CITY OF LONG BEACH

Development Services

Planning Bureau 411 West Ocean Boulevard, 2nd Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802 562.570.6194

Application For Appeal

An appeal is hereby made to Your Honorable Body from the decision of the
Site Plan Review CommitteeZoning AdministratorPlanning Commission
Cultural Heritage Commission
Which was taken on the 19 day of December , 20 19.
Project Address: 4200 E. Ocean Blvd.
I/We, your appellant(s), hereby respectfully request that Your Honorable Body reject the decision and Approve / Deny the application or permit in question.
ALL INFORMATION BELOW IS REQUIRED
Reasons for Appeal: RE application number 1910-05: This BBAC project is, because of the substantial changes from the earlier version, a new project, not merely a revision. There would be significant new impacts. A new EIR is required to address these impacts. All of the approvals requested should be denied.
Appellant Name(s): <u>Jeff Miller</u>
Organization (if representing)
Address: PO Box 3310
City Long Bes O 44 MA: 10 - State CA ZIP 90803 Phone 562.433.2795
City Long Bes State CA ZIP 90803 Phone 562.433.2795 Signature(s)
 A separate appeal form is required for each appellant party, except for appellants from the same address, or an appellant representing an organization. Appeals must be filed within 10 days after the decision is made (LBMC 21.21.502). You must have established aggrieved status by presenting oral or written testimony at the hearing where the decision was rendered; otherwise, you may not appeal the decision. See reverse of this form for the statutory provisions on the appeal process.
BELOW THIS LINE FOR STAFF USE ONLY
Appeal by Applicant Appeal by Third Party
Received by: S6 Case, No.: 1912-17/APLIN-WAppeal Filling Date: 12/27/19
Fee. 105.00 Fee Paid Project (receipt) No.: PLNS 472.2

LICENSED CON	TRACTORS DECLARATION		1	WORK	(ER'S COMPEN	ISATION DEC	21 ADATION		
I hereby affirm that I am licensed under provision			I have		,,				
Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and			3700 of	—I have and will maintain workers' compensation insurance, as required by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is					
License License			Issued. My workers' compensation insurance carrier and policy number are:						
Dat Contract_			Carrier:	CarrierPolicy					
OWNER	R-BUILDER DECLARATION		(This Sec	on need not be comp	leted if the permit	is for one hundre	ed dollars (\$100) or less)		
I hereby affirm that I am exempt fr reason (Sec.7031 California Business	and Professional Code: Any C	City which require	sl certify	that in the perf	ormance of the	work for w	high this permit is issued I shall		
a permit to construct, alter, improve, issuance also requires the applicant for a licensed contractor pursuant to the	, demolish or repair any struc such permit to file a signed si	cture prior to il latement that he l	e l not amr	lov anv person	in any manas	er so as to	become subject to the workers' I should become subject to the		
- (Commencing with Sec./UUI) of DIV.3 of	the B & P C3 or that he l	is exempt therefrom	m l	compensation p	rovisions of	Section 3700	of the Labor Code, I shall		
and the basis for the alleged exemption a permit subjects the applicant to	on. Any violation of Sec.7031.5	by any applicar	nt Dat		Applica _				
dollars (\$500.00).;				FAILURE TO	SECURE W	MODIZEDOL M	COMPENSATION CONTRACT IN		
compensation, will do the work and	/, or my employees with wag the structure is not intended or		, UNLAWFUL, AND SHALL SUBJECT AN EMPLOYER TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES						
{Sec.7044, B. & P. C. : The Contract	tors License Law does not apply	y to an owner o	AND CIVIL	FINES UP TO	O ONE HUN	DRED THOU	SAND DOLLARS. IN ADDITION		
property who builds or improves there his own employees, provided that suc	on, and who does such work ch improvements are not intend	himself or throug	1				PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION		
sale. If, however, the building or lar	provements is sold within one	year of completion	l mereby state	that there is a constr this permit is issued	ruction lending ag	ency for the per	formance of the		
the owner-builder will have burden of	proving that he did not build o	or improve for th	θ.]	tilla perittiit la loadet	1 (000:0001, CN.	O.j.			
• I am exempt under, B. 8	& P. C. for this		Lender's						
DatOwne			Lender's						
	- IMPORANT -		- ''	at I hown road	this applicati		- Hart Harrison Let of the		
 subject to the conditions and restrictions set fort 	th on the front faces of this application	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		agree to comp	uns application () With all	on and state Olty and Sta	e that the above information is the laws relating to the building		
benefit work is performed under or	this application is made and each	n person at whos as a result of thi	¹ ♥	and hereby a	uthorize repres	entatives of	this city to enter upon the		
application agrees to and shall indi	emnify and hold harmless the C	ity of Long Beac	h						
its officers, agents, and employees any permit from this application.	from any liability arising out o	of the Issuance	of						
2. Any permit issued as a result of	this application becomes null an	nd vold if work i	8	Signature of Own	er or Contractor		Date		
JOB ADDRESS	. ,		RECEIF		DATE	PF	ROJECT NO.		
4200 OCEAN BLVD			0365	3455	12/27		LNB47212		
JOB DESCRIPTION					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		AREA		
Appeal of Case No. 19	110-05 of the Belmo	ont Pool pi	oejct				0		
OWNER	•		OCCUP	ANCY		PLANNING			
ADDRESS		 					SPACE/PARKS		
ADDITEGG .			ASSES	SOR NO.		ZONE P			
CITY STAT	בול לול	CODE	FSB	- I S	I DOD		TOLOT		
5,7,1	Ση,	CODE	100	8	RSB	CENSUS	TRACT 577300		
APPLICANT						<u> </u>	377300		
JEFF MILLER									
CONTRACTOR							·		
·							. :		
ADDRESS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	and the second								
CITY STAT	E ZIP	CODE	PHONE	NO.		<u>-</u>			
		· ·							
STATE LICENSE NO.			CITY LICENSE	NO.	•				
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER			LICENSE NO.						
ADDRESS	·	<u> </u>							
ADDRESS				•					
CITY STAT	מול	CODE	PHONE	NO					
J	- · ZIP	JUDE	FRUNE	NO,					
VALUATION	PRESENT BLDG USE	PROPOSED	BLÓG USE	ŘI Dr	G HEIGHT	ΤV	PE OF CONSTRUCTION		
0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5.50	0		PPTHPTY		
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			. •				
•	۲								

