

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
CITY COUNCIL MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 ISSUED BY GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					Why aren't we having video City Council meetings? This has been going on for months. Why is Mayor Garcia holding this up?	11/16/2020
CONSENT CALENDAR:	Greg Buhl	gdbuhl@gmail.com					<p>Not only is Item 22 a bad idea due to the LBPD sharing license plate geolocation data directly with ICE; it is bad governance that you are trying to sneak it through as a consent item. This data can be used to track anyone through the city. The LBPD already collects dozens of geolocation data points on each us with two years of tracking historyâ€”25 million plates scanned per year in LB. That is all being shared directly with ICE according to the LBPD data-sharing list produced as a public record last month.</p> <p>And now you want to sneak through more? And why store the data for 2 years if it is supposed to replace chalk lines on tires? The City Attorney should read SB 34 and its requirements for public comment on ALPR purchases. If Parking Enforcement is its own agency then this consent item violates state law. The LBPD sharing this data with ICE, with no limits on how ICE uses it, violates the Values Act. You should not consent, and the people should have a say!</p> <p>Greg Buhl CheckLBPD.org</p>	11/17/2020
7. (20-1066) Recommendation to approve the destruction of records for the City Auditor's Office; and adopt resolution.	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com					There is no rationale to destroy auditor's records unless Ms Doud has a lot to hide, which we all know she does. THERE IS NO MANDATE TO PERFORM THIS ACTIVITY ALTHOUGH SHE SAYS THERE IS. STOP DESTROYING OUR PROPERTY.	11/17/2020
7. (20-1066) Recommendation to approve the destruction of records for the City Auditor's Office; and adopt resolution.	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					What are these records? The public would like to know what they are.	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
7. (20-1066) Recommendation to approve the destruction of records for the City Auditor's Office; and adopt resolution.	Carlos Ovalle	csovalle@gmail.com					<p>Item 20-1066 Destruction of records for the City Auditor's Office - >>>I oppose<<<</p> <p>Dear City Clerk Monique De La Garza and City Auditor Laura Doud; City Council:</p> <p>I oppose the destruction of any city records.</p> <p>I understand that while you are following the law with requesting the destruction of the records, you are not obligated to do so. In an age where anything and everything can be easily scanned and stored inexpensively, and in a way that it can be searched almost effortlessly, there is no reason why this needs to happen. Given the growing perception by the public that there is a lack of transparency and accountability, I urge you to withdraw this item from the consent calendar.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Carlos Ovalle</p>	11/11/2020
8. (20-1067) Recommendation to approve the destruction of records for the Long Beach Fire Department; and adopt resolution.	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com					<p>STOP DESTROYING RECORDS THAT COULD BE USED AGAINST THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WHEN LAWSUITS OCCUR - AND SINCE THE CITY IS OWNED BY THE FIRE, POLICE AND SERVICE UNIONS, THERE WILL CONTINUE TO BE LAWSUITS.</p>	11/17/2020
9. (20-1068) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with Alamitos Energy Center (AES), of Long Beach, CA, to safeguard confidential proprietary information that may be disclosed to the City concerning its Alamitos Bay Water Quality Enhancement Project (Project); and Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an Access License Agreement, and any necessary amendments, with AES for the City to perform necessary investigative work related to engineering feasibility options to maintain current water circulation patterns and install fish-friendly pumps for the benefit of Alamitos Bay at the AES facilities, at 690 North Studebaker Road, in furtherance of the Project. (District 3)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					<p>This Item, more than #69 and certainly more than #19, should be brought back at a later time.</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
<p>9. (20-1068) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with Alamitos Energy Center (AES), of Long Beach, CA, to safeguard confidential proprietary information that may be disclosed to the City concerning its Alamitos Bay Water Quality Enhancement Project (Project); and</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an Access License Agreement, and any necessary amendments, with AES for the City to perform necessary investigative work related to engineering feasibility options to maintain current water circulation patterns and install fish-friendly pumps for the benefit of Alamitos Bay at the AES facilities, at 690 North Studebaker Road, in furtherance of the Project. (District 3)</p>	Elizabeth Lambe	ejlambe@gmail.com					<p>The Los Cerritos Wetlands Land Trust recommends that the Council postpone this decision. The public hasn't had time to fully analyze and comment. It's unclear why a Non-Disclosure Agreement is necessary. The Public Records Act guarantees transparency already includes exceptions for trade secrets and other confidential information to protect industry. An NDA may conflict with assurances of government transparency. The MOUs are also concerning. The City seems to be making commitments to a project that hasn't been fully vetted. "Fish friendly" pumps may not result in sufficiently minimizing mortality of aquatic life. The organisms captured by the pumps will be discharged into a river that flows directly to the ocean. Estuarine organisms do not survive after being removed from their native habitat regardless of how you transport them. And the pollution abatement benefits are not as simple as they may appear and could dump polluted water into a neighboring community.</p>	11/17/2020
<p>9. (20-1068) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with Alamitos Energy Center (AES), of Long Beach, CA, to safeguard confidential proprietary information that may be disclosed to the City concerning its Alamitos Bay Water Quality Enhancement Project (Project); and</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an Access License Agreement, and any necessary amendments, with AES for the City to perform necessary investigative work related to engineering feasibility options to maintain current water circulation patterns and install fish-friendly pumps for the benefit of Alamitos Bay at the AES facilities, at 690 North Studebaker Road, in furtherance of the Project. (District 3)</p>	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com					<p>Oh yeah, the transparency of a brick from the late, lamented Acres of Books. Your lack of transparency with those that have hired you to do OUR bidding is despicable at best.</p>	11/17/2020
<p>10. (20-1069) Recommendation to confirm the City Manager's promulgation of the revised Safer at Home Health Order, issued on October 15, 2020 and October 23, 2020, by the City of Long Beach Health Officer as a regulation. (Citywide)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					<p>It will be interesting to compare the performance of the coming health orders, six months hence, from the first ones noted in the staff report attached to this item.</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
13. (20-1072) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute the Nineteenth Amendment to Agreement No. 28164 with the Long Beach Area Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc., to extend the agreement by a period of one-year and to provide funding in the amount of \$4,608,676 for Fiscal Year 2021. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					Why can't we use the Convention Center as a temporary shelter like other cities? We don't have enough shelter beds and this would help in the winter months.	11/16/2020
19. (20-1078) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 34416 with Koppl Pipeline Services, Inc., of Montebello, CA, for furnishing and delivering pipeline stopper fittings and providing as-needed and/or emergency tapping and stopping services for natural gas pipelines, to extend the term an additional 12 months. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					<p>Does the City of Long Beach have monitoring data available for distinguishing between methane leakage in the >12" diameter pipes vs. narrower ones - which presumably they have the capacity to tap and stop in-house?</p> <p>How does this Agenda Item relate to #9 and #69?</p> <p>Is it too much to say one notices a pattern here?</p> <p>Given the signing of AB3214 this September, what is the increased liability for LBER and the CoLB from breakage/leakage?</p> <p>Does the City of Long Beach have data on recent construction activities and incurred breakage/leakage?</p> <p>What about increased risk-assessment data from extreme heat, King Tides (like the one this past weekend at Seal) and storm surges, as well as dis-investment in alternatives?</p> <p>Moreover, given the percentage dependency of our fiscal situation in this City on Oil and Gas extraction, sales, and upstream infrastructure development, how are we looking towards managed decline of these sectors?</p> <p>Please bring this item back in January.</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
