CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

JULY 20, 2006

STUDY SESSION A study session was held at 12:00pm to discuss the issue of the Condominium Conversion Fee.

The regular meeting of the City Planning Commission and public hearing reconvened on July 20, 2006, at 1:35pm in the City Council Chambers, 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, CA.

PRESENT: COMMISSIONERS: Matthew Jenkins, Charles Greenberg,

Morton Stuhlbarg, Nick Sramek, Charles Winn, Leslie Gentile

ABSENT: EXCUSED: Mitchell Rouse

CHAIRMAN: Matthew Jenkins

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Suzanne Frick, Director

Greg Carpenter, Planning Manager Angela Reynolds, Advance Planning

Derek Burnham, Planner Scott Mangum, Planner Jeff Winklepleck, Planner

Jamilla Vollmann, Redevelopment Agency

OTHERS PRESENT: Mike Mais, Deputy City Attorney

Beth Stochl, Housing Services Manager

Bonnie Lowenthal, Vice Mayor

Jae Von Klugh, Redevelopment Agency

Marcia Gold, Minutes Clerk

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was led by Commissioner Winn.

MINUTES

The minutes of May 18, 2006 were approved on a motion by Commissioner Winn, seconded by Commissioner Stuhlbarg and passed 5-0-1. Commissioner Greenberg abstained, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

Commissioner Winn asked that the minutes of June 1, 2006 accurately reflect his comment that the City should look at the retirement perks given by private industry.

Commissioner Winn moved to approve the minutes of June 1, 2006 as amended. Commissioner Greenberg seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

SWEARING OF WITNESSES

CONSENT CALENDAR

The Consent Calendar was approved as presented by staff on a motion by Commissioner Sramek, seconded by Commissioner Stuhlbarg and passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

1A. Case No. 0506-33, Conditional Use Permit, Local Coastal Development Permit, CD 05-125

Applicant: Cinqular Wireless c/o Bechtel Communications

Kendrick Ayres

Subject Site: 5100 The Toledo (Council District 3)

Description: A Conditional Use Permit and a Local Coastal Development permit to construct and maintain a roof-mounted cellular and personal communication services facility, consisting of twelve panel antennas within an existing 64' high bell tower with roof-mounted accessory equipment.

Withdrawn at the request of the applicant and continued indefinitely.

Case No. 0603-93, Tentative Tract Map, Condominium 1B. Conversion, CE 06-55

Applicant: Yung's Holdings, LLC

Subject Site: 1906-1910 E. 6th Street (Council District 2) Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map No. 066070 to convert five residential dwelling units of an existing apartment building into condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map. No. 066070 subject to conditions.

Case No. 0604-27, Tentative Tract Map, Condominium 1C. Conversion, CE 06-79

Nady Hebish c/o Ken Davis Applicant:

Subject Site: 1141 Junipero Avenue (Council District 2) Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map Description: No. 066649 for the conversion of eight apartment units into

condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map No. 066649 subject to conditions.

1D. Case No. 0605-05, Conditional Use Permit, CE 06-87

Applicant: Royal Street Communications

c/o PermitMe-Sandra Steele, Representative

Subject Site: 8105-8195 E. Wardlow Rd. (Council District 5)

Description: A Conditional Use Permit to construct and

maintain a ground-mounted cellular and personal

communication services facility, consisting of a 60' high monopole antenna structure designed as a pine tree with

accessory equipment.

Approved the Conditional Use Permit request.

1E. Case No. 0605-09, Conditional Use Permit, CE 06-91

Applicant: Anthony Morris & Edgar Marquez Subject Site: 737 W. Pacific Coast Highway

(Council District 3)

Conditional Use Permit to allow the Description: establishment of a tattoo studio within an existing

commercial retail strip center.

Continued to the August 3, 2006 meeting as requested by the applicant.

Case No. 0512-22, Tentative Tract Map, Condominium Conversion, CD 05-272

Applicant: David Ramirez

Subject Site: 2524-30 and 2540-46 E. 10th Street

(Council District 2)

Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map No. 064968 to convert eight residential dwelling units of an existing apartment building into condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map No. 064968 subject to conditions.

