2020 City Auditor's Fraud Hotline Summary Chart of Cases Closed

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Tip Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
1	> 90	Allegation that a City employee threatened physical violence against another City employee.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
2	> 90	Allegation that a City employee is claiming overtime hours not actually worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
3	> 90	Allegation that a City facility's handicap parking spaces were moved to provide more convenient City employee parking spaces and that the handicap parking spaces are not ADA compliant.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	1
4	30 - 90	Allegation that a retired City employee was hired back as a consultant in violation of CalPERS rules.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
5	> 90	Allegation that a City Department purchased cell phones that were unnecessary and a waste of City funds.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
6	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee is wasting City time by habitually collecting lottery pool money during work hours.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
7	> 90	Allegation that a City employee is habitually late to work and takes lunch breaks in excess of allotted time.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
8	< 30	Allegation that a City employee brings their child to work in violation of City Department policies.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
9	< 30	Allegation that the City is involved with instances of alleged tenant harassment.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
10	< 30	Allegation that a City employee misused donations.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
11	< 30	Allegation that the City charged the complainant's credit card twice.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
12	30 - 90	Allegation that a City Department is not maintaining a City facility, resulting in sanitation and health issues.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
13	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee takes frequent breaks during the day.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1

		T				
14	30 - 90	Allegation that a conflict of interest arose when a former City employee was invited to conduct a nonpaid workshop to current City employees.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
15	< 30	Allegation that City employees were sitting in City vehicles and appeared to not be working.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Not Actionable	N/A*	
16	< 30	Allegation that an individual is inappropriately receiving funding from public assistance programs.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
17	> 90	Allegation that City employees are misusing City vehicles to complete personal errands.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
18	30 - 90	Allegation that City employees were not permitted to take their lunch breaks.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
19	< 30	Allegation that a City employee is misusing City resources for personal gain.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
20	< 30	Allegation that the complainant received a fraudulent check bearing the City's logo and signatures.	Falsification of City Records	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
21	< 30	Allegation that a City Department did not provide proper advanced notice when changing community programs.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
22	< 30	Allegation that a potential City employee has a conflict of interest between their business and contracts with the City.	Conflict of Interest	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
23	30 - 90	Allegation that the City did not disburse funds previously allocated to a project.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Not Actionable	N/A*	
24	> 90	Allegation that the City wasted money and abused its position by mailing public information notices.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
25	> 90	Allegation that a City employee is misusing a City vehicle for personal use.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
26	< 30	Allegation that a City employee misused a City facility for personal use.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
27	> 90	Allegation that a First Amendment violation and fraud are occurring when City Department employees are blocking City employee access to certain news channels.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
28	> 90	Allegation that a City Department is ineffectively using social media.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
29	< 30	Allegation that the complainant's personal vehicle was burglarized.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	

30	< 30	Allegation that a retired City employee fraudulently claimed worker's	Not in Purview of City	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
$\vdash$		compensation.  Allegation that a City employee intimidated and committed acts of violence	Auditor's Fraud Hotline  Not in Purview of City	, .		
31	< 30	against another City employee.	Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
32	> 90	Allegation that the City is ignoring a contractor's recommendations on several City contracted projects.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Not Actionable	N/A*	
33	< 30	Allegation that a City employee was sleeping in a City vehicle during the day.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
34	> 90	Allegation that a City employee may have a conflict of interest with a private business who had a civil claim against the City.	Conflict of Interest	Not Actionable	N/A*	
35	< 30	Allegation of a home selling scam.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
36	30 - 90	Allegation of election ballot impropriety.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
37	30 - 90	Allegation that a City supervisor shows favoritism towards certain staff.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
38	> 90	Allegation that a City employee is misusing a City vehicle while on City time to engage in illegal activities.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
39	< 30	Allegation that a private residence may have a non-permitted structure in the backyard.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
40	< 30	Allegation that a City employee was wrongly reinstated into their position.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
41	< 30	Allegation of credit card theft.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
42	30 - 90	Allegation of construction digging without the necessary permits.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
43	< 30	Allegation that a City Department is not abiding by the City's procurement policies.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
44	< 30	Allegation of welfare fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
45	< 30	Allegation that a neighbor is leaving their trash cans on the street to block curbside parking spots for themselves.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
46	< 30	Allegation that a resident is committing unemployment benefits fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
47	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee is working for their personal business while on City time.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	2
48	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee improperly awarded a business license.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
49	< 30	Insufficient information provided by complainant.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	

Ţ,	50	< 30	Allegation that a neighbor's property posed a public health issue.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
l °		1 00	Transgation that a holyhbor o proporty poods a public hoalth loods.	Auditor's Fraud Hotline	reserved to only Boparamone	140t III 1 di viow oi i iodilio	
51	- 4	20 00	Allegation that a City Department's expenditures were in violation of Federal	Violations or Abuse of City	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
	01	30 - 90	policy.	Policy			
52	- 2	< 30	Allegation that a local business is violating local tobacco ordinances.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
	)2			Auditor's Fraud Hotline			
*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where the allegations lacked sufficient information to be investigated, the allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the City Department, or the City							

\*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where the allegations lacked sufficient information to be investigated, the allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the City Department, or the City Department could not provide a response to the allegation due to confidentiality.