10.0%	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	45.5%	30.8%	12.5%	46.7%	42.9%	0.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%

1992 ACADEMIES

63 LBPD (3/2/92)

Police Recru	its %	25	10	2	17	8	3	3	2	0
# 	′ °	100.0%	40.0%	8.0%	68.0%	32.0%	12.0%	12.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		6	4	1	3	3	1	2	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations		4	3	1	2	2	0	0	2	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	15	3	0	12	3	2	1	0	0
	%	100.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		60.0%	30.0%	0.0%	70.6%	37.5%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%

64 LBPD (8/8/92)

Police Recruit	45 45	28	9	24	21	9	6	4	2
#	100.0%	62.2%	20.0%	53.3%	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	8.9%	4.4%
Academy Separations	8	7	4	2	6	4	1	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	" 36	21	5	21	15	5	5	3	2
	6 100.0%	58.3%	13.9%	58.3%	41.7%	13.9%	13.9%	8.3%	5.6%
Rate of Completion	80.0%	75.0%	55.6%	87.5%	71.4%	55.6%	83.3%	75.0%	100.0%

1993 ACADEMIES

65 LBPD (1/18/93)

Police Recru	its	56	26	8	37	19	4	8	7	0
#	70	100.0%	46.4%	14.3%	66.1%	33.9%	7.1%	14.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Academy Separations		5	2	1	3	2	0	2	0	0
Probation P.O. Separation	5	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	49	23	6	32	17	4	6	7	0
retinatient rollice Officers	%	100.0%	46.9%	12.2%	65.3%	34.7%	8.2%	12.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		87.5%	88.5%	75.0%	86.5%	89.5%	100.0%	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%

66 LBPD (9/22/93)

66 LBPD (9/22/93)						,				
Police Recru	iits o/	68	36	5	34	34	4	21	9	0
#	"	100.0%	52.9%	7.4%	50.0%	50.0%	5.9%	30.9%	13.2%	0.0%
Academy Separations		9	7	2	3	6	2	1	3	0
Probation P.O. Separation	3	3	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	56	28	3	29	27	. 2	20	5	0
remailent rouce officers	%	100.0%	50.0%	5.4%	51.8%	48.2%	3.6%	35.7%	8.9%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		82.4%	77.8%	60.0%	85.3%	79.4%	50.0%	95.2%	55.6%	0.0%

1994 ACADEMY

67 LBPD (3/21/94)

Police Recr	uits	44	16	5	. 32	12	2	7	3	0
#	70	100.0%	36.4%	11.4%	72.7%	27.3%	4.5%	15.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Academy Separations		6	3	2	5	1	0	1	0	0
Probation P.O. Separation	ıs	7	2	0	5	2	0	1	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	*	31	11	3	22	9	2	5	2	0
remanent Fonce Officers	%	100.0%	35.5%	9.7%	71.0%	29.0%	6.5%	16.1%	6.5%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		70.5%	68.8%	60.0%	68.8%	75.0%	100.0%	71.4%	66.7%	0.0%

1995 ACADEMY

69 LBPD (6/26/95)

69 LBPD (6/26/95)										
Police Recru	6/ L	34	14	4	23	11	0	8	3	0
π	7 °	100.0%	41.2%	11.8%	67.6%	32.4%	0.0%	23.5%	8.8%	0.0%
Academy Separations		4	3	1	2	2	0	2	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations		2	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	28	10	3	20	8	0	6	2	0
	%	100.0%	35.7%	10.7%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		82.4%	71.4%	75.0%	87.0%	72.7%	0.0%	75.0%	66.7%	0.0%

1996 ACADEMIES

70 LBPD (1/8/96)

Police Recrui	ts 4	4 26	10	25	19	6	6	7	0
#	100.09	6 59.1%	22.7%	56.8%	43.2%	13.6%	13.6%	15.9%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3 2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations		3 2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	3	8 22	9	22	16	5	5	6	0
	# 100.09	6 57.9%	23.7%	57.9%	42.1%	13.2%	13.2%	15.8%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	86.49	6 84.6%	90.0%	88.0%	84.2%	83.3%	83.3%	85.7%	0.0%

