

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 10, 2019

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
16. (19-0865) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by amending and restating Section 2.03.040 and Section 2.03.060, all regarding City Council Meetings, read and adopted as read. (Citywide)	GLennis Dolce	glennisd@mac.com						Again, you have crossed a line here. Do not minimize public comment by restricting comment time to 90 seconds ANYTIME. The 90 second rule is unfair to the public. Driving down there, parking, going through security, sitting for hours waiting for the line item you are interested in to come up... and then being given 90 seconds is hardly worth the time and trouble. This protocol is designed to reduce public input. Yet, you drone on and on about wanting to hear from the public. I guess you really don't. That much is clear. Vote for this at your own risk come election time. And we won't buy it if only one or two of you vote against it. Also, get rid of the speaker cards. Unconstitutional. We do not have to identify ourselves. We can line up to speak on an item spontaneously. And we will. You have a chance to change this tonight. Do it!	9/9/2019
16. (19-0865) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by amending and restating Section 2.03.040 and Section 2.03.060, all regarding City Council Meetings, read and adopted as read. (Citywide)	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com						Streamlining of city council meetings must go BOTH WAYS. It's time for this council to face the same restrictions as citizens in speaking time. I have no objection to signing up to speak for every single agenda item if that's what you want me to do, and speak my THREE MINUTES as per current rules. It must also reflect that council speak for a maximum of THREE MINUTES with no rebuttals. This is what makes council meetings overlong, not the public's RIGHT TO SPEAK. Take our rights away and we'll punish you at the ballot box.	9/8/2019
18. (19-0838) Recommendation to declare ordinance approving Resolution No. WD-1413, a resolution of the City of Long Beach Board of Water Commissioners establishing the rates and charges to be charged for water and sewer service and declaring the urgency thereof, and providing that this ordinance shall take effect at 12:01 a.m. on October 1, 2019, read and adopted as read. (Ordinance No. ORD-19-0018). (A-10)	GLennis Dolce	glennisd@mac.com						OPPOSE! As stated previously there is no water emergency that necessitates this action. You have a general fund spending issue. Your general fund issue does not justify a water rate emergency. You are crossing the lines and violating Prop 218 IMO and inviting MORE costly legal action. Leave revenue in the water dept. and use for infrastructure there. You know we the residents oppose this yet you vote FOR this nonsense. Of course you AI, voted no, but it was a "safe" vote for you as you know your vote was motivated by your upcoming election. If you REALLY believed this was something to vote no on, you would have worked with your fellow CMs to vote with you. You didn't. And we can all see that. You are not fooling anyone here. The rest of you- "join" AI and vote NO!	9/9/2019

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 10, 2019

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
18. (19-0838) Recommendation to declare ordinance approving Resolution No. WD-1413, a resolution of the City of Long Beach Board of Water Commissioners establishing the rates and charges to be charged for water and sewer service and declaring the urgency thereof, and providing that this ordinance shall take effect at 12:01 a.m. on October 1, 2019, read and adopted as read. (Ordinance No. ORD-19-0018). (A-10)	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com						This 12% water rate increase is not only unconstitutional, it is NOT needed for maintenance, water or new equipment. It is needed so that the Water Dept. can transfer \$12,318,000 in 2019 and \$12,684,000 in 2020 to the General Fund. That is \$25,002,000 which could have been used by the Water Dept. for expenses and avoided the increased rate for consumers. By the way, you're going to lose the current lawsuit against this unconstitutional MONEY GRAB just like you lost the last one. Your arrogance towards the people of this city will also be detrimental at the ballot box, no matter how hard you rely on police, fire, dock worker unions to back your play. You're stealing from us and it's f-ing illegal.	9/8/2019