Paid by: JEFF MILLER CK195

\$105.00 Check (CK)



Application For Appeal

An appeal is hereby made to Your Honorable Body from the decision of the
 Site Plan Review Committee Zoning Administrator Planning Commission Cultural Heritage Commission
Which was taken on the <u>19</u> day of <u>December</u> , 20 <u>19</u> .
Project Address: 4200 E. Ocean Blvd.
I/We your appellant(s) hereby respectfully request that Your Harardala Dadrest and the state of
I/We, your appellant(s), hereby respectfully request that Your Honorable Body reject the decision and Approve / Deny the application or permit in question.
ALL INFORMATION BELOW IS REQUIRED
Reasons for Appeal: See attached letter to Planning Commissioners.
Appellant Name(s): Melinda Cotton
Organization (if representing)
Address Bo B Contract
City <u>Long Be</u> Signature(s) Date 12/21/19
Signature(s) Date
 A separate appeal form is required for each appellant party, except for appellants from the same address, or an appellant representing an organization. Appeals must be filed within 10 days after the decision is made (LBMC 21.21.502). You must have established aggrieved status by presenting oral or written testimony at the hearing where the decision was rendered; otherwise, you may not appeal the decision. See reverse of this form for the statutory provisions on the appeal process.
BELOW THIS LINE FOR STAFF USE ONLY
Appeal by Applicant 🔀 Appeal by Third Party
Received by: Case. No.: 1912-18/RPLI9-013Appeal Filing Date: \2/27/19
Fee: No.00 Ree Paid Project (receipt) No. 7449 432 43

Dionne Bearden

To:

Melinda Cotton

Subject:

RE: Concerns re Planning Commission Dec. 19th Agenda re the Belmont Beach &

Aquatic Center

From: Melinda Cotton

Sent: Monday, December 09, 2019 4:59 PM

To: Dionne Bearden < Dionne. Bearden@longbeach.gov>

Subject: Concerns re Planning Commission Dec. 19th Agenda re the Belmont Beach & Aquatic Center

Hello Dionne,

Since we will be out of town and unable to attend or prepare for the Dec. 19th Planning Commission Meeting regarding Application Number 1910-05 (GPA19-001, ZCA 19-010, ZCHG19-005, SPR19-027, LCPA 19-5005, LCDP19-023, EIRA-03-19, I am submitting my comments early. Please send this e-mail on to the Planning Commissioners when you receive it, as this time of year just before Christmas is very busy.

Dear Planning Commission Chair Richard Lewis, Vice-Chair Mark Christoffels, and Commissioners Verduzco-Vega, Cruz, La Farga, Perez and Templin,

I'm concerned that the Planning Commission doesn't have all the information it needs to consider this important matter, as the Notice of this Dec 19th hearing regarding the BBAC was issued several days prior to the California Coastal Commission Staff letter with its "Notice of Incomplete Application" (attached). Please read this Coastal Commission Notice, as it contains more than four pages of needed details before Coastal Staff will be able to determine if the BBAC Application is complete.

Unfortunately, you are being asked to approve seven (7) complex documents without having the benefit of the BBAC's <u>Complete</u> Application to Coastal, and without the City's responses needed for this newly redesigned, environmentally sensitive, \$85 million dollar project. The last time the City received an Incomplete Application Notice for the BBAC (September of 2018), it took the City until November 6, 2019 (14 months) to reply (with what is now also considered an Incomplete Application). Among the many critical concerns, Sea Level rise and Climate Change are moving faster than expected, according to experts who spoke at the Aquarium of the Pacific's "Coping with Sea Level Rise With a Focus on Long Beach's Peninsula and Belmont Shore". The October 7, 2019 event, with more such seminars to follow, is cosponsored by the Aquarium (CEO Dr. Jerry Schubel) and The Nature Conservancy.

Surely the Planning Commission will want all the latest information about the scope and impacts of the proposed project. Since it will likely be some months before the City resubmits its Application to the City, and the City says it's at least \$25 million sort of the funds needed to begin the project, one has to ask: What's the rush?

I respectfully ask the Planning Commission to request the above items be delayed, and brought back after the City fully answers Coastal's many important questions.

The letter below I submitted to Coastal Staff in late November. I believe it contains additional information that is pertinent to the decisions before you.

Sincerest thanks for your attention, Melinda Cotton

From: Melinda Cotton <

Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 6:07 PM

To: Ziff, Dani@Coastal < dani.ziff@coastal.ca.gov >; zach.rehm@coastal.ca.gov < zach.rehm@coastal.ca.gov >

Subject: Concerns re latest Belmont Pool Application

Dear Dani and Zach,

I find it troubling that the City of Long Beach (and/or Coastal Commission) has not notified the many appellants of the original Belmont Pool Application of the filing of a much revised/new Application on Nov. 6th. Several of us contacted Long Beach Coastal Commission staff regularly, asking the status of the City's Application, and as a courtesy Dani Ziff notified several of us of the Nov. 6th submission. But there has been no official Public Notice so far, or official notice to the 17 Appellants - which include Coastal Commission Chair Dayna Bochco and Commissioner Effic Turnbull-Sanders. All of the appellants (see attached City document listing appellants) had numerous concerns about the original design, and surely would wish to be aware of and make comments on the much revised/new project plan, as well as the public at large.

Chair Dayne Bochco, in her 6/8/2017 Appeal Submission (see attached) noted that this "...constitutes a new development that is not coastal dependent and would result in potential adverse impacts to existing public access and recreation opportunities in conflict with the public access and recreation policies of both the certified LCP and the Coastal Act." Certainly open air swimming pools are not 'coastal dependent' as the open ocean and sandy beach are just a couple of hundred feet away. The City's existing 'temporary' Olympic size pool has ably served the area for six years for recreational swimming, lessons, water polo, etc. Since the City plans to retain the 'temporary pool', additional new pools should be located in an area such as the downtown"Elephant Lot" which has close access and easy transportation to under-served communities.

