

City of Long Beach Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

ND 01-18

Prepared by:

City of Long BeachDepartment of Development Services
Planning Bureau

INITIAL STUDY

Project Title:

City of Long Beach Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update

Lead Agency name and address:

City of Long Beach 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Contact person and phone number:

Carrie Tai, Current Planning Officer (562) 570-6411

Project Location:

Citywide, City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, California.

Project Sponsor's name and contact information:

City of Long Beach, Long Beach Development Services Department c/o Carrie Tai 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 570-6411

General Plan:

The proposed Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update would cover all General Plan Land Use Districts that apply to any zoning district or Planned Development (PD) district that require Conditional Use Permits for certain specified land uses in the City of Long Beach.

Zoning:

The proposed Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update applies to all zoning districts and all Planned Development districts that require Conditional Use Permits for certain specified land uses in the City of Long Beach.

Project Description:

The proposed Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update (Project) is a Zoning Ordinance Amendment consisting of various changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance) to simplify the process and time to obtain a Conditional Use Permit. Changes include: 1) amending processes so certain land uses do not require a Conditional Use Permit; 2) modernizing development standards; 3) organizing the standards in an easier-to-use format; and 4) amending the notification requirements to reduce cost and increase options.

Surrounding land uses and settings:

The City of Long Beach is adjacent to the following municipalities: City of Los Angeles (Wilmington, Port of Los Angeles), Carson, Compton, Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, Hawaiian Gardens, Cypress, Los Alamitos and Seal Beach. It is also adjacent to the unincorporated communities of Rancho Dominguez and Rossmoor. In addition, the City of Signal Hill is completed surrounded by the City of Long Beach.

Public agencies whose approval is required:

Long Beach Planning Commission (recommend City Council adopt Negative Declaration 01-18 and approve the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

Long Beach City Council (adopt Negative Declaration 01-18 and approve the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages:

Aesthetics	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Population and Housing
Agricultural Resources	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology and Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use and Planning	Transportation/Traffic
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities and Service Systems
Geology and Soils	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION:

On the	e basis of this initial evaluation:					
\boxtimes	I find that the proposed Project COULD NOT have a and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	significant effect on the environment				
	I find that although the proposed Project could environment, there will not be a significant effect in Project have been made by or agreed to by the NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	this case because revisions in the				
	I find that the proposed Project MAY have a signification ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.	ant effect on the environment and an				
I find that the proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal sta 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis, a on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.						
	I find that although the proposed Project could environment, because all potentially significant effect in an earlier EIR or NEGATIAVE DECLARATION possible (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation material proposed Project, nothing further is required.	s (a) have been analyzed adequately ursuant to applicable standards, and o that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE				
Carrie Curre	e Tai [Date				

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are supported adequately by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the parenthesis following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration; Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration (per Section 15063(c)(3)(D)). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effect were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less that Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- 6) Supporting information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 7) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) The significance criteria or threshold. If any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

a. w		4.1	1 4 4		66 4		
	ould the proje	ect ha	ave a substanti	al adve	rse effect on	a scer	nic vista?
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
result vistas south and S to the	in significant a The City tope and Palos Ver San Bernardino	adver ograp rdes to Mou casion	al Use Permit I se effects to an only is relatively to the west. In a suntains to the notally available for months).	y scenic lat, with addition, orth as v	c vistas or pu scenic vistas distant views vell as the Sa	blic vie of the of the anta An	ws of scenic ocean to the San Gabrie a Mountains
Ordin The p enviro	ance) to simple proposed Proje	ify th ct wo	endments to Title e process and to uld not result in e, no further a	time to o	obtain a Cong gative impact	ditional s to the	Use Permit City's visua
			ubstantially dan				
	ate scenic hig			,g			
					Less Than Significant Impact	\boxtimes	No Impact
There trees imple	Potentially Significant Impact are no State soor rock out	scenic tcrop	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation Chighways locat	ted withing de dam	Less Than Significant Impact n the City. Naged as a	⊠ lo scen result	ic resources of Projec
There trees imple resourc. W	Potentially Significant Impact are no State soor rock out mentation. Touch and no furt	scenic tcrop here ther a	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation Chighways locate bings would by would therefor	ted withing the damme be not b	Less Than Significant Impact In the City. Naged as a impact to	lo scen result any na	ic resources of Projec atural scenic
There trees imple resourc. W	Potentially Significant Impact are no State soor rock out mentation. Touch and no furt	scenic tcrop here ther a	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation Chighways locate bings would be would therefor analysis is require	ted withing the damme be not b	Less Than Significant Impact In the City. Naged as a impact to	lo scen result any na	ic resources of Projec atural scenic

d. Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?								
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	all ap	plicable regula Beach Nuisan	ations	and land use actions, including Longode). Since Projects light or glare	Beac ect imp	h Municipal olementation v	Code C would n	chapter 9.37 ot directly or
II.	AGRI	CULTURE RE	SOU	RCES				
effects Asses option	In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:							
	a. Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?							
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
		ould the proje illiamson Act		onflict with exist	ting zo	oning for agı	ricultur	al use, or a
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	dυ		ation	volve other chan or nature, could ?	_	_		
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

For Sections II. a., b. and c. - There are no agricultural zones within the City of Long Beach, which is a fully urbanized community that has been built upon for over half a century. The Project would have no effect upon agricultural resources within the City of Long Beach or any other neighboring city or county.

III. AIR QUALITY

The South Coast Air Basin is subject to some of the worst air pollution in the nation, attributable to its topography, climate, meteorological conditions, large population base, and dispersed urban land use patterns.

Air quality conditions are affected by the rate and location of pollutant emissions and by climatic conditions that influence the movement and dispersion of pollutants. Atmospheric forces such as wind speed, wind direction, and air temperature gradients, along with local and regional topography, determine how air pollutant emissions affect air quality.

The South Coast Air Basin has a limited capability to disperse air contaminants because of its low wind speeds and persistent temperature inversions. In the Long Beach area, predominantly daily winds consist of morning onshore airflow from the southwest at a mean speed of 7.3 miles per hour and afternoon and evening offshore airflow from the northwest at 0.2 to 4.7 miles per hour with little variability between seasons. Summer wind speeds average slightly higher than winter wind speeds. The prevailing winds carry air contaminants northward and then eastward over Whittier, Covina, Pomona and Riverside.

The majority of pollutants found in the Los Angeles County atmosphere originate from automobile exhausts as unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and other materials. Of the five major pollutant types (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, reactive organic gases, sulfur oxides, and particulates), only sulfur oxide emissions are produced mostly by sources other than automobile exhaust.

	conflict with	struct imple	menta	tion of the
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Association of G	` ,		

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has determined that if a project is consistent with the growth forecasts for the subregion in which it is located, it is consistent with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), and regional emissions are mitigated by the control strategies specified in the AQMP. Since this Project does not propose any specific developments or growth inducing projects that would

conflict with the SCAG growth forecasts, it would be consistent with the AQMP and therefore no further analysis is required.b. Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?

Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation No Impact

Implementation of the proposed Project would not significantly lower air quality standards or contribute to an air quality violation. Therefore, Project impacts on air quality would be less then significant and no further environmental analysis is required.

c. Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?

Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact No Impact Impact

Please see Sections III.a. and b. above for discussion.

d. Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Significant with Mitigation Impact Incorporation

Less Than No Impact Significant

The <u>CEQA Air Quality Handbook</u> defines sensitive receptors as children, athletes, elderly and sick individuals that are more susceptible to the effects of air pollution than the population at large. Facilities that serve various types of sensitive receptors, including, schools, hospitals, and senior care centers, are located throughout the City. The Project only involves simplifying the process and time to obtain a Conditional Use Permit. Please see Sections III.a. and b. above for further discussion.

e. Would the project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

Negative Declaration ND 01-18 City of Long Beach Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update									
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
	waste refine during powe volatil	ewater treatme eries, landfills, g construction red constructi	nt pla dairie includ on ed mpou	with odor composite, food proce es, and fiberglade use of archite quipment. SC nds (VOCs) from the processions.	ssing pla ss mold ectural c AQMD	ants, chemica ling. Potenti coatings and s Rule 1113 lii	I plans, al source solvents mits the	composting, ces of odors s, and diesel- e amount of	
	The Project would not allow operations that could directly or indirectly result in any significant adverse odors or intensification of odors beyond those typically associated with construction activities. No further environmental analysis is necessary.								
IV.	BIOL	OGICAL RES	OUR	CES					
	a. Would the project have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?								
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
	ar re	nd water body	area act a	n the City are ge s. The Project any existing or is is required.	would i	not promote	activitie	s that would	
	ha pl	abitat or other ans, policies,	sens regu	have a subst sitive natural culations or by nd Wildlife Sel	ommuni the Cali	ity identified	in loca	l or regional	
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	

Land uses subject to this proposed Project would occur in established urbanized areas and would not remove or impact any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities. No further environmental analysis is required.

