

City of Long Beach Omnibus Zoning Code Amendment

NEGATIVE DECLARATION ND 04-19

Prepared by:

City of Long BeachDepartment of Development Services
Planning Bureau

INITIAL STUDY

Project Title:

City of Long Beach Omnibus Zoning Code Amendments

Lead Agency name and address:

City of Long Beach 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Contact person and phone number:

Alejandro Sanchez-Lopez, Planner III (562) 570-6553

Project Location:

Citywide, City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, California.

Project Sponsor's name and contact information:

City of Long Beach, Long Beach Development Services Department c/o Alejandro Sanchez-Lopez 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 570-6553

General Plan:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendments would cover all General Plan Land Use Districts that apply to any zoning district, Specific Plan area, or Planned Development (PD) district in the City of Long Beach.

Zoning:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendment would cover all zoning districts and all Planned Development districts in the City of Long Beach.

Project Description:

The proposed Omnibus Zoning Code Amendments (Project) would consist of the following changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance) collectively referred to as the "Project":

- 1) Define the standing required and timeline for land use decision appeals
- 2) update the definition for townhomes and eliminate zoning restrictions on sideby-side units;
- 3) update the commercial zones sections of the code to strike out references to commercial districts no longer in use:
- 4) eliminate size restrictions for single-family garages and update lot coverage and floor area calculations in single-family districts
- 5) update roofing material requirements for residential districts

- 6) revise screening requirements for mechanical equipment in low-density residential districts
- 7) provide guidelines to determine curb cut closures and require underground utilities in new projects
- 8) update school siting requirements to reflect the City's adopted Mobility Element
- 9) eliminate zoning code restriction on maximum number of zoning regulation amendments per year

Surrounding land uses and settings:

The City of Long Beach is adjacent to the following municipalities: City of Los Angeles (Wilmington, Port of Los Angeles), Carson, Compton, Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, Hawaiian Gardens, Cypress, Los Alamitos and Seal Beach. It is also adjacent to the unincorporated communities of Rancho Dominguez and Rossmoor. In addition, the City of Signal Hill is completed surrounded by the City of Long Beach. Long Beach and its surrounding cities are fully urbanized and characterized by moderate to dense commercial, industrial, and residential development.

Public agencies whose approval is required:

Long Beach Planning Commission (recommend City Council adopt Negative Declaration 04-19 and approve the Omnibus Zoning Code Amendments)

Long Beach City Council (adopt Negative Declaration 04-19 and approve the Omnibus Zoning Code Amendments)

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages:

Aesthetics	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Population/Housing
Agriculture and Forestry Resources	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology/Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use/Planning	Transportation
		Tribal Cultural Resources
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities/Service Systems
Energy		Wildfire
Geology/Soils	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION:

On the	e basis of this initial evaluation:							
	I find that the proposed Project CO and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION	ULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment will be prepared.						
	I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the Project have been made by or agreed to by the Project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.							
	I find that the proposed Project MA ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPO	Y have a significant effect on the environment and an DRT is required.						
	I find that the proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis, as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.							
	environment, because all potentially in an earlier EIR or NEGATIAVE D (b) have been avoided or mitig	ed Project could have a significant effect on the vignificant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately ECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and lated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE is or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the required.						
Alejar Plann	ndro Sanchez-Lopez er III	Date						

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are supported adequately by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the parenthesis following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration; Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration (per Section 15063(c)(3)(D)). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effect was addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less that Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) the significance criteria or threshold. If any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

