# **APPENDIX I**

# CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC ANALYSIS AND LAGOON PARKING DEMAND ANALYSIS



RIVERSIDE Rocklin San Luis obispo ND South San Francisco

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:	May 7, 2008
то.	Mona McGuire Deleon
FROM:	Meghan Macias and Dave Lewis
SUBJECT:	Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project Construction Traffic Analysis

This memo addresses the projected traffic generation and potential for circulation impacts associated with construction activities for the Colorado Lagoon (Lagoon) Restoration project. Phase 1 of the project includes excavating and removing approximately 16,000 cubic yards of contaminated sediment from the project site that has accumulated in the western arm of the Lagoon. The removed contaminated sediment will then be transported by truck either to the Port of Long Beach (Port) or to Kettleman Hills Landfill in Kings County, California. Phase 1 also includes several components, as listed in Table A, that would require materials to be transported by truck to be disposed of at Class III landfills such as Puente Hills Landfill, which is the closest Class III landfill.

#### Table A: Construction Truck Trips by Phase

	Delivery	Removal
Project Components	Trucks	Trucks
Phase 1		
Culvert improvements		80
Removal of contaminated dredge material from western arm of Lagoon		1,000
Removal of noncontaminated dredge material from central Lagoon		350
Storm drain treatments	110	60
Bioswales	40	160
Restrooms, north parking lot, access road debris, and soils from development of	60	600
bird island		
Side slope recontouring		340
Construction of trail and viewing platform	60	
Phase 2		
Construction of open channel, two bridges, and walking trail; culvert demolition;	550	2,010
and restroom demolition and reconstruction		

Phase 2 of this project involves removing the underground box culvert that currently connects the Lagoon to Marine Stadium and replacing it with an open channel that would improve the circulation and water quality in the Lagoon. The excavated soil (approximately 25,500 cubic yards) will be disposed of at a Class III landfill. Phase 2 would also demolish and reconstruct two restrooms, construct two bridges spanning the open channel, and construct a walking trail within Marina Vista Park. The expected time frame for both phases is 2 years, with Phase 1 occurring in the first year followed by Phase 2 in the second year.

When calculating vehicle trips, each truck trip was assumed to have a passenger car equivalent (PCE) of two trips. Because of its larger size and less maneuverability, the impact of a single truck to the roadway is approximately equivalent to two passenger cars. According to the project engineer, during Phase 1 of the project, approximately 10 construction workers will be on site per day. These workers will add 20 daily passenger car trips (10 inbound in the morning and 10 outbound in the evening). Worker commute trips will not add a.m. peak-hour trips to Phase 1 construction traffic because the workers will arrive on the site before the 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. peak period. Removal truck trips are estimated to add 35 truck trips (70 PCE) per day, with a maximum of 14 truck trips (28 PCE) in the a.m. peak hour and 10 truck trips (20 PCE) in the p.m. peak hour. During Phase 2, approximately 12 construction workers will be on site per day, adding 24 daily passenger car trips (12 inbound in the morning and 12 outbound in the evening). Similar to Phase 1, these workers will not add a.m. peak-hour trips in Phase 2. Average truck haul trips are estimated to be 40 per day (80 PCE), with a maximum of 16 (32 PCE) in the a.m. peak hour and 8 (16 PCE) in the p.m. peak hour. Table B presents the trip generation for Phases 1 and 2 of the project.

	Pea	ak-Hour Trip	DS	Peak-Hour PCE Trips <sup>1</sup>		
Generator	ADT	AM	PM	ADT	AM	PM
Phase 1						
Construction Workers <sup>1</sup>	10	N/A	5	20	N/A	10
Trucks <sup>2</sup>	35	14	10	70	28	20
Total	45	14	15	90	28	30
Phase 2						
Construction Workers <sup>2</sup>	12	N/A	6	24	N/A	12
Trucks <sup>3</sup>	40	16	8	80	32	16
Total	52	16	14	104	32	28

Table B:	Phase 1 and	l Phase 2 Proj	ect Trip Generation
----------	-------------	----------------	---------------------

<sup>1</sup> Truck trips are multiplied by a factor of 2 PCE per truck.

<sup>2</sup> Workers arrive between 6:00 and 6:30 a.m. and depart between 5:30 and 6:00 p.m. No a.m. peak-hour trips are generated due to workers arriving before the a.m. peak period. Trips based on one person per vehicle.

<sup>3</sup> Based on construction activity estimates provided by the project engineer.

ADT = average daily traffic

N/A = not applicable

PCE = passenger car equivalent

If the removal vehicles are destined for Kettleman Hills Landfill in Phase 1, they will be routed north on Park Avenue, east on East 7th Street, north on Interstate 605 (I-605), and then north on Interstate 5 (I-5). Removal vehicles destined for the Port would travel east on East 7th Street, north on Interstate 405 (I-405), and then south on Interstate 710 (I-710). The haul routes are illustrated on Figure 1 (attached). In Phase 2, excavated sediment and demolition debris will be trucked off site and disposed at a Class III landfill. Construction activity will take place from 7:00 a.m.–5:30 p.m. on weekdays and 9:00 a.m.–6:00 p.m. on weekends.

Based on estimates provided by the project engineer, the construction activity will add approximately 90 daily PCE trips, 28 a.m. peak-hour PCE trips, and 30 p.m. peak-hour PCE trips in Phase 1 and 104 daily PCE trips, 32 a.m. peak-hour PCE trips, and 28 p.m. peak-hour PCE trips in Phase 2. All of the truck trips would travel on East 7th Street to either I-605 or I-405. East 7th Street is a four-lane roadway with an hourly capacity of 6,400 vehicles. The addition of up to 32 p.m. peak-hour trips

would add approximately one-half of 1 percent of the capacity of the roadway during the peak hour. In addition, most truck trips would occur during the day, when ambient traffic is less. Therefore, no adverse traffic impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures would be required.

During construction of the two at-grade bridges spanning the open channel, East Colorado Street will be closed between East Eliot Street and Panama Avenue during construction of the Colorado Street Bridge, and East Eliot Street will be closed between East Colorado Street and Boathouse Lane during construction of the Eliot Street Bridge. Construction of the bridges would occur one at a time so as to provide adequate circulation during construction. Construction is anticipated to take approximately 6 months for each bridge. When East Colorado Street is closed, East Eliot Street would serve as an alternate route. Similarly, when East Eliot Street is closed, East Colorado Street would be the alternate route.

Both East Colorado Street and East Eliot Street are local roadways serving the residential land uses east of the Lagoon. Neither street directly serves a commercial, employment, or other land use that would generate high volumes of traffic. Furthermore, the roadway network in the residential neighborhoods served by East Colorado Street and East Eliot Street is a grid system and several other alternate routes would be available. As a result, the closure of either East Colorado Street or East Eliot Street for a temporary period during construction of the channel would not adversely affect traffic.

Attachment: Figure 1: Construction Haul Routes



I:\CLB0702\G\FIG 1LocationCTA2.cdr (4/29/08)



RIVERSIDE

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 9, 2008
TO:	Mona McGuire DeLeon
FROM:	Meghan Macias
SUBJECT	Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project Parking Demand Analysis

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this parking demand analysis is to determine the potential parking impacts associated with the implementation of habitat and recreational improvements to the Colorado Lagoon (Lagoon). The Colorado Lagoon is an 11.7-acre tidal water body that is connected to Alamitos Bay and the Pacific Ocean through an underground tidal culvert to Marine Stadium. The Lagoon is located in a park setting and is owned and maintained as a city park by the City of Long Beach. The Lagoon serves three main functions: playing host to estuarine habitat, providing public recreation, and retaining and conveying storm water drainage. The Lagoon's water and sediment quality is degraded. The purpose of the proposed project is to restore the site's ecosystem, provide enhanced recreation facilities, and improve water and sediment quality. Part of the proposed project includes the removal of the existing parking lot on the north shore of the Lagoon, which contains 73 sparking spaces. Parking is also provided by a parking lot on the south shore that includes 56 parking spaces and by on-street parking on East Colorado Street south of the Lagoon and East 6th Street north of the Lagoon. The parking lot bound by East Colorado Street, East Appian Way, and Nieto Avenue is also utilized for Lagoon parking; however, it is not reserved for Lagoon use. The location of the parking areas is shown in Figure 1 (attached). Currently, approximately six vehicles utilize this shared parking facility for Lagoon parking.

#### METHODOLOGY

To determine the existing parking demand of Colorado Lagoon, parking surveys were conducted at the four Lagoon parking areas by the Friends of Colorado Lagoon. The purpose of these studies was to gather information on the current parking needs of the Lagoon visitors. Studies were carried out by having volunteers count the parked vehicles in each of the four parking areas located around the Lagoon at 30-minute intervals. Parking counts were performed during the second and third weeks in August 2007, on Wednesday, Friday, and Saturday of each week. The surveys were conducted at the North parking lot (being removed), the West parking lot (East Appian Way), on-street parking on East Colorado Street (south side of the Lagoon), and the Nieto parking lot (located at Nieto Avenue/ East Colorado Street/East Appian Way). Because the City of Long Beach uses only a portion of the parking spaces at the Nieto parking lot, volunteers observed whether parking lot visitors walked toward the Lagoon or in another direction. Only those who walked toward the Lagoon were considered to be Lagoon visitors. Data for these parking surveys are summarized in Tables A–F (attached). The actual parking surveys are attached at the end of this document.

#### **PARKING DEMAND**

As shown in Figures A–F, the maximum parking demand ranges from 21 to 38 automobiles, with the maximum number occurring on Saturday. Wednesdays and Fridays were fairly similar in terms of how many automobiles parked in the lots or on the street around the Lagoon. Because the project does not intensify visitor-serving uses, the parking demand of the Lagoon is expected to remain the same with the project as the existing observed parking demand. Therefore, the maximum parking demand will continue to be approximately 38 spaces with implementation of the project.

#### **CONCLUSION**

At the completion of the Colorado Lagoon Restoration project, parking will continue to be provided in the West parking lot, the Nieto parking lot (shared with other uses), and along East Colorado Street and East 6th Street. Based on parking surveys conducted in August 2007, the maximum parking demand of Colorado Lagoon is 38 spaces. The West parking lot provides 56 parking spaces, which is adequate to accommodate the parking demand while providing 18 surplus parking spaces. In addition, parking will remain available on East Colorado Street and at the Nieto parking lot. As a result, removal of the North parking lot will not cause a parking deficiency or overcrowding of the remaining parking areas.

Attachments: Figure 1: Location of Parking Areas Table A: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Wednesday, August 8, 2007) Table B: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Friday, August 10, 2007) Table C: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Saturday, August 11, 2007) Table D: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Wednesday, August 15, 2007) Table E: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Friday, August 17, 2007) Table E: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Friday, August 17, 2007) Table F: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Saturday, August 18, 2007) Parking Survey Data for Colorado Lagoon



I:\CLB0702\G\Parking.cdr (3/27/08)

	North Parking	West Parking	Colorado On- Street	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/	
TIME	Lot	Lot	Parking	Appian	Total
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	1	0	1
9:00 AM	2	4	1	0	7
9:30 AM	3	12	0	1	16
10:00 AM	3	2	0	1	6
10:30 AM	3	2	2	0	7
11:00 AM	5	3	4	0	12
11:30 AM	3	13	5	0	21
12:00 PM	5	1	5	0	11
12:30 PM	8	1	7	0	16
1:00 PM	5	2	9	0	16
1:30 PM	4	2	10	1	17
2:00 PM	3	1	9	1	14
2:30 PM	3	1	8	0	12
3:00 PM	3	2	6	1	12
3:30 PM	5	2	5	0	12
4:00 PM	5	2	5	0	12
4:30 PM	7	2	8	1	18
5:00 PM	6	2	5	0	13
5:30 PM	5	1	7	0	13
6:00 PM	5	2	7	3	17
6:30 PM	0	2	8	1	11
7:00 PM	2	2	6	1	11
7:30 PM	6	1	6	1	14
8:00 PM	2	3	4	0	9

 Table A: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Wednesday, August 8, 2007)

TIME	North Parking Lot	West Parking Lot	Colorado On- Street Parking	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/ Appian	Total
	Lot	Lot	I al King	Арріан	Total
	-				
8:00 AM	0	1	0	0	1
8:30 AM	0	1	1	0	2
9:00 AM	0	1	0	0	1
9:30 AM	1	6	2	0	9
10:00 AM	2	3	4	0	9
10:30 AM	1	1	9	2	13
11:00 AM	3	4	8	0	15
11:30 AM	3	10	7	6	26
12:00 PM	5	4	8	6	23
12:30 PM	5	3	8	7	23
1:00 PM	4	0	7	4	15
1:30 PM	4	0	12	1	17
2:00 PM	6	1	7	4	18
2:30 PM	7	2	5	1	15
3:00 PM	4	3	7	0	14
3:30 PM	6	1	8	1	16
4:00 PM	5	4	9	0	18
4:30 PM	5	2	7	0	14
5:00 PM	4	3	7	0	14
5:30 PM	6	5	7	0	18
6:00 PM	5	5	4	0	14
6:30 PM	6	4	5	0	15
7:00 PM	5	2	4	0	11
7:30 PM	5	4	5	0	14
8:00 PM	5	4	2	0	11

 Table B: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Friday, August 10, 2007)

TIME	North Parking Lot	West Parking Lot	Colorado On- Street Parking	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/ Appian	Total
8:00 AM	1	0	4	0	5
8:30 AM	1	2	3	2	8
9:00 AM	1	1	3	0	5
9:30 AM	1	2	3	2	8
10:00 AM	2	1	5	1	9
10:30 AM	2	1	4	0	7
11:00 AM	3	1	8	1	13
11:30 AM	3	2	7	2	14
12:00 PM	4	1	7	1	13
12:30 PM	4	1	10	5	20
1:00 PM	4	2	12	5	23
1:30 PM	4	3	12	0	19
2:00 PM	3	10	11	4	28
2:30 PM	4	10	12	5	31
3:00 PM	3	12	12	6	33
3:30 PM	4	13	12	3	32
4:00 PM	4	11	11	1	27
4:30 PM	3	8	9	0	20
5:00 PM	3	6	10	1	20
5:30 PM	3	6	12	0	21
6:00 PM	5	6	7	0	18
6:30 PM	5	2	7	0	14
7:00 PM	7	3	6	0	16
7:30 PM	7	2	7	0	16
8:00 PM	9	1	0	0	10

 Table C: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Saturday, August 11, 2007)

TIME	North Parking Lot	West Parking Lot	Colorado On- Street Parking	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/ Appian	Total
8:00 AM	0	0	1	0	1
8:30 AM	0	0	1	0	1
9:00 AM	1	1	0	0	2
9:30 AM	1	2	2	0	5
10:00 AM	2	2	0	0	4
10:30 AM	2	0	3	1	6
11:00 AM	3	0	6	0	9
11:30 AM	4	0	6	1	11
12:00 PM	7	1	6	1	15
12:30 PM	5	0	5	0	10
1:00 PM	3	1	7	0	11
1:30 PM	6	2	12	0	20
2:00 PM	6	4	12	1	23
2:30 PM	5	5	14	0	24
3:00 PM	5	4	15	2	26
3:30 PM	7	3	9	5	24
4:00 PM	5	6	10	3	24
4:30 PM	4	6	5	2	17
5:00 PM	7	3	5	0	15
5:30 PM	6	3	8	2	19
6:00 PM	5	3	7	0	15
6:30 PM	5	1	7	1	14
7:00 PM	5	1	4	0	10
7:30 PM	0	2	4	0	6
8:00 PM	0	2	0	0	2

 Table D: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Wednesday, August 15, 2007)