22. (20-1081) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract, and any necessary amendments, with Vigilant Solutions, LLC, of Livermore, CA, for the purchase of Automatic License Plate Reader (ALPR) system hardware, software, installation, and training services, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$381,051, inclusive of taxes and fees; and Increase appropriations in the Fleet Services Fund Group in the Financial Management Department by \$381,051, offset by funds previously collected from the General Fund Group in the Public Works Department. (Citywide)	Carlos Ovalle	csovalle@gmail.com					I oppose any and all license plate reader technology for use by the LBPD and any city agency. This software in the hands of a police agency is a danger to the residents of the city. It is taking us down the dangerous path, along with facial recognition, of an authoritarian government. Vigilant Solutions has been collaborating with ICE, this is well documented. In this city where fully 45% of the residents are Spanish speakers, and many are poor immigrants, will result in increased mistrust of the police. I urge those of you who consider yourselves progressives to withdraw this item. Carlos Ovalle, Architect People of Long Beach	11/17/2020
27. (20-1086) Recommendation to receive and file a report on the financial assistance accepted by the City of Long Beach, from July 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020, under Resolution No. 20-0049 to respond to the proclaimed emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic; and Increase appropriations in several funds across several departments for various COVID-19-related grant opportunities. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020
28. (20-1087) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 34108 with Perceptive Enterprises, Inc., of Los Angeles, CA; Contract No. 34109 with Pacifica Services, Inc., of Pasadena, CA; Contract No. 34173 with Padilla & Associates, Inc., of Santa Ana, CA; and Contract No. 34122 with Comprehensive Housing Services, Inc., of Santa Ana, CA, for the provision of professional prevailing wage and other labor compliance monitoring services, to extend the contracts to November 14, 2022. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
29. (20-1088) Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. RFP FM20-022 and award contracts to Alliant Consulting, of San Diego, CA; Comprehensive Housing Services, Inc., of Fountain Valley, CA; GCAP Services, Inc., of Costa Mesa, CA; Michael Baker International, of Long Beach, CA; Pacifica Services, Inc., of Pasadena, CA; Padilla and Associates, Inc., of Santa Ana, CA; Perceptive Enterprises, Inc., of Los Angeles, CA; Silveira Consulting, Inc., of Galt, CA; and Wildan Engineering, of Industry, CA, to provide labor compliance monitoring services, in an annual aggregate amount of \$1,500,000, with a 10 percent contingency of \$150,000, for a total annual aggregate contract amount not to exceed \$1,650,000, for a period of two years, with the option to renew for three additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into contracts, including any necessary amendments. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020
35. (20-1094) Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. RFP HE20-060 and award contracts to First Rate Staffing Corporation, of Santa Fe Springs, CA, and Mynela, LLC, of Gardena, CA, to provide temporary shelter staffing services for human and social services programs in the Department of Health and Human Services, in an annual aggregate amount not to exceed \$1,500,000, for a one-year period, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods, at the discretion of the City Manager; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into the contracts, including any necessary amendments. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					Why are we using out of city staffing firms when we have some in Long Beach? How was this contract decided?	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
36. (20-1095) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and any necessary amendments, with the California State Library to accept and expend grant funding in the amount of \$117,625, for adult and family literacy services, for the period of July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021; and Increase appropriations in the General Grants Fund Group in the Library Services Department by \$117,625, offset by grant revenue. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020
42. (20-1101) Recommendation increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$3,819,754, offset by Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities grant funds from the State of California Strategic Growth Council and the Department of Housing and Community Development; and Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$3,819,754, offset by transfer of Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities grant funds from the State of California Strategic Growth Council and the Department of Housing and Community Development from the Capital Grants Fund, to support sustainable transportation improvements by the City related to the development of Las Ventanas affordable housing project at 1795 Long Beach Boulevard. (District 1)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
44. (20-1103) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding, including any subsequent amendments, with the City of Hawaiian Gardens, for cost-sharing of street improvements on Cortner Avenue between 226th Street and Tula Street, contained within City of Long Beach jurisdiction, in the amount of \$50,000; and Accept Categorical Exemption CE-20-112. (District 5)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Interesting corner of town	11/17/2020
45. (20-1104) Recommendation to adopt Plans and Specifications No. RFP PW20-004 and award a contract to GHD, Inc., of Long Beach, CA, for engineering design services for the Artesia Great Boulevard Project, in a total amount not to exceed \$2,269,451, and authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter the contract, including any necessary amendments; Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group by \$7,977,118, offset by Measure R and Proposition C funds from the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) (MR315.70); and Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$7,977,118, offset by a transfer of Metro Measure R and Proposition C funds from the Capital Grants Fund. (District 9)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					This has the potential to be a good project.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
47. (20-1106) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to amend Contract No. 35218 with AECOM Technical Services, Inc., of Los Angeles, CA; Contract No. 35229 with City Fabrick, of Long Beach, CA; Contract No. 35222 with Environmental Science Associates, of Los Angeles, CA; Contract No. 35237 with Gruen Associates, of Los Angeles, CA; Contract No. 35226 with HDR Engineering, Inc., of Long Beach, CA; Contract No. 35230 with Impact Sciences, Inc., of Los Angeles, CA; Contract No. 35243 with Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., of Orange, CA; Contract No. 35224 with Lisa Wise Consulting, Inc., of San Luis Obispo, CA; Contract No. 35223 with LSA Associates, Inc., of Irvine, CA; Contract No. 35231 with Meridian Consultants, LLC, of Westlake Village, CA; Contract No. 35225 with Michael Baker International, Inc., of Santa Ana, CA; Contract No. 35194 with PlaceWorks, of Santa Ana, CA; Contract No. 35236 with Prevention Institute, of Oakland, CA; Contract No. 35220 with Psomas, of Santa Ana, CA; Contract No. 35209 with Rincon Consultants, of Ventura, CA; Contract No. 35210 with RRM Design Group, of San Luis Obispo, CA; Contract No. 35219 with S. Groner Associates, Inc., of Long Beach, CA; Contract No. 35240 with Terry A. Hayes Associates, Inc., of Culver City, CA; Contract No. 35242 with Veronica Tam and Associates, of Pasadena, CA; and, Contract No. 35246 with Willdan	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Interested to learn more about the scope of work for this set of contracts and projects.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
49. (20-1109) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and approve EIR addendum EIRA-02-20, including the complete evaluation of the environmental effects of the Project, together with the rationale and facts supporting the Findings, as are more specifically contained in Chapter 3.0 of the Addendum; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code establishing Title 22 (Updated Zoning Code) and new zoning districts and regulations to implement the 2019 Long Beach General Plan Land Use Element Update, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Leslie Garretson	lamiller@pacbell.net					Something as important as a new zoning ordinance should involve public outreach, with an opportunity for community to be educated. Please delay until this has happened. Thank you	11/17/2020
49. (20-1109) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and approve EIR addendum EIRA-02-20, including the complete evaluation of the environmental effects of the Project, together with the rationale and facts supporting the Findings, as are more specifically contained in Chapter 3.0 of the Addendum; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code establishing Title 22 (Updated Zoning Code) and new zoning districts and regulations to implement the 2019 Long Beach General Plan Land Use Element Update, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Juan Ovalle	jeovallec@gmail.com					Councilmembers, I am concerned that the City has not provided sufficient time for all residents of Long Beach to really analyze and understand the effects in how zoning is assigned. Zoning changes can help some while not all of us. The choice you should make today should not be about approving or not, it should be about making the right choice and simply requesting that this agenda item be postpone to allow for greater public participation. The staff report alone is 325 pages long, have all of you read it? What about the general public? The LUE process should have been a wake up call to all of you, that people are interested; however, you must allow them the opportunity to participate, you must open the doors for conversation and dialog. You are there to represent the residents you serve, all 470,000+ of us. I would appreciate learning more of what is contained in those 325 pages, at future workshops or neighborhood meetings around the City. À Respectfully, â€™ Juan E. Ovalle	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