I. would	AEST the pro		xcept a	s provided in	Public	Resources	s Code Se	ection 21099,	
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?									
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Thar Significan Impact		No Impact	
	signification The Cand Pand Pand Band Band Band Band Band Band Band B	cant advers lity topograp alos Verdes ernardino M	e effect hy is re to the lountair nally av	Zoning Code is to any scerelatively flat, west. In add is to the north ailable to the	nic vista vith scer ition, dis as well	s or public nic vistas of stant views as the San	views of s the ocean of the Sar ta Ana Mou	scenic vistas. I to the south Gabriel and untains to the	
	Ordina and reanticip be sub propose restrict the cui impact	ance) regardesidential root oated at this object to more sed code at the attention and less tred and less trent zoning	ding me of mater time, the restrict mendmes impaced ty's visually in the code.	endments to Tiechanical equivials. While evolution end of the control of the control of the control of the proposed of the pro	pment sery futureffect is disasth aesthesault, fundaselined proje	screening, user developmenthat any fut etic require uture development of anticipate would no	undergrour nent scena ture develo ments as a opment wo ted develo ot result in	nding utilities, rio cannot be pment would a result of the buld be more pment under any negative	
	tre			ge scenic re pings, and h					
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Thar Significan Impact		No Impact	
	trees There	or rock out	croppin fore be	c highways loc gs would be no impact to	damage	ed due to I	Project imp	olementation.	
	ex su	isting visua rroundings	al char ? (Pub	reas, would acter or qua lic views are tooint). If the p	lity of those th	public viev	ws of the erienced f	site and its rom publicly	

•	oject conflict cenic quality?	with	applicable zonir	ig an	d other regula	ations	s governing
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Pleas	e see Section I	.a. a	nd b. above for dis	cuss	ion.		
			e of substantial li me views in the a	_	or glare which	woul	d adversely
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

All future developments and land use activities would be required to comply with all applicable regulations, including Long Beach Municipal Title 21 (Long Beach Zoning Ordinance). Since Project implementation would increase restrictions on glare-producing surfaces and screen requirements for mechanical equipment, it would not directly or indirectly create any adverse light or glare impacts, and no further analysis is required.

II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

For Sections II. a., b. and c. - There are no agricultural zones within the City of Long Beach, which is a fully urbanized community that has been built upon for over half a century. The Project would have no effect upon agricultural resources within the City of Long Beach or any other neighboring city or county.

III. AIR QUALITY

Negative Declaration ND 03-19

The South Coast Air Basin is subject to some of the worst air pollution in the nation, attributable to its topography, climate, meteorological conditions, large population base, and dispersed urban land use patterns.

Air quality conditions are affected by the rate and location of pollutant emissions and by climatic conditions that influence the movement and dispersion of pollutants. Atmospheric forces such as wind speed, wind direction, and air temperature gradients, along with local and regional topography, determine how air pollutant emissions affect air quality.

The South Coast Air Basin has a limited capability to disperse air contaminants because of its low wind speeds and persistent temperature inversions. In the Long Beach area, predominantly daily winds consist of morning onshore airflow from the southwest at a mean speed of 7.3 miles per hour and afternoon and evening offshore airflow from the northwest at 0.2 to 4.7 miles per hour with little variability between seasons. Summer wind speeds average slightly higher than winter wind speeds. The prevailing winds carry

air contaminants northward and then eastward over Whittier, Covina, Pomona, and Riverside.

The majority of pollutants found in the Los Angeles County atmosphere originate from automobile exhausts as unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, and other materials. Of the five major pollutant types (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, reactive organic gases, sulfur oxides, and particulates), only sulfur oxide emissions are produced mostly by sources other than automobile exhaust.

a. Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the

ар	plicable Air Q	lualit	y Attainment P	'ian'?				
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
if a prolocate (SCAC mitigal proposed production of the production	oject is consisted, it is consisted, it is consisted. All public infrastructures are public infrastructures and related in Sustainable Column	tent vitent vite	Association of Govith the growth with the South danagement Plastrategies specient is in part to outdated or in in the City. It is a ure and climated amendment she allowed as a the city and recommissions. The tagger RTP/SCS. But a conflict with the part of the conflict with th	forecasi Coast A an (AQN ified in a o update congrue anticipal resilien olar para ducing to ducing to duci	ts for the subtair Quality Manager Property Manager Prope	oregion Manager Jional er The purion of the purion of the purion of the propertion of the Relopmen orecasts or require ble net n-attain	in which it in ment Districtions are rpose of the ctions and the ctical	is Created Cilles of a series of the contract
								_