Chair Bochco also noted that ..."the City should more fully evaluate relocating the facility to an area that would not be affected by sea level rise/wave action for the expected life of the development..." The new Application states that the new design is expected to have a life of 75 years (as noted in the Moffitt & Nichol document). However Climate Change and Sea Level Rise are increasing at an advanced rate, according to experts who spoke at the Aquarium of the Pacific's "Coping with Sea Level Rise With a Focus on Long Beach's Peninsula and Belmont Shore". The October 7, 2019 event, with more such seminars to follow, is cosponsored by the Aquarium (CEO Dr. Jerry Schubel) and The Nature Conservancy. We've seen that sea level rise combined with King Tides and heavy rainfall already affect coastal beaches, vehicle access, parking lots, homes and businesses, etc. Putting a new eighty million dollar open pool structure on the coast seems foolhardy.

What seems a <u>major omission</u> from the Application are plans to rebuild the Belmont Pier for the 2028 Olympic Games, which the Olympic Committee has designated as a "centerpiece and viewing area" for the Olympic Sailing Events. Since the Belmont Pier is in very poor shape, the Mayor, City Management and Council have focused on rebuilding the Belmont Pier. At the Feb. 6, 2018 Long Beach City Council Meeting, the Council approved moving forward with what was called the "8 by 28 Plan" (see attached City Memo, additional details are available in the Minutes and Video of the Council's Feb. 6, 2018 meeting Item R-13). At the top of that list was rebuilding the Belmont Pier. The Belmont Pool was listed, **not as a venue** but to "... showcase Olympic history"...and "...used for city exhibitions and events."

(Interestingly - the Olympic Committee's artist conception of the Belmont Pier area showed the existing, tree covered Olympic Plaza Park - not a new Belmont Pool structure (see below):



Certainly the vital project of rebuilding the Belmont Pier for the Olympics (only a hundred yards or so from the Belmont Pool) will have to go through an extensive planning process, including Coastal Commission approval. And coastal access, traffic and parking needs, sea level rise, all the impacts and issues raised in the Belmont Pool EIR will need to be worked out. These two projects are interdependent, and should be evaluated together. The Application before you should not go forward without these issues sorted out, and should be addressed by a new EIR.

The Long Beach 'Beachcomber' news article below dated Nov. 28, 2018 stated:

"The city's first major infrastructure project on the list is rebuilding the Belmont Veterans Memorial Pier, Garcia said, noting that the existing pier is "nearing the end of its life" and is in "terrible shape." He said the

new pier will be rebuilt in its "original location" where Belmont Brewing Co. (BBC) is currently located and will become the "centerpiece of the sailing competition."

"Another major project is building the new Belmont Beach and Aquatic Center pool facility, which, if completed in time for the Olympics, will be used for exhibitions and activities but not for swimming or diving competitions."

(https://beachcomber.news/content/mayor-gives-update-2028-olympic-projects)

And the Belmont Shore based 'Grunion Gazette' also addressed the Belmont Pier.

http://www.gazettes.com/news/business/first-look-at-by-olympic-projects-in-long-beach/article_fc2cc366-9f55-11e8-b99a-0705a6fb4fdd.html

First Look At 8 by '28 Olympic Projects In Long Beach | Business | gazettes.com

Last February, after it had been announced that Long Beach is a large part of plans for Los Angeles to host the 2028 Olympic Games, Mayor Robert Garcia unveiled his "8 by 28" plan for capital ...

www.gazettes.com

Traffic and parking problems for coastal visitors near the Belmont Pier area will be heavily impacted by construction of either of these projects, much less both of them. And long-term impacts will be intense, as prior studies are totally out of date. The original EIR was submitted in April 2016. It includes an undated Traffic Study and 'Traffic volume' data for a few intersections that were collected in February 2016. Since then, for example, Ocean Blvd. from Termino to Bayshore was narrowed from two lanes to one in each direction starting in 2017. And the large Olympix Health Club has opened (February 2017) increasing traffic and utilizing street and beach parking lots as Olympix has no parking of its own. And of course usage of the beach and ocean has increased immeasurably, due to hotter weather, climate change, bike riding and pedestrian usage, dog beach, volley ball and kite surfers, etc. etc.

We ask your attention to these many complications and concerns, and ask the City of Long Beach to respond.

Sincerely, Melinda Cotton

35 year Belmont Shore resident

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office 301 E Ocean Blvd, Suite 300 Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 590-5071

December 6, 2019

City of Long Beach Attn: Eric Lopez 411 West Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Re: NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION

City of Long Beach; Applicant

Coastal Development Permit Application No. 5-18-0788

Mr. Lopez.

Thank you for the information provided November 26, 2019 in response to the September 7, 2018 Notice of Incomplete Application. However, as discussed in our coordination meeting with City of Long Beach staff on November 19, 2019, additional information is required to identify the scope of the proposed project—construction of the Belmont Beach and Aquatics Center—and potential impacts to coastal resources. Coastal Commission staff have determined that the following information is required in order to file the CDP application pursuant to the Commission's regulations (14 CCR Section 13056):

- I. 100' Radius and Interested Parties. The revised project plans include a new transformer, trash enclosure, and public restrooms that extend beyond the project limit shown in Revised Attachments 3 and 4. Please update the 100' radius to encompass the additional parcel and expand the project noticing radius accordingly. In addition, the 100-foot radius should not include roads. Thus, please adjust the northwest corner of the delineated project limit and 100' radius at the terminus of Termino Avenue and Allin Street. Any additional stamped and addressed envelopes required as a result of these revisions and the submitted revised interested parties list should be submitted. Please also note that the City should continue to update this Interested Parties list as additional interested parties are identified.
- II. Project Description. Please clarify the proportion of time that the proposed aquatic center would be available for public use verses unavailable for public use due to events, swim meets, and other exclusive activities. As proposed, would private businesses such as private swim, diving, or SCUBA instruction organizations, be allowed to operate at the aquatic swim center? Please also provide more specificity regarding the proposed fee schedule. Please provide a comparison of current fee schedules for regional, state, and national aquatic facilities of the same size and scope. How much will the existing Long Beach fee schedule described change, compared to the pre-existing Belmont Pool or the temporary pool?
- III. Project Plans.