	North Parking	West Parking	Colorado On- Street	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/	
TIME	Lot	Lot	Parking	Appian	Total
8:00 AM	0	0	1	0	1
8:30 AM	0	0	1	1	2
9:00 AM	1	0	2	2	5
9:30 AM	1	0	2	1	4
10:00 AM	4	0	3	2	9
10:30 AM	6	0	8	0	14
11:00 AM	3	0	8	0	11
11:30 AM	6	1	6	0	13
12:00 PM	6	1	10	1	18
12:30 PM	5	2	11	0	18
1:00 PM	5	1	13	0	19
1:30 PM	7	2	13	0	22
2:00 PM	6	3	15	0	24
2:30 PM	6	5	12	3	26
3:00 PM	5	4	8	2	19
3:30 PM	6	3	8	0	17
4:00 PM	7	4	6	2	19
4:30 PM	8	2	7	1	18
5:00 PM	11	2	8	0	21
5:30 PM	10	1	8	0	19
6:00 PM	5	0	5	0	10
6:30 PM	6	1	8	0	15
7:00 PM	5	2	8	0	15
7:30 PM	4	2	8	1	15
8:00 PM	0	3	1	0	4

 Table E: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Friday, August 17, 2007)

TIME	North Parking	West Parking	Colorado On- Street Porking	Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/	Total
TIME	LOI	LOI	Farking	Appian	Totai
8:00 AM	0	0	1	0	1
8:30 AM	1	1	1	4	7
9:00 AM	1	1	3	4	9
9:30 AM	1	0	3	4	8
10:00 AM	1	1	2	5	9
10:30 AM	1	1	4	2	8
11:00 AM	2	1	4	1	8
11:30 AM	2	1	5	1	9
12:00 PM	2	1	8	3	14
12:30 PM	2	3	7	4	16
1:00 PM	2	3	7	5	17
1:30 PM	2	5	8	4	19
2:00 PM	2	12	7	5	26
2:30 PM	2	12	10	3	27
3:00 PM	2	16	11	3	32
3:30 PM	2	14	12	3	31
4:00 PM	2	17	13	3	35
4:30 PM	2	18	13	2	35
5:00 PM	2	20	14	2	38
5:30 PM	2	20	10	3	35
6:00 PM	2	20	11	3	36
6:30 PM	2	11	7	3	23
7:00 PM	1	9	8	1	19
7:30 PM	0	6	8	0	14
8:00 PM	0	4	3	0	7

 Table F: Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey Results (Saturday, August 18, 2007)

#### Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Wednesday Date: August 8, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74		
TIME					
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%	
8:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%	
9:00 AM	2	-	2	2.7%	
9:30 AM*	3	-	3	4.1%	
10:00 AM	3	-	3	4.1%	
10:30 AM	3	-	3	4.1%	
11:00 AM	5	-	5	6.8%	
11:30 AM*	3	-	3	4.1%	
12:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
12:30 PM	8	-	8	10.8%	
1:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
1:30 PM	4	-	4	5.4%	
2:00 PM	3	-	3	4.1%	
2:30 PM	3	-	3	4.1%	
3:00 PM	3	-	3	4.1%	
3:30 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
4:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
4:30 PM	7	-	7	9.5%	
5:00 PM	6	-	6	8.1%	
5:30 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
6:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%	
6:30 PM	-	-	-	0.0%	
7:00 PM	2	-	2	2.7%	
7:30 PM	6	-	6	8.1%	
8:00 PM	2	-	2	2.7%	

\*Note: Super Science Summer Program Parking

Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Friday

Date: August 10, 2007

Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	PARKING SPACES		
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74		
TIME					
8:00 AM	-	-	-		
8:30 AM	-	-	-		
9:00 AM	-	-	-		
9:30 AM	1	-	1		
10:00 AM	2	-	2		
10:30 AM	1	-	1		
11:00 AM	3	-	3		
11:30 AM	3	-	3		
12:00 PM	5	-	5		
12:30 PM	5	-	5		
1:00 PM	4	-	4		
1:30 PM	4	-	4		
2:00 PM	6	-	6		
2:30 PM	7	-	7		
3:00 PM	4	-	4		
3:30 PM	6	-	6		
4:00 PM	5	-	5		
4:30 PM	5	-	5		
5:00 PM	4	-	4		
5:30 PM	6	-	6		
6:00 PM	5	-	5		
6:30 PM	6	-	6		
7:00 PM	5	-	5		
7:30 PM	5		5		
8:00 PM	5	-	5		

Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Saturday Date: August 11, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES			
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74	
TIME				
8:00 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
8:30 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
9:30 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
10:00 AM	2	-	2	2.7%
10:30 AM	2	-	2	2.7%
11:00 AM	3	-	3	4.1%
11:30 AM	3	-	3	4.1%
12:00 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
12:30 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
1:00 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
1:30 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
2:00 PM	3	-	3	4.1%
2:30 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
3:00 PM	3	-	3	4.1%
3:30 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
4:00 PM	4	-	4	5.4%
4:30 PM	3	-	3	4.1%
5:00 PM	3	-	3	4.1%
5:30 PM	3	-	3	4.1%
6:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
6:30 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
7:00 PM	7	-	7	9.5%
7:30 PM	7	-	7	9.5%
8:00 PM	9	-	9	12.2%

Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Wednesday Date: August 15, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	(
8:30 AM	-	-	-	
9:00 AM	1	-	1	
9:30 AM	1	-	1	
10:00 AM	2	-	2	
10:30 AM	2	-	2	
11:00 AM	3	-	3	
11:30 AM	4	-	4	
12:00 PM	7	-	7	
12:30 PM	5	-	5	
1:00 PM	3	-	3	
1:30 PM	6	-	6	
2:00 PM	6	-	6	
2:30 PM	5	-	5	
3:00 PM	5	-	5	
3:30 PM	7	-	7	
4:00 PM	5	-	5	
4:30 PM	4	-	4	
5:00 PM	7	-	7	
5:30 PM	6	-	6	
6:00 PM	5	-	5	
6:30 PM	5	-	5	
7:00 PM	5	-	5	
7:30 PM	-	-	-	
8:00 PM	-	-	-	(

Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Friday

Date: August 17, 2007

Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
8:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
9:30 AM	1	-	1	1.4%
10:00 AM	3	1	4	5.4%
10:30 AM	5	1	6	8.1%
11:00 AM	3	-	3	4.1%
11:30 AM	6	-	6	8.1%
12:00 PM	6	-	6	8.1%
12:30 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
1:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
1:30 PM	7	-	7	9.5%
2:00 PM	6	-	6	8.1%
2:30 PM	6	-	6	8.1%
3:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
3:30 PM	6	-	6	8.1%
4:00 PM	7	-	7	9.5%
4:30 PM	8	-	8	10.8%
5:00 PM	11	-	11	14.9%
5:30 PM	10	-	10	13.5%
6:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
6:30 PM	6	-	6	8.1%
7:00 PM	5	-	5	6.8%
7:30 PM	4		4	5.4%
8:00 PM	-	-	-	0.0%

Location: North Parking Lot

Day: Saturday Date: August 18, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>ARKING SPAC</b>	ES
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL
Exist. Spaces	71	3	74
TIME			
8:00 AM	-	-	-
8:30 AM	1	-	1
9:00 AM	1	-	1
9:30 AM	1	-	1
10:00 AM	1	-	1
10:30 AM	1	-	1
11:00 AM	2	-	2
11:30 AM	2	-	2
12:00 PM	2	-	2
12:30 PM	2	-	2
1:00 PM	2	-	2
1:30 PM	2	-	2
2:00 PM	2	-	2
2:30 PM	2	-	2
3:00 PM	2	-	2
3:30 PM	2	-	2
4:00 PM	2	-	2
4:30 PM	2	-	2
5:00 PM	2	-	2
5:30 PM	2	-	2
6:00 PM	2	-	2
6:30 PM	2	-	2
7:00 PM	1	-	1
7:30 PM	-		
8:00 PM	-	-	-

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Wednesday Date: August 8, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	RKING SPAC	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
8:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
9:00 AM	3	1	4	7.1%
9:30 AM	10	2	12	21.4%
10:00 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
10:30 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
11:00 AM	3	-	3	5.4%
11:30 AM	12	1	13	23.2%
12:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
12:30 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
1:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
1:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
2:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
2:30 PM	-	1	1	1.8%
3:00 PM	1	1	2	3.6%
3:30 PM	1	1	2	3.6%
4:00 PM	1	1	2	3.6%
4:30 PM	1	1	2	3.6%
5:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
5:30 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
6:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
6:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
7:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
7:30 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
8:00 PM	3	_	3	5.4%

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Friday Date: August 10, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56	
TIME				
8:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
8:30 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
9:30 AM	6	-	6	10.7%
10:00 AM	3	-	3	5.4%
10:30 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
11:00 AM	3	1	4	7.1%
11:30 AM	9	1	10	17.9%
12:00 PM	4	-	4	7.1%
12:30 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
1:00 PM	-	-	-	0.0%
1:30 PM	-	-	-	0.0%
2:00 PM	-	1	1	1.8%
2:30 PM	-	2	2	3.6%
3:00 PM	1	2	3	5.4%
3:30 PM	-	1	1	1.8%
4:00 PM	2	2	4	7.1%
4:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
5:00 PM	2	1	3	5.4%
5:30 PM	5	-	5	8.9%
6:00 PM	5	-	5	8.9%
6:30 PM	4	-	4	7.1%
7:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
7:30 PM	4	-	4	7.1%
8:00 PM	4	-	4	7.1%

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Saturday Date: August 11, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
8:30 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
9:30 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
10:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
10:30 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
11:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
11:30 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
12:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
12:30 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
1:00 PM	1	1	2	3.6%
1:30 PM	2	1	3	5.4%
2:00 PM	9	1	10	17.9%
2:30 PM	9	1	10	17.9%
3:00 PM	11	1	12	21.4%
3:30 PM	12	1	13	23.2%
4:00 PM	10	1	11	19.6%
4:30 PM	8	-	8	14.3%
5:00 PM	6	-	6	10.7%
5:30 PM	6	-	6	10.7%
6:00 PM	6	-	6	10.7%
6:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
7:00 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
7:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
8:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Wednesday Date: August 15, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
8:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
9:30 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
10:00 AM	2	-	2	3.6%
10:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
11:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
11:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
12:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
12:30 PM	-	-	-	0.0%
1:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
1:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
2:00 PM	4	-	4	7.1%
2:30 PM	5	-	5	8.9%
3:00 PM	4	-	4	7.1%
3:30 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
4:00 PM	6	-	6	10.7%
4:30 PM	5	1	6	10.7%
5:00 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
5:30 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
6:00 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
6:30 PM	1		1	1.8%
7:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
7:30 PM	2	-	2	3.6%
8:00 PM	2	-	2	3.6%

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Friday Date: August 17, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56
TIME			
8:00 AM	-	-	-
8:30 AM	-	-	-
9:00 AM	-	-	-
9:30 AM	-	-	-
10:00 AM	-	-	-
10:30 AM	-	-	-
11:00 AM	-	-	-
11:30 AM	-	1	1
12:00 PM	-	1	1
12:30 PM	1	1	2
1:00 PM	-	1	1
1:30 PM	1	1	2
2:00 PM	1	2	3
2:30 PM	4	1	5
3:00 PM	4	-	4
3:30 PM	3	-	3
4:00 PM	4	-	4
4:30 PM	2	-	2
5:00 PM	2	-	2
5:30 PM	1	-	1
6:00 PM	-	-	-
6:30 PM	1	-	1
7:00 PM	2	-	2
7:30 PM	2	-	2
8:00 PM	3	-	3

Location: **West Parking Lot (Appian Way)** Day: Saturday Date: August 18, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PA	<b>RKING SPAC</b>	ES	
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces	53	3	56	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
8:30 AM	-	1	1	1.8%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
9:30 AM	-	-	-	0.0%
10:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
10:30 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
11:00 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
11:30 AM	1	-	1	1.8%
12:00 PM	1	-	1	1.8%
12:30 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
1:00 PM	3	-	3	5.4%
1:30 PM	3	2	5	8.9%
2:00 PM	10	2	12	21.4%
2:30 PM	10	2	12	21.4%
3:00 PM	14	2	16	28.6%
3:30 PM	12	2	14	25.0%
4:00 PM	15	2	17	30.4%
4:30 PM	16	2	18	32.1%
5:00 PM	18	2	20	35.7%
5:30 PM	18	2	20	35.7%
6:00 PM	18	2	20	35.7%
6:30 PM	11	-	11	19.6%
7:00 PM	9	-	9	16.1%
7:30 PM	6		6	10.7%
8:00 PM	4	-	4	7.1%

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Wednesday Date: August 8, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES			
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14	
TIME				
8:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%
8:30 AM	1	-	1	7.1%
9:00 AM	1	-	1	7.1%
9:30 AM	-	-	0	0.0%
10:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%
10:30 AM	2	-	2	14.3%
11:00 AM	4	-	4	28.6%
11:30 AM	5	-	5	35.7%
12:00 PM	5	-	5	35.7%
12:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%
1:00 PM	9	-	9	64.3%
1:30 PM	10	-	10	71.4%
2:00 PM	9	-	9	64.3%
2:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%
3:00 PM	6	-	6	42.9%
3:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%
4:00 PM	5	-	5	35.7%
4:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%
5:00 PM	5	-	5	35.7%
5:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%
6:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%
6:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%
7:00 PM	6	-	6	42.9%
7:30 PM	6	-	6	42.9%
8:00 PM	4		4	28.6%

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Friday Date: August 10, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14		
TIME					
8:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%	
8:30 AM	1	_	1	7.1%	
9:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%	
9:30 AM	2	-	2	14.3%	
10:00 AM	4	-	4	28.6%	
10:30 AM	9	-	9	64.3%	
11:00 AM	8	-	8	57.1%	
11:30 AM	7	-	7	50.0%	
12:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
12:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
1:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
1:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
2:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
2:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
3:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
3:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
4:00 PM	9	-	9	64.3%	
4:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
5:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
5:30 PM	7	_	7	50.0%	
6:00 PM	4	_	4	28.6%	
6:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
7:00 PM	4	-	4	28.6%	
7:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
8:00 PM	2	-	2	14.3%	

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Saturday Date: August 11, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14		
TIME					
8:00 AM	4	-	4	28.6%	
8:30 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
9:00 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
9:30 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
10:00 AM	5	-	5	35.7%	
10:30 AM	4	-	4	28.6%	
11:00 AM	8	-	8	57.1%	
11:30 AM	7	-	7	50.0%	
12:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
12:30 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
1:00 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
1:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
2:00 PM	11	-	11	78.6%	
2:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
3:00 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
3:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
4:00 PM	11	-	11	78.6%	
4:30 PM	9	-	9	64.3%	
5:00 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
5:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
6:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
6:30 PM	7		7	50.0%	
7:00 PM	6	-	6	42.9%	
7:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
8:00 PM	-	-	0	0.0%	

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Wednesday Date: August 15, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14		
TIME					
8:00 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
8:30 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
9:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%	
9:30 AM	2	-	2	14.3%	
10:00 AM	-	-	0	0.0%	
10:30 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
11:00 AM	6	-	6	42.9%	
11:30 AM	6	-	6	42.9%	
12:00 PM	6	-	6	42.9%	
12:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
1:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
1:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
2:00 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
2:30 PM	14	-	14	100.0%	
3:00 PM	15	-	15	107.1%	
3:30 PM	9	-	9	64.3%	
4:00 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
4:30 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
5:00 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
5:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
6:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
6:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
7:00 PM	4	-	4	28.6%	
7:30 PM	4	-	4	28.6%	
8:00 PM	-	-	0	0.0%	

