

Non-Retail Cannabis Businesses

Presented to the Mayor and Members of the City Council Tuesday, July 2, 2019

ED&F Recommendation

> The Economic Development and Finance Committee requested staff to refer to the City Council a report on the feasibility of the creation of a 24-month pilot program to expand the tax base of non-retail cannabis businesses by streamlining processes, adjusting tax rates and providing incentives.

Expanding the Tax Base

- Increasing the amount of economic activity subject to taxation.
 - 1) Increase the number of licensed businesses; OR
 - 2) Increase the amount of taxable gross receipts at licensed businesses

Non-Retail Cannabis Businesses

> Cultivator > Manufacturer > Distributor > Testing Laboratory

Status of Cannabis Market

Summary of Proposed Cannabis Facilities (as of May 7, 2019)

Facility Status	No. of Facilities
Zoning/Application Review	13
Pending Plan Submittal	68
Building Plan Review	77
Under Construction	53
Issued License	37
TOTAL	248

Status of Cannabis Market (cont'd)

General Fund - Measure MA Tax Revenues (as of May 1, 2019)									
		FY 18			FY 19				
Bus. Type	Тах		Actual %			Estimated	%		
Dispensary	Gross Receipt Tax (6-8%)	\$	626,130	51%	\$	2,375,246	75%		
Cultivation	Sq. Ft. Tax (\$12)	\$	517,404	42%	\$	516,411	16%		
Lab Testing	Gross Receipt Tax (6%)	\$	80,506	7%	\$	171,429	5%		
Manufacturing	Gross Receipt Tax (6%)	\$	500	0%	\$	78,274	2%		
Distribution	Gross Receipt Tax (6%)	\$	-	0%	\$	7,250	0%		
	TOTAL TAX REVENUES	\$	1,224,540		\$	3,148,611			

Outreach Efforts

- 1) Competitiveness of local tax rates
- 2) Facility plan check
- 3) Access to capital
- 4) Access to statewide retail markets
- 5) Cost of industrial real estate

Options to Expand the Tax Base

- > Types of options included in report
 - City Council approval required
 - Administrative improvements
- > Options may be adopted as 24-month pilot
- > Limitations to pilot approach
 - Business uncertainty
 - Market volatility

Option #1: Facility Design/Zoning

- ➤ Direct staff from the Planning Bureau to conduct a study of cannabis building design and zoning requirements
- Submit the study to the Planning Commission for review
- > Refer recommendations to City Council for final approval

Option #1: Facility Design (cont'd)

- Planning Bureau to study best practices in cannabis facility design
- Current requirements signage, window installation, exterior lighting, visibility, etc.
- > Discourage construction of fortress-like facilities
- > Create additional costs during construction
- ➤ Non-retail business operational needs safety, security, lighting, product visibility, etc.

Option #2: Adjust Tax Rates

- Adjust tax rates for Manufacturers, Testing Laboratories, and Distributors
- > Staff seeks further direction from City Council before recommending specific tax rates.
- > Ideal rates depend on City Council preferences:
 - 1) Competitiveness of Long Beach cannabis market
 - 2) Short vs. Long Term revenues
 - 3) Impact on other non-cannabis industrial uses

Business Type	Medical/Adult- Use	Current	Maximum	Minimum ⁽¹⁾ 0%	
Dispensary	Medical	6%	8%		
	Adult-Use	8%	12%	0%	
Cultivator	Both	\$12/sq ft	\$15/sq ft	\$0/sqft	
Manufacturer	Both	6%	8%	0%	
Testing Laboratory	Both	6%	8%	0%	
Distributor	Both	6%	8%	0%	

City	Pop.	Retail		Cultivation		Manufacturing		Distribution		Lab Testing	
		M	Α	M	Α	M	Α	M	Α	М	Α
Long Beach	478,561	6%	8%	\$12/sqft		6%		6%		6%	
Los Angeles	4,054,400	5%	10%	2%		2%		1%		1%	
Santa Ana ⁽¹⁾	338,247	6%	8%	6%		6%		6%		5%	
Pomona	155,687	upt	0 6%	up to 10/sq ft		up to 6%		up to 6%		up to 6%	
Pasadena	144,388	4	%	\$7/sq ft		2.5%		2%		1%	
Costa Mesa	115,296	6	%	6%		6%		6%		6%	
Carson	93,799	18	3%	\$25/sq ft		18%		18%		18%	
Bellflower	77,682	5	%	\$15/sq ft		5%		5%		5%	
La Puente	40,686	10%	N/A	10%	N/A	10%	N/A	10%	N/A	10%	N/A
Culver City	39,860	5%	6%	\$12/sqft		4%		2%		1%	
West Hollywood	36,723	0%	7.5%	0%	7.5%	0%	7.5%	0%	7.5%	0%	7.5%
Maywood	28,044	up to	10%	up to 10%		up to 10%		up to 10%		up to 10%	
Malibu	12,957	0%	2.5%	0%	2.5%	0%	2.5%	0%	2.5%	0%	2.5%

Bus. Type	Current Tax Rate	Reduction Rate	Sestimated oss to GF	FY 20 Estimated Loss to GF		
Lab Testing	6% Gross Receipt Tax	1% Reduction	\$ (18,662)	\$	(56,152)	
Manufacturing	6% Gross Receipt Tax	1% Reduction	\$ (11,690)	\$	(42,747)	
Distribution	6% Gross Receipt Tax	1% Reduction	\$ (1,125)	\$	(21,162)	
Subtotal (Manufac	\$ (31,477)	\$	(120,061)			
Cultivation	\$12 Per Sq. Ft. Tax	\$1 Reduction	\$ (28,630)	\$	(58,439)	
Total (Non-Retail)			\$ (60,108)	\$	(178,501)	
*Assumes reduced tax	rates for FY19 would be applied	as of June 1, 2019.			**	

> Long-run revenue projections not available

- Regional competitiveness of Long Beach cannabis market
 - Consider reducing non-retail tax rates
- > Short-term General Fund Revenues
 - > Maintain existing non-retail tax rates
- > Long-term General Fund revenues
 - More data needed to determine appropriate rates

Option #3: Shared-Use Manufacturing

- Direct staff to research feasibility of licensing and regulating shared-use manufacturing
- > Type S Shared-Use Manufacturing
 - Multiple businesses authorized to manufacture products from a single premise.
 - Only one business may utilize premise at a given time.
- Feasibility study to identify resources needed to regulate Type S licenses

Administrative Changes

- > Timing of cultivation tax payment
- > Application flow charts
- > Inspection checklists
- > Coordination with Southern California Edison

Summary

> Further direction from City Council needed:

- Revisit cannabis building design/zoning requirements
- > Adjust non-retail cannabis business license tax rates
- > Feasibility study of shared-use manufacturing facilities

> Administrative process improvements:

- Delay timing of initial cultivation tax payment
- Develop application flowchart
- Online publishing of facility inspection checklists
- Coordination with SCE on utility upgrade process