- a. Architectural Plans. We note that the CDP for the temporary Belmont Pool facility will expire December 19, 2022 (with two possible one-year time extensions). The temporary pool was not designed to be a permanent facility. Are there any required measures to address potential leaking or other structural issues that may arise as the temporary pool nears its anticipated end-of-life? If so, please provide a list of such measures and/or best management practices to ensure the temporary pool may operate on a permanent basis without adversely impacting coastal resources. Please also provide site plans and a clear description of any changes to the temporary pool and associated accessory structures, including, but not limited to, the fencing, ticket booth, showers, and restrooms.
- Landscaping Plan. Please clarify the Open Space and Green Space calculations identified in the revised project description and plans, which appear to be different than the calculations included in the provided CEQA documents (page 3-25 of the Draft EIR).
- c. Drainage Plan. Was the drainage plan (Sheet 28 of the revised project plans) developed taking into consideration potential flooding, pool over-topping, and potential leakage that might result from large storm events and/or seismic activity? If so, please describe the function of the drainage system in such circumstances. If not, please provide a drainage plan that protects water quality in the event of such occurrences.
- d. Detailed Foundation Plan. Revised plan Sheets 23 and 24 include some details regarding the proposed foundations. Please clarify the total number of piles proposed and the depth to which they will extend, and provide elevations of each foundation element called out on Sheet 23 that is not included in Sheet 24. Please also depict or describe current groundwater levels and anticipated changes to the water table as sea levels rise during the life of the development. Has an updated geotechnical evaluation been conducted for the revised project? Are there alternatives that would lessen the amount of landform alteration? Please submit a copy of each relevant geotechnical report and foundation plan.
- e. Lighting Plan. Please provide elevations of the proposed lighting pole with the maximum height of each structure. Please call out the extent of proposed light output in lumens, foot candles, other standard that can be compared to lights of a similar type (e.g. stadium lights, parking lot lights, street lights, house lights). The Commission has generally required that lighting adjacent to beaches and habitat areas minimize impacts to wildlife and habitat, and avoid light spillover effects. Please describe how the proposed lighting plan minimizes impacts to coastal resources.

IV. Parking and Traffic Details.

- a. Non-automobile transportation elements. Please clarify any temporary and/or permanent changes to each existing and proposed bike path, and the number and location of new bike parking spaces proposed. Are there new Electric Vehicle charging stations and designated parking spaces proposed? Are new bus routes proposed to be created?
- b. Parking analysis. Please provide calculations showing the net gain in parking availability described by City staff during the November 19, 2019 coordination meeting. Please ensure that the elimination of the parking along Olympic Plaza is taken into consideration along with the identified gain in public parking spaces as a result of parking lot restriping and addition of spaces along Ocean Boulevard, and loss of

- parking for the conversion of the temporary pool to a permanent pool. Was the parking analysis that was provided based on a parking utilization study or other data source(s)? If so, please provide the data used.
- c. Construction impacts. Will the proposed construction impact access along Ocean Boulevard, at Olympic Plaza, to Belmont Pier, to available public parking areas, or along the existing bike paths? How are such impacts minimized? Please provide a description of any proposed traffic mitigation measures and plans with any proposed signage to ensure that public access to the beach and along the regional bike and pedestrian route remains open, to the greatest extent feasible, during construction.
- V. Updated Sea Level Rise Analysis. As depicted in the updated sea level rise analysis, portions of the proposed development, including the open green space areas, the vehicle turnaround area, and the existing temporary pool, would be inundated under the medium-high risk scenario which the consultant analyzed and mapped. Please describe the feasibility of removal of threatened structures and any adaptation measures that would be implemented if/when wave uprush, sea levels, and/or significant flooding approaches the project site. Please also describe how the pool facilities will be accessed if the nearby roads, parking lots, and beach pedestrian and bike path are temporarily or permanently inundated.
- VI. Updated Alternatives Analysis. Please provide a more detailed alternatives analysis that, at a minimum, includes an in-depth discussion of the feasibility of alternative project locations including, but not limited to, the Elephant Lot and a location outside the coastal zone closer to the communities that are intended to benefit from the proposed City-wide and Underserved Programming.
- VII. Updated Biological Surveys. The biological survey submitted on November 6, 2019 is inadequate because it was conducted outside of nesting season. Please submit a report prepared by a qualified biologist that anticipates potential impacts to bird breeding and nesting in the areas immediately adjacent to the proposed aquatics center based on the trends in the nesting data (sourced from previous biological surveys and reports conducted by the City and local bird monitoring groups) over a time period that includes the construction and operation of the existing temporary pool.
- VIII. Visual Analysis. Please compile and submit a visual analysis that includes views of the proposed development from the beach and water and along Ocean Boulevard. Ocean Boulevard is designated as a scenic route in the City's certified LCP, which also requires buildings in this area be located and designed to provide a maximum amount of unobstructed views through their sites to the beach and recreational facilities. As proposed, the transformer, trash enclosure, and restrooms are located in an area that currently contains beach and blue water views. Please address the view impacts of these structures from Ocean Boulevard and Termino Avenue in the visual analysis.

In addition, in response to elements included in the revised plans provided November 6, 2019, which were not included in the plans submitted with the original City-approved CDP/appeal, please respond to the following:

 Please describe how the proposed vehicle entrance and turnaround area is designed to accommodate larger vehicles including buses, firetrucks, and construction equipment. Please clarify whether the area will be used for parking of large vehicles or storage only.

Page 4 of 4

- 2. Please expand on the ADA access site plan and provide a description of ADA accessibility to and use of the pool facilities.
- 3. Please depict and describe the proposed function and mechanics of the proposed moveable floor.
- 4. During the November coordination meeting, the curved retaining wall was described as crossing stairs. Please clarify the function of the sunset lawn and retaining wall/crossing stairs. Please describe how deep below grade the retaining wall will be constructed.
- 5. Please provide a written description of the Utilities Plan. Please highlight new utilities or utility extensions, any changes to sewer system capacity, and proposed placement of any new utility-related structures (like the proposed fire hydrant).
- 6. General Recommendation 4 of the City's certified General Strand Policies requires a definite priority listing of capital improvements be made for long-term capital development of beach facilities. Please describe how the proposed project affects the City's capital improvement priorities. Please also provide the priority list.

Finally, we understand a hearing notice has been sent out for Planning Commission action on a number of items relating to the proposed project including a new LCDP and LCP amendment.