Implementation of the proposed Project would not significantly lower air quality standards or contribute to an air quality violation. The purpose of the proposed code amendment is to streamline and modernize development standards across multiple subsections of the City code. It is anticipated that this code amendment will not have an impact on development and emissions. Therefore, Project would not impact air quality and no further environmental analysis is required.

c. Would the project expose sensitive concentration?	receptors to substantial pollutant						
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than No Impact Significant Impact						
The <u>CEQA Air Quality Handbook</u> defines sensitive receptors as children, athletes, elderly and sick individuals that are more susceptible to the effects of air pollution than the population at large. Facilities that serve various types of sensitive receptors, including, schools, hospitals, and senior care centers, are located throughout the City. The Project involves changes to the regulatory framework associated with the siting of schools along freeway corridors. Among other features, the project will allow for more flexible school siting locations, which may result in more schools on high-traffic corridors or low-traffic local streets. Cumulatively, there is not expected to be a change in the level of exposure by children to substantial pollutant concentration. Furthermore, schools remain subject to the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) process including CEQA analysis on each individual school. The City exercises jurisdiction over charter and private schools and is not responsible for the siting of Long Beach Unified School District facilities. Please see Sections III.a. and b. above for further discussion.							
d. Would the project result in other em odors) adversely affecting a substanti							
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than No Impact Significant Impact						
Land uses associated with odor complaint wastewater treatment plants, food processing refineries, landfills, dairies, and fiberglass a during construction include use of architectur powered construction equipment. SCAQN volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from which lowers odorous emissions.	g plants, chemical plants, composting, molding. Potential sources of odors ral coatings and solvents, and diesel- MD Rule 1113 limits the amount of						

The Project would not allow operations that could directly or indirectly result in any significant adverse odors or intensification of odors beyond those typically

associated with construction activities. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

IV.	RIOI	OCICAL	RESOURCES
IV.	DIUL	.UGICAL	REJUUNGES

a.	thr se reg	ough habit nsitive, or s	at mod special or by th	ave a substar lifications, on status specie ne California l vice?	any spe s in loca	ecies ident Il or regior	ified as a nal plans,	candidate, policies, or
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	an rer	d water bod nove or im	y areas pact a	the City are ges. The Project ny existing or s is required.	: would i	not promote	e activities	•
b.	ha pla	bitat or othe ans, policie	er sens s, regu	have a subst itive natural c lations or by nd Wildlife Sei	ommuni the Cali	ty identifie	ed in local	or regional
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	urk	anized area	is and v	o this propos would not remonunities. No fu	ve or im	pact any rip	parian hal	oitat or other
C.	pro co	otected we	tlands throug	ave a substan (including, but h direct remov	ut not li	imited to,	marsh, v	vernal pool,
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

Future implementation of the proposed Project would occur in established urbanized areas and would not promote or involve alteration of any protected wetland areas. No further environmental analysis is required.

d.	re:	sident or mi	grator igrato	terfere substar y fish or wildl ry wildlife cor s?	ife spec	ies or with	establis	shed native
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	no sp	t alter or adv	ersely	n would occur in impact any na nursery sites.	itive resi	ident or mig	ratory fis	sh or wildlife
e.				nflict with any , such as a tre				
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	co an	nformity with y existing or	all loca future	on would be co al policies and r policy or ordina analysis is requ	egulatio	ns. It would	not alter	or eliminate
f.	Co	nservation	Plan,	conflict with t Natural Com onal, or state h	munity	Conservati	ion Plar	
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
СО	nse			ive any adverse ease see Secti				
Cl	JLT	URAL RESO	URCE	S				

٧.

a. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to §15064.5?						
Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
The City of Long Beach is the City (except for areas disturbed and/or develope or enable activities that colocal historic resources. protected pursuant to the Center of t	s such as protected pa d. The proposed Proje ould remove, degrade Historic Resources w City's Cultural Heritage	ark lands) have been ct would not promote or in any way advertishin the City are reformed or well as well as well as	en previously e, encourage ersely impact egulated and is the Historic			
b. Would the project cau of an archaeological		_	_			
Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
Implementation of the Projects. Please see Section	ation, and therefore wo al resources due its ged ons do not lessen a nor tribal consultati	uld not be anticipate ographic location. The existing legal proon requirements of the existing	ed to affect or The proposed otections of			
c. Would the project dis outside of dedicated	_	nains, including th	ose interred			
Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
The Project does not percavation that could resuburial ground or place of infurther discussion.	It in the disturbance of a	any designated cem	etery or other			

VI. ENERGY.

due to waste	oject result in potentia eful, inefficient, or ui uring project construct	nnecessary consul							
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact						
materials for single regulatory change used in residential utility underground reroofing and utility including Long Be 6 (California Energy Project implement or unnecessary controls in the single statement of the single st	The Project includes amendments to the existing regulations pertaining to roofing materials for single-family residences and undergrounding utilities. Among other regulatory changes, the proposed project would allow for metallic materials to be used in residential districts, resulting in greater energy efficiency. Additionally, utility undergrounding would improve reliability and energy efficiency. Residential reroofing and utilities would be required to comply with all applicable regulations, including Long Beach Municipal Title 21 (Long Beach Zoning Ordinance) and Part 6 (California Energy Code) of Title 24 (California Building Standards Code). Since Project implementation would not directly or indirectly result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation, no further analysis is required.								
	roject conflict with or ergy or energy efficien		or local plan for						
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact						
	d not conflict with or obsefficiency—see Section		plan for renewable						

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- a. Would the project directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
 - i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.

	ration ND 03-19 each Drive-Throu	gh Use	e Zoning Code Ame	endment			
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
slopes 1-1/2: Beach on Sig within impact Pleas	s that are not I 1, horizontal to Quadrangle i gnal Hill and R the earthqual t would be e e see Section	nigh (o ver Indica eserv ke-ind xpect VII.a	Element, the Cirless than 50 feet tical). The State tes that the lack roir Hill) results in the duced landslide ted and no furthis. It above for furthis.	et) or stee e Seism c of stee n only a zone fo her env ner disci	eep (generallic Hazard Zop terrain (ex libout 0.1 per this quadra ironmental aussion.	ly slopin one map cept for cent of t angle. T analysis	g flatter than of the Long a few slopes the City lying herefore, no is required.
b. W	ould the proje	ect re	sult in substan	tial soil	erosion or	the loss	s of topsoil?
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
to all mana activit	applicable cor gement praction	nstruc ces to exc	ne regulations of ction standards of minimize runof avation, recont s necessary.	regardin f and er	g erosion co osion impac	ontrol, in	cluding best
th: re:	at would bec	ome or	e located on a g unstable as a off-site landsl pse?	result	of the proje	ect, and	l potentially
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
regula	ations of the P	rojec	b. above for dis t would be cons ats regarding soi	tructed	in compliand		•

B of the Uni	oject be located on exp form Building Code (1 to life or property?		
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	☐ No Impact
Please see Section	ons VII.b. and c. above fo	or explanation.	
of septic tank	oject have soils incapa as or alternative wastew able for the disposal of	ater disposal syst	
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	served by an existing se r any other alternative wa alysis is required.	-	
-	roject directly or indire site or unique geologic		ue paleontological
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	⊠ No Impact
	not propose any projection that could adversely		
GREENHOUSE O	GAS EMISSIONS		
	oject generate greenho at may have a significa		
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	☐ No Impact
	stantial contributor of glo tons of carbon dioxide p		

VIII.

California is likely to see an increase of three to four degrees Fahrenheit over the next century. Methane is also an important GHG that potentially contributes to global climate change. GHGs are global in their effect, which is to increase the earth's ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere. As primary GHGs have a long lifetime in the atmosphere, accumulate over time, and are generally well-mixed, their impact on the atmosphere is mostly independent of the point of emission.

