

Development Services

Planning Bureau 411 West Ocean Boulevard, 2nd Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802 562.570.6194

Application For Appeal

An appeal is hereby made to Your Honorable Body from the decision of the
 Site Plan Review Committee Zoning Administrator Planning Commission Cultural Heritage Commission
Which was taken on the <u>29th</u> day of <u>January</u> , 20 <u>21</u> .
Project Address: 147 Park Avenue, Long Beach, California
I/We, your appellant(s), hereby respectfully request that Your Honorable Body reject the decision and ② Approve / ② Deny the application or permit in question.
ALL INFORMATION BELOW IS REQUIRED
thereby denying him access a major feature of his home without elevator access to rooftop deck. (2) Ariane Natale's brother, Alex An, who will also live in the home and will also need elevator access. (3) We revised our original plans in a good faith attempt to comply as closely as possible with height limits by excluding inclusion of a stairwell within the rooftop enclosure and by reducing the height of the elevator-only tower. Appellant Name(s): Ariane and Ronald Natale Organization (if representing) Address: 1420 Ashland Avenue
City Santa Monica State CA ZIP 90405 Phone 310-435-7511 Signature(s) Asia Konole Natale Date 02/05/2021
Signature(s) diese & Konole Natale Date 02/05/2021
 A separate appeal form is required for each appellant party, except for appellants from the same address, or an appellant representing an organization. Appeals must be filed within 10 days after the decision is made (LBMC 21.21.502). You must have established aggrieved status by presenting oral or written testimony at the hearing where the decision was rendered; otherwise, you may not appeal the decision. See reverse of this form for the statutory provisions on the appeal process.
BELOW THIS LINE FOR STAFF USE ONLY
🔽 Appeal by Applicant 🔲 Appeal by Third Party
Received by: SK Case, No.2011-13 (RAC20-005) Appeal Filing Date: 2/8/21
Fee: N/A Fee Paid Project (receipt) No.: N/A



Application For Appeal

An appe	an is nereby	made to Your F	ionoral	Die Body	nom un	e decision	or the
	ZoninPlann	Plan Review Cor ng Administrator ning Commissior Iral Heritage Cor	1				
Which	was taken	on the 29th	day of	Janu	ary	, 20 _2	1
Project Address: 1							
I/We, your appellant and ⊙ Approve / ℂ						Body rej e	ct the decision
		ALL INFORMATION E	BELOW IS R	EQUIRED			
Reasons for Appea	d: <u>Please</u>	see attache	d let	ter.			
-							
Appellant Name(s):	Steven Se	nnikoff					
Organization (if repr							
Address: 5500 Eas							
City Long Beach		State CA		90803	Phone	562 344	5/130
Signature(s)	al						2/08/2021
Oignature(s)	<					Date _0	2/08/2021
 Appeals must You must have hearing where 	s, or an appet t be filed with we established the decision	required for each ellant represention in 10 days after aggrieved states was rendered for the statutory pages.	ng an o the de tus by ; other	organizat ecision is presenti wise, you	ion. made (ng oral o u may n	LBMC 21. or written tot appeal t	21.502). estimony at th he decision.
		BELOW THIS LINE FO	R STAFF	USE ONLY	1		
X	Appeal by			eal by T	e	rty	
Received by: SK		ase. No.: <u>2011-13 (</u>				951 A	21
Fee: N/A		Fee Paid F				21/2/2019	



Reasonable Accommodation Request

Date: 2/5/2021

Re: 147 Park Avenue

Long Beach, CA 90803

I am asking the Planning Commission to reverse the Zoning Administrator's decision denying our client, Ron Natale's, Reasonable Accommodation request.

Ron Natale is as stated in his physician's letter. The elevator design is crucial for Ron to access the second floor and roof deck of his new home. In Belmont Shore, along with other Long Beach coastal communities, roof decks are amenities enjoyed by many residents. Roof decks provide additional private open space needed in dense communities such as Belmont Shore. Providing an elevator to the roof deck allows Ron the same amenity enjoyed by his neighbors and surrounding community.

Our elevator design does extend beyond the allowable height limits, as stated in the denial decision. Initially, our design included a taller elevator access, along with covered stair access to the roof deck. Working with the Planning Department, we eliminated the covered stairs, creating an exterior stair access, and we reduced the mass and height of the elevator access. The elevator access is 36 square feet, just large enough to accommodate the elevator car. The height of the access just accommodates the height of the elevator car and required clearances above. The elevator access is located in the middle of the structure and will not be seen from the street, alley, or the ground floors of adjacent neighbors.

The Zoning Administrator states that approving the Reasonable Accommodation request "will not require or imply the need for a fundamental alteration of the zoning or building laws, policies or procedures of the City." Allowing the height of the access would be an exemption to the building height code, not a change in the code.

Approval of the Reasonable Accommodation will allow Ron Natale access to an amenity enjoyed by the neighboring community, will not negatively impact his neighbors or community, and does not fundamental alter the zoning or building codes of the City.

Please consider reversing the Zoning Administrator's decision and approve our request for Reasonable Accommodation.

Thank you for your consideration,

Steven Sennikoff Sennikoff Architects 562 334 5438