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Friday Date: August 17, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14		
TIME					
8:00 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
8:30 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
9:00 AM	2	-	2	14.3%	
9:30 AM	2	-	2	14.3%	
10:00 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
10:30 AM	8	-	8	57.1%	
11:00 AM	8	-	8	57.1%	
11:30 AM	6	-	6	42.9%	
12:00 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
12:30 PM	11	-	11	78.6%	
1:00 PM	13	-	13	92.9%	
1:30 PM	13	-	13	92.9%	
2:00 PM	15	-	15	107.1%	
2:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
3:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
3:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
4:00 PM	6	-	6	42.9%	
4:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
5:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
5:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
6:00 PM	5	-	5	35.7%	
6:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
7:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
7:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
8:00 PM	1	-	1	7.1%	

Location: **Colorado On-Street Parking (south side)** Day: Saturday Date: August 18, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES				
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL		
Exist. Spaces*	14	0	14		
TIME					
8:00 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
8:30 AM	1	-	1	7.1%	
9:00 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
9:30 AM	3	-	3	21.4%	
10:00 AM	2	-	2	14.3%	
10:30 AM	4	-	4	28.6%	
11:00 AM	4	-	4	28.6%	
11:30 AM	5	-	5	35.7%	
12:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
12:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
1:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
1:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
2:00 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
2:30 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
3:00 PM	11	-	11	78.6%	
3:30 PM	12	-	12	85.7%	
4:00 PM	13	-	13	92.9%	
4:30 PM	13	-	13	92.9%	
5:00 PM	14	-	14	100.0%	
5:30 PM	10	-	10	71.4%	
6:00 PM	11	-	11	78.6%	
6:30 PM	7	-	7	50.0%	
7:00 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
7:30 PM	8	-	8	57.1%	
8:00 PM	3		3	21.4%	

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Wednesday Date: August 8, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES					
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	TOTAL FOR LAGOON*	TOTAL FOR OTHER DESTINATIONS**	
Exist.						1
Spaces	55	2	57			
TIME						
8:00 AM	15	1	16	-	-	28.1%
8:30 AM	16	1	17	-	1	29.8%
9:00 AM	15	1	16	_	1	28.1%
9:30 AM	17	1	18	1	2	31.6%
10:00 AM	19	-	19	1	3	33.3%
10:30 AM	19	-	19	_	1	33.3%
11:00 AM	21	-	21	_	3	36.8%
11:30 AM	17	-	17	-	5	29.8%
12:00 PM	19	-	19	-	2	33.3%
12:30 PM	18	-	18	-	-	31.6%
1:00 PM	13	-	13	-	-	22.8%
1:30 PM	12	-	12	1	2	21.1%
2:00 PM	15	-	15	1	1	26.3%
2:30 PM	14	-	14	-	-	24.6%
3:00 PM	13	-	13	1	2	22.8%
3:30 PM	10	-	10	-	3	17.5%
4:00 PM	10	1	11	-	2	19.3%
4:30 PM	8	-	8	1	3	14.0%
5:00 PM	10	1	11	-	-	19.3%
5:30 PM	6	1	7	-	1	12.3%
6:00 PM	5	1	6	3	2	10.5%
6:30 PM	7	-	7	1	1	12.3%
7:00 PM	8	-	8	1	3	14.0%
7:30 PM	9	-	9	1	1	15.8%
8:00 PM	6	-	6	-	-	10.5%

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Friday Date: August 10, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES					
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	TOTAL FOR	TOTAL FOR OTHER DESTINATIONS**	
Exist.						
Spaces	55	2	57			
TIME						
8:00 AM	10	-	10	-	10	17.5%
8:30 AM	13	-	13	-	3	22.8%
9:00 AM	16	-	16	-	3	28.1%
9:30 AM	20	-	20	-	4	35.1%
10:00 AM	22	-	22	-	2	38.6%
10:30 AM	27	-	27	2	3	47.4%
11:00 AM	24	1	25	-	2	43.9%
11:30 AM	30	1	31	6	3	54.4%
12:00 PM	30	1	31	6	4	54.4%
12:30 PM	28	1	29	7	6	50.9%
1:00 PM	22	1	23	4	2	40.4%
1:30 PM	27	-	27	1	2	47.4%
2:00 PM	30	-	30	4	3	52.6%
2:30 PM	24	-	24	1	3	42.1%
3:00 PM	20	1	21	-	-	36.8%
3:30 PM	23	-	23	1	2	40.4%
4:00 PM	19	-	19	-	-	33.3%
4:30 PM	14	-	14	-	5	24.6%
5:00 PM	14	-	14	-	4	24.6%
5:30 PM	11	-	11	-	-	19.3%
6:00 PM	7	-	7	-	-	12.3%
6:30 PM	4	-	4	-	-	7.0%
7:00 PM	3	-	3	-	-	5.3%
7:30 PM	3	-	3	-	-	5.3%
8:00 PM	4	-	4	-	1	7.0%

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Saturday Date: August 11, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES					
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	TOTAL FOR LAGOON*	TOTAL FOR OTHER DESTINATIONS**	
Exist.						
Spaces	55	2	57			
TIME						
8:00 AM	10	-	10	-	2	17.5%
8:30 AM	13	-	13	2	1	22.8%
9:00 AM	14	-	14	-	3	24.6%
9:30 AM	16	1	17	2	1	29.8%
10:00 AM	18	1	19	1	3	33.3%
10:30 AM	25	2	27	-	2	47.4%
11:00 AM	28	1	29	1	5	50.9%
11:30 AM	29	1	30	2	2	52.6%
12:00 PM	26	2	28	1	2	49.1%
12:30 PM	29	2	31	5	1	54.4%
1:00 PM	35	1	36	5	2	63.2%
1:30 PM	40	-	40	-	-	70.2%
2:00 PM	30	1	31	4	1	54.4%
2:30 PM	35	-	35	5	-	61.4%
3:00 PM	42	1	43	6	2	75.4%
3:30 PM	32	1	33	3	1	57.9%
4:00 PM	30	1	31	1	3	54.4%
4:30 PM	27	-	27	-	-	47.4%
5:00 PM	20	-	20	1	-	35.1%
5:30 PM	18	-	18	-	-	31.6%
6:00 PM	12	-	12	-	-	21.1%
6:30 PM	6	-	6	-	-	10.5%
7:00 PM	3	-	3	-	-	5.3%
7:30 PM	2	-	2	-	-	3.5%
8:00 PM	2	-	2	-	-	3.5%

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Wednesday Date: August 15, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES					
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	TOTAL FOR LAGOON*	TOTAL FOR OTHER DESTINATIONS**	
Exist.						
Spaces	55	2	57			
TIME						
8:00 AM	15	1	16	-	-	28.1%
8:30 AM	17	-	17	-	2	29.8%
9:00 AM	20	-	20	_	3	35.1%
9:30 AM	19	-	19	-	4	33.3%
10:00 AM	21	1	22	-	1	38.6%
10:30 AM	21	1	22	1	-	38.6%
11:00 AM	20	-	20	-	2	35.1%
11:30 AM	23	1	24	1	1	42.1%
12:00 PM	24	1	25	1	3	43.9%
12:30 PM	28	1	29	-	2	50.9%
1:00 PM	23	1	24	-	2	42.1%
1:30 PM	23	1	24	-	2	42.1%
2:00 PM	20	1	21	1	1	36.8%
2:30 PM	14	-	14	-	-	24.6%
3:00 PM	15	-	15	2	3	26.3%
3:30 PM	16	1	17	5	1	29.8%
4:00 PM	14	1	15	3	1	26.3%
4:30 PM	13	-	13	2	-	22.8%
5:00 PM	17	-	17	-	-	29.8%
5:30 PM	13	-	13	2	-	22.8%
6:00 PM	12	-	12	-	-	21.1%
6:30 PM	13	_	13	1	-	22.8%
7:00 PM	8	-	8	-	-	14.0%
7:30 PM	6	-	6	-	-	10.5%
8:00 PM	7	-	7	-	-	12.3%

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.
#### Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey City of Long Beach

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Friday Date: August 17, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES						
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	TOTAL FOR LAGOON*	TOTAL FOR OTHER DESTINATIONS**		
Exist.							
Spaces	55	2	57				
TIME							
8:00 AM	11	-	11	-	2	19.3%	
8:30 AM	15	1	16	1	4	28.1%	
9:00 AM	20	2	22	2	5	38.6%	
9:30 AM	23	1	24	1	12	42.1%	
10:00 AM	28	2	30	2	4	52.6%	
10:30 AM	27	2	29	-	3	50.9%	
11:00 AM	25	2	27	-	-	47.4%	
11:30 AM	19	2	21	-	1	36.8%	
12:00 PM	22	1	23	1	5	40.4%	
12:30 PM	21	1	22	-	4	38.6%	
1:00 PM	25	1	26	-	1	45.6%	
1:30 PM	20	1	21	-	4	36.8%	
2:00 PM	24	1	25	-	1	43.9%	
2:30 PM	22	1	23	3	3	40.4%	
3:00 PM	24	-	24	2	-	42.1%	
3:30 PM	25	-	25	-	1	43.9%	
4:00 PM	25	-	25	2	-	43.9%	
4:30 PM	17	1	18	1	1	31.6%	
5:00 PM	11	-	11	-	1	19.3%	
5:30 PM	11	-	11	-	1	19.3%	
6:00 PM	7	-	7	-	-	12.3%	
6:30 PM	4	-	4	-	-	7.0%	
7:00 PM	4	-	4	-	1	7.0%	
7:30 PM	4	-	4	1	1	7.0%	
8:00 PM	5	-	5	-	-	8.8%	

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.

\*\* Other Destinations - Total number of cars in this half-hour period for which the drive and passengers walked in a direction other than the Lagoon.

#### Colorado Lagoon Parking Survey City of Long Beach

Location: **Parking Lot at Nieto/Colorado/Appian** Day: Saturday Date: August 18, 2007 Survey Performed By: FOCL

	PARKING SPACES						
				TOTAL FOR	TOTAL FOR OTHER		
	REGULAR	H/C	TOTAL	LAGOON*	DESTINATIONS**		
Exist.							
Spaces	55	2	57				
TIME							
8:00 AM	8	-	8	-	-	14.0%	
8:30 AM	16	-	16	4	10	28.1%	
9:00 AM	26	1	27	4	5	47.4%	
9:30 AM	24	1	25	4	2	43.9%	
10:00 AM	23	1	24	5	2	42.1%	
10:30 AM	22	-	22	2	8	38.6%	
11:00 AM	29	-	29	1	2	50.9%	
11:30 AM	24	2	26	1	3	45.6%	
12:00 PM	23	1	24	3	3	42.1%	
12:30 PM	25	1	26	4	5	45.6%	
1:00 PM	32	1	33	5	4	57.9%	
1:30 PM	32	2	34	4	1	59.6%	
2:00 PM	31	-	31	5	1	54.4%	
2:30 PM	31	-	31	3	2	54.4%	
3:00 PM	31	-	31	3	1	54.4%	
3:30 PM	32	-	32	3	-	56.1%	
4:00 PM	36	-	36	3	2	63.2%	
4:30 PM	47	-	47	2	-	82.5%	
5:00 PM	45	-	45	2	2	78.9%	
5:30 PM	47	-	47	3	1	82.5%	
6:00 PM	48	1	49	3	-	86.0%	
6:30 PM	33	-	33	3	-	57.9%	
7:00 PM	24	-	24	1	-	42.1%	
7:30 PM	19	-	19	-	-	33.3%	
8:00 PM	11	-	11	-	-	19.3%	

\* Total for Lagoon - The total number of cars in this half-hour period for which driver and passengers walked to Lagoon.

\*\* Other Destinations - Total number of cars in this half-hour period for which the drive and passengers walked in a direction other than the Lagoon.

#### **APPENDIX J**

#### COLORADO LAGOON SEDIMENT TESTING AND MATERIAL DISPOSAL REPORT, 2006



# COLORADO LAGOON:

# SEDIMENT TESTING AND MATERIAL DISPOSAL REPORT

KLI.CL-01

Prepared for: City of Long Beach

Prepared by: Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. Santa Cruz, California and Moffatt & Nichol Long Beach, California

July 30, 2004

(Revised October 27, 2006)

This page intentionally left blank.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1-1
2.0		INTRODUCTION
3.0		METHODS
	3.1	Sampling
		3.1.1 Sampling Location
		3.1.2 Vibracore Sampling
		3.1.3 Core Processing
		3.1.4 Documentation
	3.2	Chemical Analysis of Sediments
4.0		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION4-1
	4.1	Core Depths and Composite Intervals4-1
	4.2	Comparison to Title 22 Criteria4-2
	4.3	Adequacy of Sample Depths and Comparison with Ecological Criteria and
		Previous Data Sets4-5
		4.3.1 Adequacy of Sampling Depths4-5
		4.3.2 Comparison with Ecological Benchmarks4-6
		4.3.3 Comparison with Previous Data4-7
	4.4	Evaluation of Potential Sources of Contamination4-16
	4.5	Assessment of Potential Remedies to Existing Contamination
	4.6	Disposal Options for Sediments Excavated from the Lagoon
	4.7	Cost Estimates, Disposal Capacities and Potential Permit Requirements for
		Each Disposal Option4-20
		4.7.1 Cost Estimates and Disposal Capacities
		4.7.2 Permit Requirements
5.0		CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
6.0		REFERENCES6-1

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Core Logs
- B Analytical Chemistry Reports
- C Follow-up Leachate Tests

#### LIST OF TABLES

Latitude and Longitude of each Core Sample	3-1
Target Analytes, Reporting Limits and Title 22 Criteria	3-7
Sampling Volumes and Storage Requirements	3-8
Core penetration, Recovery and Depth of Composite Section from Each Core	4-1
Sediment Analyses (Wet Weight Basis) compared to Title 22 Criteria	4-3
Results and Comparison of WET Elutriates with Title 22 Criteria	4-5
Composite Samples from Colorado Lagoon compared to NOAA ERL and	
ERMs	4-8
Particle Size Composition of Sediment Cores from Colorado Lagoon	.4-11
Comparison with Historical Data Sets	.4-12
Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 1	.4-22
Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 2	.4-23
Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 3	.4-24
	Latitude and Longitude of each Core Sample

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1	Locations of each Core Sample in Colorado Lagoon	3-2
Figure 3-2	Vibracore Sampling in Colorado Lagoon	3-3
Figure 3-3	Logging and Processing of Sediment Cores	3-4
Figure 4-1	Map of Material Removal Area4	-19

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

- BPTCP Bay Protection and Toxics Control Program
- DI-WET Deionized Water Waste Extraction Test
- NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
- USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- WET Waste Extraction Test

This page intentionally left blank.

# **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Colorado Lagoon is listed as an impaired water body on the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) 2002 303(d) list. This listing is based on levels of lead, zinc, chlordane, and PAHs in sediments. Bioaccumulation of certain organochlorine pesticides (chlordane, DDT, dieldrin and PCBs) in fish and mussels is also cited as contributing to impairment of the Lagoon. This study provides the first comprehensive examination sediment accumulation and contamination in Colorado Lagoon since it was originally developed.

The primary objectives were to document the current extent of sediment contamination in the Lagoon, assess possible sources and remedies, evaluate potential disposal options for contaminated sediments and develop order of magnitude estimates of removal costs and alternatives.

Testing was conducted in three areas of the Lagoon. These included the western arm (Area CL-1), the southern end at the nexus of the western and northern arms (Area CL-2) and the northern arm (Area CL-2) of the Lagoon. Results indicate a strong contamination gradient with high levels of certain contaminants in the western arm transitioning to much lower levels in the northern arm. Concentrations of many of these contaminants differ by an order of magnitude between Area CL-1 and CL-3. Five metals including cadmium, copper, lead mercury and zinc exhibited this distributional pattern. Among the organic contaminants, DDT compounds, chlordane, dieldrin, PCBs and PAHs also demonstrated this strong gradient.