The Project would not result in direct or indirect significant GHG impacts, and the proposed changes are anticipated to result in minor increased street parking through curb cut closures. This change may result in decreased automobile idling, Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), and associated emissions, including criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases. The proposed amendments will also facilitate solar roofing installations and more-efficient roofing materials resulting in lower energy demand and thus fewer emissions from energy consumption. No further environmental analysis is needed.

	 energy demand and thus fewer emissions from energy consumption. No further environmental analysis is needed. b. Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?
	Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation
	Please see Section VIII.a. above for discussion. The proposed Project would not permit any land use operations that would conflict with any plans, policies or regulations related to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. No further environmental analysis is needed.
IX.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS a. Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
	Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation
	Any future land uses or activities that would be subject to the provisions of this Project that involve the handling and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous materials would be required to fully comply with Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 8.86 through 8.88, as well as all existing State safety regulations. No further environmental analysis is required.

b.	envir cond	onment	throughvin	create a sign gh reasonably g the release	/ fores	seeable u	ıpset an	
	_ Si	otentially gnificant ipact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Ple	ase s	ee Section	n IX.a.	above for discus	ssion.			
C.	acute	ely hazar	dous r	emit hazardous naterials, subs r proposed sch	tances			
	_ Si	otentially gnificant ipact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
pro	vide		for futu	above for discre school siting				•
d.	haza Secti	rdous mion 65962	naterial 2.5 and	oe located on s s sites compi l, as a result, w rironment?	led pu	rsuant to	Govern	ment Code
	_ Si	otentially gnificant pact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
req rele this	ed by juiremease s Proje the er	the State the sites. An ect would avironmer	ate, loc roviding y future not be nt by op	d Substances Sical agencies and information abe land uses that permitted to creating at a locator further discussion.	nd devoluted the would be ate any tion incl	elopers to location on the location on the location of the loc	comply f hazardo d by the p t hazards	with CEQA us materials provisions of to the public
e.	has i	not been ort, would	adopted the pr	l within an airpo ed, within two r oject result in a orking in the p	miles of a safety	f a public hazard o	airport or	public use

X.

req	•		olate any water q erwise substantia				
;	Potentially Significant mpact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
the Co would	nservation Ele be required to ater quality st	emer be	nsistent with all cont. All activities so in full compliance ards and regulation	ubject with	to the provisionall applicable f	ns of edera	this Project I, State and
inte	erfere substa	ntial	substantially d ly with groundw able groundwate	ater r	echarge such	that	the project
_ ;	Potentially Significant mpact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
commu	inity with the	wate	above for discuser system infrastr stent with the Ger	ucture	fully in place	_	•
site	or area, incl or or through	udin	ubstantially alter g through the al addition of impe	teratio	on of the cours	se of	a stream or
(i)	result in s	ubst	tantial erosion o	siltat	tion on- or off-	site;	
	Potentially Significant mpact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	s or to the cou		courage or enab of streams or rive	•			
(ii)			increase the rate would result in				runoff in a

or sustainable groundwater management plan?

- side-by-side units;
- 3) update the commercial zones sections of the code to strike out references to commercial districts no longer in use;
- 4) eliminate size restrictions for single-family garages and update lot coverage and floor area calculations in single-family districts
- 5) update roofing material requirements for residential districts
- 6) revise screening requirements for mechanical equipment in low-density residential districts
- 7) provide guidelines to determine curb cut closures and require underground utilities in new projects
- 8) update school siting requirements to reflect the City's adopted Mobility Element
- 9) eliminate zoning code restriction on maximum number of zoning regulation amendments per year

The Project would not directly or indirectly divide any established community as a result of these changes. No further environmental analysis is required.