C.	Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?										
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
	urk	oanized areas	and v	n of the proposed would not promote ther environmenta	or in	volve alteration	of a				
d.	res	sident or mig	grator igrato	terfere substantia y fish or wildlife ry wildlife corric s?	spec	ies or with es	tablis	shed native			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
	no sp	t alter or adv	ersely	n would occur in e impact any nativo nursery sites. I	e resi	dent or migrato	ory fis	h or wildlife			
e.				nflict with any loc , such as a tree p							
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
	Project implementation would be consistent with the General Plan and in conformity with all local policies and regulations. It would not alter or eliminate any existing or future policy or ordinance protecting biological resources. No further environmental analysis is required.										

	C		Plan,	conflict with the or other approv				
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	conse	Project would rervation plans ssion.		ave any adverse e ease see Section				
V.	CULT	TURAL RESO	URCE	ES				
				ause a substantia rce as defined in			n the s	significance
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	the C previo	ity (with the e ously disturbed urage or enable	xcept l and/ e activ	s an urbanized con tion of areas such or developed. The vities that could rer urces. No further	as prop	protected park posed Project w , degrade or in a	lands vould any wa) have been not promote, ay adversely
				iuse a substantia I resource pursua				significance
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	involv destro	ring extensive or oy any archae	excav ologic	oject would not reso vation, and therefo cal resources due rther discussion.	re wo	uld not be antic	cipate	d to affect or
				irectly or indirect Inique geologic fe			e pale	ontological

	ve Declaration Long Beach Co		e Perm	nit Regulations	Update				
	Poten Signif Impad	icant	Si M	ess Than gnificant with itigation corporation		٠ ;	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	extensive e	xcavation t	hat co	ould adverse	ly impa	act a	any paleont	ological	d to result in resources or discussion.
		the projec			ıman r	ema	ains, inclu	ding the	ose interred
	Poten Signif Impad	icant	່ Si M	ess Than gnificant with itigation corporation		٠ ;	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	excavation	that could ind or place	esult	in the distur	bance	of ar	ny designat	ed ceme	e extensive etery or other c. above for
VI.	GEOLOGY	AND SOII	LS						
			_	ose people ing the risk			_		substantial olving:
	i)	recent A the Stat evidence	Alquis e Geo e of	st-Priolo Ea ologist for	arthquathe are ault?	ake ea c Ref	Fault Zon or based o	ing Mar n other	on the most o issued by substantial Mines and
	Poten Signif Impad	icant	□ Si M	ess Than gnificant with itigation corporation		٠,	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	fault systen	n in the Cit	y is th		nglewo	ood '	fault zone.	This fau	st significant ult zone runs
	to comply v	vith applica	ble bu		s that a	acco	ount for the		l be required ty of seismic
	ii)	Strong	seism	ic ground s	shakin	g?			

Per the Seismic Safety Element, the City is relatively flat and characterized by slopes that are not high (less than 50 feet) or steep (generally sloping flatter than 1-1/2:1, horizontal to vertical). The State Seismic Hazard Zone map of the Long Beach Quadrangle indicates that the lack of steep terrain (except for a few slopes on Signal Hill and Reservoir Hill) results in only about 0.1 percent of the City lying within the earthquake-induced landslide zone for this quadrangle. Therefore, no impact would be expected and no further environmental analysis is required. Please see Section VI.a.i. above for further discussion.

b. Would the project result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

Incorporation

		ration ND 01-18 each Conditional l	Jse P	ermit Regulations Upd	late			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
! !	to all mana activit	applicable cor gement practio	es to exc	ne regulations of the ction standards regonated in minimize runoff a avation, recontous necessary.	gardir ınd er	ng erosion cor rosion impacts	ntrol, in from e	cluding best
,	th: re:	at would bec	ome or	e located on a geo unstable as a re off-site landslide pse?	sult	of the project	ct, and	potentially
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	\boxtimes	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
1	regula	ations of the P	rojec	o. above for discut would be construits regarding soil s	ıcted	in compliance		•
(e located on expa ting substantial ri				the Uniform
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	\boxtimes	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Pleas	e see Sections	VI.b	and c. above for	expla	nation.		
,	of	septic tanks	or alt	ave soils incapab ternative wastewa the disposal of w	ater d	lisposal syste		
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
1	for se		ny ot	by an existing seventher alternative was required.		S. Comment of the Com		