49. (20-1109) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and approve EIR addendum EIRA-02-20, including the complete evaluation of the environmental effects of the Project, together with the rationale and facts supporting the Findings, as are more specifically contained in Chapter 3.0 of the Addendum; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code establishing Title 22 (Updated Zoning Code) and new zoning districts and regulations to implement the 2019 Long Beach General Plan Land Use Element Update, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Lida Cook	jlcook_227@msn.com					Vote no. It is outrageous that this City Council continues to try to undermine the Land Use Plan that the citizens of Long Beach worked so to come to a consensus on. More whole city hearing are needed before is vote is taken. This is yet another example of trying to slide something through.	11/17/2020
49. (20-1109) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and approve EIR addendum EIRA-02-20, including the complete evaluation of the environmental effects of the Project, together with the rationale and facts supporting the Findings, as are more specifically contained in Chapter 3.0 of the Addendum; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code establishing Title 22 (Updated Zoning Code) and new zoning districts and regulations to implement the 2019 Long Beach General Plan Land Use Element Update, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com					So now you want to discard the LUE that we, the public who HIRED you, fought so hard to achieve. Despite the fact that you're all owned by fire, police, service unions, and buy elections by having them harvest votes, we will get you out of here. Your lack of transparency is despicable at best and heinous. The public's return on investment in this city borders on bankruptcy, which is what you'll face once we manage to get rid of all of you. NO CHANGES TO LUE WITHOUT FULL CITYWIDE DISCUSSION.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
49. (20-1109) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and approve EIR addendum EIRA-02-20, including the complete evaluation of the environmental effects of the Project, together with the rationale and facts supporting the Findings, as are more specifically contained in Chapter 3.0 of the Addendum; Declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code establishing Title 22 (Updated Zoning Code) and new zoning districts and regulations to implement the 2019 Long Beach General Plan Land Use Element Update, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Kelley Cusack	j.g.forsythe@att.net					I would like to request that you hold off on this agenda item until we have had a chance to learn more about it. Live in district 4 and just hearing about it.	11/17/2020
(20-1110) Declare ordinance rezoning select properties on major corridors in North Long Beach (Atlantic Avenue from approximately Del Amo Avenue on the south to Artesia Boulevard on the north, and Artesia Boulevard from approximately Atlantic Avenue on the west to Downey Avenue on the east), read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Laurie Angel	lcangel2012@gmail.com					I have been participating in planning/UPLAN efforts for a few years; studying & planning for North Long Beach for over 25 yrs thru redevelopment. I am finding the proposed zoning changes a huge leap from the level of public involvement we have had so far. At the very least, before you move forward, it is important that the NLB Community be given an opportunity to understand the specific new zones codes you are codifying when the community has not really been introduced to the specific concepts you are putting forward. This document is over 300 pages long. We have not even discussed what is before you. We need at the very least, an indepth community meeting and study session (something that is rarely provided in the 8th CD) where we can be better informed of what you are planning to codify and how it may impact us pro and con. I find this move to codify this zones premature. Please postpone this agenda item to allow for an indepth presentation with discussion with the community.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-1110) Declare ordinance rezoning select properties on major corridors in North Long Beach (Atlantic Avenue from approximately Del Amo Avenue on the south to Artesia Boulevard on the north, and Artesia Boulevard from approximately Atlantic Avenue on the west to Downey Avenue on the east), read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Carlos Ovalle	csovalle@gmail.com					<p>Mayor, Councilmembers,</p> <p>Yesterday evening I became aware of this significant zoning change proposed for certain areas of North Long Beach. I am dismayed that a topic of this magnitude did not include ample notification and public workshops for the public.</p> <p>Significant changes to the municipal code requires input from residents. All of us are affected in one way or another by the massive zoning changes that are being proposed. Please pull this item from the agenda until such time as the residents have had a chance to provide comments.</p> <p>This is a shame, it leaves me thinking that our elected representatives are not interested in transparency and accountability.</p> <p>Carlos Ovalle, Architect People of Long Beach RiverPark Coalition</p>	11/17/2020
(20-1110) Declare ordinance rezoning select properties on major corridors in North Long Beach (Atlantic Avenue from approximately Del Amo Avenue on the south to Artesia Boulevard on the north, and Artesia Boulevard from approximately Atlantic Avenue on the west to Downey Avenue on the east), read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Lida Cook	jllcook_227@msn.com					<p>Vote no. It is outrageous that this City Council continues to try to undermine the Land Use Plan that the citizens of Long Beach worked so to come to a consensus on. More whole city hearing are needed before is vote is taken. This is yet another example of trying to slide something through.</p>	11/17/2020
(20-1110) Declare ordinance rezoning select properties on major corridors in North Long Beach (Atlantic Avenue from approximately Del Amo Avenue on the south to Artesia Boulevard on the north, and Artesia Boulevard from approximately Atlantic Avenue on the west to Downey Avenue on the east), read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Jill Brennan	jillbrennan2014@gmail.com					<p>Please table this item until Public Outreach has been done throughout the city. This ultimately may affect all LB citizens and we need to be informed. Transparency please.</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-1110) Declare ordinance rezoning select properties on major corridors in North Long Beach (Atlantic Avenue from approximately Del Amo Avenue on the south to Artesia Boulevard on the north, and Artesia Boulevard from approximately Atlantic Avenue on the west to Downey Avenue on the east), read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Brian Geis	briangeis100@gmail.com					The proposed rezoning for North Long Beach appears to dovetail with the Atlantic Avenue Complete Streets Improvements Project. What is the current status of the traffic study for this project, submitted on March 20, 2020? Specifically, will the recommendation to reduce travel lanes to a single lane in each direction be approved? What is the timeline to implement? What is the funding source for the proposed improvements?	11/12/2020
50. (20-1111) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and determine that the project is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15305 (Class 5 - Minor Alterations to Land Use Limitations) of the CEQA Guidelines and none of the exceptions in 15300.2 apply, and that it is further exempt pursuant to Section 15308 (Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment) and Section 15061(b)(3) (Common Sense Exemption), as it will not result directly or indirectly in significant environmental impacts; and Declare ordinance amending Title 21, Zoning Regulations (Zoning Code), of the Long Beach Municipal Code to amend section 21.15 (Definitions) and add a new section 21.45.600 related to the following: establishing related definitions and creating a pilot program to allow up to a maximum of 500 micro-unit housing units, subject to provisions outlined in the proposed Ordinance, in the Midtown (SP-1) and Downtown (PD-30) areas of the City, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Lida Cook	jlcook_227@msn.com					Vote no. It is outrageous that this City Council continues to try to undermine the Land Use Plan that the citizens of Long Beach worked so to come to a consensus on. More whole city hearing are needed before is vote is taken. This is yet another example of trying to slide something through.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