- Please clarify whether the proposed LCDP, if approved, would replace the previously approved LCDP (1405-01) or would be supplemental to the City-approved 2017 LCDP for the newly proposed project elements?
- Please also describe any public outreach efforts conducted by the City in visioning the
 revised project design, preparing the proposed LCP amendment, and involving the
 public in local processes. Please include any outreach to communities expected to
 benefit from the proposed City-wide and Underserved Programs.

Upon receipt of the requested materials we will proceed with determining the completeness of your application. Please do not limit your submittal to the above mentioned items. You may submit any information which you feel may help Commission staff gain a clear understanding of the scope of your project.

If you have additional questions, feel free to contact me at (562) 590-5071.

Sincerely,

Dani Ziff

Coastal Program Analyst

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SOUTH COAST DISTRICT OFFICE 200 OCEANGATE, 10TH FLOOR LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802-4416 (562) 590-5071 FAX (562) 590-5084

WWW.COASTAL.CA.GOV



CORRECTED COMMISSION NOTIFICATION OF APPEAL

June 22, 2017

To:

Mark Hungerford

City of Long Beach, Dept. of Development Services

333 W. Ocean Blvd. Long Beach, CA 90802

From:

Charles Posner

Re:

Commission Appeal No. A-5-LOB-17-0032

Please be advised that the coastal development permit decision described below has been appealed to the California Coastal Commission pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 30603 and 30625. Therefore, the decision has been stayed pending Commission action on the appeal pursuant to the Public Resources Code Section 30623.

LOCAL PERMIT #:

1405-01

APPLICANT:

City of Long Beach

DESCRIPTION:

Appeal of Local Coastal Development Permit for construction and operation

of an indoor/outdoor pool facility with adjacent café and restroom buildings

(Belmont Beach and Aquatic Center)

LOCATION:

4000 E. Olympic Plaza, Long Beach, CA 90803

LOCAL DECISION:

Approval with Special Conditions

APPELLANTS:

CA Coastal Commissioners: Bochco and Turnbull-Sanders, CARP: Ann Cantrell, Corliss Lee, Joe Weinstein, Laurie Angel, Melinda Cotton, Rae Gabelich, Renee Lawler, Susan Miller, Channel Law Group, LLP: Jamie Hall, El Dorado Audubon Society, Long Beach Area Peace Network: Anna Christensen, Long Beach Citizens for Fair Development, Inc.: Warren Blesofsky, Gordana Kajer, James Hines, Jeff Miller, Melinda Cotton, and

Susan K. Miller

DATE APPEAL FILED:

06/06/2017, 06/07/2017, 06/08/2017, and 06/09/2017

The Commission appeal number assigned to this appeal is A-5-LOB-17-0032. The Commission hearing date has not been scheduled at this time. Within 5 working days of receipt of this Commission Notification of Appeal, copies of all relevant documents and materials used in the City of Long Beach's consideration of this coastal development permit must be delivered to the South Coast District Office of the Coastal Commission (California Administrative Code Section 13112).

COMMISSION NOTIFICATION OF APPEAL

Please include copies of plans, relevant photographs, staff reports and related documents, findings (if not already forwarded), all correspondence, and a list, with addresses, of all who provided verbal testimony.

A Commission staff report and notice of the hearing will be forwarded to you prior to the hearing. If you have any questions, please contact Charles Posner at the South Coast District Office.

cc: City of Long Beach

Melvin L. Nutter, Attorney At Law

CA Coastal Commission, Effic Turnbull-Sanders

CA Coastal Commission, Dayna Bochco

CARP, Attn: Ann Cantrell

CARP, Attn: Corliss Lee

CARP, Attn: Joe Weinstein

CARP, Attn: Laurie Angel

CARP, Attn: Melinda Cotton

CARP, Attn: Rae Gabelich

CARP, Attn: Renee S. Lawler

CARP, Attn: Susan Miller

Channel Law Group, LLP, Attn: Jamie T. Hall

El Dorado Audubon Society

Long Beach Area Peace Network, Attn: Anna Christensen

Long Beach Citizens for Fair Development, Inc., Attn: Warren Blesofsky

Gordana Kajer

Jeff Miller

James Hines

Susan K. Miller

Aquatic Capital of America, Attn: Lucy Johnson

Long Beach Aquatic Capitol Foundation

Surfrider Foundation, Long Beach Chapter

Joe Geever



EMMISSION



	DECISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Meering
SECTION I.	Appellant(s)	JUN 0 8 2017
	Name, mailing address and telephone number of appellant(s):	
	Coastal Commissioners: BOCACO 200 Oceangate, Suite 1000 Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 590-5071	
SECTION II.	Decision Being Appealed	
	Name of local/port government. City of Long Beach	en e
	Brief description of development being appealed. Construction as the Belmont Beach and Aquatic Center, an indoor/outdoor pool facadjacent care and restroom buildings.	d operation of ility with
	Development's location (street address, assessor's parcel not eross 4000 E. Olympic Plaza, City of Long Beache Los Angeles County	strect, etc.):
4)	Description of decision being appealed:	
	a. Appioval; no special conditions:	
	b. Approval with special conductors). XX	
	for jungdictions with a total LCP, denial decisions by a local government is a major energy of public work lears was by port government; are not appealable;	stinenticannot je s project. Denigl
TO BE COMP	<u>LETED BY COMMISSION:</u>	
APPEAL NO	<u>: A-6-LOB-17-0032</u>	
DATE FILED	<u>June 9, 2017</u>	
DISTRICT:	South Coast	

	Decision being appealed was made by the Decision being appealed was made by
	a. Planning Director/Zoning Administratel.
	b. City Council/ Board of SuperVisors : X
	c. Planning Commission
	d. Others.
6,	Date of local government's decision: <u>May 16: 2017</u>
7. Santana di Panganananananananananananananananananan	Local government's file number: 1405-01
SECTION III.	Identification of Other Interested Persons
	ames and addresses of the following parties. onal paper as necessary.)
- <u>Cı</u> <u>33</u>	me and mailing address of permit applicant: ty of Long Beach 3 West Ocean Boulevard, 13 th Floor ng Beach, CA 90802
Ør (nes and mailing addresses as available of those who testified (either verbally a writing) at the city/county/port hearing(s). Include other parties which you wro be interested and should receive notice of this appeal.
â,	
b i	
	a section of the sect

SECTION IV. Reasons Supporting This Appeal

The proposed project, as approved by the City, raises several substantial issues in regards to consistency with the certified LCP and the public access and recreation policies of the Coastal. Act.

