

eComments Report for Long Beach Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda of October 6, 2021

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
3. (21-118RC) Recommendation to receive and file a discussion on redistricting priorities, including a discussion of uninhabited census blocks, in addition to the required City Charter criteria; and provide direction to staff and the demographer on preparing draft maps for future consideration.	GLennis Dolce	glennisd@mac.com		As a member of (AANG) and a D7 resident in the Cal Hts NHD, I am pleased to see that the District R mapping tool has new census blocks that have separated the GSCP from the airport. This allows you to easily connect these blocks to the 7th district as we have requested. This action also connects the 7th district to the airport and advances the shared representation desired by the AANG in spreading out the responsive representation of the Council who speak for residents in matters before the city regarding LGB. This shared voice is important and will be a future asset in many ways- inclusiveness, trust, shared responsibility, & transparency. Since drawing lines through uninhabited census blocks has been deemed legally possible and not affect census data, I hope you will also include the AANG's 4th D members request to be part of this inclusion for the benefit of all LB residents. A shared vision is a healthy vision in regards to keeping representatives listening to those they serve.	10/6/2021
3. (21-118RC) Recommendation to receive and file a discussion on redistricting priorities, including a discussion of uninhabited census blocks, in addition to the required City Charter criteria; and provide direction to staff and the demographer on preparing draft maps for future consideration.	Rae Gabelich	hoorae1@aol.com		Page Two of the Olsen memo states: Would splitting a census block mean that you would not be able to keep a neighborhood or COI whole within a district? The AANG COI does not do that. In fact, our request is from 3 districts that ask to be included in city representation. It would not divide any "people" since there are none within our requested boundary changes. Instead, these boundary changes would enhance city council representation better supporting our neighborhoods that are impacted from LGB activities. Number 7 on the criteria list of 8, without violating any of the others, and the majority of residents supporting this change, should provide reasonable justification for you to adopt this request. I'd like to suggest that for all future meetings the commission ask for Olsen representative to be present since timing is so critical. Again, thank you for your service managing this very difficult task.	10/4/2021