

City of Long Beach

Date Prepared:

July 7, 2005

2005 CMP Local Development Report

Reporting Period: JUNE 1, 2004 - MAY 31, 2005

Contact: Ira Brown Phone Number: (562) 570-5972

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY

2004 DEFICIENCY PLAN SUMMARY¹

* IMPORTANT: All "#value!" cells on this page are automatically calculated.

Please do not enter data in these cells.

DEVELOPMENT TOTALS

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	Dwelling Units
Single Family Residential	23.00
Multi-Family Residential 376	
Group Quarters	0.00
COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	1,000 Net Sq.Ft. ²

COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	1,000 Net Sq.Ft.*
Commercial (less than 300,000 sq.ft.)	3.48
Commercial (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00
Freestanding Fating & Drinking	25.62

NON-RETAIL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	1,000 Net Sq.Ft. ²
Lodging	0.00
Industrial	280.36
Office (less than 50,000 sq.ft.)	9.07
Office (50,000-299,999 sq.ft.)	0.00
Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00
Medical	7.20

Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00
Medical	7.20
Government	0.00
Institutional/Educational	0.00
University (# of students)	0.00

Daily Trips
0.00
0.00

EXEMPTED DEVELOPMENT TOTALS

Exempted Dwelling Units	0
Exempted Non-residential sq. ft. (in 1,000s)	0

^{1.} Note: Please change dates on this form for later years.

Section I, Page 1

City of Long Beach

PART 1:

Medical

Government

Description

Institutional/Educational

University (# of students)

ENTER IF APPLICABLE

ENTER IF APPLICABLE

OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

(Attach additional sheets if necessary)

2005 CMP Local Development Report

Reporting Period: JUNE 1, 2004 - MAY 31, 2005

Enter data for all cells labeled "Enter." If there are no data for that category, enter "0."

NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY Category **Dwelling** Units Single Family Residential 62.00 Multi-Family Residential 428.00 **Group Quarters** 0.00 COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY 1,000 Gross Category **Square Feet** Commercial (less than 300,000 sq.ft.) 51.08 0.00 Commercial (300,000 sq.ft. or more) Freestanding Eating & Drinking 25.62 NON-RETAIL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY Category 1,000 Gross Square Feet Lodging 0.00 281.09 Industrial Office (less than 50,000 sq.ft.) 9.07 0.00 Office (50,000-299,999 sq.ft.) Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more) 0.00

Date Prepared:

July 7, 2005

Section I, Page 2

Daily Trips

(Enter "0" if none)

7.20

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

City of Long Beach

2005 CMP Local Development Report

Reporting Period: JUNE 1, 2004 - MAY 31, 2005

Enter data for all cells labeled "Enter." If there are no data for that category, enter "0."

PART 2: NEW DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

IMPORTANT: Adjustments may be claimed only for 1) development permits that were both issued and revoked, expired or withdrawn during the reporting period, and 2) demolition of any structure with the reporting period.

Date Prepared:

July 7, 2005

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS	
Category	Dwelling
	Units
Single Family Residential	39.00
Multi-Family Residential	52.00
Group Quarters	0.00
COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	
Category	1,000 Gross
	Square Feet
Commercial (less than 300,000 sq.ft.)	47.60
ommercial (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	
Freestanding Eating & Drinking	0.00
NON-RETAIL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	
Category	1,000 Gross
	Square Feet
Lodging	0.00
Industrial	0.73
Office (less than 50,000 sq.ft.)	0.00
Office (50,000-299,999 sq.ft.)	0.00
Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00
Medical	0.00
Government	0.00
Institutional/Educational	0.00
University (# of students)	0.00
OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY	
Description	Daily Trips
(Attach additional sheets if necessary)	(Enter "0" if none)
ENTER IF APPLICABLE	0.00
ENTER IF APPLICABLE	0.00