Case No. 0603-58, Tentative Tract Map, Condominium 1G. Conversion, CE 06-58

Applicant: Larry Getzoff & Hugo Lerner

Subject Site: 1027 Newport Avenue (Council District 4)

Description: Approval of Tentative Tract Map No. 066419 to convert an existing eight unit apartment building into condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map No. 066419 subject to conditions.

CONTINUED ITEMS

The following item was heard out of order:

3. Case No. 0601-11, Amendment to Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations, CE 06-120

Applicant: City of Long Beach

Suzanne Frick, Dir. Planning & Building

Subject Site: Citywide

Description: Proposed amendment to the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations to establish a fee related to Condominium Conversions to support the Housing Trust Fund.

Angela Reynolds summarized the study session and presented the staff report requesting Commission review and a recommendation to establish a new fee on condominium conversions.

Chris Christensen, 4817 Palm Avenue, Suite I, La Mesa, CA 91944, developer, said he felt the fee was excessive and would discourage further redevelopment of existing, aging housing stock, which when converted, gave the City more affordable housing in the long-term, along with neighborhood stabilization and an increased tax base.

Michael Dixon, 224 Natrick Avenue #1, spoke against the fee, saying he thought it would decrease the affordable housing stock.

Caitlin Lynch, 3345 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1005, Los Angeles 90010, representing the Southern California Association of Non-Profit Housing, expressed support for the fee to preserve affordable housing and secure funding for more.

Todd Hawke, 1300 E. 1^{st} Street, developer, spoke against the fee, especially if retroactive, saying he felt it was burdensome to smaller developers.

Sandra Kroll, 5280 Atherton Street #138, expressed concern that the loss of rental units would present added hardships to low-

income residents that might be offset in part by a viable, healthy housing trust fund fed by the conversion fee.

Adil Kardmalli, 23 Castille, Irvine, independent developer, said he was working in Long Beach to upgrade the City and was opposed to any fee imposition since he felt it would lead to slowing conversions and therefore increased home costs.

Ray Clark, 2015 E. Broadway, said he was against the fee because condo conversions upgraded existing properties and neighborhoods, creating new home ownership opportunities, and this would stop if the developers were unable to pass on the additional costs.

Bob Hildebrand, 555 Maine Avenue #306, expressed support for the condo conversion fee, saying that the Housing Trust Fund would help create more affordable housing, and he suggested that the Fund be boosted with contributions from the RDA and perhaps public bonds.

Elina Green, 2651 Elm Avenue, Suite 100, also expressed support for a fee that would reflect increasing housing costs, saying she thought ongoing condo conversions were having an adverse, gentrification effect on the City.

Kevin Cwayna, 7120 E. Mezzanine Way, expressed concern that the fee might slow down conversions and eventually the local economy if the costs could not be passed on. Dr. Cwayna suggested that overall condo conversions be limited to preserve low- and moderate-income housing stock.

Heather Bradley, 8009 E. Damar Street, real estate professional, said she felt the condo market was saturated; prices were dropping, and that relocation fees were already hurting small developers.

Laura Rodriguez, 42 W. 49th Street, low-income resident, expressed support for the fee.

Karen Hudson, 1650 Ximeno Avenue #120, Coldwell Banker representative, expressed opposition to the fee, saying it would force the smaller developers to go elsewhere.

David Lines, 30902 Clubhouse Drive, Laguna Niguel, apartment building owner, said he was not opposed to paying his fair share of the Housing Trust Fund, but he wanted to see the fee spread out among all involved in the conversion process. Mr. Lines

also suggested adjusting the conversion rules to allow low-income tenants to purchase their units.

Maria Deesey, 1901 E. Ocean, supported the fee, given the waiting list of low-income families for Section 8 housing.

Tom Wertzel, 244 Redondo, small developer, opposed the fee saying that it would inhibit business especially if retroactive, and impart a negative message to smaller developers who are instrumental in upgrading neighborhoods with their conversions.

Laura Sanchez, 3759 Orange Avenue, said she supported the idea of the fee to fund the Housing Trust Fund, and felt the cost could easily be passed on to buyers.

Bonnie Lowenthal, Vice Mayor, City of Long Beach, expressed support for a percentage-based condo conversion fee, saying that this would capture more from upscale developments. Ms. Lowenthal added that most other large cities already had such a fee structure in place, which she commented was an appropriate mechanism to fund the HTF, which would in the end create more home ownership opportunities at all income levels.