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

VIII.

materials?

a. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?								
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact Impact Impact Impact								
California is a substantial contributor of global greenhouse gases (GHGs), emitting over 400 million tons of carbon dioxide per year. Climate studies indicate that California is likely to see an increase of three to four degrees Fahrenheit over the next century. Methane is also an important GHG that potentially contributes to global climate change. GHGs are global in their effect, which is to increase the earth's ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere. As primary GHGs have a long lifetime in the atmosphere, accumulate over time, and are generally well-mixed, their impact on the atmosphere is mostly independent of the point of emission.								
The Project would not result in direct or indirect significant GHG impacts, but rather would establish changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance to simplify the process and time to obtain a Conditional Use Permit. No further environmental analysis is needed.								
b. Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?								
Potentially Less Than Less Than No Impact Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation								
Please see Section VII.a. above for discussion. The proposed Project would not permit any land use operations that would conflict with any plans, policies or regulations related to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. No further environmental analysis is needed.								
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS								
a. Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the								

ve Declaration ND 01-18 Long Beach Conditional Use F	Permit Regulations Upd	ate	
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	☐ No Impact
Any future land uses or Project that involve th hazardous materials wo Code Sections 8.86 thro No further environmenta	e handling and d uld be required to fu ough 8.88 as well a	isposal of hazardou illy comply with Long s all existing State sa	is or potentially Beach Municipal
b. Would the project environment throu conditions involving environment?	igh reasonably		and accident
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	☐ No Impact
Please see Section VIII.	a. above for discuss	sion.	
c. Would the project acutely hazardous mile of an existing	materials, substai	nces, or waste with	
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Please see Section VIII.	a. above for discuss	sion.	
	ls sites compiled d, as a result, wou	site which is includ I pursuant to Gov Ild it create a signif	ernment Code
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The Hazardous Waste a used by the State, lo requirements in providir release sites. Any futur this Project would not be	cal agencies and ng information abou e land uses that wo	developers to come t the location of haza ould be regulated by	ply with CEQA ardous materials the provisions of

or the environment by operating at a location included in the Cortese List. Please see Section VIII.a. above for further discussion.
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation

The Long Beach Airport is located within the City, just north of the 405 freeway between Cherry Avenue and Lakewood Boulevard. The Project would not alter air traffic patterns or encourage future developments that could conflict with established Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) flight protection zones. All future development in the vicinity of the Long Beach Airport would be in compliance with all applicable local and FAA requirements. Please see Section VIII.a. above for further discussion.

f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation No Impact

There are no private airstrips located within or adjacent to the City. No further environmental analysis is required.

g. Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation No Impact

The Project would not encourage or otherwise set forth any policies or recommendations that could potentially impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. No further environmental analysis is required.

h. Would the project expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wild land fires, including where wild lands are

		ljacent to urba nds?	anize	d areas or where	resid	lences are int	ermix	ed with wild
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	adjace signifi	ent to wild land	ds ar oss,	anized community nd there is no risk injury or death in required.	of ex	posing people	or str	ructures to a
IX.	HYDF	ROLOGY AND	WA ⁻	TER QUALITY				
Insura	ince Ra ation lii	ate Maps (FIRI	VIs) d	gement Agency (F lesignating potenti 100-year flood as	al floc	od zones (base	ed on t	he projected
		ould the proje quirements?	ct vi	olate any water q	uality	/ standards o	r wast	e discharge
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	the C	onservation Ellower be required to water quality s	eme	nsistent with all ch nt. All activities su in full compliance ards and regulation	ubject with	t to the provis all applicable	ions of federa	this Project al, State and
	su de (e. le	ibstantially wi ficit in aquifer .g., the produvel which wo	th g r volu ction uld n	ubstantially depletroundwater rechaume or a lowering nate of pre-existed support existed been granted?	of the	such that the ne local groun nearby wells	re wou dwate woul	uld be a net r table level d drop to a
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

Please see Section IX.a. above for discussion. The City is a highly urbanized community with the water system infrastructure fully in place to accommodate future development consistent with the General Plan.