50. (20-1111) Recommendation to receive the supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and determine that the project is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15305 (Class 5 - Minor Alterations to Land Use Limitations) of the CEQA Guidelines and none of the exceptions in 15300.2 apply, and that it is further exempt pursuant to Section 15308 (Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment) and Section 15061(b)(3) (Common Sense Exemption), as it will not result directly or indirectly in significant environmental impacts; and Declare ordinance amending Title 21, Zoning Regulations (Zoning Code), of the Long Beach Municipal Code to amend section 21.15 (Definitions) and add a new section 21.45.600 related to the following: establishing related definitions and creating a pilot program to allow up to a maximum of 500 micro-unit housing units, subject to provisions outlined in the proposed Ordinance, in the Midtown (SP-1) and Downtown (PD-30) areas of the City, read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Citywide)	Jill Brennan	jillbrennan2014@gmail.com					This item is not "categorically exempt" from CEQA. Do the right thing and perform CEQA! Perhaps you are not keeping up with CA living trends but folks are moving out of cities. This will only benefit the developers and ruin quality of life in NLB.	11/17/2020
51. (20-1112) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record, conclude the public hearing, and adopt resolution continuing the Long Beach Tourism Business Improvement Area assessment levy for the period of October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to extend the agreement with the Long Beach Area Convention and Visitors Bureau for a one-year term. (Districts 1,2,4,5)	Lida Cook	jlcook_227@msn.com					Vote no. It is outrageous that this City Council continues to try to undermine the Land Use Plan that the citizens of Long Beach worked so to come to a consensus on. More whole city hearing are needed before is vote is taken. This is yet another example of trying to slide something through.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
52. (20-1113) Recommendation to direct City Manager to report back to the City Council on establishing an Artists Fund that would provide emergency \$500 direct or other financial support for a period of six months to cover cost of basic needs, for qualifying artists who live in Long Beach and have been impacted by COVID-19. These funds would be paid through CARES Act Community Block Grant Funds. We should look towards funding up to 150 artists who qualify across the city.	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					THis will do very little to help artists. There should be a continuation of the rent assistant program and expand it. This city is suffering. How will you determine if someone is an artist?	11/16/2020
58. (20-1119) Recommendation to direct City Manager to work with Development Services and the City Attorney to study the benefits of the CSCDA Middle Income Workforce Housing Program, analyze the process enacted by other California cities for adoption, and return at the next City Council meeting with a proposed draft resolution authorizing the same.	Carlos Ovalle	csovalle@gmail.com					"Middle income workforce housing" is a euphemism for market rate housing which is far out of reach of the vast majority of our population. In this era with record breaking unemployment, the responsible action by our council is to take care of our most needy. We have a low income housing crisis in Long Beach and we need to address that before we address anything else. Carlos Ovalle, Architect People of Long Beach	11/17/2020
60. (20-1121) Recommendation to direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to report back within 90 days on the potential use of revenue bonds or any other instrument that would accelerate our street and alley improvements with a plan to tackle the list of worst streets and alleys in the City.	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com					Alleys are incredibly important to our lives in Long Beach. The city map of alleys demonstrates that we have important shared spaces for people all over the city. We need to achieve equity in the repair and on-going maintenance of our alleys. Please support timely passage of this item.	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Sarah Mackenzie	smackenz1@gmail.com					<p>As the Manager for LBRA and the Director of CARP, I have a few concerns with the proposal to modify Boathouse Ln. hours via a City ordinance, specifically to the hours from dusk to 10 PM.</p> <p>My primary concern is long-term access. LBRA was mistaken in not getting written approval from the City in a prior agreement and we are, literally, paying for it now. I worry the City will allow access to the boathouse in an unofficial manner, leaving LBRA in a similar situation: facing potential removal of our access.</p> <p>In keeping the general public out, Roworx will not be able to hold their evening classes, further increasing the likelihood of a Long Beach small business going bankrupt. Regarding CARP, I don't believe the City will wish to give temporary people, such as college volunteers, keys to the gate.</p> <p>I understand the need for more security, but I feel this issue has enough groups affected that it needs to be looked at in more detail before any proposal be made to initiate change.</p> <p>-S. Mackenzie</p>	11/17/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Daryl Holmlund	darylholmlund@gmail.com					<p>In addition to the Pete Archer Rowing Center, Boathouse Lane is Home to the California Adaptive Rowing Program, also known as CARP, a program that helps get people with disabilities out rowing for exercise, for fun, and for competition. In recent years two CARP members have rowed in the Paralympics. I myself have gone out rowing through this program as a person with spinal cord injury related paralysis. Restricting access to Boathouse Lane in any way that limits access for CARP and other rowers, who often go out before 8am and after 1 hour after sunset would be an unfortunate decision that would restrict the activities of Long Beach residents and homeowners like myself, but would also affect CARP, a program that benefits the regional community or disabled athletes (and families with disabled children who participate). One of the reasons I decided to stay and buy a house here is because of the wonderful opportunities for outdoor recreation. Let's keep those opportunities for everyone!</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Margaret Gough Courtney	mmgough@yahoo.com					I have been a member of Long Beach Rowing Association since 2014 and rowed with Long Beach Junior Crew from 1998-2001. As a homeowner I understand the concerns of residents, but it is imperative that rowers are able to access the boathouse by car before 8am. The gate now opens at 5am. Further, many regularly scheduled activities occur at the boathouse after sunset, including LBRA masters rowing (mostly individuals who work and exercise before or after work), CARP (serving persons with disabilities, including many veterans), and Roworx. Each is available to the entire community. I have heard about a possible system with gate keys for members of the boathouse, which could work but seems difficult logistically and potentially costly. Yet, access must remain for groups that use the boathouse. If a gate with keys for boathouse members is the agreed-upon solution, we must have this in writing ASAP in case of a change in city leadership. I also support examining other options (e.g., cameras).	11/17/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Ellen Geil	ellegeil17@gmail.com					Respectfully submitted as a member of LBRA . The Ordinance to restrict hours of operation of Boathouse Lane truly only impacts LBRA @ Pete Archer Rowing Centers hours of operation.The boathouse should have been included in this discussion from the beginning. I would remind the City Council that LBRA has a contract with City and has built programs around this access. There has been no formal notice or discussion of the intention to create this Ordinance.This should be discussed with Long Beach Rowing Association and our rowing community before being considered.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	WESTON COLE	westoncole55@gmail.com					Hello, I am the representative from Long Beach Junior Crew. We currently operate practice until 6:30pm Mon-Fri and after coaches get done with sanitizing it can be as late as 7:15. Being a junior club a lot of our participants do not drive themselves and get picked up from either mom or dad, grandma, siblings, or sometimes uber/lyft. It simply is not plausible to distribute enough key cards or access codes to all that will need them if this proposal goes through as written. Furthermore, if the closure does go through we will have kids walking from the boathouse to the end of boathouse lane in the dark after acknowledging that there has been an increase in suspicious activity in that area. This presents a lot of safety concerns in our view. We thank you for your considerations of our concerns!	11/17/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Ivan Rokos	irokos@earthlink.net					<p>I am an avid 53 year-old rower, and so is my 23 year old daughter. We both enjoy rowing from the Long Beach boathouse and we both RESPECT that our boathouse neighbors deserve peace and quiet</p> <p>As evening joggers around Marine Stadium, we have unfortunately noticed the after-sunset "party atmosphere" that has developed. We often see many youngsters that are socializing inappropriately (violating COVID restrictions) or smoking alternative substances. Thus, I totally support the closure of boathouse lane by 8pm to minimize the noise that disturbs the neighbors.</p> <p>However, it is VERY important that Boathouse lane continues to get unlocked EARLY in the morning per current routine, as 5am to 8am is the primary time for many rowers to enjoy smooth water and minimal traffic from other recreational users (kayakers, motors boats, etc). PLEASE consider the EARLY-MORNING needs of our boathouse community, as our members are courteous, quiet, and want to continue being good neighbors.</p>	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Garrett Shook	gwshook@gmail.com					This is a blunt, ineffective effort to stop what could be better done through more policing- wasn't Measure A passed for just that reason?