Katie Della Donna, no address given, developer, expressed opposition to the fee because she felt it would negatively impact the small developer. Ms. Della Donna said that increased code enforcement action would create more funds for the City.

Commissioner Winn stated that he could not support the amendment because he did not feel that the amount of money generated by this fee would be sufficient to even begin to successfully address affordable housing and education needs.

Commissioner Gentile agreed that imposition of this fee on a relatively small number of units would be an insufficient solution, whereas a small annual tax increase might generate much more.

Deputy City Attorney Mais pointed out that it would be problematic to attach any fee to property taxes, which would require a vote by the general population.

Beth Stochl, Housing Services Manager, noted that affordable housing has a deed restriction for 30-year resident with a maximum income 150% of the county median since HTF assists up to that.

Commissioner Stuhlbarg said he felt that even a small fee would help bolster the Housing Trust Fund and be a move in the right direction.

Commissioner Sramek pointed out that condo conversion policies were reexamined yearly, and he felt that these conversions used a very low percentage of the overall housing stock. Mr. Sramek suggested that the Housing Trust Fun focus on turning renters into homeowners via special exemptions.

A discussion followed which resulted in the crafting of the final motion. Commissioner Greenberg commented that the cost should not be front-loaded onto the developers, which could lead to the loss of construction loans, and that the fee would be less consequential if it was more equitably applied, but at this point, there seemed to be no alternative. Mr. Greenberg added that although he preferred to wait until all the issues were clarified, if this fee turned out to be problematic, the Commission could deal with it at a later date.

Commissioner Stuhlbarg then moved, seconded by Commissioner Sramek, to recommend that the City Council adopt the amendment to the Zoning Ordinance with the following revisions:

- That the condominium conversion fee be 1.5 percent of the sales price, to be applied to completed applications only as of July 20, 2006;
- That the fee be collected through escrow upon the sale of each individual unit, but not later than 18 months after final map approval;
- That the fee be provided exclusively to the Housing Trust Fund with exemptions listed in the proposed ordinance
- That condominium conversion projects providing statedefined affordable housing units for sale will be exempt from a portion of the fees, dependent on the affordability rate and number of units deed-restricted for such purpose as determined by the Housing Services Bureau.

The motion passed 5-1, with Commissioner Winn dissenting. Commissioners Rouse was absent.

2. Case No. 0605-35, Site Plan Review, Standards Variance, Tentative Map, General Plan Conformity 6-15-06, Mitigated Negative Declaration 08-05

Applicant: Peter Zak - Lyon Realty Advisors
Subject Site: 210 E. 3rd Street (Council District 2)
Description: Request for approval of a Finding of General
Plan Conformity for a proposed alley vacation and Site Plan
Review, Standards Variance and Vesting Tentative Map No.
064636 to construct a five-story mixed-use development and
parking structure with 104 residential units, approximately
15,000 square feet of commercial space and 400 parking
spaces.

Jamilla Vollman presented the staff report recommending approval of the requests since the proposed project is attractively designed and complies with PD-30 development standards; because it will add quality dwelling units to the housing stock downtown; since no significant negative environmental impacts were identified, and because the design of the project has been approved subject to conditions by the RDA.

Jae Von Klugh, Redevelopment Agency, stated that they preferred that affordable family-type housing be encouraged outside of the downtown area.

Peter Zak, Lyon Realty Advisors, 4901 Birch Street, Newport Beach, 92660, stated he was available to answer questions.

Commissioner Winn moved to review and consider Mitigated
Negative Declaration No. 08-05, and to approve the Site Plan
Review, Standards Variance, General Plan Conformity Findings and
Vesting Tentative Map, subject to conditions. Commissioner
Stuhlbarg seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner
Rouse was absent.

REGULAR AGENDA

Agenda Item #4 was moved to the end of the agenda.

5. Case No. 0411-07, Site Plan Review, Tentative Tract Map, FEIR 09-04

Applicant: Ben Besley, The Olson Company Subject Site: 634 W. Broadway (Council District 1) Description: Request for approval of Site Plan Review, Finding of General Plan Conformity for a proposed alley vacation and Vesting Tentative Map No. 062773 to construct a four-story development with 195 residential units (includes six live/work units) and 404 parking spaces. Jeff Winklepleck presented the staff report recommending approval of the requests since they are in conformance with the adopted goals and policies of the City's General Plan.

