From: Padric Gleason Gonzales [mailto:padric.gleason@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2020 4:40 PM **To:** CityClerk < CityClerk@longbeach.gov>

Cc: Council District 1 < District 1@longbeach.gov>

Subject: Re: Public comment for Agenda Item #75 at upcoming 11/17 City Council meeting re: tenant

harassment

-EXTERNAL-

CORRECTION: Dear City Clerk, I misread the motion and would like to retract my letter of support. In fact, I OPPOSE this measure because "in bad faith" imposes an unnecessary burden of proving malicious intent. The behaviors described in the ordinance are unambiguous; in fact, there is no way that a landlord can "in good faith" fail to timely perform repairs or abuse the right of access.

Councilwoman Suzie Price is acting in bad faith trying to insert this provision to render this ordinance entirely unenforceable. Vote No.

On Sun, Nov 15, 2020 at 4:35 PM Padric Gleason Gonzales padric.gleason@gmail.com wrote:
I write in support of this proposal. The testimony heard at last month's public hearing on the topic of tenant harassment exposed a sickening culture of oppression by landlords who threaten and abuse their tenants even during this eviction moratorium. One of the excuses of landlord defenders was that there is legal ambiguity and the rules are unclear. Well, let's clear it up for them.

This tenant harassment ordinance would empower victims to pursue recourse and would send a clear message to landlords about what is acceptable conduct and what is not acceptable.

Please support this motion.

Regards,
Padric Gleason Gonzales

From: George Karahalios [mailto:george.apartments@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 1:00 PM

To: Council District 1 <District1@longbeach.gov>; Council District 8 <District8@longbeach.gov>; Council District 9 <District9@longbeach.gov>; Council District 2 <District2@longbeach.gov>; Council District 3

<District3@longbeach.gov>; Council District 4 <District4@longbeach.gov>; Council District 5

<District5@longbeach.gov>; Council District 6 <District6@longbeach.gov>; Council District 7

<District7@longbeach.gov>; CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; Mayor <Mayor@longbeach.gov>

Subject: Support of 75

-EXTERNAL-

Dear Council,

I understand your position on the tenant harassment policy being brought forward tonight. Though I believe there are plenty of protections in place to protect against non-compliant property owners, I surely understand the political environment that necessitates adopting such measures.

That being said, it's equally important to add a "bad faith" clause to the ordinance. Adding the "bad faith" clause allows Housing providers fair protection against any tenant that might want to take advantage of the system by not providing factual evidence that any "housing provider" may have acted in bad faith.

It is only fair and correct that housing providers be protected as well.

I thank you specifically, Vice-Mayor Andrews, since you brought this policy up for a vote, and I appreciate the support of the council with the change I suggested.

Sincerely
George Karahalios

From: Hollis Stewart [mailto:hollisstewart90042@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 1:44 PM **To:** CityClerk < CityClerk@longbeach.gov>

Subject: Item 75 on City Council Meeting of 11-17-2020

-EXTERNAL-

I am opposed to item 75, DISRUPTION OF ANTI-HARASSMENT ORDINANCE by Suzie Price. To let landlords who defend themselves by saying "I did not act in bad faith" gives them an out that is almost impossible for a tenant to disprove. Who defines bad faith and who gets to determine when this person or that acted "in bad faith." against a tenant. Another landlord? Another tenant? A stranger off the street or a person paid by the landlord such as a manager who is biased toward those who pay their salaries. No on "in bad faith", it is not justice but discrimination in favor of one side. Hollis Stewart, I am registered to participate on this item 75.