- that leaves that entire length of Boathouse Lane unable to be patrolled for the entire night. If you want to curb activity, then close the parking lot halfway done that is there for unknown reasons. There are gates and a large berm between the neighborhood houses and Boathouse Lane- if the residents don't like noise, they should try living anywhere else near more often used streets. I guarantee the street I live on is louder and with more illicit activity than Boathouse Lane, and it never closes.	11/16/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Dean Saunders	deanisinthehouse@verizon.net					<p>I am a member of Long Beach Rowing Association, located at the Pete Archer Boathouse at Marine Stadium. I am not currently a Board member but have been active at LBRA since I joined the CSULB college team in 1975.</p> <p>I am aware that there are complaints regarding nighttime disturbances along Boathouse Lane, and that a proposed solution may involve restricting access along that road. But Boathouse Lane is the only access to our boathouse. The hours of access proposed will have a significantly bad impact on legitimate Boathouse activities which are CSULB Men's and Womens Crew, CARP (Adaptive Rowing for those with physical disabilities), and Long Beach Junior Crew (ages 14-18).</p> <p>I ask for a reasonable solution to these complaints. Early morning access is critical for all rowing entities and should not change. Evening access is open to compromise. Please support a solution that will give us access from 5AM to 2 hours after sunset.</p> <p>Dean Saunders 3203 Ladoga Ave Long Beach, CA 90808</p>	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Val Miller	aeiouvd@mac.com					Thank you for your time and consideration. I am a Long Beach resident and live about 5 minutes from the Pete Archer Rowing center and Boathouse and an early morning rower. I am often the first person at the boathouse three to four days a week. I understand the concern regarding crime in the area in the evening, but I have never seen criminal activity nor any police presence (aside from being parked in one of the lots) at 5:30 in the morning. Rather than prevent us from our beautiful early morning rows, would it be possible to have a gate accessible for the boathouse members? Swipe card, code, key fob, any type of gated community system that would still allow us access to the boathouse and waterways. We are very careful and conscientious about noise in the morning and are quick to get off the deck and into the water with minimal disturbance for the residents who are fortunate to live in such a beautiful place. Thank you for your consideration. Please don't add to the stress of 2020	11/16/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Victor Grgas	vgrg123@aol.com					I urge reconsideration of this proposed change to hours because it unreasonably restricts use of the iconic Pete Archer Rowing Center by CSULB rowing teams and the Long Beach Rowing Association (LBRA) including physically impaired rowers. Student athletes and other rowers must be able to row before classes start and when the Marine Stadium water is calm and there are limited boating conflicts there. People also need access to train at the facility after end of workday. Closing the gates one hour after dusk is unreasonable and impractical especially post Daylight Savings Time, essentially during the competitive rowing season (November - April), because it means locking up by 6PM or even earlier. Adjusting operating hours from 7AM - 9PM would give users more reasonable / practical access to the facility and when coupled with more frequent police and marine bureau patrols, will help minimize / eliminate the existing illicit problems currently occurring there.	11/15/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Kenneth Glenn	kglenn49@live.com					<p>On behalf of myself and the Beach Crew Alumni Association of California State University Long Beach, I wish to express my opposition to R-62. I have emailed an outline of my concerns each council member.</p> <p>The Beach Crew Alumni Association represents over 400 alums committed to the CSULB rowing program. The COVID pandemic has placed a severe burden, both in training and finances, on the University rowing programs housed at the boathouse. With the vaccine promising to end this pandemic soon, we are hopeful and looking forward to rebuilding the rowing programs. Placing severe restrictions on access to the boathouse as proposed may delay or even crush this prospect.</p> <p>I respectfully urge you to reconsider the current proposal, and offer our willingness to work with your office in developing a more practical and workable solution to the existing problem.</p> <p>Kenneth Glenn, President Beach Crew Alumni Association</p>	11/15/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Martin O'Donnell	lbgranicus@odonnell.net					<p>The agenda item misstates the Boathouse Lane "current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M.". Boathouse Lane actually opens around 5am, as the majority of rowing takes place in the early morning hours. By 8am, many rowers have finished morning rowing and are departing the Pete Archer Rowing Center.</p> <p>In November, December and early January, sunset is before 5pm. Closure 1 hour after sunset would lock out most evening rowers, the next most popular time to row. It would also block evening use of the indoor fitness facilities, which, in the pre-COVID era, were often busy with a mix of junior, college and adult rowers.</p> <p>If the goal is to restrict the general public's after-hours vehicle access to the rowing center parking lot, a restricted vehicle gate would serve this goal without effectively putting the rowing center out of business. The rowing center already maintains a keyfob access system for building access. This system can also accommodate a vehicular gate to the parking lot.</p>	11/15/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	Marc Kashinsky	mlkashinsky@gmail.com					Note: There is an error in this agenda item. Current boathouse lane closure is from 10 PM till 5 AM, NOT 10 PM to 8 AM	11/15/2020
62. (20-1123) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the City Attorney to prepare and present to the City Council an Ordinance amending Section 10.30.080 of the Long Beach Municipal Code to permit the closing of the Boathouse Lane parking lot from one hour after sunset until 8:00 A.M. daily, instead of the current hours of closing from 10:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M. daily.	rebecca ballough	ballough@gmail.com					First, the item itself as listed is inaccurate. The current closure hours are not 10pm to 8am; boathouse lane opens at 5am in order to support the rowing community, which largely operates in the morning. Second, passing this item as stated on the agenda could put Long Beach Rowing Association (LBRA) out of business. Rowers have operated out of the waterway at Peter Archer Rowing Center since 1932, supporting past and possible future (2028) Olympics, Juniors, College, Masters, and Adaptive Rowing programs. Limiting access to the boathouse to the proposed hours would shut down ability to row before work or school, greatly restricting the ability of all rowers to launch and train out of this world class rowing facility. If the real issue is truly public safety, a better alternative would be to install a restricted access gate that would close to the public overnight, but still allow rowing programs to operate out of the boathouse in the mornings.	11/15/2020
63. (20-1124) Recommendation to refer to the Parks and Recreation Commission and the Housing and Neighborhood Committee for consideration of naming the athletic complex at Chittick Field for Vice Mayor Dee Andrews.	diana lejins	dianalejins@yahoo.com					Stop with the political naming....it is so blatantly self serving.	11/10/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
64. (20-1125) Recommendation to consider a request from community members to name the Bixby Park Dog Park, "Dr. Myrna 'Mickey' Donahoe Dog Park" and refer this item to the Parks and Recreation Committee for consideration.	Robin Richesson	robinrichesson@mac.com					I am not sure if my previous comment was entered, I am the Vice President of the Friends of Bixby Dog Park community support group for the dog park. I worked closely with Dr. Mickey Donahoe, and our board fully supports naming the dog park in her honor. She was a tireless advocate for the dog park, and for the community good. She was a "doer" not one to sit on the sidelines and complain! She jumped in with both feet to help where she saw need, and her respectful perseverance benefited the community greatly. Many, including myself, were inspired to become involved in our local community as volunteers, and attend city functions. We are thankful to her, and this would be a fantastic way to honor all the work she did. Thanks for your time. Robin Richesson	11/17/2020
64. (20-1125) Recommendation to consider a request from community members to name the Bixby Park Dog Park, "Dr. Myrna 'Mickey' Donahoe Dog Park" and refer this item to the Parks and Recreation Committee for consideration.	diana lejins	dianalejins@yahoo.com					Stop with the political naming. It is so self-serving.	11/10/2020