Section I, Page 3

City of Long Beach 2005 CMP Local Development Repo Reporting Period: JUNE 1, 2004 - I		Date Prepared:	July 7, 2005
Enter data for all cells labeled "Enter." If ther	re are no data for that c	ategory, enter "0."	
PART 3: EXEMPTED DEVELOP	MENT ACTIVITY		
(NOT INCLUDED IN NEW DEVELOPMENT	ACTIVITY TOTALS)		
Low/Very Low Income Housing	0	Dwelling Units	
High Density Residential Near Rail Stations	0	Dwelling Units	
Mixed Use Developments Near Rail Stations		1,000 Gross Square Fee Dwelling Units	et
Development Agreements Entered into Prior to July 10, 1989		1,000 Gross Square Fee Dwelling Units	et
Reconstruction of Buildings Damaged in April 1992 Civil Unrest		1,000 Gross Square Fee Dwelling Units	et
Reconstruction of Buildings Damaged in Jan. 1994 Earthquake		1,000 Gross Square Fee Dwelling Units	et
Total Dwelling Units Total Non-residential sq. ft. (in 1,000s)	0		

Section I, Page 4

Exempted Development Definitions:

- 1. Low/Very Low Income Housing: As defined by the California Department of Housing and Community Development as follows:
 - Low-Income: equal to or less than 80% of the County median income, with adjustments for family size.
 - Very Low-Income: equal to or less than 50% of the County median income, with adjustments for family size.
- 2. High Density Residential Near Rail Stations: Development located within 1/4 mile of a fixed rail passenger station and that is equal to or greater than 120 percent of the maximum residential density allowed under the local general plan and zoning ordinance. A project providing a minimum of 75 dwelling units per acre is automatically considered high density.
- Mixed Uses Near Rail Stations: Mixed-use development located within 1/4 mile of a fixed rail passenger station, if more than half of the land area, or floor area, of the mixed use development is used for high density residential housing.
- 4. Development Agreements: Projects that entered into a development agreement (as specified under Section 65864 of the California Government Code) with a local jurisdiction prior to July 10, 1989.
- 5. Reconstruction or replacement of any residential or non-residential structure which is damaged or destroyed, to the extent of > or = to 50% of its reasonable value, by fire, flood, earthquake or other similar calamity.
- 6. Any project of a federal, state or county agency that is exempt from local jurisdiction zoning regulations and where the local jurisdiction is precluded from exercising any approval/disapproval authority. These locally precluded projects do not have to be reported in the LDR.



CITY OF LONG BEACH

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING

333 West Ocean Boulevard • Long Beach, CA 90802 • (562) 570-5972 FAX (562) 570-6068

ADVANCE PLANNING

July 21, 2005

CHAIR AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS City of Long Beach California

SUBJECT:

The 2004-2005 Local Development Report and its Conformance with

the 2004 Congestion Management Program.

LOCATION:

Citywide

APPLICANT:

City of Long Beach

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the City Council adopt a resolution self-certifying the Local Development Report and its conformance with the Congestion Management Program.

BACKGROUND

Public concern over the impacts of traffic congestion and air pollution on the state's economic vitality and quality of life contributed to the passage of Proposition 111 in 1990. Proposition 111 instituted the Congestion Management Program (CMP) and an increase in State gas tax for traffic reduction measures. The intent of this Statemandated program (§65088 et seq.) is to address the impacts of local growth on the regional transportation system. The CMP comprises two main program areas: 1) traffic and building permit data collection and analysis and 2) action plans to reduce traffic congestion on regional highways and corridors.

Traffic congestion is in part the result of intra-regional travel; as a result, the ability of one city to address traffic congestion within its jurisdiction is limited. Land use and transportation planning is divided among 89 jurisdictions in Los Angeles County. The CMP encourages cities and counties to address traffic congestion on a regional scale. By utilizing a regional approach, the CMP seeks to maximize the effectiveness of local efforts to reduce traffic congestion and air pollution. The Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), which administers the CMP in Los Angeles County, uses the traffic and building permit data collected from cities and the County to create a "snapshot" of how the County's highways and roadways operate as a system. This understanding of regional traffic congestion and its relationship with local growth and transportation

CHAIR AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS July 21, 2005 Page 2

improvements aids cities and the County in selecting the best mix of projects and strategies to reduce traffic congestion.

In addition, the CMP requires cities and counties to offset a portion of the impact that their new development has on regional mobility by implementing transportation improvements. Previously, cities and the County demonstrated compliance with the CMP by a point system – by tracking debits from new developments and credits from capital improvements and strategies to reduce traffic congestion – where cities and the County must have a positive balance of credits over debits to maintain CMP conformance and to ensure receipt of Proposition 111 State gas tax funds.