b. Would the project cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

		aration ND 03-19 each Drive-Throug	gh Us	e Zoning Code Ar	mendme	ent			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		\boxtimes	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
;	City's applic and o Funct people protect	Section XI.a. a General Plan, cable land use pobjectives in the ional Transpore and goods cting residentia fore, be less th	the plans e extation to a	2010 Strategions and policies. I isting Land Us in goals to impand from deversely.	Plan, Rathe se Ele prove o relopm	loc r, th me on t ent	cal coastal page Project is not of the Gother City's curenters were called the conters were conterned to the conters were contents and contents were contents and contents are contents and	rogram, consiste eneral P urrent ab rhile pre	or any other nt with goals lan, such as ility to move serving and
XII.	MINE	RAL RESOUR	RCES	•					
and na last ce	itural (intury	the primary mi gas. However as the resou on a reduced	, oil	and gas extrachave become	ction o	per etec	rations have I. Today, o	diminisl	ned over the
į	re	ould the proj source that w ate?							
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	[Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
;	and th	Project does no nere are no mi ct implementat	neral	resource activ	ities th	hat	would be al		
1	mi	ould the proje ineral resourc an or other la	e rec	covery site de			•		•
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	[Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Pleas	e see Section	XII.a	. above for dis	cussio	n.			
XIII.	NOIS	E							

Noise is defined as unwanted sound that disturbs human activity. Environmental noise levels typically fluctuate over time, and different types of noise descriptors are used to account for this variability. Noise level measurements include intensity, frequency, and duration, as well as time of occurrence.

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to ambient noise levels than other uses due to the amount of noise exposure and the types of activities involved. Residences, motels, hotels, schools, libraries, churches, nursing homes, auditoriums, parks and outdoor recreation areas are more sensitive to noise than commercial and industrial land uses.

a. Would the project result in generation of a substantial temporary or

permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the projectin excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?
Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Session No Impact No Im
Future construction activities related to the provisions of this Project could involve various types of short-term noise impacts from trucks, earth-moving equipment and paving equipment. However, all construction activities and land use operations must be performed in compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance (Long Beach Municipal Code Section 8.80). Project implementation would not alter the Noise Ordinance provisions or exempt any future land uses or improvements from local noise controls. The local Noise Ordinance would continue to regulate all future land use construction and operational noise levels. No further environmental analysis of this issue is necessary.
b. Would the project result in generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
Potentially Less Than Significant With Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation
Please see Section XIII.a. above for discussion. Project implementation would occur in compliance with local noise and vibration controls.
c. For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airpor

land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

Cherry Avenue Beach Airport vents. The Projects that could the protection zo TION AND HO Beach is the ses, Long Beach the 1990 Census	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation is located within and Lakewood would be in commect would not conflict with est ones. No further USING second largest conditions and a populations. The 2010 Conditions and a conditions are conditions.	Boulevard. All pliance with all alter air traffic tablished Federa environmental aity in Los Angeleon of 461,522,	north of the 4 future develop applicable local patterns or al Aviation Adianalysis is necessary. At which was a	pment near cal and FAA encourage ministration cessary. the time of 7.5 percent
Cherry Avenue Beach Airport vents. The Projects that could the protection zo TION AND HO Beach is the ses, Long Beach the 1990 Census	and Lakewood would be in comect would not conflict with estates. No further USING second largest cond a population	Boulevard. All pliance with all alter air traffic tablished Federa environmental aity in Los Angeleon of 461,522,	future develor applicable local patterns or all Aviation Adianalysis is necessary as County. At which was a	pment near cal and FAA encourage ministration cessary. the time of 7.5 percent
Beach is the s s, Long Beach e 1990 Census	econd largest c had a populati	on of 461,522,	which was a	7.5 percent
s, Long Beach le 1990 Census	had a population	on of 461,522,	which was a	7.5 percent
d the project in				
either directly usinesses) or	directly (for ex	or example, by	proposing n	new homes
entially nificant act	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation			No Impact
Ordinance) to ar ent standards, to residential d listricts, and are n growth. No fu	mend the regula public and priva evelopment do not intended orther environme	tions pertaining ate infrastructure not increase the rexpected to dintal analysis is r	to a variety of e, and school e allowable de irectly or indire required.	f residential siting. The ensity in the ectly induce
• •	-			• •
entially nificant act	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	V V		No Impact
	either directly usinesses) or infrastructure entially nificant act ct involves various redinance) to are entially to residential districts, and are no growth. No further project ong, necessitations and are entially nificant	either directly or indirectly (for exinfrastructure)? entially Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation ct involves various changes to ordinance) to amend the regular ent standards, public and privato residential development do listricts, and are not intended on growth. No further environmed the project displace substing, necessitating the corporation Less Than Significant with Mitigation	either directly or indirectly (for example, by usinesses) or directly (for example, through infrastructure)? entially	either directly or indirectly (for example, by proposing nusinesses) or directly (for example, through extension of infrastructure)? entially