Lead was found to be the principal constituent of concern with respect to assessing potential disposal or reuse options for sediment from the western arm of the Lagoon (Area CL-1). Two issues were encountered. First, the concentrations of lead in bulk sediments from the western arm were found to exceed EPA's Preliminary Remediation Goals for lead in residential soils of 400 mg/Kg-dry. This limited any reuse on park lands or the golf course. Secondly, the Waste Extraction Test (WET) to test potential mobility resulted in a concentration that was twice the Title 22 STLC criterion causing the sediments to be classified as hazardous material. Since the WET is conducted in an acidic medium of pH 5.0, a modified WET (DI-WET) that uses deionized water at a neutral pH was conducted as an alternative approach to assessing potential leaching. This test has been used to evaluate lead leaching potential in previous programs including the current Caltrans lead variance. This test typically produces much lower concentrations of soluble lead and has been accepted as an alternative approach for disposal of other marine sediments from the Port of Los Angeles. Further testing was also conducted using the federal TCLP procedure as well. The DI-WET test indicated the lead was below reporting limits (0.02 mg/L). The concentration of lead in the TCLP extract was 0.77 mg/L which is below the 5.0 mg/L limit to be considered a federal hazardous waste.

Sediments within the western arm of the lagoon (CL-1 area) were found to exceed state requirements for lead and are considered to be hazardous materials. These sediments should

be removed west of the foot bridge. The preferred removal method is by excavation in the dry rather than dredging to best manage the sediments. The southernmost (CL-2 area) core samples contain levels of DDT and chlordane above ERLs. Levels are below state standards and can either remain in place, be removed, or beneficially re-used (or a combination thereof). Beneficial re-use requires further study for feasibility. The central (swimming) area is included in removal scenarios.

Material disposal costs vary depending upon approach. Costs reach \$5.1 million to haul all contaminated and less contaminated (compromised) material off-site. Costs to haul contaminated material to the Port of Long Beach and re-use some compromised material on-site while hauling off the balance are approximately \$2.9 million, and costs to only remove contaminated material and leave compromised material in place are \$1.1million.

Disposal options are limited to approved landfill locations for the contaminated material such as off-site at a licensed dump, or within future fill sites at the Port of Long Beach. Any disposal at the Port of Long Beach is subject to future project scheduling by the Port and they have no confirmed schedule at this time, other than that the work is at least two years in the future. None of these materials can be placed in the offshore ocean, the nearshore ocean or on the beach. Permits ranging from the local to federal level are required to complete any material removal and disposal actions. The timeframe for approvals may be up to a year or more.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

The Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) 2002 303(d) list identifies Colorado Lagoon as impaired due to lead, zinc, chlordane, and PAHs in sediments. Organochlorine pesticides (chlordane, DDT, dieldrin and PCBs) were also cited as contributing to impairment to due bioaccumulation in tissues of fish and mussels. Sediment contamination in Colorado Lagoon was first identified during surveys conducted by the State's Bay Protection and Toxics Control Program (Anderson et al., 1998). The Bay Protection and Toxics Control Program (BPTCP) survey in Colorado Lagoon was conducted in January 1993 at one location. This sample was taken from the western arm of the Lagoon that receives the majority of runoff from the watershed.

The only other available data for sediments in Colorado Lagoon was from a brief survey by Tetra Tech conducted in December 2000. Although chemistry reports were available from this survey, a final report was never completed documenting sampling procedures and specific locations. The laboratory reports indicate that one sample was taken from the western reach of the Lagoon and a second was taken from the eastern or northern reach. Data from both the BPTCP and Tetra Tech surveys of surficial sediments were used to augment information from this study that analyzed sediments from cores.

The purpose of this study was to:

- Document the current extent of sediment contamination in Colorado Lagoon.
- Evaluate probable sources of contamination in the Lagoon
- Assess possible remedies to existing contamination
- Evaluate disposal options for sediments excavated from the Lagoon
- Prepare order-of magnitude cost estimates, disposal capacities, and potential permit requirements for each disposal option

The following is an overview of the organization of this report.

- Section 1.0 is the Executive Summary.
- Section 2.0 introduces the report and provides an overview of the report organization.
- Section 3.0 describes the methods used to collect and analyze sediments from Colorado Lagoon.

- Section 4.0 presents the results of the testing results and provides a comparison of sediment chemistry with ecological benchmarks and hazardous waste criteria. Included is a discussion of potential alternatives for reuse or disposal of accumulated sediment.
- Section 5.0 provides a synthesis of conclusions and preliminary recommendations.
- Section 6.0 is a list of the references cited in this report.

In addition, the following appendices are included with this report:

- Appendix A contains Core Logs that document the lithology of each core and identify the portions of the cores used to develop composite samples for each of three regions in the Lagoon.
- Appendix B contains copies of Analytical Chemistry Report for sediments
- Appendix C contains the results of follow-up testing using the DI-WET and TCLP procedures.

## 3.0 METHODS

This section identifies the specific locations and methods used to obtain, process and analyze sediments from Colorado Lagoon.

#### 3.1 Sampling

Sampling was conducted over a two day period from June 30 through July 1, 2004. The overall approach was designed to provide representative samples from three regions of Colorado Lagoon. A composite sampling approach was used to enable cost-effective sampling and analysis. Three cores were taken within each region of the Lagoon and composited into a single sample for each region. The depth of the cores varied among sites and was determined based upon the lithological characteristics of each core and historical bathymetric records from the site.

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Location

The specific locations of each core are shown in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1. Cores 1a through 1c comprised the composite sample for the western arm of Colorado Lagoon which receives the most urban runoff. Cores 2a through 2c were taken to represent the intersection of the western.

Site	Latitude <sup>1</sup>	Longitude <sup>1</sup>
1a	33.77222	118.13634
1b	33.77168	118.13560
1c	33.77172	118.13611
2a	33.77073	118.13269
2b	33.77116	118.13226
2c	33.77055	118.13198
3a	33.77281	118.13248
3b	33.77250	118.13200
3c	33.77195	118.13212

 Table 3-1 Latitude and Longitude of each Core Sample

1. Based upon NAD83.



Figure 3-1 Locations of each Core Sample in Colorado Lagoon

#### 3.1.2 Vibracore Sampling

A Kinnetic Laboratories Vibracore was used to collect nine sediment core samples. Vibracore sampling was carried out from a custom built, site assembled sampling barge. This barge was equipped with a fixed quadrapod rigging and winch suitable for handling the coring equipment. This system consists of a 4-inch diameter aluminum coring tube, a stainless-steel cutting tip,

and a stainless-steel core catcher. The vibrating unit has two counter-rotating motors encased in a waterproof aluminum housing, powered by a three-phase, 240 V generator. Vibracore tubes were lined with FDA approved virgin-grade clear polyethylene core liners.

location horizontal Sample and positioning were established with a series Differential GPS Garmin 76 navigation system or equivalent, operating in differential mode. Vertical measurements were measured with a graduated lead line. Tidal stage was determined using "Tide.1" software (Micronautics, Inc.). At the request of Kinnetic Laboratories personnel, the flood gates to Colorado Lagoon were closed to maintain a low tide level throughout the sampling effort.

The barge was held stationary over the sampling sites using two diagonally positioned spuds. Once in position, the Vibracore head and tube were lowered



Figure 3-2 Vibracore Sampling in Colorado Lagoon

through a moon pool in the barge from the quadrapod frame. After successfully penetrating to the desired depth, power was shut down to the vibrating head and the core tube was pulled out of the sediment. A check valve, located on top of the core tube, helped reduce the loss of sediment during pull-out. Once on board, the core cutter and catcher were removed and the polyethylene encased sediment cores were removed from the core tubing. The polyethylene encased cores were then sealed and transported to a shore-side core processing facility.

With the exception of the core tube liners, all sample contact surfaces and compositing tools were stainless steel. Contact surfaces of the sampling and compositing devices were cleaned for each sampling area prior to sample contact. The cleaning protocol consisted of a deionized water triple rinse followed by a Micro-90® soap wash, a 2 N nitric acid triple rinse, and finished with three de-ionized water rinses.

#### 3.1.3 Core Processing

The polyethylene encased cores samples were placed on pre-cleaned PVC core racks, and the polyethylene core tubes were split lengthwise. Once the sediment was exposed, the material that came in contact with the polyethylene core tube liners was removed with a protocol cleaned stainless steel spoon. Cores were measured, photographed, and detailed stratigraphic observations were noted and logged. Lithologic descriptions were made in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

(Visual-Manual Procedure).



Classification System (USCS) as Figure 3-3 Logging and Compositing of Sediment Core outlined in ASTM Standard D-2488

Core processing included identification by lithology of recently accumulated sediments (i.e. those accumulated since the initial 1935 dredging of Colorado Lagoon) as well as presumably unaltered "virgin" sediments *in-situ* prior to the 1935 excavation of Colorado Lagoon. Recently accumulated sediments and "virgin" sediments were sub-sampled and composited separately for this program. A vertical composite was taken from each core by a vertical scrape protocol along the section of recently accumulated sediments which resulted in equal sub-sampling along the length of the recently accumulated sediment section. In addition, the top six inches of unaltered "virgin" sediment was sampled and composited in a separate compositing vessel.

A separate protocol cleaned compositing vessel was used to homogenize each composite sample prior to sub-sampling. All composite mixing was accomplished manually with a protocol cleaned tool.

Following homogenization, samples for bulk chemistry and elutriate preparation were transferred into appropriate certified pre-cleaned sample containers. Samples were placed on ice immediately following collection and maintained at 2 to 4°C until analyzed. Samples were handled under Chain-of-Custody protocol, beginning at the time of collection.

#### 3.1.4 Documentation

All samples were handled under Chain of Custody documentation. Samples were marked with pre-printed, self-adhering labels containing unique alphanumeric identifications. Duplicate information was recorded on the Chain of Custody form, which also includes sampling information such as matrix, analysis; analytical methods and detection limits were included on

separate pages and submitted to the analytical laboratories with the Chain of Custody forms. Completed Chain of Custody forms will be included with analytical reports in the final report Appendices.

Detailed core logs were prepared for each core sampled. The following information is included on each log: date and time of boring, boring coordinates, core identification, depth penetrated, core length recovered, water depth at the sample site, sediment lithology, and sample intervals (top, new material and bottom "virgin" material). Completed core logs for each sampling location are included in the final report Appendices.

#### 3.2 Chemical Analysis of Sediments

All chemical, physical, and biological analyses were performed by ToxScan, Inc. (Cal-ELAP No. 1515) and Soil Control, Inc., (Cal-ELAP No. 1494). All laboratories involved in this project are State Certified testing laboratories using USEPA, USACE, and CRWQCB approved methodologies.

Sediments were analyzed for the constituents shown and using the methods listed in Table 3-2. Where appropriate, Title 22 criteria used to evaluate whether the sediments should be considered a hazardous waste are also provided in Table 3-2. All sampling and analysis was conducted in a manner consistent with guidelines for dredge material testing methods in the USEPA/USACE Inland Testing Manual (USEPA/USACE, 1998). Samples were extracted and analyzed within specified EPA established holding times. All sample analyses were accomplished with appropriate Quality Control techniques.

The Title 22 criteria require the Waste Extraction Test (WET) if bulk concentrations of a Title 22 constituent range between 10 times the STLC and the TTLC. In the case of lead, this is between 50 mg/Kg-wet and the 1000 mg/Kg-wet level that would automatically classify the material as a hazardous waste. The trigger value of 10 times the STLC is attributable to the fact that there is a 1:10 ratio of soil to extractant in the WET test protocols. The 5 mg/L criterion translates to a total lead value of greater or equal to 50 mg/Kg-wet. The WET calls for extracting soil for 48 hours at a ratio of one part soil to ten parts extractant. The extractant is a solution of 0.2 M sodium citrate adjusted to pH 5.0 +/- 0.1 with sodium hydroxide. These conditions were selected to simulate acid rain and the ability to mobilize contaminants within a landfill situation. The sediments used in this study were assumed to meet the criteria of a Title 22, Type i solid waste that can pass a No. 10 (2 mm) standard sieve. After extraction, the solution is filtered through a 0.45 micron filter prior to analysis. Analytical results are reported as milligrams of lead per liter of extractant.

The Modified WET (DI-WET) was recommended by the California Water Quality Control Board to assess the leachability of waste constituents not disposed at a Class III landfill or in a neutral environment (URS, 1997 and references therein). This test is conducted in exactly the same way as the WET except that the extractant is deionized water instead of the citrate buffer. The

pH of the resultant 1:10 mixture is not adjusted to pH 7 but will instead depend upon the initial pH of the soil. This test was only applied to the composite sample from CL-1.

The Total Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) is the method used to evaluate the mobility of analytes in a waste and to determine if the material would be considered a federal hazardous waste. This procedure calls for extracting soil in a 1:20 ratio of soil to extractant for a period of 18 hours. The extractant used is dependent upon the initial pH of the soil to be extracted. If the sediment pH is 5.0 or lower, then the extractant is diluted glacial acetic acid adjusted to pH 4.93 +/- 0.05 with sodium hydroxide. If the sediment pH is greater than 5.0, the extractant is diluted glacial acetic acid at pH 2.88 +/- 0.05. In this study, all sediment samples had pH values of greater than 5.0, thus all TCLPs were based on the latter extraction method. Again, as with the WET, the sample is filtered through a 0.45 micron filter prior to analysis and the results are reported as milligrams of lead per liter of extractant. As with the WET procedure, the TCLP is normally conducted if the total lead found in the soil is greater than 20 times (accounting for the dilution during the extraction process) the TCLP action limits of 5 ppm (mg/L).

Sample volumes, holding times, containers, and preservation required for these samples are included in Table 3-3.

Analytes	Reporting Limit Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	TTLC Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	STLC (mg/L)
Percent Moisture Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons Sediment Particle Size	0.1% 50 -		
Organic Toxic and Bioaccumulative Substances			
Aldrin gamma-BHC alpha-Chlordane gamma-Chlordane 4,4'-DDD 4,4'-DDE 4,4'-DDT Endrin Heptachlor Methoxychlor Toxaphene Arochlor-1016 Arochlor-1221 Arochlor-1222 Arochlor-1248 Arochlor-1254 Arochlor-1260 Total PCBs	0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0.2 \\ 4.7 \\ 100 \\ 5 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14\\ 0.4\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.02\\ 0.47\\ 10\\ 0.5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5$
Mirex Kepone	0.010 0.010	21 21	2.1 2.1
2,4-D Pentachlorophenol 2,4,5-T (Silvex)	5 0.75 0.75	100 17 10	10 7 1
Inorganic Persistent and Bioaccumulative Substances Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Lead Mercury Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Silver Thallium Vanadium Zinc	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	500 500 10,000 75 100 2,500 8.000 2,500 1,000 20 3,500 2,000 100 500 700 2,400 5000	$ \begin{array}{c} 15\\ 5.0\\ 1000\\ 0.75\\ 1.0\\ 560\\ 80\\ 25\\ 5.0\\ 0.2\\ 350\\ 20\\ 1.0\\ 5\\ 7.0\\ 24\\ 250\end{array} $

Table 3-2	Target Analy	ites Renortin	a Limits and	Title 22 Criteria
I able 5-2	Target Analy	ries, rieportin	y ∟iiiits anu	The ZZ Griteria

Analytes	Reporting Limits Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	TTLC Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	STLC (mg/L)
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)		NA	NA
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(123-cd)pyrene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene <b>Total PAHs</b>	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020		

Table 3.2	Target	Analvtes.	Reporting	Limits	and Title	22 Criteria
	Target		reporting		and muc	ZZ Ontena

#### Table 3-3 Sampling Volumes and Storage Requirements

Parameter	Holding Time	Sample Size <sup>a</sup>	Container <sup>b</sup>	Temperature <sup>c</sup>
Grain Size	ASAP	100g	1L WMGJ	4º ± 2ºC
Metals	6 months, Hg 28 days	100g	1L WMGJ	4º ± 2ºC
Posticidos PAHs	14 days pre-extraction	100a 11 W/MG		1º + 2ºC
r esticides, i Ai is	40 days post extraction	loog		4 12 0
	ASAP		4 × 11	
Elutriate Preparation	(6 months for metals only)		WMGJ	4º ± 2ºC

<sup>a</sup> Required sample sizes for one laboratory analysis. Actual volumes to be collected will be increased to provide a margin of error and allow for retests.
 <sup>b</sup> Containers will be completely filled with no head space.
 <sup>c</sup> During transport to the laboratory, samples will be stored on ice.
 <sup>e</sup> ASAP – As soon as possible, as stated in the analytical method.