The approved 425,500 sq. ft. swimming pool complex, cute, and 55,745 sq. ft. park on a 5.8-acre beachfront site in the Belmont Shore area of Long Beach constitutes new development that is not coastal dependent and would result in potential adverse impacts to existing public access and recreation opportunities in conflict with the public access and recreation proteins of both the certified LCP and the Coastal Act. In addition, the project does not comply with the height restrictions for new development in the area and would result in potential adverse impacts to public views and visual resources in conflict with the policies and provisions of the City's LCP.

The primary issue raised by the project is that although the beach is currently wide enough that the structure would most likely be safe from wave action in the immediate future, given sea level rise, the new pool facility is expected to be directly subject to wave action and shoreline crossion during the structure's expected life. The project appears to be designed to likely ensure the structure's stability (from an engineering perspective) once the area of the beach where the seaward portion of the structure is located becomes mundated due to sea level rise, however, this would be achieved by utilizing a substantial foundation that would both extend not only below the expected scour level of the beach but would also be built up relatively high in elevation. This foundation itself would act effectively as a seawall in that it would fix the back of the beach (no landward migration of the sandy beach would be possible because the structure would "fix" the back of the beach and, thus, the seaward portion of the structure would eventually be expected to be located in the water with little or no sandy beach seaward of the pool facility) which, in the long run, could adversely impact public access along the beach inconsistent with the public access/recreation policies of the City's certified LCP, as well as the Coastal Act

Commission staff has previously informed the City in multiple meeting, phone calls, and letters that the City should more fully evaluate relocating the facility to an area that would not be affected by sea level rise/wave action for the expected life of the development, consistent with the public access/recreation policies of the City's LCP and the Coastal Act, the Commission's Sea Level Rise foundance Document, and with Section 30235 of the Coastal Act, which the Containssion interprets to mean that new development should be sited and designed to not require shoreline protective devices (or in the case, where the siructure itself has been designed in a manner that the structure itself would effectively function as a shoreline protective device with the same potential impacts to the beach and public access)

invaddition, the height of the 78 ft, high structure significantly exceeds the 23 ft, height limit for development on site pursuant to the City's LCP; thus, as approved by the City, the project would be inconsistent with the height limits for new development in this area and would result in coloring the color inconsistent with the visual resources along the color inconsistent with the visual resource colors are proposed to be colored.

SECTION	<u>Certification</u>	
The Information	in and facts stated above are correct to the best of my/gur knowled	jų.
- Agrial	re of Appellant(s) on Authorized Agent Date	
Signat	e of Appellant(s) or Authorized Agent. Date	

LICENSED CO	NTRACTORS DECLARATION			WOR	KER'S COMPE	ENSATION DE	CLADATION		
I hereby affirm that I am Ilcensed under provisi Section 7000} of Division 3 of the Business an	ions of Chapter 9 (Commencing with d Professional Code, and my license is		3700 of the	d will main e Labor Co	tain workers' de, for the pa	compensation i	insurance, as required by Section		
~·		<u> </u>		issued. My workers' compensation insurance carrier and policy number are: Carrier: Policy					
	R-BUILDER DECLARATION		_			•• •			
I hereby affirm that I am exempt reason (Sec.7031 California Business a permit to construct, alter, improvissuance also requires the applicant for a licensed contractor pursuant to the (Commencing with Sec.7000 of Div.3 c and the basis for the alleged exempt for a permit subjects the applicant idollars (\$500.00).	from the Contractors License L and Professional Code: Any e, demollsh or repair any si r such permit to file a signed provisions of the Contractors of the B. & P. C.) or that he	City which requi tructure prior to statement that he License Law (Cr is exempt therefr 1.5 by any applic e than five hund	res — I certify that not employ is compensation workers' comment Dat — Dat — Compensation of the compensat	it in the pe any person I laws of (mpensation	orformance of the in any man California, and provisions of Applica	he work for winer so as to agree that if Section 3700	ed dollars (\$100) or less) which this permit is issued, I shall become subject to the workers' I should become subject to the of the Labor Code, I shall		
compensation, will do the work and (Sec.7044, B. & P. C.: The Contra property who builds or improves there his own employees, provided that su sate. If, however, the building or in the owner-builder will have burden of	the structure is not intended ctors. License Law does not apeon, and who does such work uch improvements are not intermprovements is sold within one	or offered for s uply to an owner thimself or throunded or offered year of completic	ale UNLAWFUL, A of AND CIVIL FI TO THE COS for on, the work for which this	ND SHALL NES UP T OF COM there is a cons	SUBJECT TO ONE HU MPENSATION I	AN EMPLOY INDRED THOU DAMAGES AS agency for the per	ER TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES JSAND DOLLARS, IN ADDITION PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION		
I am exempt under, B. DatOwne			Lender's Lender's		***************************************				
Application is hereby made to the subject to the conditions and restrictions set for the person upon whose behalf benefit work is performed under or application agrees to and shall into its officers, agents, and employees any permit from this application. 2. Any permit issued as a result of	rth on the front faces of this application this application is made and expure to any permit issued demnify and hold harmless the form any liability arising out	ach person at who as a result of t City of Long Bea of the Issuance	mit certify that correct. ag construction, and of	ree to con d hereby	nply with all.	City and Sta esentatives of	e that the above information is ate laws relating to the building this city to enter upon the		
JOB ADDRESS			RECEIPT		DATE				
4200 OCEAN BLVD	•	r	036534		12/27		ROJECT NO. LNB47213		
JOB DESCRIPTION					<u> </u>	· · - ·	AREA		
Appeal of Case No. 19	910-05 of the Belm	<u>iont</u> Pool p	roject			•	. 0		
OWNER .			OCCUPAN	CY		PLANNING OPEN	SPACE/PARKS		
ADDRESS CITY STAT	-	D.000-	ASSESSOF			ZONE P			
APPLICANT:	E 21	P CODE	FSB	S	RSB	CENSUS	577300		
MELINDA COTTON									
CONTRACTOR				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
ADDRESS									
CITY STAT	E Z	P CODE	PHONE NO	,					
STATE LICENSE NO.			CITY LICENSE NO.		***	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·		
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER			LICENSE NO.						
ADDRESS		,			<u> </u>		·		
CITY STAT	E ZII	PCODE	PHONE NO				·		
VALUATION 0.00	PRESENT BLDG USE	PROPOSED	BLDG USE	BLD	G HEIGHT O		PE OF CONSTRUCTION PPTHPTY		
LEGAL DESCRIPTION									