Ben Besley, 634 W. Broadway, Nielsen Company, Project Manager, in response to concerns expressed by Commissioner Gentile about the scale of the project and uniqueness of the building type explained that they had attempted to use architectural variations on each side of the building.

Commissioner Gentile remarked that she thought the building elements were monotonous and that stronger concepts should be required on larger downtown projects.

Applicant Besley asked that two conditions be removed—one regarding the holding of the affordable housing disposition agreement by the RDA, which he felt should supercede the staff's conditions; and secondly, that the requirement for off-site improvements not include those of all three planned developments.

Planner Winklepleck stated that the first condition could be removed.

The item was temporarily suspended to allow staff to research the origin of the second condition.

6. Case No. 0410-20, Modification, Site Plan Review, Local Coastal Development Permit, EIR 01-05

Applicant: Camden Development c/o Rick Holcomb Subject Site: 150 W. Ocean Boulevard (Council District 2) Description: Request for approval of a Modification to an approved Tentative Map and Master Plan (Case No. 0002-25) to remove the visitor center building and replace it with a public open space; and modify the Conditions of Approval and EIR mitigation measure to remove the requirement to preserve the Looff's Roof (Condition #49, Mitigation Measure #24 of EIR 20-88).

Request for approval of Site Plan Review and a Local Coastal Development Permit for a 21-story building consisting of 216 residential units, 3,900 square feet of commercial space and 476 parking spaces.

Derek Burnham presented the staff report recommending approval of the requests, since the proposed development would replace a parking lot with an attractively-designed mixed-use project; and because the modifications will still allow for retention of a portion of the Looff's Building consistent with the intent of the original approval.

In response to a query from Commissioner Sramek regarding the amount of tandem parking approved in the new building, Planner Burnham clarified that the tandem parking was allowed because of the configuration of the units and because it would give the City additional parking availabilities.

In response to a concern from Commissioner Sramek regarding the loss of Looff's Roof, Ms. Frick explained that the roof was in such bad condition that necessary repairs would render the historical aspect of the roof non-existent, and the preservation of the cupola was a fair compromise.

William Wynne, Cultural Heritage Commission, said that in exchange for allowing the removal of the roof, the developer would build the Historical Society a new home, which was more valuable, given the condition of the roof.

Rick Holcomb, 150 W. Ocean Blvd., Director, Real Estate Investments, Camden Development Inc., introduced his development team.

Eric Olsen, 600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1470 Los Angeles, 90017, Project Architect, TCA, Inc., in response to requests from Commissioner Gentile, stated they were willing to work on the design to incorporate natural light and opportunities for pedestrians to access the building or travel south.

George Medak, 6230 Majorca Circle, President, Affiliated Development Group, Inc., noted that the walkway was the result of coastal access requirements, and they had worked to mitigate many issues created by the uniqueness of the area.

Commissioner Sramek moved to certify Addendum to EIR 01-05; to approve the Modification to the Tentative Map and Master Plan subject to revised conditions of approval; and to approve the Site Plan Review and Local Coastal Development Permit requests, subject to conditions of approval. Commissioner Greenberg seconded the motion which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

Item #5 was returned to the Agenda for a motion

5. Case No. 0411-07, Site Plan Review, Tentative Tract Map, FEIR 09-04

Applicant: Ben Besley, The Olson Company Subject Site: 634 W. Broadway (Council District 1) Description: Request for approval of Site Plan Review, Finding of General Plan Conformity for a proposed alley vacation and Vesting Tentative Map No. 062773 to construct a four-story development with 195 residential units (includes six live/work units) and 404 parking spaces.

Commissioner Greenberg moved to review and consider the Final Environmental Impact Report No. 09-04, and to approve the Site Plan Review, General Plan Conformity Findings and Vesting Tentative Map, subject to amended conditions. Commissioner Winn seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

4. Case No. 0601-10, Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, CE 06-115

Applicant: City of Long Beach

Suzanne Frick, Director Planning & Bldg.

Subject Site: Citywide

Description: Proposed amendments to the Zoning Ordinance regarding large retail establishments with grocery sales.