71 LBPD (9/30/96)

Police Recri	uits %	201	15	3	7	13	4	6	3	0
#	70	100.0%	75.0%	15.0%	35.0%	65.0%	20.0%	30.0%	15.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	0
Probation P.O. Separation	3	2	2	2	0	2	0	1	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	15	11	1	6	9	3	5	1	0
	%	100.0%	73.3%	6.7%	40.0%	60.0%	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		75.0%	73.3%	33.3%	85.7%	69.2%	75.0%	83.3%	33.3%	0.0%

1997 ACADEMY

72 LBPD (11/10/97)

Police Recru	o/L	60	33	7	30	30	6	16	7	1
#	~	100.0%	55.0%	11.7%	50.0%	50.0%	10.0%	26.7%	11.7%	1.7%
Academy Separations		8	6	1	2	6	3	3	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations		4	2	0	2	2	0	1	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	48	25	6	26	22	3	12	6	1
·	%	100.0%	52.1%	12.5%	54.2%	45.8%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	2.1%
Rate of Completion		80.0%	75.8%	85.7%	86.7%	73.3%	50.0%	75.0%	85.7%	100.0%

1998 ACADEMY

73 LBPD (6/8/98)

Police Recrui	ts %	34	19	4	17	17	4	8	5	0
# # ·	<u>"</u>	100.0%	55.9%	11.8%	50.0%	50.0%	11.8%	23.5%	14.7%	0.0%
Academy Separations		8	6	3	3	5	1	1	3	0
Probation P.O. Separations		11	7	1	5	6	2	4	0	0
Permanent Police Officers	,,	15	6	0	9	6	1	3	2	0
	%	100.0%	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		44.1%	31.6%	0.0%	52.9%	35.3%	25.0%	37.5%	40.0%	0.0%

1999 ACADEMY

74 LBPD (6/8/98)

Police Recruits	50	33	6	18	32	9	18	5	0
# 76	100.0%	66.0%	12.0%	36.0%	64.0%	18.0%	36.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	19	12	5	8	11	5	4	2	0
Probation P.O. Separations	6	4	0	2	4	0	3	1	0
Permanent Police Officers #	25	17	1	8	17	4	11	2	0
" emilianent i once omcers " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	100.0%	68.0%	4.0%	32.0%	68.0%	16.0%	44.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	50.0%	51.5%	16.7%	44.4%	53.1%	44.4%	61.1%	40.0%	0.0%

2000 ACADEMIES

75 LBPD (4/3/00)

Police Recru	iits				24	25		40		
#	%	56	28	- 5	31	. 25	3	18	0	U
		100.0%	50.0%	8.9%	55.4%	44.6%	1.8%	32.1%	10.7%	0.0%
Academy Separations		8	2	1	7	1	0	1	0	0
Probation P.O. Separation	S	7	2	1	6	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	41	24	3	18	23	1	17	5	0
remailent Folice Officers	%	100.0%	58.5%	7.3%	43.9%	56.1%	2.4%	41.5%	12.2%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		73.2%	85.7%	60.0%	58.1%	92.0%	100.0%	94.4%	83.3%	0.0%

76 LBPD (10/2/00)

Police Recrui	ts %	59	37	10	29	30	5	18	6	1
<i>#</i>	70	100.0%	62.7%	16.9%	49.2%	50.8%	8.5%	30.5%	10.2%	1.7%
Academy Separations		14	9	3	8	6	2	1	2	1
Probation P.O. Separations		8	4	1	4	4	0	3	1	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	37	24	6	17	20	3	14	3	0
	%	100.0%	64.9%	16.2%	45.9%	54.1%	8.1%	37.8%	8.1%	0.0%
Rate of Completion		62.7%	64.9%	60.0%	58.6%	66.7%	60.0%	77.8%	50.0%	0.0%