65. (20-1126) Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare ordinances to designate the properties located at 4204 Cedar Avenue, 244 Mira Mar Avenue, and 262 Newport Avenue as Long Beach Historic Landmarks; and Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute Mills Act historic property contracts with owners of 13 historic properties. (Districts 1,2,3,6,7,8)	Steven Nadell	sbnadell@aol.com					This is not the time to consider any changes to unhosted STRs. During a pandemic, short term renters and hosts do not want to share space with strangers. Our city is facing an economic nightmare. Major budget shortfalls, city workers are furloughed, retail store fronts are vacant, high unemployment, and a rise in crime. STR income allows property owners to keep their properties and generate income during these trying times. Responsible hosts have stringent rules, minimum age requirements, noise and party restrictions. STR guests visit our city to reconnect with family, visit Sick relatives, attend weddings, well needed stycations, business, and most important, they want to enjoy our city and spend money at our local businesses. Occupancy taxes generate much needed revenue to LB. NIMBY elitists should not dictate city policy. STRs do not remove affordable housing. Property owners have right to participate in the GIG economy and provide a valuable service to visitors.	11/17/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Given the recent action by the Governor's office on COVID-19, it is hard to see how this item cannot be brought back at a later time.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Dorann Lyon	peacelogic@aol.com					I wholeheartedly support this action! Especially since our property taxes have gone up, for some of us this is the only way to make ends meet!	11/17/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedia.com					Oh boy - party houses - I'm sure Ms Pearce just loves this idea, as will "social butterfly" OC Cindy Allen. Just say NO NO NO to unhosted AirBnB rentals. We have enough trouble getting your highly paid and unmasked police officers to come out to take care of our issues, much less those of short-term rentals. I guess they're not paying you enough? You people are so full of it - and lovely for this to be item #66 - the devil is in the details - and you've given NONE! Fools.	11/17/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Susana Nadell	susananadell@gmail.com					I am a host of an STR. We have a duplex located in Naples & we live in Naples. Our duplex is located on the main busy road directly on 2nd St. We have very strict rules on noise and outdoor curfew and we have gone as far as limiting our reservations to only families with children & mature respectful adults over the age of 40. The city is suffering financially and we need to continue to allow responsible host to rent out the entire homes to families. We need all the money from tourism and tax revenue brought in by tourism. Long Beach should thrive not go broke! The city council members should help our struggling businesses especially during the time of Covid. Our family desperately need to continue to rent our STR because we are also affected financially by Covid. Families want to do local staycations and rent an entire private home. We have had many families rent our STR while they visit their family members that reside here in Naples. There are 1000â€™s of rental vacancies on Zillow.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Dianne Sundstrom	dianne.sundstrom@verizon.net					I fully support hosted STRs and also support allowing ONE un-hosted STR in addition to a hosted STR. I DO NOT support the staff recommendation of 2 un-hosted STRs or 3, if one is a hosted unit. In reviewing the data on hotel occupancy, it would seem that it is in the best interest of the City to consider the impact STR units potentially have on hotel occupancy, particularly during this challenging time for the hospitality industry. I also oppose including large-scale special events in the STR ordinance: allowing STRs to hold up to 6 large-scale, 24 hour events is very troubling and potentially very disruptive to our neighborhoods. I am asking that you prohibit large-scale, commercial events at residential homes. There are many venues throughout the City perfectly suited to such events and not located in the midst of our neighborhoods.	11/17/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Steve Askin	askinsteve@gmail.com					As a host who values Airbnb, I say this the WRONG TIME for unhosted STRs. During the pandemic, my family is proud to make the HOSTED Airbnb in the back house behind our family's home a refuge for folks who need a safe place to stay. We've hosted families fleeing the fires and several families with a parent or child receiving care at a nearby hospital. They all wanted a place where they could isolate safely without walking through hotel lobbies. As on-site hosts, we require our guests to agree to strict safety rules for the current pandemic before they book. They must agree in writing to follow the latest local and state safety requirements. We set an example, by always wearing masks in our shared garden. This week we cancelled future bookings, based on the latest state guidance, leaving on the books only those with medical reasons to travel. I am not convinced that "unhosted" will ever be a good idea, but now is surely not the right time to allow unhosted STRs.	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Jerry Andis	jandis@aol.com					<p>Unhosted should be allowed up to the limit of 1000 units as I agreed by Council after 6 month waiting period</p> <p>This will generate much needed revenue in the millions from additional TOT. In addition unhosted Airbnb™s generate much needed revenue for small businesses in the City</p> <p>Vote to approve</p> <p>Thanks Jerry Andis (District 3)</p>	11/17/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Joseph Mueller	jstplnjoe3@hotmail.com					<p>The STR Ordinance begins with "The purpose is to safeguard the residents of the City of LB by ensuring that STR activities do not threaten the character of residential neighborhoods; and ensuring that such STR activities do not become a nuisance." The current STR Ordinance holds this to be true.</p> <p>Living next to a STR, we have seen a definite negative impact as a result of noise, littering, parking violations, number of occupants, etc. Attempts at remediation, whether through the owner directly, Airbnb, code enforcement, complaint hotlines and/or websites, or the police, have all proven futile. Apparently, our only option is a lawsuit against the owner, which is time and money that we shouldn't have to spend on a problem that shouldn't exist in the first place.</p> <p>I would kindly request that the City Council not amend the STR Ordinance and to continue to ban un-hosted STRs from the City of LB in order to protect our neighborhoods and to uphold the Purpose of the Ordinance.</p>	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Jorge Leal	george@infinitesuites.com					Hi my name is George and i am on behalf of allowing un-hosted STRs. I have experience with un-hosted STRs and have found that the main concerns with STRs has to do with opening up rentals to undesirable party situations or undesirable events to a neighborhood. The fact is any STR done wrong has the same risks. An un-hosted STR requires security cameras, a very detailed and stringent guest qualifying process, remote management systems and nothing less than a five star review. On the contrary, a hosted STR is more likely to cut corners on security features due to a false sense of security and in the end, have many more undesirable events take place. As a final very important note, I would like to point out that a hosted STR is not covid safe due to more people being in close proximity to each other while an un-hosted STR is covid safe because it allows for isolation.	11/16/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com					Short term rentals need to be kept at a minimum. Given the complexity and real loss of long term rentals with an impending eviction cliff, loss of long term rentals of any kind and for any reason needs to be avoided. VOTE no to allow unhosted short term rentals AND create an enforcement plan to support this action.	11/16/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Guadalupe Ortiz	looportiz@gmail.com					Hello, my name is Guadalupe Ortiz and I've been a resident at 3717 Orange 90807 since 2008. The owners of 4310 Myrtle 90807 have been illegally operating their two extra homes as un-hosted STRs for a while. The address of the two homes are 1190 E. 37th St, 90807, and 3721 Orange Ave 90807 (next to mine). The 3721 Orange have has been an absolute nightmare for my family and neighbors. Since the Covid 19 pandemic started, that house has been a very popular pool party house. I have contacted the owner several times with no avail. The owner has told me she is afraid of retaliation from the guests when confronting them about my family's complaints. I've had to call-out sick from work at least 4 times due to the late ending (3am) parties or loudness. There have been several times were I've counted at least 12 cars related to that rental, leaving no space for parking. Almost every guest uses drugs, making it difficult for my children to enjoy our backyard. Please shut them down. Thank U	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Edgar Campbell	edcampbell3@mac.com					<p>I live across the street from two unregistered and un-hosted STR's. They threaten the character of residential our neighborhood, they are a nuisance, and threaten the public health, safety or welfare of neighboring properties and they remove long-term rental housing stock in the City. We have had to call the police for loud parties, complain to the STR property manager about noise, trash accumulating in front of the building, and contact building services about illegal renovations to the property.