

Notes regarding our **CITIZEN'S POLICE COMPLAINT COMMISSION**

I am proposing **6 CHANGES** to our commission's By-Laws, to make this commission, **MUCH MORE TRANSPARENT**, which the public has said repeatedly, **WE SHOULD BE!**

First: The **Commissioner's report** will be announced monthly, as it is now, during our second open session, i.e.: by **CASE NUMBER**, the **NUMBER OF OFFICER(S) INVOLVED**, by **ALLEGATION**, by **VERDICT TYPE** and **VOTE**. This **SAME INFORMATION** will be given in the same manner, in the **CITY MANAGER'S** report, during **FIRST SESSION** of the **NEXT MONTH'S MEETING** (minus the vote) and will state if this agrees with the commissioner's findings, with notes regarding any City Manager's decision changes from the commission's findings.

Second: The City Manager's decisions on **ALL CASES** from the previous month **MUST BE COMPLETED** and available by the **NEXT REGULAR MEETING**.

Third: Upon opening a case, a letter will be sent to the Complainant from the CPCC with **ALL ALLEGATIONS** that will be **REVIEWED** by the **COMMISSIONER'S** for transparency.

Fourth: **ALL CASES** will be reviewed and **INITIAL** decisions made by the commission, by the **9th month** after the **ORIGINAL FILING DATE**, whether received by the CPCC or LBPD I.A.

Fifth: **ALL "NFA"** - NO FURTHER ACTION - cases will be reviewed by a **DESIGNATED COMMISSIONER**, along with the Executive Director, **PRIOR** to letters being sent to the **COMPLAINANT(S)** regarding their case's status.

And finally..... **Sixth:** The finding of **"OTHER"** needs to be revised back to **"Training/Other"** as it was until June 2018.

CPCG FINDINGS

AS DEFINED BY THE COMMISSION

RECEIVE & FILE

When information is received which, on its face, does not establish misconduct it is received and filed. The case may be reopened if further information or evidence is submitted within a year of the department's discovery of the alleged act.

UNFOUNDED

The investigation indicates the alleged act did not occur. By way of example, there is no information or evidence that supports the allegation, the complainant has been found to be untruthful, or the individual named on the complaint was not involved.

EXONERATED

The investigation indicates the alleged act did occur, but the actions taken were justified, lawful and proper.

NOT SUSTAINED

The investigation fails to disclose sufficient evidence to prove the alleged act. By way of example, the officers deny the allegation and there are no independent or unbiased witnesses to support the complainant's allegation.

SUSTAINED

The investigation indicates by a preponderance of evidence the alleged act occurred and said act constitutes misconduct.

OTHER/TRAINING

The investigation indicates the alleged act occurred, but fails to rise to the level of misconduct and would be most appropriately handled by training or other means.

RE-INVESTIGATE

When new information comes to the attention of the Commission or Commissioners, or additional witnesses have been identified and were not interviewed during the initial investigation, the case could be classified as "Re-Investigate."