### 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of sediment testing are reported both on a wet and dry weight basis. Analytical results reported on a wet weight basis are use to assess whether the sediments would be considered as hazardous waste under California's Title 22 criteria. Analytical results reported on a dry weight basis are use to provide comparisons with various ecological criteria as well as previous testing conducted in Colorado Lagoon.

#### 4.1 Core Depths and Composite Intervals

Complete documentation of core lengths and lithology is provided on boring logs in Appendix A. A summary of penetration depths and sampling intervals is provided in Table 4-1 below.

Core intervals used to develop the composite samples for each area were based upon a combination of bathymetric maps furnished by the City of Long Beach and field interpretation of the core lithology. Core lengths used to develop the composite samples varied substantially among cores within each area due to large differences in the structure of each core. In Area CL-1, a layer of olive gray green clay was determined to mark the lower range of recent deposition. This layer varied from 2.5 to 4.5 feet below ground surface (bgs).

In Area CL-2, core lengths used for the composite ranged from 4.0 to 5.5 feet bgs. The shallowest core composites were obtained from Area CL-3 where the compositing depth ranged from 1.5 to 3.5 feet bgs.

Sampling Area/Core	Core Penetration Depth (ft)	Core Recovery Depth (ft)	Composite Depth (ft)
Area CL-1			
1a	9.0	8.7	3.5
1b	9.0	6.6	2.5
1c	9.0	7.0	4.5
Area CL-2			
2a	9.0	8.5	5.0
2b	9.0	7.0	5.5
2c	9.0	8.5	4.0
Area CL-3			
3a	9.0	7.4	1.5
3b	6.5	5.8	3.0
3c	9.0	8.3	3.5

Tahlo 4-1	Core	nenetration	Recovery	and De	nth of	Comnosita	Section	from	Fach	Core
	0010	penetration	INCOVERY			oomposite	occuon	ii oiii	Laon	0010

#### 4.2 Comparison to Title 22 Criteria

Title 22 criteria were used to determine if any of the sediments sampled from Colorado Lagoon contained contaminants at concentrations that were high enough to be considered hazardous waste. For this purpose, the results of all analyses are reported in terms of mg/Kg-wet weight to be consistent with the Total Threshold Limit Concentrations (TTLC) cited in Title 22.

Results of this comparison (Table 4-2) indicate that none of the contaminants exceeded TTLC. Lead, however, was present in two samples at concentrations that were high enough to require WET extractions to determine if elutriate levels exceed the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC).

WET extractions were run for lead in sediment composites from the west arm of Colorado Lagoon (CL-1) and those from southernmost site near the connection with Marine Stadium (CL-2). This test (Table 4-3) indicated that elutriate concentrations from the CL-1 composite (11 mg/L) exceeded the STLC of 5 mg/L. Results of this test indicate that sediments in this portion of the Lagoon should be considered to be a California Title 22 hazardous waste material if they were to be taken to a landfill. WET results for CL-2 indicate that sediments sampled from the area of Colorado Lagoon near the tidal gates to Marine Stadium contain contaminants at concentrations below those considered to be hazardous under Title 22 criteria

Followup testing was conducted on the CL-1 sediments using two additional leachate methods, the DI-WET and TCLP (Table 4-2). Lead concentrations in the DI-WET extract were below the detection limit of 0.02 mg/L. The TCLP extract contained 0.77 mg/L of lead which is below the federal threshold of 5.0 mg/L. Thus, the sediment would not be considered a hazardous waste under federal guidelines.

Analytes	Reporting Limit Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Top	TTLC Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	STLC (mg/L)
Percent Moisture	0.1%	40.8	34.6	28.6		
Organic Toxic and Bioaccumulative Substances						
Aldrin gamma-BHC alpha-Chlordane gamma-Chlordane 4,4'-DDD 4,4'-DDE 4,4'-DDT Endrin Heptachlor Methoxychlor Toxaphene Arochlor-1016 Arochlor-1221 Arochlor-1222 Arochlor-1248 Arochlor-1254 Arochlor-1260 Total PCBs	0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.020 0.002 0.020 0.	ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) 0.029 0.032 0.023 0.039 0.0081 ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.002U) ND (0.010U) ND (0.058 0.058	ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) 0.0022 ND (0.001U) 0.01 ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.010U) ND (0.010U)	ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.001U) ND (0.002U) ND (0.010U) ND (0.010U)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4\\ 4.0\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.2\\ 4.7\\ 100\\ 5\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14\\ 0.4\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.02\\ 0.47\\ 10\\ 0.5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5$
Mirex Kepone	0.010 0.010	ND (0.010U) ND (0.010U)	ND (0.010U) ND (0.010U)	ND (0.010U) ND (0.010U)	21 21	2.1 2.1
2,4-D Pentachlorophenol 2,4,5-T (Silvex)	5 0.75 0.75	ND (0.025U) ND (0.025U) ND (0.025U)	ND (0.005U) ND (0.005U) ND (0.005U)	ND (0.005U) ND (0.005U) ND (0.005U)	100 17 10	10 7 1

Table 4-2 Sediment Analyses (Wet Weight Basis) compared to Title 22 Criteria

Analytes	Reporting Limit Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Top	TTLC Wet Wt. (mg/kg)	STLC (mg/L)
Inorganic Persistent and Bioaccumulative Substances						
Antimony	0.1	1.0	0.50	0.40	500	15
Arsenic	0.1	4.4	4.0	3.5	500	5.0
Barium	0.1	202	352	76	10,000	1000
Beryllium	0.1	0.32	0.32	0.27	75	0.75
Cadmium	0.1	1.2	0.43	0.27	100	1.0
Chromium	0.1	20	19	15	2,500	560
Cobalt	0.1	3.6	4.0	2.9	8.000	80
Copper	0.1	33	18	10	2,500	25
Lead	0.1	242	53.6	28	1,000	5.0
Mercury	0.02	0.20	0.11	0.038	20	0.2
Molybdenum	0.1	7.1	5.7	4.8	3,500	350
Nickel	0.1	11	9.3	6.3	2,000	20
Selenium	0.1	0.31	0.18	0.23	100	1.0
Silver	0.1	0.71	1.1	0.20	500	5
Thallium	0.1	0.54	0.29	0.26	700	7.0
Vanadium	0.1	33	35	28	2,400	24
Zinc	1.0	157	64	33	5,000	250

#### Table 4-2 Sediment Analyses (Wet-Weight Basis) compared to Title 22 Criteria (continued)

Bolded values indicate results that exceeded 10x the STLC and thus required DiWET extractions to assess solubility

Site	Total Lead (mg/Kg)	WET Lead (mg/L)	DI-WET Lead (mg/L)	TCLP Lead (mg/L)	STLC (mg/L)
CL-1 Top	242	11	ND (<0.02)	0.77	5.0
CL-2 Top	53.6	2.1			5.0

Table 4-3 Results and Comparison of WET Elutriates with Title 22 Criteria

# 4.3 Adequacy of Sample Depths and Comparison with Ecological Criteria and Previous Data Sets

The complete data set is summarized Tables 4-4 and 4-5. All chemical data are based upon dry weight to provide direct comparison with available ecological benchmark data and historical measurements. Data from the composite core samples are then compared to previous surveys of surface sediments in Colorado Lagoon (Table 4-6).

#### 4.3.1 Adequacy of Sampling Depths

To aid in the evaluation of sediment test data, chemical concentrations of contaminants found within the sediments were compared to sediment quality guidelines (Long et. al., 1995) developed by NOAA. These guidelines were used to screen sediments for contaminant concentrations that might cause biological effects and to identify sediments for further toxicity testing. For any given contaminant the Effects Range Low (ERL) guideline represents the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile concentration value in the NOAA database that might be expected to cause adverse biological effects and the Effects Range Medium (ERM) reflects the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile value in the database.

Three metals (copper, lead and zinc) are known to be good indicators of urban runoff. Analysis of these three metals was used to provide an indication of whether depths of the composite samples were sufficient to penetrate past sediments deposited in the Lagoon since the initial dredging effort. These metals were analyzed from the lower six inches of a one foot section located just below the segment of each core used for the composite sample. Results of these confirmation analyses are indicated in Table 4-3 as CL-1 Bottom, CL-2 Bottom and CL-3 Bottom.

In all three cases, concentrations of these three metals were below the ERL. In at least one case, however, results of the confirmation samples suggest evidence of concentrations that may be influenced by urbanization of the Colorado Lagoon watershed. The concentration of lead in the CL-1 Bottom composite was 40 mg/Kg-dry compared to 14 and 13 mg/Kg-dry at the other

two sites. This suggests that one or more of the three composite core lengths at this site may not have fully penetrated through the layer of sediments deposited since the original dredging effort. Nevertheless, it was obviously close to the transition point since the concentration of this confirmation sample was less than 8 percent of the concentration of lead in the overlying sediment.

The concentration of zinc in confirmation samples from Area CL-2 was similar to the concentration in overlying sediments (103 vs 97 mg/Kg-dry). Zinc measured in the confirmation sample from Area CL-3 was notably higher than in the composite samples (72 vs 46 mg/Kg-dry). Concentrations of copper were relatively uniform in the confirmation samples (22 to 28 mg/Kg-dry) but were still higher than overlying sediments in Area CL-3.

Overall, depths of the composite samples appear to adequately represent the sediments deposited since the initial dredging of the Lagoon. The variability in the vertical composition of sediments in the three cores taken within each of the three sampling areas combined with the evidence that lead was still present in the Area CL-1 confirmation samples at levels twice those in the two areas suggests that a conservative approach should be used in any effort to remove these sediments.

In Area CL-1, the maximum depth of contamination is estimated to be 6 feet. This is 6 inches past the depth of the deepest sample used for confirmation testing. In Area CL-2, concentrations of lead were very low in the confirmation sample but zinc concentrations remained consistent with the overlying material. The length of the deepest composite layer in this area was 5.5 feet. There was little evidence of urban influences in the confirmation composite. Use of the deepest core depth of 5.5 feet plus a 1 foot overdredge (total of 6.5 feet) should provide a sufficient buffer to assure removal of any contaminated sediments. Depositional sediments in Area CL-3 were 1.5 to 4.0 feet. Sediments in this area did not show substantial evidence of contamination at levels of concern (refer to following sections).

#### 4.3.2 Comparison with Ecological Benchmarks

Results (Tables 4-4) demonstrate a clear pollution gradient within Colorado Lagoon. The western arm contains high levels of lead as well as several organochlorine pesticides. Concentrations of total lead in Area CL-1 (409 mg/Kg-dry) exceed EPA Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals (http://www.epa.gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/index.htm) for residential soils (400 mg/Kg-dry). Based upon this criterion alone, reuse on site would not be an advisable option. Lead concentrations drop dramatically in Area CL-2 (81 mg/Kg-dry) and Area CL-3 (40 mg/Kg-dry). DDT compounds, chlordane and dieldrin show similar trends with ERM exceedances for each of these compounds in Area CL-1. Concentrations of DDT compounds went from 81 ug/Kg-dry in Area CL-1 to 4.3 ug/Kg-dry in Area CL-3. This was the only compound or group of compounds to exceed the ERLs in Area CL-3. The contamination gradient for chlordane was exceptionally dramatic with concentrations of 105 ug/Kg-dry in Area CL-1, 3.3 ug/Kg-dry in Area CL-2 and below detection limits (<2.8 ug/Kg-dry) in Area CL-3.

Dieldrin, one of the compounds cited as causing impairment in tissues, was only detected in the western arm of the Lagoon where it was present in excess of three times the ERM. PCBs were only detected in the western arm of the Lagoon with concentrations just above the ERL. PAHs followed the same trend with phenathrene and acenaphthene being the only PAHs to exceed ERLs in Area CL-2. None of the PAH compounds exceed these ERLs in Areas CL-2 and CL-3

#### 4.3.3 Comparison with Previous Data

Previous sediment sampling in Colorado Lagoon was conducted by the Bay Protection and Toxics Control Program (BPTCP) and Tetra Tech, EMI. The BPTCP sampled surficial sediments from one site in the western arm of Colorado Lagoon in January of 1993. BPTCP data are included the BPTCP database available on the State Water Resources Control Board web site. Data were analyzed in a report by Anderson et al (1998) titled Sediment Chemistry, Toxicity, and Benthic Community Conditions in Selected Water Bodies of the Los Angeles Region, Final Report. Tetra Tech sampled two locations in the Lagoon in December 2000. One station (CL-West) was located in the western arm of the Lagoon. The second (CL-East) was located in the northern arm of the Lagoon. These sites roughly correspond to Areas CL-1 and CL-3. Sediment analyses performed by Tetra Tech were also base upon surficial samples.

The results of sediment analyses in the western arm of the Lagoon reported by BPTCP (1998) and Tetra Tech (2000) showed a high degree of similarity for metals and organochlorine pesticides. Both copper and lead exceeded ERMs in both data sets while five to six other metals exceeded ERLs. Concentrations of DDT compounds, chlordane and dieldrin were all well above ERMs in both sets of samples. PCBs, however, were detected at 100.5 mg/Kg-dry in 1993 but below detection limits (<25 mg/Kg-dry) in 2000.

Concentrations of PAHs in surficial sediments from the western arm of the Lagoon declined substantially between 1993 and 2000. Total PAH concentrations measured in 2000 were half of those reported by the BPTCP in 1993. Total PAH concentrations in cores from the current investigation were 15 percent of the concentrations measured in 1993 in surface sediments and only two PAH compounds exceeded ERLs.

Contaminant concentrations in sediments from the two sites sampled by Tetra Tech in 2000 also indicated a spatial gradient going from high concentrations in the western portion of the Lagoon to substantially lower concentrations in the northern (eastern) portion of the Lagoon. Nevertheless, differences between these two areas were not as extreme as found in core composites from these two regions.

						SAMPLE IDE	NTIFICATION		
	Unite	EDI	EDM	CL-1 Bottom	CL-1	CL-2- Bottom	CL-2	CL-3 Bottom	CL-3
Conventionals	Offits	LNL		Bottom	тор	Bottom	төр	Bottom	Төр
Percent Moisture	Percent (wet)			41	41	33	34.6	40.2	28.6
TRPH	ma/ka (drv)			- 1	490	00	ND (76U)	40.2	ND (70U)
Solids Percent	Percent (wet)			59	59	67	65.4	59.8	71.4
Metals	r crocht (wet)			00	00	01	00.4	00.0	71.4
Antimony	ma/ka (drv)				1.7		0.77		0.57
Arsenic	ma/ka (drv)	8.2	70		7.5		6.1		4.9
Barium	ma/ka (drv)				342		538		107
Beryllium	mg/kg (dry)				0.53		0.49		0.37
Cadmium	mg/kg (dry)	1.2	9.6		2.1		0.65		0.38
Chromium	mg/kg (dry)	81	370		34		29		21
Cobalt	mg/kg (dry)				6.1		6.0		4.1
Copper	mg/kg (dry)	34	270	22	55	28	27	26	15
Lead	mg/kg (dry)	47	218	40	409	14	81.3	13	40
Mercury	mg/kg (dry)	0.15	0.71		0.33		0.17		0.053
Molybdenum	mg/kg (dry)				12		8.7		6.7
Nickel	mg/kg (dry)	21	51.6		18		14		8.9
Selenium	mg/kg (dry)				0.53		0.28		0.32
Silver	mg/kg (dry)	1	3.7		1.2		1.7		0.28
Thallium	mg/kg (dry)				0.91		0.45		0.36
Vanadium	mg/kg (dry)				56		53		39.
Zinc	mg/kg (dry)	150	410	63	266	103	97	72	46

Table 4-4 Composite Samples from Colorado Lagoon compared to NOAA ERL and ERMs

Red highlighting indicates ERM exceedances. Yellow highlighting indicates ERL exceedances. ND=Not Detected, U indicates the reporting limit associated with contaminants that were below reporting limits in the sample.