2001 ACADEMIES

77 LBPD (10/22/01)

77 LBPD (10/22/01)										
Police Recrui	ts %——	55	36	5	22	33	4	22	7	0
<i>π</i>		0.0%	65.5%	9.1%	40.0%	60.0%	7.3%	40.0%	12.7%	0.0%
Academy Separations		15	12	2	5	10	0	8	2	0
Probation P.O. Separations		8	4	0	4	4	0	2	2	0
Permanent Police Officers	#	32	20	3	13	19	4	12	3	0
	% 10	0.0%	62.5%	9.4%	40.6%	59.4%	12.5%	37.5%	9.4%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	5	3.2%	55.6%	60.0%	59.1%	57.6%	100.0%	54.5%	42.9%	0.0%

2002 ACADEMIES

78 LBPD (10/07/02)

Police Recruits # %	no.	26	5	36	24	5	11	8	0
# 70	100.0%	43.3%	8.3%	60.0%	40.0%	8.3%	18.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Lateral Police Officers	14	3	0	11	3	0	2	1	0
	100.0%	21.4%	0.0%	78.6%	21.4%	0.0%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%
Academy Separations	10	7	3	4	6	3	2	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	62	22	2	41	21	2	10	8	0
%	100.0%	35.5%	3.2%	66.1%	33.9%	3.2%	16.1%	12.9%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	83.8%	75.9%	40.0%	87.2%	77.8%	40.0%	76.9%	88.9%	0.0%

2003 ACADEMIES

79 LBPD (06/01/03)

78 EDF D (00/01/03)									
Police Recruits # %		38	10	25	36	4	24	8	0
# /0	100.0%	62.3%	16.4%	41.0%	59.0%	6.6%	39.3%	13.1%	0.0%
Lateral Police Officers	10	5	2	7	3	0	2	1	0
	100.0%	50.0%	20.0%	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations	10	7	3	4	6	1	5	0	0
Probation P.O. Separations	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Permanent Police Officers #	60	35	8	28	32	3	20	9	0
%	100.0%	58.3%	13.3%	46.7%	53.3%	5.0%	33.3%	15.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	84.5%	81.4%	66.7%	87.5%	82.1%	75.0%	76.9%	100.0%	0.0%

2004 ACADEMIES*

80 LBPD (06/03/04)

Police Recruits # %	1 84	55	20	35	49	5	39	5	0
# /d	100.0%	65.5%	23.8%	41.7%	58.3%	6.0%	46.4%	6.0%	0.0%
Lateral Police Officers	6	3	0	3	3	0	2	1	0
	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Academy Separations	19	13	10	9	10	3	6	1	0
Probation P.O. Separations	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Permanent Police Officers #	69	45	10	29	42	2	34	4	0
%	100.0%	65.2%	14.5%	42.0%	60.9%	2.9%	49.3%	5.8%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	76.7%	77.6%	50.0%	76.3%	80.8%	40.0%	82.9%	66.7%	0.0%

TOTAL FOR ALL ACADEMIES

	Total	Total White Females & Minorities	Total Females	White	Total Minorities	Black	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian
Police Recruits # %	937	519	128	494	443	94	240	104	5
70	100.0%	55.4%	13.7%	52.7%	47.3%	10.0%	25.6%	11.1%	0.5%
Lateral Police Officers	24	8	2	18	6	0	4	2	0
	100.0%	33.3%	8.3%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Academy Separations	176	115	42	84	92	32	39	20	1
Probation P.O. Separations	82	43	9	40	42	4	24	14	1
Permanent Police Officers #	703	369	79	388	315	58	181	72	3
<u></u>	100.0%	52.5%	11.2%	55.2%	44.8%	8.3%	25.7%	10.2%	0.4%
Rate of Completion	75.0%	71.1%	61.7%	78.5%	71.1%	61.7%	75.4%	69.2%	60.0%
Relevant Labor Market	%		45.1%	41.5%	58.5%	7.3%	35.6%	13.4%	0.6%