C.	sit riv	e or area, incl	udin	ubstantially alter t g through the alte hich would resul	eratio	on of the cours	e of	a stream or
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
ра	tteri			courage or enable of streams or rivers				
d.	sit riv	e or area, incl er or substan	ludin itially	ubstantially alter to the alter the alter the alter the alter the rater the rater the result in flooding	eration	on of the cours	e of	a stream or
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
PΙθ	ease	e see Sections	IX.a	. and c. above for o	discu	ssion.		
e.				eate or contribute ing or planned st				
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
wa us aff	iter es s ect	drainage syste subject to the provisions for	em is provi rete	and c. above for adequate to accomisions of this Projection and infiltration and policies	mmo ect. on of	date runoff from The Project wo	n any uld n	future land ot adversely
f.	W	ould the proje	ct ot	herwise degrade	wate	r quality?		

Negative Declaration ND 01-18

		aration ND 01-18 each Conditional	Use P	ermit Regulations	Update			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact	\boxtimes	No Impact
	is not Poter near	within a zone ntial tsunami ha the coastline.	influe azard The p	the Seismic Sa enced by the ir s would be limit proposed Project ies. Please se	nundation ted to pro ct would	n of seiche, to perties and not result in	tsunami, public in any incr	or mudflow. nprovements eased risk of
X.	LAN	USE AND PI	LANN	IING				
	a. W	ould the proje	ect pl	nysically divid	e an est	ablished co	mmunit	:y?
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Code Use F	(Zoning Ordin Permit and wou	ance uld no	rarious changes) to simplify the ot directly or inc analysis is req	process directly di	and time to	obtain a	a Conditional
	re no zo	gulation of ar ot limited to t	n age he g ce) a	onflict with and the control of the control plan, so dopted for the control of th	diction o	ver the Pro plan, local o	ject (in coastal	cluding, but program, or
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
		eral Plan, the 20 es. Impacts	010 S	for discussion. Strategic Plan, d kisting local re	or any oth	ner applicabl	e land u	se plans and
		-		onflict with an ties conservat			t conse	rvation plan

	ve Declaration ND 01-18 Long Beach Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update
	Potentially Less Than Less Than No Impact Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation
	See Sections X.a. and b. above for discussion. The City is a highly urbanized environment characterized by in-fill developments that recycle previously developed properties. No habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan would be impacted by Project implementation.
XI.	MINERAL RESOURCES
and na last co	ically, the primary mineral resources within the City of Long Beach have been oil atural gas. However, oil and gas extraction operations have diminished over the entury as the resources have become depleted. Today, extraction operations ue but on a reduced scale compared to past levels.
	a. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?
	Potentially Less Than Less Than No Impact Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation
	The Project does not propose any alteration of local mineral resource land uses and there are no mineral resource activities that would be altered or displaced by Project implementation. No further discussion is required.
	b. Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?
	Potentially Less Than Less Than No Impact Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation
	Please see Section XI.a. above for discussion.
XII.	NOISE
	is defined as unwanted sound that disturbs human activity. Environmental noise typically fluctuate over time, and different types of noise descriptors are used to

account for this variability. Noise level measurements include intensity, frequency, and duration, as well as time of occurrence.

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to ambient noise levels than other uses due to the amount of noise exposure and the types of activities involved. Residences, motels, hotels, schools, libraries, churches, nursing homes, auditoriums, parks and outdoor recreation areas are more sensitive to noise than are commercial and industrial land uses.

le	vels in excess	s of	sult in exposure o standards estab applicable standa	lished	d in the local	gene		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Project moving land of Ordinate would impro- contin	Future construction activities related to land uses subject to the provisions of this Project could involve various types of short-term noise impacts from trucks, earthmoving equipment, and paving equipment. However, all construction activities and and use operations must be performed in compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance (Long Beach Municipal Code Section 8.80). Project implementation would not alter the Noise Ordinance provisions or exempt any future land uses or improvements from local noise controls. The local Noise Ordinance would continue to regulate all future land use construction and operational noise levels. No further environmental analysis of this issue is necessary.							
b. We	ould the proj cessive grour	ect i	result in exposu orne vibration or	re of grour	persons to one noise	or ge e leve	neration of ls?	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
			a. above for discu local noise and vib			ement	ation would	
no			reate a substant project vicinity					
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	

Please see Section XII.a. above for discussion.							
am	d. Would the project create a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the Project?						
	Potentially [Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Please	e see Section XI	II.a.	above for discuss	ion.			
ha air	e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
	Potentially [Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
between vicinity and FA	en Cherry Aven y of the Long Be AA requirements opments that co	ue ach s. Ti uld	is located within the and Lakewood Both Airport would be the Project would not conflict with establics. No further er	uleva in co ot alte lished	rd. All future de mpliance with a er air traffic patte d Federal Aviati	evelo all app erns c on Ac	pment in the blicable local or encourage dministration
ex			the vicinity of a ling or working i				
	Potentially [Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	are no private nmental analysi		strips located with required.	in or	adjacent to the	City	. No further