Commissioner Stuhlbarg recused himself from voting on the item. Scott Mangum presented the staff report recommending adoption of the amendment based on the economic impacts of superstore retail establishments on smaller retailers, particularly grocery stores.

In response to a query from Commissioner Greenberg as to whether this applied to stores other than Wal-Mart, Deputy City Attorney Mais explained that this ordinance was designed to discourage certain big box retailers, and that courts had upheld similar local legislation throughout the country.

Angela Reynolds noted that it was unclear as to whether Wal-Marts would fall into the listed category since they usually had their grocery sections in less than 10% of the floor space. Ms. Reynolds added that there was no specific information on the impact these retailers had on grocery stores.

Commissioner Greenberg expressed no sympathy for nationally owned grocery stores, saying he felt that from a land-use standpoint, there was an advantage to not having big box retailers with big grocery stores.

Chairman Jenkins pointed out that strategically, Long Beach probably didn't have the land to support the large retailers.

Ms. Frick observed that cities are able to address these issues through zoning ordinances to better manage concentration and effect of uses to maintain compatibility. Ms. Reynolds added that there was a new CEQA law regarding big box effects on adjacencies, which Ms. Frick explained would be a preventative, proactive measure.

John Getz, no address given, UFCW representative, said he felt this was not an anti-Wal-Mart ordinance, but rather a way to regulate business models that could have potential impacts on the infrastructure and nearby businesses.

Commissioner Sramek moved to recommend that the City Council adopt the amendment related to new large retail shopping establishments with grocery sales. Commissioner Winn seconded the motion, which passed 5-0. Commissioner Stuhlbarg had recused himself, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

MATTERS FROM THE AUDIENCE
There were no matters from the audience.

MATTERS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING

There were no matters from the Department of Planning and Building.

MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION

There were no matters from the Planning Commission.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 4:40pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Marcia Gold Minutes Clerk

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

SEPTEMBÉR 7, 2006

STUDY SESSION A study session was held at 12:00pm on September 7, 2006 to review the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Press Telegram project located at 604 Pine Avenue.

The regular meeting of the City Planning Commission and public hearing reconvened at 1:43pm in the City Council Chambers, 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, CA.

PRESENT: COMMISSIONERS: Matthew Jenkins, Leslie Gentile,

Charles Greenberg, Charles Winn, Morton Stuhlbarg, Nick Sramek

ABSENT: EXCUSED: Mitchell Rouse

CHAIRMAN: Matthew Jenkins

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Suzanne Frick, Director

Greg Carpenter, Planning Manager Carolyne Bihn, Zoning Officer Angela Reynolds, Advance Planning

Ira Brown, Planner Scott Mangum, Planner Lemuel Hawkins, Planner Monica Mendoza, Planner

OTHERS PRESENT: Mike Mais, Deputy City Attorney

Mark Christoffels, City Engineer

Marcia Gold, Minutes Clerk

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was led by Commissioner Gentile.

SWEARING OF WITNESSES

MINUTES

The minutes of May 4, 2006 were approved on a motion by Commissioner Sramek, seconded by Commissioner Stuhlbarg and passed 5-0-1. Commissioner Winn abstained, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

The minutes of June 15, 2006 were approved on a motion by Commissioner Sramek, seconded by Commissioner Gentile and passed 4-0-2. Commissioners Winn and Greenberg abstained, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

The minutes of July 6, 2006 were approved on a motion by Commissioner Sramek, seconded by Commissioner Winn and passed 5-0-1. Commissioner Gentile abstained, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

The minutes of August 3, 2006 were approved on a motion by Commissioner Sramek, seconded by Commissioner Greenberg and passed 5-0-1. Commissioner Gentile abstained, and Commissioner Rouse was absent.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Regarding Item 1A, Harold Gaines, 3230 Wilton, tenant of the building in question, said he did not understand his relocation rights, and staff was assigned to assist him.

Regarding Item 1D, Maria Ocare, representing the Hellman Association, expressed support for the Condominium Conversion request.

Regarding Item 1E, applicant Robert Vargo, 1069 Martin Luther King Avenue, expressed understanding that if the project was deemed complete by July 20, 2007, it would not be subject to potential fee increases related to condominium conversion.

