

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
1. (15-1131) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record; conclude the public hearing, and  Adopt resolution certifying EIR 01-15 and approving a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Riverwalk Residential Development project (State Clearinghouse No. 2014091011), for a new 131 single-family home subdivision (Riverwalk) at 4747 Daisy Avenue;	Kenneth Kern	kenkern@charter.net			Long Beach	CA	90805	Before certifying, please ask staff the following questions: 1. How can they justify using 2.82 persons per household which include 1 and 2 bedroom units while these are 3 and 4 bedroom units? The US census indicates 3.6 persons which is a 29% understatement of all impacts. 2. Why are they using 2.0 vehicles when the US average is 2.3? This understatement equals 40 additional vehicles for which there is no room to park. 3. What street will be used for the 2,064 dump trucks of dirt? REJECT	11/9/2015
1. (15-1131) Recommendation to receive supporting documentation into the record; conclude the public hearing, and  Adopt resolution certifying EIR 01-15 and approving a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Riverwalk Residential Development project (State Clearinghouse No. 2014091011), for a new 131 single-family home subdivision (Riverwalk) at 4747 Daisy Avenue;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Access to the site is problematic forcing hundreds of car trips a day in a sleepy neighborhood with narrow streets. Parking is grossly inadequate- only 2 garage spaces per 3&4 BR unit- NO driveways, NO street parking. The site is poorly located for this size of a development. For many it is very clear, this site is too dense and will cause ongoing issues for the development and the neighborhood indefinitely. 3 story units along the horse trail with impact to the natural development and beauty.	11/9/2015

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(15-1132) Adopt resolution amending the Land Use Element of the General Plan from LUD #11 (Open Space and Park District) to LUD 3A (Townhomes) at 4747 Daisy Avenue (Riverwalk);	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	This project is terrible land use due to the constraints to access and impacts to the neighborhood. It is unnecessarily dense and will cause ongoing quality of life issues to the residents. This is the wrong project for the area based on density, accessibility and undue constraints to residents of existing and the proposed project. 2 car garage parking only for 3 and 4 BR units. NO driveways and NO street parking. Completely impractical and a poor model for the rest of the city.	11/9/2015
(15-1133) Adopt resolution amending the Land Use Element of the General Plan from LUD #1 (Single-Family District) to LUD #11 (Open Space and Park District) at 4951 Oregon Avenue (the Oregon Park site); and consider Negative Declaration ND-04-10;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Do NOT amend the Land Use Element of the General Plan to allow this use and density. The developer picked a very poor location to propose a housing development with this much density and now wants to make a profit at which will be at the expense of the quality of life for the existing neighborhood and the residents of this proposed development.	11/9/2015

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(15-1134) Declare ordinance amending Use District Map by amending portions of Part 22 from I (Institutional) to RP-13 (Residential Planned Unit Development with Maximum Density of 13 du/ac) read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Do NOT rezone this area to allow this use and density. Please do not allow this poorly contrived land use plan to move forward without serious reduction in density, and improvements to aesthetics, parking, and mitigation measures. This site is a very poor investment for the proposed use due to its location and limited access, this is simply not a good use and density for this site.	11/9/2015
(15-1135) Declare ordinance amending Use District Map by amending portions of Part 22 from I (Institutional) to P (Park) read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	This zoning works, but forcing the developer to pay to develop this park only demands the level of density that is being proposed. Allow the change to park land but do not include the park as a bargaining chip in the development. The density of the residential project needs to be cut in half, at least to allow for better parking and less impact to the neighborhood.	11/9/2015

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(15-1136) Declare ordinance approving an application for a development agreement; requesting the City Attorney prepare a development agreement; and directing the City Manager to execute a development agreement with The Long Beach Project Owner, LLC and DEM Investment Company, LLC read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Remove the park from the development agreement and reduce the size of the project to ensure a better project, adequate parking and less impact to the neighborhood. This project is too much of an impact to the neighborhood and greatly reduces the desirability and marketability of the units in the project due to inadequate parking. Fix the project for the benefit of all. Do not allow a project the city will regret. As configure this is a very poor precedent to set.	11/9/2015
(15-1137) Approve a Vesting Tentative Tract Map and Site Plan Review;  Declare ordinance finding and determining that a Transportation Improvement Fee (TIF) credit is due for the Riverwalk Residential Development Project; and authorizing City Manager to execute an agreement providing for a TIF credit read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading;	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Definitely do NOT approve the site plan and tract map. This is a very bad proposal for this neighborhood as presently sized and configured. It is OK to inconvenience the developer and induce him to provide better quality and sized land use for the area. Please DENY this development as currently configured to ensure a better project and therefore quality of life for all residents that will be influenced by this development.	11/9/2015

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(15-1138) Declare ordinance finding and determining that a park and recreation facilities fee credit is due for the Riverwalk Residential Development Project; and authorizing City Manager to execute an agreement providing for a park and recreation facilities fee credit read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading; and	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Remove the requirement to fund and build the park. We need a better development brought forward for the residential Riverwalk development, that has far fewer impacts to this neighborhood. The park can wait. This area will live with this intense development for decades. This can be a much better project if the developer can eliminate some of the financial pressure to fund a park right now. The city can take care of this in the future.	11/9/2015
(15-1139) Declare ordinance amending Chapters 21.30 (Districts Established) and 21.31 (Residential Districts) of the Long Beach Municipal Code read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (District 8)	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	A better parking standard for these developments must be included. Do not build in elements that will be known problems for the future. Also, the level of impact to the existing neighborhood must be considered. Do not build problems into these neighborhoods and projects. This needs to be about the best possible land use for the betterment of the surrounding community. Restrict the known adverse impacts-reduce the density, demand more & better parking, remove 3 stories facing the river.	11/9/2015

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of November 10, 2015**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
19. (15-1087) Recommendation to adopt Plans and Specifications No. R-7021 for the DeForest Wetlands Restoration; award a contract to Ford E.C., Incorporated, of Los Angeles, CA, in the amount of \$4,872,567, and authorize a 20 percent contingency in the amount of \$974,513, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$5,847,080; and authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into the contract, including any necessary amendments thereto, and consider Categorical Exemption No. 15-115 (15301, Class 1). (Districts 8,9)	Laurie Angel	lcangel@charter.net		North Long Beach	Long Beach	CA	90805	Looking forward to this project finally being developed. Better work can be done to allow accessibility to the river, bike and pedestrian paths. Ensure that continuity is maintained for the historic horse trails and that proper signage is added to reduce pathway conflicts, and improve safety. Recent announcements of LA working to ensure access to river paths from every deadhead street should be incorporated into the planning efforts. Better pathways, means less conflicts and less traffic.	11/9/2015