

## eComments Report for Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda of January 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>SPECIAL MEETING</p> <p>LONG BEACH INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE PURSUANT TO AB 361 (GOV. CODE SECTION 54953(e)(1)-(2))</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>What is the rationale, please, for scheduling this meeting at this time?</p> <p>This body has had major problems, approved a highly inequitable outcome, and is being asked to (approve? ratify? rubber-stamp? what exactly?) an "outreach plan" over the next few months to for whom?</p> <p>City officials now running for other office?</p> <p>The Mayor's "plan" for this city after him?</p> <p>What exactly?</p> <p>There needs to be an explanation of why this meeting was even called, prior to Agenda Items 1 and 2</p>	1/6/2022
REGULAR AGENDA	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>"There were two campaigns structured to build awareness and participation. The first campaign started in January of 2021 and concluded in November 2021; this campaign focused on fostering public participation before and throughout the map-making process. The effort of this campaign was to gather community of interest testimony to inform the Commission in their decision-making process. The second campaign was scheduled from December 2021 to February 2022 to focus on awareness of the new City Council district boundaries to the Long Beach residents."</p> <p>By your own criteria, the FA map did not gather sufficient COI material, or didn't weigh it sufficiently, or what have you, to prevent from being led down a path -- by the Consultant among others -- where you actively voted for a map that:</p> <p>Bypasses an existing population (Memorial Heights) to create an ahistorical, non-contiguous Northern district centered on wealth and age.</p> <p>FA Map is highly retrogressive for youth voters.</p> <p>"Campaign" ?</p>	1/6/2022

## eComments Report for Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda of January 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
1. (22-001RC) Recommendation to approve the minutes of the Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Special Meetings on Wednesday, November 10, 2021 and Thursday, November 18, 2021.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>For the record:</p> <p>The minutes of the 11/18/2021 should include my full comments to the Independent Redistricting Commission after adoption of the Final Map, that:</p> <p>I do not think this body, the IRC, or this first IRC process, was independent of the Mayor, his allies, other councilmembers who had their residences taken into account (like Stacy Mungo, whom the 5th District construction seemed designed in service of).</p> <p>I think the Mayor interfered with this process - from the selection of the Commissioners to this latest meeting.</p> <p>I said so, to the entire commission.</p> <p>LBTV selectively edited that to only include the word "Interfere" in the video on Legistar.</p> <p>Btw, all of LBTV's records of the IRC meetings - including outtakes and hot mics - can be part of discovery should there be further legal issues arising from IRC Commissioner activities.</p>	1/6/2022

## eComments Report for Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda of January 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
2. (22-002RC) Recommendation to adopt a report recommending changes to improve the redistricting process in future years; if necessary, authorize City Staff to make edits suggested by the Commission; and transmit the report to the Mayor and City Council pursuant to City Charter Section 2509(e).	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>This Draft "report" is laughable.</p> <p>Unsigned, unattributed.</p> <p>Who wrote it?</p> <p>The Consultant?</p> <p>One of, what, two Staff in the City Manager's office?</p> <p>I sincerely hope it wasn't anyone from the City Attorney or City Clerk's office.</p> <p>This "draft" already reads like a checklist of reviewables for compliance with DDD implementation per the Charter and State Law.</p> <p>In what meaningful sense did anyone on the Commission have input on it?</p> <p>Which significant inputs came from which Commissioners?</p> <p>I think Each. And. Every. Single. Commissioner. Needs. To. Write. Their. Own. Report.</p> <p>Any report authored on behalf of the whole body has to be signed off on by each and every single Commissioner -- literally, have each Commissioner digitally sign the document if omicron-related social distancing takes place during all of February and March.</p> <p>Each Commissioner should also provide their own assessment of the conflicts of interest, and problems, with doing this novel IRC.</p> <p>Dave Shukla CD3</p>	1/6/2022

## eComments Report for Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda of January 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
2. (22-002RC) Recommendation to adopt a report recommending changes to improve the redistricting process in future years; if necessary, authorize City Staff to make edits suggested by the Commission; and transmit the report to the Mayor and City Council pursuant to City Charter Section 2509(e).	Marc Coleman	marc@marccolemanlaw.com		<p>It's January 4, 2022 and the documents by staff supporting Resolution 22-002RC are not available to the public to view as required by law.</p> <p>Marc Coleman</p>	1/4/2022
PUBLIC COMMENT	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		<p>This FA Map is not supposed to consider the address of any individual.</p> <p>Yet it, and the process of public mapdrawing after 10/20/21 - each and every time I made a map - distinctly revolved around the Headquarters of the corporate entity (i.e. "person") of Southern California Edison - 2800 Willow Ave.</p> <p>Did you not catch that?</p> <p>Each and every time I have made a map since 10/20/21, I have been highlighting this.</p> <p>Dave Shukla CD3</p>	1/6/2022