						SAMPLE IDE	NTIFICATION		
	Unite	EDI	EDM	CL-1 Bottom	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Bottom	CL-2	CL-3 Bottom	CL-3
Horbicidos	Units	LINE		Bottom	Төр	Bottom	төр	Bottom	төр
2 4 5-TP (Silver)	ua/ka (dry)								
2,4,5-11 (Silvex)	ug/kg (dry)				ND (4220)		ND (76U)		
2,4-D Bontachlorophonol (PCP)	ug/kg (dry)				ND (4220)		ND (76U)		
	ug/kg (ury)				ND (4220)		ND (760)		ND (700)
Nanhthalene	ua/ka (dry)				15				
Flueropo	ug/kg (dry)	10	540				ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Phononthrono	ug/kg (dry)	240	1500		ND (34 0)		10		ND (280)
Anthropopo	ug/kg (dry)	240	1100				701		9.00 ND (2911)
Anunacene	ug/kg (dry)	16	500		171		7.95		ND (28U)
	ug/kg (dry)	10	500		121				ND (28U)
	ug/kg (dry)	44	5400		125		ND (310)		ND (200)
Fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)	600	5100		372		53		31
Pyrene	ug/kg (dry)	665	2600		625		73		34
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/kg (dry)	261	1600		ND (34 U)		ND (310)		ND (280)
Chrysene	ug/kg (dry)	384	2800		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/kg (dry)	430	1600		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/kg (dry)	63.4	260		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Total Low MW PAHs	ug/kg (dry)	552	3160		282		32		9.0
Total High MW PAHs	ug/kg (dry)	1700	9600		1279		158		73
Total PAHs	ug/kg (dry)	4022	44792		1561		190		82
Phthalates									
Benzyl butyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		34
bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				3600		410		260
Diethyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				47		42		65
Dimethyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				19		ND (31U)		3.2J
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		38		27
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)

 Table 4.4 Composite Samples from Colorado Lagoon compared to NOAA ERL and ERMs (continued)

				0.4		SAMPLE IDE			01.0
	Units	ERL	ERM	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	Top	Bottom	СС-3 Тор
DDT Compounds									
4,4'-DDD	ug/kg (dry)	2	20		ND (3.4U)	_	3.5		ND (2.8U)
4,4'-DDE	ug/kg (dry)	2.2	27		67		16		4.3
4,4'-DDT	ug/kg (dry)	1	7		14		ND (12U)		ND (11U)
Total DDT	ug/kg (dry)	1.58	46.1		81		20		4.3
Chlordane Compounds									
alpha-Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)				50		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
gamma-Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)				55		3.3		ND (2.8U)
Heptachlor	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Heptachlor epoxide	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Total Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)	0.5	6.0		105		3.30		ND (2.8U)
Other OC Pesticides									
Aldrin	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
alpha-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
beta-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
delta-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Dieldrin	ug/kg (dry)	0.02	8		27		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan I	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan II	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endrin	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endrin aldehyde	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Endrin ketone	ug/kg (dry)				ND (3.4U)		ND (3.1U)		ND (2.8U)
Kepone	ug/kg (dry)				ND (17U)		ND (15U)		ND (14U)
Methoxychlor	ug/kg (dry)				ND (6.8U)		ND (6.1U)		ND (5.6U)
Mirex	ug/kg (dry)				ND (17U)		ND (15U)		ND (14U)
Toxaphene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (34U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)

Table 4.4 Composite Samples from Colorado Lagoon compared to NOAA ERL and ERMs (continued)

						SAMPLE IDE	NTIFICATION		
	Units	FRI	FRM	CL-1 Bottom	CL-1 Top	CL-2- Bottom	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Bottom	CL-3 Top
PCBs	Units			Bottom	100	Dottoin	100	Dottom	100
PCB-1016 (Aroclor 1016)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1221 (Aroclor 1221)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1232 (Aroclor 1232)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1242 (Aroclor 1242)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1248 (Aroclor 1248)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (34 U)		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		98		ND (31U)		ND (28U)
Total PCBs	ug/kg (dry)	22.7	180		98		ND (31U)		ND (28U)

Table 4.4 Composite Samples from Colorado Lagoon compared to NOAA ERL and ERMs ( continued)

	Table 4-5	Particle Size	Composition (	of Sediment Co	ores from	Colorado Lagoon
--	-----------	---------------	---------------	----------------	-----------	-----------------

Particle Fraction	CL-1 Bottom	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Bottom	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Bottom	CL-3 Top
Sand (0.062-4.0 mm)	11.1 %	47.7 %	20.7 %	43.6 %	30.2 %	70.1 %
Silt/Clay (<0.062 mm)	88.9 %	52.3	79.3 %	56.4 %	69.8 %	29.9 %

				1/14/1993	12/8/2000	12/8/2000	6/30/2004	7/1/2004	6/30/2004
	Units	ERL	ERM	BPTCP	CL-West	CL-East	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Top
Conventionals									
Percent Moisture	Percent (wet)						41	34.6	28.6
TRPH	mg/kg (dry)				2000	440	490	ND (76U)	ND (70U)
Solids, Percent	Percent (wet)				39	41	59	65.4	71.4
Metals									
Antimony	mg/kg (dry)			2.7			1.7	0.77	0.57
Arsenic	mg/kg (dry)	8.2	70	9.5	10	8.9	7.5	6.1	4.9
Barium	mg/kg (dry)						342	538	107
Beryllium	mg/kg (dry)						0.53	0.49	0.37
Cadmium	mg/kg (dry)	1.2	9.6	2.0	2.8	1.5	2.1	0.65	0.38
Chromium	mg/kg (dry)	81	370	56	55	51	34	29	21
Cobalt	mg/kg (dry)						6.1	6.0	4.1
Copper	mg/kg (dry)	34	270	87	120	100	55	27	15
Lead	mg/kg (dry)	47	218	510	390	180	409	81	40
Mercury	mg/kg (dry)	0.15	0.71	0.36	0.02U	0.02U	0.33	0.17	0.053
Molybdenum	mg/kg (dry)						12	8.7	6.7
Nickel	mg/kg (dry)	21	51.6	34	36	32	18	14	8.9
Selenium	mg/kg (dry)						0.53	0.28	0.32
Silver	mg/kg (dry)	1.0	3.7	0.62	1.4	1.8	1.2	1.7	0.28
Thallium	mg/kg (dry)						0.91	0.45	0.36
Vanadium	mg/kg (dry)						56	53	39.
Zinc	mg/kg (dry)	150	410	690	600	340	266	97	46

#### Table 4-6 Comparison with Historical Data Sets

				1/14/1993	12/8/2000	12/8/2000	6/30/2004	7/1/2004	6/30/2004
	Units	ERL	ERM	BPTCP	CL-West	CL-East	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Top
Herbicides									
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	ug/kg (dry)						ND (422U)	ND (76U)	ND (70U)
2,4-D	ug/kg (dry)						ND (422U)	ND (76U)	ND (70U)
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	ug/kg (dry)						ND (422U)	ND (76U)	ND (70U)
PAHs									
Naphthalene	ug/kg (dry)						15	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Fluorene	ug/kg (dry)	19	540	95.6	ND (25U)	ND (25U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Phenanthrene	ug/kg (dry)	240	1500	1770	230	54	253	18	9.0J
Anthracene	ug/kg (dry)	85	1100	188	43	25	ND (34 U)	7.9J	ND (28U)
Acenaphthene	ug/kg (dry)	16	500	113	ND (25U)	ND (25U)	17J	6.0J	ND (28U)
Acenaphthylene	ug/kg (dry)	44	640		ND (25U)	ND (25U)	12J	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)	600	5100	2330	530	150	372	53	31
Pyrene	ug/kg (dry)	665	2600	2210	1300	190	625	73	34
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/kg (dry)	261	1600	701	330	100	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Chrysene	ug/kg (dry)	384	2800	889	510	140	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/kg (dry)	430	1600	691	410	130	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)				590	140	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/kg (dry)				480	140	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/kg (dry)	63.4	260	125	ND (180U)	ND (170U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/kg (dry)				410	ND (200U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/kg (dry)				610	ND (170U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Total Low MW PAH	ug/kg (dry)	552	3160	738 <sup>1</sup>	273	79	282	32	9.0
Total High MW PAH	ug/kg (dry)	1700	9600	9301 <sup>1</sup>	5170	990	1279	158	73
Total PAH	ug/kg (dry)	4022	44792	10039 <sup>1</sup>	5453	1069	1561	190	82
Benzyl butyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				640	250	ND (34U)	ND (31U)	34
bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				14000	1800	3600	410	260
Diethyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				48	39	47	42	65
Dimethyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				31	ND (25U)	19	ND (31U)	3.2J
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				180	91	ND (34U)	38	27
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ug/kg (dry)				310	ND (250U)	ND (34U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)

#### Table 4-6 Comparison with Historical Data Sets (continued)

Red highlighting indicates ERM exceedances, Yellow highlighting indicates ERL exceedances. 1. Totals include additional PAHs not analyzed in the current program

				1/14/1993	12/8/2000	12/8/2000	6/30/2004	7/1/2004	6/30/2004
	Units	ERL	ERM	BPTCP	CL-West	CL-East	CL-1 Top	CL-2 Top	CL-3 Top
DDT Compounds									
4,4'-DDD	ug/kg (dry)	2	20	40.6	46	8.9	ND (3.4U)	3.5	ND (2.8U)
4,4'-DDE	ug/kg (dry)	2.2	27	89.9	110	44	67	16	4.3
4,4'-DDT	ug/kg (dry)	1	7	50.9	11	2.7	14	ND (12U)	ND (11U)
Total DDT	ug/kg (dry)	1.58	46.1	181.4 <sup>2</sup>	167	55.6	81	20	4.3
Chlordane Compounds									
alpha-Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)			70.3	73	13	50	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
gamma-Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)				61	15	55	3.3	ND (2.8U)
Heptachlor	ug/kg (dry)			1.5	ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Heptachlor epoxide	ug/kg (dry)			2.5	ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Total Chlordane	ug/kg (dry)	0.5	6.0	74.3 <sup>2</sup>	134	28	105	3.30	ND (2.8U)
Other OC Pesticides									
Aldrin	ug/kg (dry)			8.2	ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
alpha-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
beta-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
delta-BHC	ug/kg (dry)				ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ug/kg (dry)			0.8	ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Dieldrin	ug/kg (dry)	0.02	8	24.3	19	3.2	27	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan I	ug/kg (dry)			0.7	ND (5.1U)	ND (4.9U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan II	ug/kg (dry)			2.8	ND (1.3U)	ND (1.2U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/kg (dry)			2.7	ND (25U)	ND (25U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endrin	ug/kg (dry)				17	5.7	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endrin aldehyde	ug/kg (dry)				ND (2.5U)	ND (2.5U)	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Endrin ketone	ug/kg (dry)				ND (2.8U)	2.0	ND (3.4U)	ND (3.1U)	ND (2.8U)
Kepone	ug/kg (dry)						ND (17U)	ND (15U)	ND (14U)
Methoxychlor	ug/kg (dry)				ND (25U)	ND (25U)	ND (6.8U)	ND (6.1U)	ND (5.6U)
Mirex	ug/kg (dry)						ND (17U)	ND (15U)	ND (14U)
Toxaphene	ug/kg (dry)				ND (76U)	ND (74U)	ND (34U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)

#### Table 4-6 Comparison with Historical Data Sets (continued)

Red highlighting indicates ERM exceedances. Yellow highlighting indicates ERL exceedances.

Total Chlordane including cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor, and oxychlordane equaled 134.5 ug/Kg-dry.
 Total DDT including 2,4'-DDD, 2,4'-DDE, and 2,4'-DDT equaled 208 ug/Kg-dry.

4-14

	Units	ERL	ERM	1/14/1993 BPTCP	12/8/2000 CL-West	12/8/2000 CL-East	6/30/2004 CL-1 Top	7/1/2004 CL-2 Top	6/30/2004 CL-3 Top
PCBs									
PCB-1016 (Aroclor 1016)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180				ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1221 (Aroclor 1221)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180				ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1232 (Aroclor 1232)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180				ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1242 (Aroclor 1242)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (25U)	ND (25U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1248 (Aroclor 1248)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180				ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (25U)	ND (25U)	ND (34 U)	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	ug/kg (dry)	23	180		ND (25U)	ND (25U)	98	ND (31U)	ND (28U)
Total PCBs	ug/kg (dry)	22.7	180	100.5	ND (25U)	ND (25U)	98	ND (31U)	ND (28U)

 Table 4-6 Comparison with Historical Data Sets (continued)
## 4.4 Evaluation of Potential Sources of Contamination

The spatial distribution of contaminants in Colorado Lagoon clearly show that the major contaminants of concern (COCs) are introduced into the western reach. Portions of the watershed that contribute to loads in the northern reach of the Lagoon appear to contribute relatively minor loads of COCs. The subbasin that contributes to the northern arm of the Lagoon is half the size of the subbasin the drains to the western arm. In addition, the subbasin for the northern arm consists of two primary land use categories. Land use in this area is roughly 1/3 park lands/golf course and 2/3 residential. The subbasin that drains to the western arm of the Lagoon is a mix of residential, commercial, transportation corridors, institutional and park lands/golfcourse land use activities.

The primary COCs identified in the lagoon are lead and the three groups of organochlorine pesticides (DDT compounds, chlordane and dieldrin). Secondary COCs include PCBs and a number of metals including cadmium, copper, mercury, silver and zinc. The primary source of lead in urban drainages is typically historical use of leaded gasoline. Although today lead in gasoline has been greatly reduced, gasoline still contains lead and continues to be a source of lead in the environment. The organochlorine pesticides are considered legacy contaminants in that manufacturing and use of the compounds has been prohibited for many years. Due to the persistence of these compounds, they are still found in soils and storm drain systems. Recent surveys in the San Francisco Bay area (Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc./EOA Inc., 2002) have demonstrated that these compounds are still found in relatively high concentrations in sediments in stormdrains and catchbasins. These contaminants are strongly associated with the fine grained sediments. Although, concentrations of these substances are rarely detected in stormwater runoff, these contaminants are commonly encountered in areas where sediments transported by stormwater runoff settle and accumulate over time.

The adjacent golf course contributes runoff to both the western and northern reaches of the Lagoon. The largest contributions from the golf course would be expected to enter the northern reach where it comprises at least 1/3 of the total area of the subbasin. The golf course also contributes runoff to the western arm of the Lagoon but it comprises only a small portion of the total area of the subbasin. The much higher quality of sediments in the northern reach may indicate that the golf course is not now and has not historically been a major source of metals, organochlorine pesticides or PAHs.

## 4.5 Assessment of Potential Remedies to Existing Contamination

A primary objective of the feasibility study is to "evaluate the need to remove contaminated sediments". The criteria to determine this need are based on: 1) the requirement to address 303(d) listed contaminants, 2) hazards to human health, and 3) contaminant effects on the current habitat and potential habitats to be restored in the future. Sediment removal can also be driven by the need to improve water circulation. This report will not address the water

circulation criteria; this will be analyzed using the hydrology/hydraulics model and the results will be reported in the alternatives evaluation report deliverable.