The Project does not set forth or encourage any policies, activities or implementation measures that would directly or indirectly displace existing residential units in the City. No further environmental analysis is required.

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

Fire protection would be provided by the Long Beach Fire Department. The Department has 23 stations in the City. The Department is divided into bureaus of Fire Prevention, Fire Suppression, the Bureau of Instruction, and the Bureau of Technical Services. The Fire Department is accountable for medical, paramedic, and other first aid rescue calls from the community.

Police protection would be provided by the Long Beach Police Department. The Department is divided into bureaus of Administration, Investigation, and Patrol.

The City of Long Beach is served by the Long Beach Unified School District, which also serves the City of Signal Hill, Catalina Island and a large portion of the City of Lakewood. The District has been operating at or over capacity during the past decade.

Would the proposed project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

a. Fi	re protection?	•					
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
direct dema subject the bu	ly or indirectly nd for fire protect to the code outling plan che	ind ection change eck p	inges to the City's uce population graservices or fire proges will continue to rocess as well as salysis is required.	owth tection be s	that could reconfacilities. All subject to fire co	sult i new c ode re	n increased development eview during
b. Po	olice protectio	n?					
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

Similar to Section XIV.a. above, since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not significantly increase demands for police protection service, nor require provision of new police facilities. New development projects will continue to be subject to police facilities impact fees.

c. Sc	hools?									
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
the Pr servic	Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, he Project would not result in any significant increased demand for public school services or facilities. New development projects will continue to be subject to school impact fees.									
u. Fa	II V 2 :									
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
the Pi	•	t ger	itended to directly onerate any significate by the City.			•	-			
e. Ot	her public fac	ilitie	s?							
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			

No other impacts have been identified that would require the provision of new or physically-altered governmental facilities. New development projects will continue to be subject to sewer, transportation, and storm water impact fees.

XVI. RECREATION

a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

Negative Declaration ND 03-19

Please see Section XVII.a. for discussion. Since the Project would not encourage or plan for significant traffic growth, there would be no significant impacts on Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). The proposed zoning code amendment is anticipated to increase the availability of street parking throughout the City, which may lead to a decrease in VMT and idling of local traffic.

	de	esign feature	(e.ç	substantially ir g., sharp curv e.g., farm equip	es or	dangerous		_
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	desig requir existir	n features or ing closure of under the closure of under the code of the closest the closes	icom inuse e. No	eate or encoura patible uses. The d curb cuts that further environs	e propo at is not mental a	sed zoning of required in analysis is re	ode am the bas quired.	endment will
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	devel poten propo	opments or to stial to result ssed changes to	ransp in de o the	propose or ecortation network ficient or inade a zoning code are ner environment.	rk mod equate re antici	ifications that emergency a pated to imp	at woul access rove pe	d have the routes. The
XVIII.	TRIB	AL CULTURA	L RE	SOURCES				
	of	a tribal cultu	ral r	use a substant esource, define site, feature,	ed in Pu	ublic Resou	rces Co	de Section

i. Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historic Resources, or in a local register of historic resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k)?

geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American

tribe, that is:

a. Would the project require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electrical power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?