</p> <p>I also feel that members of the Long Beach City Counsel, who have accepted gifts from one or more of the STR companies, i.e. AirBnB, should recuse themselves from the vote on this matter. I feel that the gifts to counsel members (free stays at AirBnB properties, cash, etc) creates a "pay for play" situation and would make their vote biased for the STR company's interests and not in their constituents best interests. It is a conflict of interest... Thank you, Edgar Campbell</p>	11/15/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Sylvia Salcedo	sylsalcedo@gmail.com					<p>I have lived directly across the street from an illegal, un-hosted STR since June 2020.It has had a negative impact on our quality of life, threatened the character of our neighborhood, and the activities at this rental have been a nuisance since it's first rental which was a party.The purpose of the STR Ordinance is to ensure this does not happen.We've had to endure frequent loud parties with groups of people not wearing masks or social distancing, and cars parked on our sidewalk.We register complaints almost every day. The neighbors dealing with this un-hosted STR have become the "hosts", pleading with guests to not block the sidewalk with their vehicles or our driveway, and to keep the noise down when it's clear they are planning for a party.This property owner has demonstrated they have no concern for our community and should have to be physically present and deal with the nuisance we deal with almost daily.I respectfully ask that this recommendation be denied.</p>	11/15/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Jean Young	ardmore.communications@gmail.com					<p>I support unhosted short term rentals in Long Beach. Local Beach is a tourist destination and STRs enable families to enjoy the coast with stays that they otherwise could not afford.</p> <p>STRs consume less than 1% of housing stock and they are capped at 1000. The argument that Airbnb takes units off the market is patently false. (Lisa Wise Consulting)</p> <p>Unhosted short term rentals provide flexibility for workers who split their time between different locations depending on their projects.</p> <p>We have noise and nuisance laws on the books to manage party houses. Airbnb delists frequent violators (<3% of all noise and nuisance complaints in 2018)</p> <p>STR operators use the extra income to pay property taxes and maintenance to keep their LGB properties (and neighborhoods) great.</p> <p>STR cleaners are paid -- on average -- 3 times their union counterparts. They team with hosts "small entrepreneurs" to share in the sweat and the profits -- many get 100% of the cleaning fee which is a life changer.</p>	11/15/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Johanna Mumper	hannamumper@gmail.com					<p>Please do not amend the new ordinance! As a resident who lives next door to an air bnb for 8 years: please DO NOT allow unhosted STRs. Many of us are still working from home during this pandemic and air bnb have proven to be disruptive and impact neighborhoods. It SUCKS living next to one. They are not considerate of the nearby neighbors and allow huge groups that take up tons of parking in already impacted neighborhoods. This ordinance has been waited on for years, to amend it would be a disservice to our Long Beach communities and residences who also pay high rents to work and live here.</p>	11/14/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Melissa Sakal	excessiveinformation@yahoo.com					I have lived next to an unhosted STR for over 7 months. My neighbors and I have had to endure loud parties, cars parked on our sidewalk, people from all over the country gathering on the street not wearing masks and not social distancing. I reached out to the property owner. I contacted Airbnb. I called my Councilperson's office. My neighbors and I wrote a letter and sent it to the Mayor. We've continued reaching out. We've been told to wait until the city has someone to handle STR complaints. Things have only become worse. We were given a number to call in October. We call to register complaints almost every day. Now the city is recommending allowing un-hosted short term rentals? You should be ashamed of yourselves. You have been elected to represent your constituents. Unhosted STRs puts your citizens at greater risk of COVID and it has a strong negative impact on our quality of life. The STR next to me is not the exception, it is the inevitable, until you do something to stop it.	11/14/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	Melissa Trager	msmsakal@gmail.com					Unhosted STRs increase the existing problem of housing becoming unaffordable in our city. A renting resident cannot compete with the hundreds of dollars per day that an STR generates. If the city allows this to continue, residents will be priced out of neighborhoods. And, it puts current residents at risk of being pushed out of their homes to make way for more lucrative STRs. At a time of growing awareness of housing injustice and systematic oppression, it's reprehensible that the city would turn a blind eye to this injustice.	11/14/2020
66. (20-1127) Recommendation to direct staff to work with the City Attorney to amend Title 5 of the Long Municipal Code to allow un-hosted short-term rentals. (Citywide)	AUDREY LUNA	drai888@gmail.com					My concerns stem over 2 illegal airbnb units in the 4 unit complex that I live in. I have been here for 10 years and have never missed a rent payment even during covid. The owners of this complex blatantly disregard our health and well being by hiring unlicensed contractors to do unpermitted work, illegal HVAC and did not test for Asbestos, lead or mold on a complex built in 1969. There are multiple parties with several guests using illegal substances and making lots of noise. Please do not pass the ordinance to allow unhosted airbnbs. It will cause undue harm to our neighborhood.	11/13/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
68. (20-1129) Recommendation to adopt resolution redeclaring a shelter crisis; suspending applicable provisions of local law, including those contained in the City's zoning ordinances and regulations, and authorizing the operation of a temporary shelter, for the period of six months from October 1, 2020 through April 1, 2021, inclusive, with the option to extend for one additional six-month period, if necessary, and terminate no later than November 1, 2021, inclusive; and Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Lease, including any necessary related documents and amendments, with the Volunteers of America Los Angeles (Lessee), or assignee, for City-owned property at 5571 Orange Avenue for use as a temporary shelter. (District 8)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020
69. (20-1130) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to adjust certain natural gas transmission rates for natural gas service to reflect market conditions by implementing Gas Rate Schedules 1 through 5, 7, 9, and special conditions and incentives in Exhibits A and B; and	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					<p>This Item needs to be brought back - this is a wholesale and fundamental change to the city's de facto industrial policies to a handful of firms and concerns, under the guise of equity - Where is the equity analysis of this please?</p> <p>We just had an election, and we have two new Councilpeople who will have this, like Items #9, #19, and #69 take into effect without any decision-making authority, input, policy review, or firm understanding of the trade-offs for their residents.</p> <p>This, as natural gas infrastructure is being rapidly reimagined throughout the State of California to meet carbon neutrality considerations.</p> <p>Even the numbers - where does the extra \$8M go? Un-furloughing?</p> <p>There are a lot of serious discussions re: COVID-19, and equity for lower income folks and businesses hard-pressed by the lack of adherence to good pandemic response, but the discussions in each of these three items - 9, 19, and 69 need to be brought back in January to align with CA priorities.</p>	11/17/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-1131) Adopt resolution pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code Section 15.36.120(B) to increase the Low-Income Gas Discount rate from 5 percent to 20 percent. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					This Item should be paired with Item #9 and brought back at a later date when the new Council (that we just voted on!) can represent their constituencies concerns and interests.	11/17/2020
70. (20-1132) Recommendation to receive and file a report on recommendations on business license taxes and business fees with regard to the COVID-19 pandemic; Approve recommendation to allow deferral of payment of business license taxes and fees until March 31, 2021; and Approve a recommendation to provide for grants to businesses to offset business license taxes and fees for those businesses closed or materially restricted and impacted for an extended period of time due to the City Health Orders, with an estimated and maximum cost of \$1.3 million plus administrative costs of \$75,000. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					Deferral is not enough. Small businesses have suffered greatly and people are barely surviving. We need more grants to help businesses with their business licenses. It's not only licenses, but the district fees .	11/16/2020
73. (20-1053) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 8.101, relating to tenant harassment; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately, read and adopted as read. (Citywide)	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com					Tenant harassment is real and should not be part of the Long Beach experience. VOTE to support this urgent ordinance.	11/16/2020
74. (20-1054) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 8.120, temporary enforcement of Long Beach Health Orders related to COVID-19; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately, read and adopted as read. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Support	11/17/2020
74. (20-1054) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 8.120, temporary enforcement of Long Beach Health Orders related to COVID-19; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately, read and adopted as read. (Citywide)	Michelle Baker	michellebaker199@gmail.com					You need to enforce the mandate at skateboard parks and on the beach. There needs to be curfews at the Cherry beach parking lot.	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