However, in August 2003, the MTA Board authorized a Nexus Study to explore the feasibility of implementing a congestion mitigation fee to meet CMP Deficiency Plan requirements. While this study is underway, the CMP point system has been suspended. Nevertheless, the City is still required to certify and report building permit data.

In that regard, the Department of Planning and Building has prepared the Local Development Report (LDR) for the reporting year 2004-2005 (see Attachment A). The report must be certified by the City Council and submitted to the Metropolitan Transportation Authority by September 1, 2005.

GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY

The proposed 2004-2005 Local Development Report (LDR) of the Congestion Management Plan (CMP) is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan. The Congestion Management Program is intended to allow for growth balanced with transportation improvements that will mitigate traffic impacts. Traffic improvements attract businesses while improving the quality of life for residents. These efforts are consistent with the growth management and economic development goals of the General Plan.

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

Notice of this hearing was published in the *Press Telegram* on July 10, 2005. Notices were also posted at City Hall.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Recommend that the City Council adopt a resolution self-certifying the Local Development Report and its conformance with the Congestion Management Program.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAIR AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS July 21, 2005 Page 3

Suzanne Frick DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND BUILDING

Ira Brown

Planner

Approved:

Angela Reykolds

Planning Office

EJZ:AR:IB 2005 CMP Letter.doc

Attachment:

Local Development Report 2004-2005: Congestion Management Program

Kobert E. Shannon City Attorney of Long Beach 333 West Ocean Boulevard ong Beach, California 90802-4664 Telephone (562) 570-2200

RESOLUTION NO. C-

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FINDING THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CMP) AND ADOPTING THE CMP LOCAL DEVELOPMENT REPORT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65089

WHEREAS, California Government Code §65088, et seq., requires the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("MTA"), acting as the Congestion Management Agency for Los Angeles County, to annually determine that the County and cities within the County are conforming to all Congestion Management Plan (CMP) requirements; and

WHEREAS, MTA requires submittal of the CMP Local Development Report by September 1 of each year; and

WHEREAS, the City Council held a noticed public hearing on August 16, 2005;

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Long Beach resolves as follows:

Section 1. That the City of Long Beach (City) has taken all of the following actions, and that the City is in conformance with all applicable requirements of the 2004 CMP adopted on January 22, 2004.

By June 15, of odd-numbered years, the City will conduct annual traffic counts and calculated levels of service for selected arterial intersections, consistent with the requirements identified in the CMP Highway and Roadway System chapter.

The City has locally adopted and continues to implement a transportation

Kobert E. Snannon
City Attorney of Long Beach
333 West Ocean Boulevard
Long Beach, California 90802-4664
Telephone (562) 570-2200

 $/\!/$

 $/\!\!/$

demand management ordinance, consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP Transportation Demand Management chapter.

The City has locally adopted and continues to implement a land use analysis program, consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP Land Use Analysis Program chapter.

The City has adopted a Local Development Report, attached hereto and made a part hereof, consistent with the requirements identified in the 2004 CMP. This report balances traffic congestion impacts due to growth within the City with transportation improvements, and demonstrates that the City is meeting its responsibilities under the Countywide Deficiency Plan consistent with the MTA Board adopted 2003 Short Range Transportation Plan.

Sec. 2. The Director of Planning and Building is hereby directed to forward a copy of this Resolution to the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

Sec. 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by the City Council, and the City Clerk shall certify to the vote adopting this resolution.

Robert E. Shannon
City Attorney of Long Beach
333 West Ocean Boulevard
Long Beach, California 90802-4664
Telephone (562) 570-2200

	I hereby certify that the fore	egoing resolution was adopted by the City Council
of the City of	Long Beach at its meeting	ng of, 2005, by the
following vote	:	
Ayes:	Councilmembers:	
Noes:	Councilmembers:	
Absen	t: Councilmembers:	
		City Clerk

MJM:kjm 8/2/05 #05-03473 L:\APPS\CtyLaw32\WPDOCS\D002\P004\00077654.WPD