^{*} Academy class #80 is not included in the "Total" figures. Police Recruits in class #80 will be on probation until December 2005

Firefighter Recruit Academies 1993-December 31, 2004

Academy Date Total	Females & Minorities	Total Females	White	Total Minorities	Black	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian
	Total White							ĺ

1993 Academy

(11/15/93)

11111000										
Fire Recruits	#	19	13	3	9	10	6	3	1	0
	%	100.0%	68.4%	15.8%	47.4%	52.6%	31.6%	15.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Academy Separations		1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Fiefighters	#	18	12	3	9	9	5	3	1	0
	%	100.0%	66.7%	16.7%	50.0%	50.0%	27.8%	16.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	94.7%	92.3%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%

1994 Academy

(08/01/94

Fire Recruits	#	10	6	0	4	6	1	2	3	0
	%	100.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Probation FF Separation	ns	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighter	s #	9	5	0	4	5	1	2	2	0
	%	100.0%	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	90.0%	83.3%	0.0%	100.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%

1995 Academy

(10/16/95)

(10/10/95)										
Fire Recruits	#	12	5	0	7	5	2	2	1	0
	%	100.0%	41.7%	0.0%	58.3%	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
Probation FF Separation	กร	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	9	2	0	7	2	0	2	1	0
	%	100.0%	22.2%	0.0%	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	85.7%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%

1996 Academy

(02/26/96)

(02/20/90)										
Fire Recruits	#	20	9	0	11	9	4	3	2	0
	%	100.0%	45.0%	0.0%	55.0%	45.0%	20.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		5	2	0	3	2	1	1	0	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	15	7	0	8	7	3	2	2	0
	%	100.0%	46.7%	0.0%	53.3%	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	75.0%	77.8%	0.0%	72.7%	77.8%	75.0%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%

1997 Academy

(09/22/97)

Fire Recruits	#	20	10	2	11	9	4	2	3	0
	%	100.0%	50.0%	10.0%	55.0%	45.0%	20.0%	10.0%	15.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		4	1	1	3	1	1	0	0	0
Probation FF Separations		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	15		1	8	7	3	2	2	0
	%[100.0%	53.3%	6.7%	53.3%	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	75.0%	80.0%	50.0%	72.7%	77.8%	75.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%

Firefighter Recruit Academies 1993-December 31, 2004

1998 Academies

(04/13/98

(0-1/10/00)										
Fire Recruits	#	20	10	2	11	9	3	4	2	0
	%	100.0%	50.0%	10.0%	55.0%	45.0%	15.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		4	4	2	1	3	2	1	0	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	16	6	0	10	6	1	3	2	0
	%	100.0%	37.5%	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	80.0%	60.0%	0.0%	90.9%	66.7%	33.3%	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%

(11/30/98)

111100100)										
Fire Recruits	#	20	9	2	12	8	7	1	0	0
	%	100.0%	45.0%	10.0%	60.0%	40.0%	35.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		4	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	Ø
Probation FF Separations		1	1	1	0	1	1	0	O	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	15	4	1	12	3	2	1	0	0
	%	100.0%	26.7%	6.7%	80.0%	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	75.0%	44.4%	50.0%	100.0%	37.5%	28.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

2000 Academies

(01/18/00)

(01/10/00)										
Fire Recruits	#	20	10	3	13	7	2	3	1	1
	%	100.0%	50.0%	15.0%	65.0%	35.0%	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Academy Separations		1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Probation FF Separations		2	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	17	8	2	11	6	2	3	Ō	1
	%	100.0%	47.1%	11.8%	64.7%	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	0.0%	5.9%
Rate of Completion	%	85.0%	80.0%	66.7%	84.6%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(07/17/00)