Commissioner Winn moved to continue Items 1A and 1B to the September 21, 2006 meeting. Commissioner Sramek seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

Commissioner Sramek moved to approve Items 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F and 1G of the Consent Calendar as presented by staff. Commissioner Gentile seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

1A. Case No. 0603-01, Condominium Conversion, CE 06-33

Applicant: Pacific Property Assets c/o ALS Consulting Subject Site: 1190 Newport Avenue (Council District 4) Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map

No. 065798 for the conversion of 19 units into

condominiums.

Continued to the September 21, 2006 meeting.

1B. Case No. 0605-29, Conditional Use Permit, CE 06-101

Applicant: Orange Rocket LLC c/o Melinda Byrd Subject Site: 6640 Cherry Avenue (Council District 9) Description: Conditional Use Permit to allow the

operation of a check cashing/payday advance business in an

existing retail center.

Continued to the September 21, 2006 meeting.

1C. Case No. 0605-10, Condominium Conversion, CE 06-92

Applicant: Linda Hunter

Subject Site: 4231 E. 10th Street (Council District 4)
Description: Approval of Tract Map No. 066650 to convert

six town home apartments into condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map. No. 066650 subject to conditions.

1D. Case No. 0606-22, Condominium Conversion, CE 06-130

Applicant: Nick Young

Subject Site: 623 Walnut Avenue (Council District 2)

Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map No. 066299 for the conversion of ten apartment units into

condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map. No. 066299 subject to conditions.

1E. Case No. 0605-21, Condominium Conversion, CE 06-95

Applicant: 1069 MLK, LLC/SUBTEC

Robert Vargo, representative

Subject Site: 1069 Martin Luther King Ave

(Council District 6)

Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map No. 065919 to convert eight residential dwelling units of

an existing apartment building into condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map. No.065919 subject to conditions.

1F. Case No. 0603-89, Condominium Conversion, CE 06-53

Applicant: Ray Berona

Subject Site: 3230 Wilton Avenue (Council District 4)

Description: Request for approval of Tentative Tract Map

No. 066526 for the conversion of 8 apartment units into

condominiums.

Approved Tentative Tract Map. No.066526 subject to conditions

1G. GPC 9-07-06

Applicant: Steve Torkian

Subject Site: 425 W. Anaheim Street (Council District 1)
Description: Finding of Conformity with the General Plan

for the vacation of public right-of-way.

Found the proposed dedication and vacation of public right-of-way in conformance with the General Plan.

REGULAR AGENDA

2. CIP-07

Applicant: City of Long Beach

Subject Site: Citywide

Description: Finding of Conformity with the General Plan

for the Proposed Fiscal Year 2007 Capital Improvement

Program.

Ira Brown presented the staff report recommending finding the proposed projects in conformity with the General Plan.

Angela Reynolds noted that a proposal was on the table authorizing the City to enter into a negotiated contract with

two consultants to help staff prepare Phase 2 of the final General Plan.

Mark Christoffels, City Engineer, explained how the capital improvement projects were nominated and prioritized through a committee, listed in order of priority then filtered by using available funding programs.

Commissioner Sramek moved to find the proposed projects listed in the Proposed Fiscal Year 2007 Capital Improvement Program in conformity with the General Plan; and to report that any project to which a final site has not yet been selected to project specifics have not been developed should be returned to the Planning Commission for review and to report these findings to the City Council. Commissioner Greenberg seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

Case No. 0601-20, Site Plan Review, Conditional Use Permit,
 Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Lot Merger, Standards Variance,
 ND 13-06

Applicant: David Pfeifer, representative

California Heights United Methodist Church Subject Site: 3754-3758 Cerritos Ave. (Council District 7) Description: Request for Site Plan Review, Conditional Use Permits, Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Lot Merger and Code Exceptions for: (1) Front yard setback of 20' (instead of not less than 25'); (2) Provision of less than code required parking; (3) Fence height of 5' within front yard setback (instead of not higher than 3') to establish a new two-story 16,964 sq.ft. dual-use facility with day care center for 147 children in an R-1-N zone.

Scott Mangum presented the staff report recommending approval of most of primary requests, since potential environmental impacts were found to be less than significant with mitigation; because the amendment to the Municipal Code is consistent with the Land Use Element of the General Plan; since positive findings can be made to support the Site Plan Review, setback and parking requests, Lot Merger and the Conditional Use Permits, but recommending a denial of the fence height request since it could substantially affect the visual character of the neighborhood.