Paid by: MELINDA COTTON CK3331

\$105.00 Check (CK)

105.00 105.00 Appeal by Third Party 15294335

CHECK



Application For Appeal

An appeal is h	ereby made to Y	our Honorable	Body from the	decision of the
Č O	Site Plan Reviev Zoning Administ Planning Commi Cultural Heritage	rator ission		
Which was t	taken on the 19	day of <u>De</u>	cember	, 20 <u>19</u>
Project Address: 4200 I	I. Ocean Blvd	•		
I/We, your appellant(s), he and Approve / Den	reby respectfully y the application	request that Yo or permit in qu	our Honorable estion.	Body reject the decision
	ALL INFORM	ATION BELOW IS REQUI	RED	
Reasons for Appeal: BB changes. Needs a need Project has a Notice Plans have substant neighborhood. Lack zoning must include Also question bunglemeeting of Dec. 19,	ew EIR not and ender of Incompletial negative of Public out naming park	EIR Addendete from Co impact to treach on n replacemen	um. astal Commi coastal, er ew plans. (t at double	ission staff. nvironment and Change of park original plan.
Appellant Name(s): <u>susar</u>	Miller			
Organization (if representi	ng)			
Address: 4217 East Oc	ean Blvd.			
City Long Beach Signature(s)	ant, State Cz	ZIP <u>90</u>	803 Phone <u>:</u>	562-434-2109 Date <u>12/21/2019</u>
same address, or aAppeals must be fileYou must have esta	n appellant repres ed within 10 days ablished <i>aggrieve</i> lecision was rend	senting an orga after the decis <i>d</i> status by pre ered; otherwise	anization. Jion is made (L Senting oral or e, you may no	r written testimony at the t appeal the decision.
	BELOW THIS L	INE FOR STAFF US	E ONLY	
_ Арре	al by Applicant	🔀 Appeal	by Third Part	ty
Received by:			-	Date: 12/27/19
Fee: 105.00	Fee Paid		ipt) No.: PL	

LICENSED CONTRACTORS DECLARATION		VORKER'S COMPE	NSATION DE	CI ARATION		
I hereby affirm that I am Ilcensed under provisions of Chapter 9 (Commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professional Code, and my Ilcense is License Contract OWNER-BUILDER DECLARATION I hereby affirm that I am exempt from the Contractors License Law for the following reason (Sec.7031 California Business and Professional Code: Any City which requires a permit to construct, alter, improve, demotish or repeir any structure prior to its issuance also requires the applicant for such permit to file a signed statement that he is a fleensed contractor pursuant to the provisions of the Contractors License Law (Ch.9) (Commencing with Sec. 7000 of Divisions of the Research Contractors License Law (Ch.9)	I certify that in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person in any manner so as to become subject to the workers' compensation laws of California, and agree that if I should become subject to the					
and the basis for the alleged exemption. Any violation of Sec.703.1.5 by any applicant for a permit subjects the applicant to a civil penalty of not more than five hundred collars (\$500.00): I as owner of the property, or my employees with wages as their sole compensation, will do the work and the structure is not intended or offered for sale (Sec.7044, B. & P. C.: The Centractors License Law does not apply to an owner of property who builds or improves thereon, and who does such work himself or through his own employees, provided that such improvements are not intended or offered for sale. If, however, the building or improvements is sold within one year of completion, the owner-builder will have burden of proving that he did not build or improve for the	Dat Applica Applica Applica WARNING: FAILURE TO SECURE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE IS UNLAWFUL, AND SHALL SUBJECT AN EMPLOYER TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND CIVIL FINES UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, IN ADDITION TO THE COST OF COMPENSATION DAMAGES AS PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION I hereby state that there is a construction lending agency for the performance of the					
I am exempt under, B. & P. C. for this	Lender's			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DatOwne	correct. I agree to construction, and heret	comply with all by authorize repre	City and Sta			
2. Any permit issued as a result of this application becomes null and void if work is JOB ADDRESS		f Owner or Contractor		Date		
4200 OCEAN BLVD	03653468	12/27		ROJECT NO. PLNB47214		
Appeal of Case No. 1910-05 of the Belmont Pool pro	vicet		<u>l</u>	AREA		
OWNER	OCCUPANCY		PLANNING	0		
ADDRESS				SPACE/PARKS		
•	ASSESSOR NO.		ZONE P			
CITY STATE ZIP CODE	FSB S	RSB	CENSUS	TRACT 577300		
APPLICANT SUSAN MILLER			<u></u>			
CONTRACTOR						
ADDRESS	· .		·			
CITY STATE ZIP CODE	PHONE NO.	-				
STATE LICENSE NO.	ITY LICENSE NO.					
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LI	CENSE NO.		-			
ADDRESS						
CITY STATE ZIP CODE	PHONE NO.					
VALUATION PRESENT BLDG USE PROPOSED BL	LDG USE	BLDG HEIGHT		PE OF CONSTRUCTION PPTHPTY		
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	L		17			

Paid by: SUSAN MILLER CK3280

\$105.00 Check (CK)

105.00

CHECK

Development Services
Planning Bureau
411 West Ocean Boulevard, 2nd Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802
562.570.6194