011(1100)										
Fire Recruits	#	24	4	0	19	5	2	3	0	0
	%	100.0%	16.7%	0.0%	79.2%	20.8%	8.3%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probation FF Separations		3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	21	4	0	16	5	2	3	0	0
	%	100.0%	19.0%	0.0%	76.2%	23.8%	9.5%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	87.5%	100.0%	0.0%	84.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

2001 Academies

(03/05/01)

100,00,01										
Fire Recruits	#	25	14	4	15	10	2	6	2	0
	%	100.0%	56.0%	16.0%	60.0%	40.0%	8.0%	24.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		6	6	3	3	3	1	2	0	0
Probation FF Separations		2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	17	7	1	11	6	0	4	2	0
	%	100.0%	41.2%	5.9%	64.7%	35.3%	0.0%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	68.0%	50.0%	0.0%	73.3%	60.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%

(09/08/01)

Fire Recruits	#	24	12	1	13	11	3	7	1	0
	%	100.0%	50.0%	4.2%	54.2%	45.8%	12.5%	29.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Academy Separations		3	1	0	2	1	0	1	Ö	0
Probation FF Separations		1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	20	11	1	11	9	2	6	1	Ö
	%	100.0%	55.0%	5.0%	55.0%	45.0%	10.0%	30.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	83.3%	91.7%	0.0%	84.6%	81.8%	66.7%	85.7%	0.0%	

Firefighter Recruit Academies 1993-December 31, 2004

2002 Academies

(02/25/02)

(ULI LUI UL)										
Fire Recruits	#	24	4	0	20	4	1	3	0	0
	%[100.0%	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		6	3	0	3	3	1	2	0	0
Probation FF Separations		2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	16	0	0	15	1	0	1	0	0
	%[100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%

(09/09/02)

100/00/02/										
Fire Recruits	#	24	9	0	15	9	3	5	0	1
·	%[100.0%	37.5%	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	12.5%	20.8%	0.0%	4.2%
Academy Separations		5	3	0	2	3	1	2	0	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	19	6	0	13	. 6	1	3	0	1
	%	100.0%	31.6%	0.0%	68.4%	31.6%	5.3%	15.8%	0.0%	5.3%
Rate of Completion	%	79.2%	66.7%	0.0%	86.7%	66.7%	33.3%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%

2003 Academy

(10/20/03 - Laterals Only)

(10/20/03 - Laterals Offiny)										
Fire Recruits	#	9	1	0	8	1	0	1	0	0
	%	100.0%	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Academy Separations		2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
	%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	87.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

2004 Academy*

(09/07/04)

(00/0//0//										
Fire Recruits	#	28	13	3	18	10	1	4	5	0
	%	100.0%	46.4%	10.7%	64.3%	35.7%	3.6%	14.3%	17.9%	0.0%
Academy Separations		7	4	1	4	3	1	1	1	0
Probation FF Separations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Firefighters	#	21	9	2	14	7	0	3	4	0
	%	100.0%	42.9%	9.5%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	14.3%	19.0%	0.0%
Rate of Completion	%	75.0%	69.2%	0.0%	77.8%	70.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%

TOTAL FOR ALL ACADEMIES

	Total	Total White Females & Minorities	Total Females	White	Total Minorities	Black	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian
Fire Recruits #	271	116	17	168	103	40	45	16	2
%	100.0%	42.8%	6.3%	62.0%	38.0%	14.8%	16.6%	5.9%	0.7%
Academy Separations	45	31	7	19	26	14	10	1	0
Probationary F.F. Separations	12	5	1	7	5	4	0	2	0
Permanent Firefighters #	207	80	9	135	72	22	35	13	2
%	100.0%	38.6%	4.3%	65.2%	34.8%	10.6%	16.9%	6.3%	1.0%
Rate of Completion %	76.4%	69.0%	52.9%	80.4%	69.9%	55.0%	77.8%	81.3%	1.0%
Relevant Labor Market %			45.1%	41.5%	58.5%	7.3%	35.6%	13.4%	0.6%

^{*}No academy classes in 1999; 2004 Recruit class not included in total because they will be on probation until December 2005