76. (20-1144) Recommendation to direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to seek approval, access and reimbursement from Caltrans and all other appropriate agencies so that the City can perform needed maintenance and clean-up of these areas in the most efficient, cost effective, and expeditious way possible.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					This is an important discussion to really think through	11/17/2020
75. (20-1142) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to modify the Tenant Anti-Harassment ordinance passed November 2, 2020 to reflect the following: Addition of "in bad faith" to line one of Section 8.101.030.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					Well this is going to be interesting...	11/17/2020
75. (20-1142) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to modify the Tenant Anti-Harassment ordinance passed November 2, 2020 to reflect the following: Addition of "in bad faith" to line one of Section 8.101.030.	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com					Vote NO to the addition of "in bad faith"; this only serves to negate the needed protections.	11/16/2020
75. (20-1142) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to modify the Tenant Anti-Harassment ordinance passed November 2, 2020 to reflect the following: Addition of "in bad faith" to line one of Section 8.101.030.	Sandra Kroll	sdkroll@earthlink.net					This phrase will cripple the ordinance, in that it requires a complainant to prove a defendant's state of mind of their landlords, and thus prevent tenants from the protections that the ordinance promises.	11/16/2020
77. (20-1145) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft a resolution to Governor Newsom urging for the expansion of in-door dining at 25% capacity for all restaurants in counties within the purple tier; and Direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to identify and participate in ongoing advocacy efforts currently underway, urging Governor Newsom and other relevant State agencies, on behalf of Long Beach and Long Beach based restaurants, to allow restaurants operating in "Purple Tier" counties to operate indoors at 25% indoor capacity.	Patrick Goddard	patrickfgoddard@gmail.com					I am strongly against this recommendation. While I am not insensitive to the plight of local restaurants that have been hard hit as a result of the effects of the pandemic, I am shocked our council would even be considering this at a time when we are experience a serious rise in cases. This is an ill-timed consideration that if made into affect, could jeopardize even more lives. Scrap this recommendation immediately.	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
<p>77. (20-1145) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft a resolution to Governor Newsom urging for the expansion of in-door dining at 25% capacity for all restaurants in counties within the purple tier; and</p> <p>Direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to identify and participate in ongoing advocacy efforts currently underway, urging Governor Newsom and other relevant State agencies, on behalf of Long Beach and Long Beach based restaurants, to allow restaurants operating in "Purple Tier" counties to operate indoors at 25% indoor capacity.</p>	Joshua Torres	jptorres13@gmail.com					<p>I think the resolution is irresponsible. Just driving around the city I've witnessed dozens of businesses not complying with the outdoor safety requirements, and now the Council wants to advocate to expand the exemption to indoor? This is not a personal choice. These patrons will go to work, go to the grocery store, they might get on the bus, and they will expose other people the whole time. Indoor dining has been shown to be a high risk activity. If we keep relaxing our precautions we'll never get back to normal. We have to buckle down and manage this disease. I have two cousins and an aunt who are all nurses who were not working COVID but were recently reassigned due to the surge. I do not appreciate when our elected officials try to enable this reckless behavior. I feel for these businesses owners who are struggling, but that doesn't make it okay to send more people to the ICU and risk the safety of front line workers.</p> <p>Joshua Torres 4142 E Mendez St, Unit 430 Long Beach CA 90815</p>	11/16/2020
<p>77. (20-1145) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft a resolution to Governor Newsom urging for the expansion of in-door dining at 25% capacity for all restaurants in counties within the purple tier; and</p> <p>Direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to identify and participate in ongoing advocacy efforts currently underway, urging Governor Newsom and other relevant State agencies, on behalf of Long Beach and Long Beach based restaurants, to allow restaurants operating in "Purple Tier" counties to operate indoors at 25% indoor capacity.</p>	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com					<p>NO, Vote NO. The country, the county is spiking. It is a governing malpractice to allow an expansion of the current orders. We need to be considering more restrictions; and incentives for restaurants to pivot to delivery and take out.</p>	11/16/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
<p>77. (20-1145) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft a resolution to Governor Newsom urging for the expansion of in-door dining at 25% capacity for all restaurants in counties within the purple tier; and</p> <p>Direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to identify and participate in ongoing advocacy efforts currently underway, urging Governor Newsom and other relevant State agencies, on behalf of Long Beach and Long Beach based restaurants, to allow restaurants operating in "Purple Tier" counties to operate indoors at 25% indoor capacity.</p>	Laura Merryfield	lauramerryfield@gmail.com					<p>As COVID-19 cases in LA County and the state rise to their summer peaks, asking the Governor to loosen restrictions for indoor dining sends the wrong message about Long Beach's commitment to the health of its restaurant workers and residents.</p> <p>I have enjoyed outdoor dining at restaurants in the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th districts during this summer and fall, including many Open Streets parklet participants, and have been impressed by the measures taken by staff to create safe and welcoming environments. We should continue to find ways to support outdoor dining in areas without parklet access (such as the PCH and Anaheim corridors), and offer grant funds to business owners to invest in heat lamps, shade, and lighting. How can Long Beach and LA County move to the red tier? Through reducing cases in Black, Latinx, and API communities.</p> <p>Cases decreased when the state paused indoor dining in the summer. Reopening now puts workers and diners at unnecessary risk. I urge a no vote on this item.</p>	11/16/2020
<p>77. (20-1145) Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft a resolution to Governor Newsom urging for the expansion of in-door dining at 25% capacity for all restaurants in counties within the purple tier; and</p> <p>Direct City Manager and all appropriate departments to identify and participate in ongoing advocacy efforts currently underway, urging Governor Newsom and other relevant State agencies, on behalf of Long Beach and Long Beach based restaurants, to allow restaurants operating in "Purple Tier" counties to operate indoors at 25% indoor capacity.</p>	Andrea Antony-Morr	andrea.antony.33@gmail.com					<p>We are heading into a third wave of COVID-19, which could easily overwhelm hospitals and lead to more deaths beyond just those of COVID-19. While I understand that business owners need income, the government should step in and provide grants rather than expect people, including customers, to put their lives at risk just so that more money can flow into the business. Everyone needs money to live because our capitalist system is setup that way, but profit should not have more value over lives, especially when dictated by the government. Give business owners tax breaks, or reduce their rent, or give them a grant, but don't just tell them they should work more and do indoor dining.</p>	11/14/2020

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 17, 2020

Item	Name	E-Mail	Street	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
79. (20-1143) Recommendation to cancel the December 1, 2020 City Council meeting, or to receive direction from the City Council to lay over certain items from the November 17 meeting to the December 1, 2020 meeting. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com					<p>Good morning,</p> <p>I urge you *not* to cancel the 12/1/20 Council meeting, as it is clear from the revised agenda for tonight's meeting (39 pages! - I know we're in the era of COVID-19 and online learning, but yikes!) that the near 80-odd items is too unwieldy for one lone meeting in November.</p> <p>During the past two weeks that Council did not meet, the pandemic in the United States has sharply worsened.</p> <p>Even in sites that meet standards with good compliance in Long Beach, there is risk, and our 'rona numbers are rising.</p> <p>Many items on today's agenda directly address the pandemic and resultant economic crisis (particularly: 77, 76, 75 (all of which were already held over), 74, 73, 70, along with 68 and 60 and 59 and 35 orthogonal, and 10 obviously foundational) - these should clearly be prioritized.</p> <p>There are more than a couple of added items, in both the consent and the regular portions of the agenda, that should be held over to 12/1/20.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	11/17/2020
Supplemental Memorandum - Corrections and/or additions to the City Council Agenda as of 12:00 noon, Thursday, November 12, 2020.	DMC LE	dmconvert@gmail.com					<p>Itâ€™s been pretty chilly lately, in the 50s and 60s. The city needs provisions and housing for homeless people.</p>	11/14/2020