Application For Appeal

An appeal is bereby made to Veyr Hanarable Dady from the desision of the
An appeal is hereby made to Your Honorable Body from the decision of the Site Plan Review Committee Zoning Administrator Planning Commission Cultural Heritage Commission
Which was taken on the <u>19</u> day of <u>December</u> , 20 <u>19</u> .
Project Address: 4200 East Olympic Plaza
I/We, your appellant(s), hereby respectfully request that Your Honorable Body reject the decision and Approve / Deny the application or permit in question.
ALL INFORMATION BELOW IS REQUIRED
Reasons for Appeal: New Outdoor Belmont Beach and Aquatic Center lacks sufficient life cycle financial analysis to make informed decision. Analysis should not only examine initial acquisiton costs but importantly probable operating, maintaining, supporting costs against potential revenue and stakeholders' monetary committments. Cash flow and net subsidies should be determined and assessed relative to future city budgets with detailed basis of estimates, assumptions, groundrules documented. Careful consideration must be given to taxpayer financial realities. Pool application number 1910-05
Appellant Name(s): James Hines
Organization (if representing) self
Address: 386 Los Altos Avenue
City Long Beach State CA ZIP 90814 Phone 562-985-3207
Signature(s) Date
 A separate appeal form is required for each appellant party, except for appellants from the same address, or an appellant representing an organization. Appeals must be filed within 10 days after the decision is made (LBMC 21.21.502). You must have established aggrieved status by presenting oral or written testimony at the hearing where the decision was rendered; otherwise, you may not appeal the decision. See reverse of this form for the statutory provisions on the appeal process.
BELOW THIS LINE FOR STAFF USE ONLY
Appeal by Applicant Appeal by Third Party Received by: MC Case. No.: 1910 - 05 (APLI9 -) Appeal Filing Date: 12/30/19 Fee: \$105.00 Project (receipt) No.: PLNB47217
Lange 21 (and Am No.

Statutory Provisions for Appeal, from LBMC Chapter 21.21 (Administrative Procedures)

Division V. - Appeals

21.21.501 - Authorization and jurisdiction.

- A. Authorization. Any aggrieved person may appeal a decision on any project that required a public hearing.
- B. Jurisdiction. The Planning Commission shall have jurisdiction on appeals of interpretations made pursuant to Section 21.10.045 and decisions issued by the Zoning Administrator and Site Plan Review Committee, and the City Council shall have jurisdiction on appeals from the Planning Commission as indicated in Table 21-1. Decisions lawfully appealable to the California Coastal Commission shall be appealed to that body.
- 21.21.502 Time to file appeal. An appeal must be filed within ten (10) days after the decision for which a public hearing was required is made.
- **21.21.503 Form of filing.** All appeals shall be filed with the Department of Planning and Building on a form provided by that Department.
- 21.21.504 Time for conducting hearing of appeals. A public hearing on an appeal shall be held:
- A. In the case of appeals to the City Planning Commission, within sixty (60) days of the date of filing of the appeal with the Department of Planning and Building; or
- B. In the case of appeals to the City Council, within sixty (60) days of the receipt by the City Clerk from the Department of Planning and Building of the appeal filed with the Department.
- **21.21.505 Findings on appeal.** All decisions on appeal shall address and be based upon the same conclusionary findings, if any, required to be made in the original decision from which the appeal is taken.

21.21.506 - Finality of appeals.

- A. Decision Rendered. After a decision on an appeal has been made and required findings of fact have been adopted, that decision shall be considered final and no other appeals may be made except:
 - Projects located seaward of the appealable area boundary, as defined in Section 21.25.908 (Coastal Permit—Appealable Area) of this title, may be appealed to the California Coastal Commission; and
 - Local coastal development permits regulated under the city's Oil Code may be appealed to the city council.
- B. No Appeal Filed. After the time for filing an appeal has expired and no appeal has been filed, all decisions shall be considered final, provided that required findings of fact have been adopted.
- C. Local Coastal Development. Decisions on local coastal development permits seaward of the appealable area shall not be final until the procedures specified in Chapter 21.25 (Coastal Permit) are completed.



City of Long Beach 411 W. Ocean Blvd., 3rd Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Visit us at longbeach.gov/lbds



This information is available in alternative format by request at 562.570.3807.

For an electronic version of this document, visit our website at longbeach.gov/lbds.

LICENSED CO	NTRACTORS DECLARATION			WOR	KER'S COMPI	ENSATION D	DECLARATION	
I hereby affirm that I am licensed under provisi Section 7000} of Division 3 of the Business and License License License License Contract OWNE I hereby affirm that I am exempt the reason (Sec.7031 California Business a permit to construct, alter, improvisional success a permit to construct alter, improvisional success a permit to provide the applicant for a ficensed contractor pursuant to the (Commencing with Sec.7000 of Div.3 cand the basis for the alleged exempt for a permit subjects the applicant dollars (\$500.00): I as owner of the propert compensation, will do the work and (Sec.7044, B. & P. C.: The Contractor pursuant to the his own employees, provided that sustale. If, however, the building or in the owner-builder will have burden of I am exempt under Application is hereby made to the subject to the conditions and restrictions set for 1. Each person upon whose behalf benefit work is performed under or application agrees to and shall incide its officers, agents, and employees any permit from this application.	— J certify that in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person in any manner so as to become subject to the workers' compensation laws of California, and agree that if I should become subject to the workers' compensation provisions of Section 3700 of the Labor Code, I shall Dat							
2. Any permit issued as a result of	this application becomes null an	nd void if work I	s Si	gnature of Own	er or Contractor			ate
4000 OLYMPIC PLZ			03653		DATE 12/30		PROJECT NO. PLNB47217	,
Appeal of Belmont Pool owner	ol Project		OCCUPAN	CY		PLANNIN))
ADDRESS			ASSESSOF	R NO.		ZONE P	N OF ACE/FA	AINNO .
CITY STAT	E ZIP	CODE	FSB	S	RSB	_	S TRACT 577300	
JAMES HINES CONTRACTOR								
ADDRESS	,	·						
CITY STAT	E ZIP	CODE	PHONE NO	· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
STATE LICENSE NO.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CITY LICENSE NO.					
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER			LICENSE NO.					
ADDRESS								
CITY STATE	E ZIP	CODE	PHONE NO					
VALUATION 0.00	PRESENT BLDG USE	PROPOSED	BLDG USE	BLDC	HEIGHT		TYPE OF CONSTRU APPTHPTY	CTION
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	•		<u> </u>	-				

Paid by: JAMES E. HINES CK 209

\$105.00 Check (CK)

105.00 105.00 Appeal by Third Party 15296193

CHECK