In response to a query from Commissioner Sramek, Mr. Carpenter explained that the amendment to the Zoning Ordinance will allow non-conforming established neighborhood churches in the R-1-N

Zone to make substantial changes more easily, instead of requesting individual zone changes each time.

David Pfeifer, 2150 W. Washington street #303, San Diego, program manager for the project, said they had held community outreach meetings to address traffic and access concerns. Mr. Pfeifer also claimed that due to the child care use, the 5' fence would be mandated by State law. He added that they preferred a three-year Conditional Use Permit.

Deputy City Attorney Mike Mais pointed out since it was not necessary that the play area be near the requested 5' fence, the City's regulations were still applicable and could not be preempted in this case.

In response to Chairman Jenkins' concerns about a precedent-setting decision, Mr. Pfeifer said they thought this was a unique project and that the area near the fence in question was only useable for child care. Mr. Pfeifer also asked if they could leave the existing fence, and Mr. Mais noted that the applicant would have to establish its eligibility for grandfathering.

Wesley Simmons, 3741 Cerritos Avenue, said he was against the church expansion because it was too massive for the residential area and would negatively affect property values.

Deborah Simmons, 3749 Cerritos Avenue, also spoke against the expansion, saying that although she was a member of the church, she thought it would create noise and traffic safety issues, and be an eyesore in the community.

James Watson, 3750 Cerritos Avenue, also spoke in opposition to the requests, saying that such a large expansion was not appropriate for their quiet neighborhood, and would create traffic and pedestrian safety problems.

Director Frick suggested that the applicant and neighbors meet to discuss their concerns.

Commissioner Greenberg moved to continue the item to the October 5, 2006 meeting. Commissioner Sramek seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

4. Case No. 0605-38, Subdivision, CE 06-107

Applicant: Bob Austin

Subject Site: 4455 Faculty Avenue (Council District 5)

. Description: Request for approval of Tentative Parcel Map

No. 066961 for the subdivision of two parcels.

Monica Mendoza presented the staff report recommending approval of the map, since the proposal is consistent with all regulations, and would provide increased home ownership opportunities.

Bob Austin, 398 Los Altos Avenue, applicant, agreed that his project would give home ownership opportunities to renters.

Commissioner Stuhlbarg moved to approve Tentative Parcel Map No. 066961 subject to conditions. Commissioner Gentile seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

5. Case No. 0606-25, Conditional Use Permit, CE 06-135

Applicant: Royal Street Communications

Laton Fuller, representative

Subject Site: 1455 W. Willow Street (Council District 7)
Description: A Conditional Use Permit to construct and

maintain a ground-mounted cellular and personal

communication services facility, consisting of a 45' high monopole antenna structure designed as a palm tree with

accessory equipment.

Lemuel Hawkins presented the staff report recommending denial of the request since the proposed monopole would be located near two existing monopoles where the carrier has the ability to establish co-location and because visual impact would be reduced if the request was co-located on an existing structure with a disguising technique.

Laton Fuller, 4041 W. Kenneth Road, Glendale 91202, Metro PCS/Royal Street Communications, applicant, said they could not co-locate because there was no existing tower tall enough to accommodate them even though it would be cheaper for them to do so.

Mr. Hawkins noted that the existing pole owner was given the authorization to add an additional 15 feet to accommodate colocation by the applicant.

Mr. Fuller stated that they would talk to Cingular and ask if they are willing to give up the original location and share the proposed monopalm so the current non-stealth facility could be removed.

Commissioner Sramek moved to continue the item to the October 5, 2006 meeting to allow the applicant to discuss co-location options. Commissioner Gentile seconded the motion which passed 6-0. Commissioner Rouse was absent.

MATTERS FROM THE AUDIENCE

There were no matters from the audience.

MATTERS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING

Greg Carpenter gave an update on City Council actions. Carolyne Bihn pointed out that Commission officers would be elected on September 19.

MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Commissioner Gentile expressed concern about the elevations of the proposed church addition in Item #3 and asked if the City Design Officer had any input into this design.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 3:13pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Marcia Gold Minutes Clerk