For Sections XIX.a. through e.: The Project involves requiring the undergrounding of utilities for new development which would improve reliability and would not be expected to place an undue burden on any utility or service system. The City of Long Beach is an urbanized setting with all utilities and services fully in place. Future demands for utilities and service systems have been anticipated in the General Plan goals, policies and programs for future growth. The City's Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) anticipates a level of population growth in excess of the General Plan, therefore the buildout of the General Plan, including any future development projects, will result in water demand equal to or less than

that already anticipated in the UWMP. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

	. Substantia mergency pl	•	ıpair an ado _l	oted ei	mergency	respons	se plan
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
b	risks, an	d the	vailing winds, reby expose om a wildfire o	proje	ct occup	ants to	, polluta
	Potentially		Less Than		Less Than		No Impact
	Significant Impact		Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Significant Impact		
C	Significant Impact Require th (such as re or other ut	oads, f ilities)	Mitigation	ergency erbate f	Impact of associ water sor ire risk or	urces, po that may	wer lines
c	Significant Impact Require th (such as re or other ut	oads, f ilities)	Mitigation Incorporation Ilation or main uel breaks, em that may exace	ergency erbate f	Impact of associ water sor ire risk or	urces, po that may	wer lines
	Significant Impact Require th (such as re or other ut temporary Potentially Significant Impact Expose pe downslope	oads, fi ilities) or ong	Mitigation Incorporation Ilation or mainuel breaks, em that may exact joing impacts to Less Than Significant with Mitigation	ergency erbate f to the er signification	Impact of associ water soci re risk or nvironmen Less Than Significant Impact ant risks, andslides	urces, po that may it?	wer lines result in No Impact

a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone Project by CAL Fire¹ nor is the City in or near a State Responsibility Area². The Project involves amending residential development and infrastructure regulations and would not be expected to impair

¹ http://fire.ca.gov/fire_prevention/fire_prevention_wildland_zones_maps_citylist

² http://www.fire.ca.gov/firepreventionfee/sraviewer_launch

emergency plans, exacerbate wildfire risks and expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire place. The Project would not require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment. Lastly, as discussed in Section VII.iv. above, the City is relatively flat and characterized by slopes that are not high (less than 50 feet) or steep (generally sloping flatter than 1-1/2:1, horizontal to vertical). The Project would not be expected to expose people or structures to significant risks. including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire instability, or drainage changes. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

XXI.

	MA	ANDATORY	/ FINDII	NGS OF SIGNI	FICANC	E		
a.	the sp lev red an	e environmecies, caused ecies, caused els, threated duce the nu	nent, su se a fish en to e umber d ninate i	e the potential ibstantially re nor wildlife poliminate a plain restrict the important exant?	duce the pulation or an arrange or arrange o	ne habitat on to drop be nimal comm f a rare or e	of a fish elow self nunity, s endange	or wildlife f-sustaining ubstantially red plant or
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Recul cul ent thr	sou tura viro eato y ra	irces, the Pal resources nment, impen any plander or endar	roject w s. The pact any t or anin ngered p	on IV. Biologic ould have no si proposed Proje natural habitat nal communities lants or animal ory or prehistory	gnificant ect woul ts, effec s, alter tl s, or elin	adverse im d not degra t any fish oi he number o	pacts on de the c r wildlife or restrict	biological or quality of the populations, the range of
b.	cu the	mulatively incremer incremer	conside tal effer tth the	have impacts erable? ("Cu ects of a proje effects of pas ects of probab	imulativ ect are t projec	ely considerates, the effe	erable" ole wher cts of o	means that n viewed in
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

The Project zoning code amendments would not contribute to any cumulative growth effects beyond what is anticipated for the City's future in the General Plan.

C.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?							
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

The land use requirements of this Project would not directly or indirectly cause any substantial adverse effects on human beings. For this reason, the City has concluded that this Project can be implemented without causing significant adverse environmental effects and determined that the Negative Declaration is the appropriate type of CEQA documentation.