The potential remedies to address the existing contamination include;

- dredging or removal by other means of the contaminated sediments; and
- in-place encapsulation (sequestering) of the contaminated sediments.

Dredging or removal by other means (in the dry by earthmoving equipment) will be discussed in more detail in the following section. Sequestering the contaminants by capping the material may not be a desirable option because of difficulties posed by the constrained lagoon geometry generating additional costs to create the capped feature, the long-term monitoring costs, and the potential for public controversy. It is estimated that 2-3 feet thick of material would be required, covering a large portion of the lagoon bottom; this thickness is necessary to prevent bioturbation of benthic organisms. This would also decrease the water volume of the lagoon and thus potentially affect the lagoon's water circulation. Any capping type approach would require an ongoing monitoring effort to assure that the integrity of the cap is preserved. Such monitoring can add substantially to the cost. This encapsulation option will be further assessed as part of the alternatives report deliverable.

## 4.6 Disposal Options for Sediments Excavated from the Lagoon

As discussed previously, the western arm (Area CL-1) core sediments were found to exceed the Title 22 STLC for lead and thus are considered to be hazardous materials. In addition, the western arm sediments exceed the EPA Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) for lead. Based on the potential hazards to human health and adverse affects on the habitat in this area, there is a definite need to address contaminated sediments in the western arm, (the lagoon area to the west of the foot bridge). Based on the Title 22 violations, the only available options for material from the western arm are disposal at an off-site landfill approved to accept hazardous material or at a beneficial reuse facility (e.g. the Port of Long Beach).

The southernmost (CL-2 area) core samples were found to contain levels of DDT and chlordane above ERLs; because of the adverse affects on the habitat and perhaps public perception associated with swimming and wading, this area may also warrant material removal. However, the material from this area does not violate Title 22 thresholds and thus more options exist to leave the material in place, remove and reuse the material on-site, remove and dispose of it at a landfill or a combination of these actions. A potential on-site reuse application would be to create bird nesting islands within the lagoon or create bermed or mounded buffer areas along the lagoon perimeter; these options will be further assessed as part of the alternatives report.

The spatial distribution of the contaminants strongly suggest that a gradient exists such that contamination levels are highest at the western arm, become lower towards the southern section and are lowest at the northern arm tip. Interpolation between the western arm results

and the southern section results indicates that the central (swimming) area probably also contains contaminated material. This area, (east of the foot bridge extending to the culvert inlet), has been included in some material removal scenarios. Further sediment sampling may be warranted as part of future engineering to verify the existence of contaminants in this area.

In order to determine the material removal depth of material removal, the core samples at each location were analyzed based on the location (depth) of accumulated sediments. Review of coring depths and sediment chemistry in each region were used to estimate appropriate removal depths. Removal depths were estimated to be -6 ft in Area CL-1 and -6.5 ft in Area CL-2. The reasoning for selection of these depths is addressed in Section 4.1. Figure 4-1 shows preliminary contours used to determine the material removal and disposal quantities and cost estimates, Special attention was given to: 1) providing stable side slopes, 2) maximizing (flattening) slopes to provide acceptable habitat for wetland organisms and 3) minimizing disturbance to existing mudflat habitat on the northern shore. The material removal plan (Figure 4-1) purposely maintains the current waterline footprint; future alternatives may propose to expand the footprint for habitat and/or circulation improvement reasons, causing this plan to be refined.



Figure 4-1 Map of Material Removal Area

## 4.7 Cost Estimates, Disposal Capacities and Potential Permit Requirements for Each Disposal Option.

### 4.7.1 Cost Estimates and Disposal Capacities

Cost estimates were generated for planning purposes to understand the range of possible actions to address the lagoon sediment as part of restoration. This analysis provides order of magnitude costs for material disposal. Restoration alternatives are to be addressed in subsequent work. Three material removal and/or reuse scenarios were considered to generate order-of-magnitude cost estimates. The scenarios include:

**Scenario 1:** Remove all contaminated material (within Area CL-1) or compromised material (less contaminated material within Area CL-2) and haul it off-site for disposal at an appropriate disposal facility;

**Scenario 2:** Remove all contaminated material (within Area CL-1) and haul it to the Port of Long Beach at the Pier J South Landfill or Middle Harbor, and re-use one-fourth of the compromised material (within Area CL-2) on-site in berms or mounded perimeter habitat areas while the balance of compromised material is hauled to the same location at the Port of Long Beach. The feasibility to re-use material on-site needs verification in subsequent studies of alternatives.

**Scenario 3:** Remove all contaminated material (within Area CL-1) and haul it to the Port of Long Beach at the Pier J South Landfill or Middle Harbor, and leave all of the compromised material (within Area CL-2) in-place without disturbance.

The costs for each scenario are summarized in Tables 4-7 through 4-9. The cost to haul all contaminated and/or compromised material from the lagoon in Scenario 1 is \$5.1 million. This represents the greatest level of disturbance of any action. The cost to remove contaminated material and re-use one-fourth of the compromised material in Scenario 2 is \$2.9, assuming the City feels compelled to remove compromised sediment, which may not be necessary as the sediment does not pose a significnat risk to human health or the environment. The other action considered thus far is simply removing the contaminated sediment, and leaving the remaining material on-site with no modification in Scenario 3 for a cost of \$1.1 million. This likely represents the least impact scenario for the City at this time. Consideration was given to leaving the contaminated material in the lagoon and capping it in-place, but that option would be technically difficult and possibly costly, and much more controversial and is therefore not developed further in this discussion.

Other possible disposal options such as offshore ocean, nearshore ocean and beach disposal are not appropriate for the contaminated sediment. The offshore ocean option may be feasible for the compromised sediment but that cost is greater than placing it at the Port of Long Beach. It should be noted that the Port of Long Beach does not have a schedule for their need for the

material associated with a project. They indicated that they would not be able to receive the material prior to two years in the future. This period may would be sooner than the lagoon project could come on-line as this time period may be required for the future phases of environmental review and permitting, and final engineering for construction that must occur prior to the start of construction.

The disposal options considered in this study have the capacity to receive the entire volume of 96,300 cubic yards of material possibly requiring disposal. The only option with limited capacity is reusing the material on-site. Due to area constraints of the lagoon perimeter, the project team estimates that no more than approximately one-quarter of the volume in CL-2 can be used, or approximately 16,000 cubic yards or less.

Table 4-7 Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 1

## COLORADO LAGOON MATERIAL DISPOSAL ANALYSES CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE SCENARIO 1 AREA 1 HAULED TO OFFSITE CLASS I LANDFILL AREA 2 HAULED TO OFFSITE CLASS II LANDFILL



ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	SUBTOTAL				
1	Mobilization & Demobilization	1	LS.	\$50,000.00	\$50,000				
2	Dewater Areas 1 and 2	1	LS.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000				
3	Excavate Area 1	32,600	CY	\$6.00	\$195,600				
4	Excavate Area 2	63,700	CY	\$6.00	\$382,200				
5	Haul Area 1 Material to a Class I Landfill (Westmorland in San Diego County)	32,600	CY	\$40.00	\$1,304,000				
6	Haul Area 2 Material to a Class II Landfill (Bee Canyon in Orange County)	63,700	CY	\$25.00	\$1,592,500				
	Subtotal Items				\$3,544,300				
	Contingency (25%)								
	Engineering, Design, Supervision, and Administration (15%)								
	Permitting (5%)				\$177,215				
	TOTAL				\$5,139,235				

ASSUMPTIONS

4-22

1. The lagoon is drained, dewatered and excavated in the dry using conventional earthmoving equipment.

2. The material is trucked in a rig with a capacity of 8 cubic yards per load for a transport time of 5 hours round-trip to the Westmorland Fill.

3. Trucking costs are \$40 per hour to either Westmorland or Bee Canyon.

4. Tipping fees at the landfills are assumed to be \$50 per truck at both Westmorland and Bee Canyon (to be verified).

5. The construction period is five months.

Table 4-8 Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 2

## COLORADO LAGOON MATERIAL DISPOSAL ANALYSES CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE SCENARIO 2



## AREA 1 HAULED TO THE PORT OF LONG BEACH

### AREA B COMBINED RE-USE ON-SITE

### AND HAULED TO THE PORT OF LONG BEACH

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	SUBTOTAL					
1	Mobilization & Demobilization	1	LS.	\$50,000.00	\$50,000					
2	Dewater Areas 1 and 2	1	LS.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000					
3	Excavate Area 1	32,600	CY	\$6.00	\$195,600					
4	Excavate Area 2	63,700	CY	\$6.00	\$382,200					
5	Haul Area 1 Material to the Port of Long Beach	32,600	CY	\$15.00	\$489,000					
	Re-Use Area 2 Material On-Site (Assuming a Capacity of One-Fourth of the									
6	Volume)	15,925	CY	\$9.00	\$143,325					
7	Haul the Balance of Area 2 Material to the Port of Long Beach	47,775	CY	\$15.00	\$716,625					
	Subtotal Items				\$1,996,750					
	Contingency (25%)				\$499,188					
	Engineering, Design, Supervision, and Administration (15%)									
	Permitting (5%)				\$99,838					
	TOTAL				\$2,895,288					

ASSUMPTIONS

1. The lagoon is drained, dewatered and excavated in the dry using conventional earthmoving

equipment.

2. The material is trucked in a rig with a capacity of 8 cubic yards per load for a transport time of 1 hour round-trip to the Port of Long Beach

3. Trucking costs are \$40 per hour.

4. No tipping fees are required

5. The construction period is five months.

Table 4-9 Construction Cost Estimates, Scenario 3

## COLORADO LAGOON MATERIAL DISPOSAL ANALYSES CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE SCENARIO 3 AREA 1 HAULED TO THE PORT OF LONG BEACH AREA 2 MATERIAL LEFT IN PLACE AT THE LAGOON

ITEM									
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	SUBTOTAL				
1	Mobilization & Demobilization	1	LS.	\$50,000.00	\$50,000				
2	Dewater Area 1	1	LS.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000				
3	Excavate Area 1	32,600	CY	\$6.00	\$195,600				
4	Haul Area 1 Material to the Port of Long Beach	32,600	CY	\$15.00	\$489,000				
	Subtotal Items				\$754,600				
	Contingency (25%)				\$188,650				
	Engineering, Design, Supervision, and Administration (15%)								
	Permitting (5%)		\$37,730						
	TOTAL				\$1,094,170				

ASSUMPTIONS

1. The lagoon is drained, dewatered and excavated in the dry using conventional earthmoving equipment.

2. The material is trucked in a rig with a capacity of 8 cubic yards per load for a transport time of 1 hour round-trip to the Port of Long Beach

3. Trucking costs are \$40 per hour.

4. No tipping fees are required

5. The construction period is five months.

## 4.7.2 Permit Requirements

The project will require permits from several agencies with jurisdiction over the activity. The same permit requirements apply to any of the actions described above. Coordination with and approval by NOAA Fisheries (formerly the National Marine Fisheries Service) and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service will also have to occur as part of the permitting effort. Permit requirements are specified below.

## Sections 10 and 404 Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has jurisdiction over "waters of the U.S." from the Clean Water Act, the Rivers and Harbors Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The USACE issues a Sections 10 and 404 permit for construction in such waters, and placement of fill or dredging in waters of the U.S., respectively. The USACE analyzes the project under NEPA for environmental effects and can either prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) document for non-impacting projects, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for projects to cause significant impacts that are not mitigable. This project will likely require an EA or EIS. The USACE also requires the RWQCB permit to be secured. Securing the Sections 10 and 404 permit can take up to twelve months and no fee is required.

### California Environmental Quality Act

The City of Long Beach is considered the Lead Agency for the project and will have to meet requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). CEQA requires projects of a certain magnitude and impact to be reviewed for environmental impacts. The type of document to be prepared depends on the degree of potential environmental impact identified in the CEQA Initial Study. A Negative Declaration (ND) is prepared for projects will not cause significant impacts, while a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) is required for projects that may cause significant impacts that can be mitigated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is prepared for projects causing potentially significant impacts that cannot be mitigated. This project may be appropriate for a Mitigated Negative Declaration or an EIR. The time period for completion and certification of an MND is approximately four to six months depending on preparation and review periods. Public review is 30 days long. An EIR may take twice that time period to complete and certify.

### Section 401C Certification from the Regional Water Quality Control Board

The Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) permits activities covered under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. The RWQCB issues a Section 401C Certification for construction projects proposing fill or material removal in jurisdictional waters. The permit is a prerequisite for securing permits from federal agencies. The RWQCB considers whether existing water

quality will be impaired by the project and requires conditions to minimize possible impacts, such as monitoring. They can also require mitigation if impacts are documented. Approximately three months is required to secure the permit assuming one month for clarification of the initial permit application, and two months to process the permit. A fee will also be required and varies depending on the proposed action.

### Waste Discharge Requirements from the Regional Water Quality Control Board

The RWQCB also permit removal and discharge of sediments under Waste Discharge Requirements under the Clean Water Act. Approximately three months is also required to secure the permit and this permitting can occur concurrently with other RWQCB permits. A fee will also be required and varies depending on the proposed action.

### Dewatering Permit from the Regional Water Quality Control Board

The RWQCB permits dewater activities under the Clean Water Act. As with the other RWQCB permits, approximately three months is required to secure the permit and this permitting can occur concurrently with other RWQCB permits. A fee will also be required and varies depending on the proposed action.

### Stormwater Permits from the Regional Water Quality Control Board

The project will require the General Construction Activity Storm Water Permit from the RWQCB. The permit requires completion of a Notice of Intent to Discharge (NOI) form, and preparation and implementation a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) mainly requiring adequate erosion control measures.

### Coastal Development Permit from the City of Long Beach

The City has permitting authority over activities within the Coastal Zone according to their Local Coastal Program (LCP). The City will examine the project's consistency with the LCP, and potential effects to public access, recreation and the environment. The permit can take four to six months to secure, depending on the level of potential controversy or impact.

### Coastal Development Permit from the California Coastal Commission

The California Coastal Commission (CCC) has jurisdiction over activities within the Coastal Zone, extending approximately one mile inland. They retain the right to appeal a local decision and can take action if deemed appropriate. The CCC examines the project's consistency with the Coastal Act, and potential effects to public access, recreation and the environment. If needed, the permit can also take four to six months to secure. Requirements to secure this permit are possession of the RWCQB permit and a certified CEQA document.

### Streambed Alteration Agreement from the State Department of Fish and Game

A 1600-1601 Streambed Alteration Agreement from the State Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) will be required by the CDFG to modify the lagoon. This agreement requires 3 to 6 months to secure, and will be required prior to USACE approval. Typically, the CDFG reviews the project, assesses impacts and benefits, and negotiates conditions as appropriate.

This page intentionally left blank

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Historical data combined with more complete information from this survey were used to evaluate the magnitude and extent of sediment contamination from Colorado Lagoon, assess probable sources, and develop a preliminary set of recommendations for addressing sediment contamination in the Lagoon.