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING

The City of Long Beach is the second largest city in Los Angeles County. At the time of the 2000 Census, Long Beach had a population of 461,522, which was a 7.5 percent

increase from the 1990 Census. The 2010 Census reported a total City population of 462,257.

	ould the proje rectly or indire		duce substantial ?	popu	lation growth	in an	area, either
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
The Project involves various changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance) to simplify the process and time to obtain a Conditional Use Permit. It is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth. No further environmental analysis is required.							
			displace substan nstruction of repl				•
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
imple	mentation mea	asure	set forth or en es that would dir y. No further envir	ectly	or indirectly	displa	ace existing
			splace substantia eplacement hous			ple, ne	ecessitating
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

Please see Section XIII.b. above for discussion. The Project does not set forth or encourage any policies, activities or implementation measures that would directly or indirectly displace people residing in the City.

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

Fire protection would be provided by the Long Beach Fire Department. The Department has 23 stations in the City. The Department is divided into bureaus of Fire Prevention, Fire Suppression, the Bureau of Instruction, and the Bureau of Technical Services. The Fire Department is accountable for medical, paramedic, and other first aid rescue calls from the community.

Police protection would be provided by the Long Beach Police Department. The Department is divided into bureaus of Administration, Investigation, and Patrol.

The City of Long Beach is served by the Long Beach Unified School District, which also serves the City of Signal Hill, Catalina Island and a large portion of the City of Lakewood. The District has been operating at or over capacity during the past decade.

Would the proposed project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

a. Fire protection	?					
Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
The Project involves Use Permits and is that could result in facilities. No furthe	not in increa	tended to directly sed demand for fire	or ind e pro	directly induce patential tection services	oopul	ation growth
b. Police protection	on?					
Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Similar to Section demands for police						
c. Schools?						
Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Similar to Section increased demand					in an	y significant
d. Parks?						

Negative Declaration ND 01-18

City of Long Beach Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update

a.	re:	lation to the e sult in a subs	xisti stanti	cause an increasing traffic load ar al increase in eitatio on roads, or	nd cap ther th	acity of the ne number o	street s of vehic	ystem (i.e., le trips, the
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
is tha	not at c	intended to o	directly ncrea	olifying the City's or indirectly ind sed number of vether environmenta	luce po hicle to	opulation or rips, volume t	employr to capac	ment growth
b.	se	rvice standa	rd es	xceed, either ind stablished by the ed roads or high	ne cou	unty conges	_	
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
or	pla			i. for discussion. ic growth, there w				
C.	an		traf	sult in a change i fic levels or a sks?				
[Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
			•	quirements would analysis is requir		no impact or	n air trat	ffic patterns.
d.	sh			bstantially incre gerous intersecti				

Resources Code Section 5020.1(k)?

Negative Declaration ND 01-18

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

necessary.

a. Does the project environment, subst cause a fish or wild threaten to eliminat restrict the range of important example prehistory?	antially reduce the dlife population to se a plant or anima of a rare or endan	hab drop l con gere	itat of a fish p below sel nmunity, red d plant or a	n or wildl lf-sustai duce the animal c	life species ning levels number or or eliminate
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
As determined in Sect Resources, the Project of cultural resources. The environment, impact and threaten any plant or and any rare or endangered periods of California hist	vould have no signi e proposed Project y natural habitats, mal communities, a plants or animals, c	ficant would effect alter th	adverse impled not degra any fish or an ne number o	pacts on de the q wildlife or restrict	biological or uality of the populations, the range of
b. Does the project cumulatively consi the incremental eff connection with the projects, and the ef	derable? ("Cumu fects of a project e effects of past p	ılativ are rojec	ely conside considerab ts, the effe	erable" le wher cts of ot	means that n viewed in
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	\boxtimes	Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
The Project regulatory p not contribute to any cur City's future in the Gene	mulative growth effe			•	

	al Use Permit Regulations ect have environmer	ntal effects which will	cause substantia
		either directly or ind	
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
substantial adver concluded that t adverse environm	se effects on human his Project can be i	et would not directly or in the beings. For this read mplemented without mined that the Negativen.	ason, the City has causing significan