Based upon sediment sampling conducted throughout the lagoon as well as historical

- Sediment sampling results indicate a strong contamination gradient with high levels of certain contaminants in the western arm transitioning to much lower levels in the northern arm. Concentrations of many of these contaminants differ by an order of magnitude between the western and northern reaches of the Lagoon.
- The primary constituents of concern (COCs) identified in the lagoon are lead and the three groups of organochlorine pesticides (DDT compounds, chlordane and dieldrin). Secondary COCs include PCBs and a number of metals including cadmium, copper, mercury, silver and zinc.
- 3. Concentrations of lead in sediments from the western reach of the Lagoon exceed EPA Region 9 Principal Remediation Goals for residential soil.
- 4. Results of a Waste Extraction Test (WET) indicate that levels of lead exceed the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) under Title 22 guidelines. These results indicate that the material would be classified as a hazardous waste. Further testing was conducted using the modified WET or DI-WET test to examine leachability under a neutral pH. This test typically produces much lower concentration of soluble lead and has been accepted as an alternative approach for disposal of other marine sediments from the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The DI-WET test indicated the lead was below reporting limits (0.02 mg/L).
- 5. A third type of leachate test, the TCLP, was conducted to further evaluate sediment characteristics in the western arm of the Lagoon. The concentration of lead in the TCLP extract was 0.77 mg/L which is below the 5.0 mg/L limit to be considered a federal hazardous waste.
- 6. The primary source of contaminants appears to be the western subbasin which is twice the area of the eastern subbasin and which differs substantially in land use characteristics. The eastern subbasin consists primarily of park/golf course and residential land use. The western subbasin consists of a mix of residential, commercial, transportation corridors, institutional and park lands/golf course land use activities.

- 7. Material disposal costs vary depending upon approach. Assuming the lagoon is drained and excavated in the dry, costs reach \$5.1 million to haul all contaminated (hazardous) and less contaminated (compromised) material off-site as a worst case. Costs to haul it to the Port of Long Beach and re-use compromised material on-site are approximately \$2.9 million, and costs to only remove contaminated material and leave compromised material are \$1.1 million.
- 8. Disposal options are limited to approved landfill locations for the contaminated material such as off-site at a licensed dump, or within future fill sites at the Port of Long Beach. Any disposal at the Port of Long Beach is subject to future project scheduling by the Port and they have no confirmed schedule at this time, other than that the work is at least two years in the future. None of these materials can be placed in the offshore ocean, the nearshore ocean or on the beach.
- 9. Contaminated materials could potentially be sequestered in place and capped with clean materials, but constrained lagoon geometry creates technical challenges to configuring such a deposit which could cause this option to be nearly as costly as removing the material entirely. The potential for public controversy over this option may also render it less desirable. This option warrants further consideration in a future study.
- 10. Less contaminated materials (compromised material) can also be disposed of at upland landfills and the Port of Long Beach. They may also be able to be re-used on-site but this option requires further investigation. Alternatively, these materials can left in place and not disturbed because they do not appear to adversely affect lagoon habitat or hydrology.
- 11. Permits ranging from local to federal levels are required to complete any material removal and disposal action. The timeframe for approvals may be up to a year or more.

## 6.0 REFERENCES

- Anderson, Hunt, Phillips, Newman, Tjeerdema, Wilson, Kapahi, Sapudar, Stephenson, Puckett, Fairey, Oakden, Lyons, and Birosik. 1998. Sediment Chemistry, Toxicity, and Benthic Community Conditions in Selected Water Bodies of the Los Angeles Region, Final Report.
- Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc./EOA Inc. 2002. Joint Stormwater Agency Project to Study Urban Sources of Mercury, PCBs and Organochlorine Pesticides.
- Long, E.R., D.D. MacDonald, S.I. Smith, and F.D. Calder, 1995. Incidence of Adverse Biological Effects Within the Ranges of Chemical Concentrations in Marine and Estuarine Sediments. Environmental Management, Vol. 19:81-97.
- Tetra Tech, EMI. 2000. Unpublished surficial sediment data from the two sites in Colorado Lagoon.
- URS Consultants. 1997. Final Report: Vertical Soil Lead Migration Literature Review, prepared for California Department of Transportation, Task Order No.1, Contract No. 43X927, March 1997. URS Consultants, Sacramento, CA.
- USEPA/USACE, 1998. Evaluation of Dredged Material Proposed for Discharge in Waters of the United States Testing Manual. EPA-823-B-98.

This page intentionally left blank.

## **APPENDIX A**

Core Logs

# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. 1A Page 1 of 9

Job No.: <u>5274.02</u> Project: <u>Colorado Lag</u> Latitude: <u>33.77222</u> Longitude: <u>118.13634</u> Water Depth: <u>12.2 ft</u> (Measured)	oon Restorat Water Dep Corrected	tion Feas	sibility	/ Study (MLLW)	Date: <u>30</u> Logged By Cored By: Start Time: Mudline E (MLL	June : <u>NM</u> DP 10:3 levation W)	2004       Vessel: KLI Barge         A       Tube Length: 15 ft.         Tube Diameter: 4 in.         33       Elapsed Time: (bgs)
Depth Depth Hushed Pushed	Recovered H Interval	Samples Jumper N	Container*	Hd	Pocket Penetrometer	Graphic Log	Weather:       Sunny/warm         Seas:
- 1	8.7 ft						<ul> <li>SC- SILTY FINE SAND v. dark olive brown, low plasticity, very soft, strong organic (hydrocarbon?) odor, 25% fines, 75% fine sand, saturated, trace shells</li> <li>SW- WELL GRADED SAND v. dark olive gray, loose, odorless, 100% fine grained sands, wet</li> <li>CL- LOW PLASTICITY CLAY olive gray green, soft, less than 5% fine sand, wet</li> <li>SP- POORLY GRADED SAND tan, loose, odorless, fine to coarse grained sands with abundant shell hash, wet</li> </ul>



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. 1B Page 2 of 9

Job No.: Project:	agoon Re	storati	ion Fea	asibili	ty Study	Date: <u>30</u> Logged By	June <u>NN</u>	2004         Vessel:         KLI Barge           1         Tube Length:         15 ft.				
Latitude:	33.77168						Cored By:	DP	Tube Diameter: 4 in.			
Longitude: 118.13560							Start Time: Elapsed Time:					
Water Depth: <u>9.8 ft</u> Water Depth: (MLLW)							Mudline El (MLL)	evatio W)	n: Depth of Core Required: (bgs)			
	Len	igth	S	ample	es		eter	b     b     Weather:     Sunny/warm				
pth bth	led	erec	val	ber	ner		rom	nic I	Seas:			
Le De	Jush	COV	lter	mn	ntai	н	scke	rapł	Notes:			
		Re	II .	Z	Ű	D	d d	5	Lithologic Description			
– – – 1 –			Тор						ML- CLAYEY SILT with trace v. Fine sand. Dark brown to black, low plasticity, v. Soft, slight hydrocarbon/strong sulfur odor, saturated, trace rootlets.			
_ 2 _		$  \rangle /$										
3			Bottom						CL- SILTY CLAY Olive gray green, low plasticity ,soft, firming down core, <5% fines. Wet, firmer than above Sediments.			
_ 4 _	X											
5 -												
6		/										
		666										
8 -												
9	0.0.6											
	9.0 It											
- 11-												
12												
15 —												
<u> </u>												
L 17												
18												
19												
THIS SUMMARY	APPLIES ONLY	AT THE LOCAT	 ION OF TI	 HIS BORIN	G AND A	T THE TIME OF C	ORING. SUBSURFA	CE CONI	ITIONS MAY DIFFER AT OTHER LOCATIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE			

PASSAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED ARE A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. 1C Page 3 of 9

Job No.: Project: Col Latitude: <u>33</u> Longitude: Water Deptl (Measured)	agoon Res	storati er Dept	on Fe:	asibilit	ty Study (MLLW)	Date:     30 June 2004     Vet       Logged By:     NM     Tu       Cored By:     DP     Tu       Start Time:     12:09     El       Mudline Elevation:     Depth of Core Require       (MLLW)     (bgs)			Vessel: <u>KLI Barge</u> Tube Length: <u>15 ft.</u> Tube Diameter: <u>4 in.</u> Elapsed Time: quired:	
Depth in Feet	Len	gth Recovered	Interval	ample. Number	Container*	Hd	Pocket Penetrometer	Graphic Log	Weather: <u>Sunny/war</u> Seas: Notes: Lit	rm
	9.0 ft	7.0 ft	Top						SC- CLAYEY SAND wi soft, strong organic saturated. SM- SILTY SAND, v. da sorted, ~10% fines, 9 shell hash, lithics, ar CL- LOW PLASTICITY very fine sand, wet. SM- SILTY SAND, v. da sand, 20% fines (silt)	ith slight plasticity, v. dark brown, v. c odor. 80% fine sand, 10% fines, ark brown, no plasticity, loose, poorly 90% v. Fine to coarse sed. Wet, abundant nd organic material (beach fill?). Y CLAY, dark olive gray. Soft, <5% ark brown, no plasticity, loose, 80% fine ).

PASSAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED ARE A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. 2A Page 4 of 9

Project: Colorado Lagoon Restoration Feasibility Study       Logged By: NM       Tube Length: 15 ft.         Latitude: 33.77073       Cored By: DP       Tube Diameter: 4 in.         Longitude: 118.13269       Start Time: 8:54       Elapsed Time:         Water Depth: 11.9ft       Water Depth: (MLLW)       Mudline Elevation:       Depth of Core Required:         (MLLW)       (bgs)       Cored By:       DP       Cored By:	
Length     Samples     Weather:     Sunny/warm       Lingth     Samples     H     BH     Samples     Weather:     Sunny/warm       Notes:     Interval     Recovered     Numper     Interval     Lithologic Description	
2       0       0       -	v. soft, rated, ît, 5% 5% sand

KINNETIC >	*Container Ty	pes
	B = Bag L = Liner	BB = Bulk Bag BL = Brass Liner
	J = Jar	C = Composite

# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOGVIBRACORE NO. 2BPage 5 of 9

Job No.:       5274.02       Date:       01 July         Project:       Colorado Lagoon Restoration Feasibility Study       Logged By:       NM         Latitude:       33.77116       Cored By:       DP         Longitude:       118.13226       Start Time:       09:5         Water Depth:       11.1ft       Water Depth:       Mudline Elevation         (Measured)       (Corrected)       (MLLW)       Mudline X	2004       Vessel: KLI Barge         M       Tube Length: 15 ft.         Tube Diameter: 4 in.         50       Elapsed Time:
Depth in Feet     Depth Feet       Pushed     Hanal       Recovered     Hanal       Number     saldmes       Ph     Pocket       Ph     Pocket       Pannetrometer     Pocket	Weather:       Sunny/warm         Seas:
Image: constraint of the second se	<ul> <li>ML- CLAYEY SILT, dark brown to black, low plasticity, v. soft, slight hydrocarbon/strong sulfur odor, saturated, trace organics</li> <li>SM- SILTY SAND, tan to lt. brown, loose, med to coarse grains, coarsening down core, 85% med to coarse sand, 15% fines, wet.</li> <li>SP- POORLY GRADED SAND with shell hash. Gray, loose, 95% coarse sands and shell hash 0.5-2 cm in diameter, saturated.</li> <li>CL- LOW PLASTICITY CLAY dark olive gray. Soft, 5% v. fine sand, 95% clay and silt, wet.</li> </ul>

PASSAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED ARE A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. 2C Page 6 of 9

Job No.: _5274.02 Project: Colorado Lagoon Latitude: 33.77055 Longitude: 118.13198 Water Depth: _12.6ft (Measured)	Restorat Water Dep	ion Fea	asibilit	ty Study (MLLW)	Date: <u>01</u> Logged By Cored By: Start Time: Mudline El (MLL	2004     Vessel:     KLI Barge       M     Tube Length:     15 ft.        Tube Diameter:     4 in.       30     Elapsed Time:	
Pepth Depth Hushed Recovered	Interval	ample Numper	Container*	Hd	Pocket Penetrometer	Graphic Log	Weather:         Sunny/warm           Seas:
1       2         3       -         4       -         5       -         6       -         7       -         8       -         9       9.0 ft         10       -         11       -         12       -         13       -         14       -         15       -         16       -         17       -         18       -         20       -         20       -	Top Bottom	HIS BORIN					ML- CLAYEY SILT, black to v. dark olive gray, low plasticity, v. soft, strong organic odor, 5% v. fine sand, 95% silt and clay. Saturated, trace shells. SC- SILTY FINE SAND olive green, soft. Slight organic odor, slight plasticity, 60% v. Fine sand, wet. CL- LOW PLASTICITY CLAY dark olive green. Soft, odorless, <5% sand, wet.



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. <u>3A</u> Page <u>7</u> of <u>9</u>

Ioh No ·	5274.02						Date: 30	June	2004 Vessel: KLI Barge			
Project C	Colorado L	agoon Re	storati	ion Fe	asibili	ty Study	Logged By	· NN	Image: Image         Image           I         Tube Length: 15 ft.			
Latitude:	33.77281						Cored By:	DP	Tube Dengun 4 in.			
Longitude	e: 118.132	48					Start Time:         16:32         Elapsed Time:					
Water De (Measured	Wate	er Dept	th:		(MLLW)	Mudline E (MLI	levatio .W)	n: Depth of Core Required: (bgs)				
	Len	igth	S	ample	es *		leter	log	Weather: Sunny/warm			
eet eet	hed	/erec	val	lber	iner		et trom	hic I	Seas:			
D. F	Pusl	tecov	Inter	Num	onta	Hd	Pene	Grap	Notes:			
									SC- CLAYEY SILT, black to v. dark olive gray, low plasticity,			
1	$\setminus$ /	/	Тор						v. soft, strong organic odor, 5% v. fine sand, 95% silt and			
		$  \rangle /$	Bottom						CL- MODERATE PLASTICITY CLAY olive green, soft. Slight			
		$  \rangle /$							organic odor, slight plasticity, 60% V. Fine sand, wet.			
3												
4	$\bigvee$	ĺÅ							trace 1-2cm organic layers			
	Ň								iruce 1-2cm organic iuyers			
_ 5 _												
6 -		/							CL- LOW PLASTICITY CLAY black, less than 20% medium			
7		/							grained sand, stiff, v. strong organic odor, moist to damp			
		7.4 ft										
8 -	/ \											
- 9 -	9.0 ft											
-10-												
12								-				
 - 14												
								-				
15												
								-				
L 17								1				
								1				
19								-				
- 20 -								]				
								-				
THIS SUMMARY	APPLIES ONLY	AT THE LOCAT	I ION OF T	 HIS BORI	NG AND A	H T THE TIME OF C	ORING. SUBSURFA	CE CONI	 ITIONS MAY DIFFER AT OTHER LOCATIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE			



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOG VIBRACORE NO. <u>3B</u> Page <u>8</u> of <u>9</u>

Job No.: Project: C Latitude: Longitud Water De (Measure	00 00 Wate	storati	ion Fea	asibilit	ty Study (MLLW)	Date:       30 June 2004       Vessel:       KLI Barge         Logged By:       NM       Tube Length:       15 ft.         Cored By:       DP       Tube Diameter:       4 in.         Start Time:       17:00       Elapsed Time:          Mudline Elevation:       Depth of Core Required:       (bgs)			Vessel: <u>KLI Barge</u> Tube Length: <u>15 ft.</u> Tube Diameter: <u>4 in.</u> Elapsed Time: equired:	
Longitud Water De (Measure Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q	e: 118.132/ pth: 9 ft d) Len Paysnd 6.5 ft	00 Wate (Cc Palanoo 22 5.8 ft	Interval and the second	h:	Container*	(MLLW)	Start Time: Mudline El (MLL) bocket	Cuaphic Log	n: Depth of Core Re (bgs) Weather: Sunny/wa Seas: Notes: SC- CLAYEY SAND, d sand, 25% fines, sa SM- POORLY GRADE possible fill? SC/ML- SILTY FINE S. SM- SILTY SAND tan t 90% fine sand, moi	Elapsed Time:
- 14	APPLIES ONLY	AT THE LOCAT	ION OF TI	HIS BORIN		THE TIME OF C	ORING SUBSURFA		JITIONS MAY DIFFER AT OTHER LOCAT	TIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE

PASSAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED ARE A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.



# COLORADO LAGOON RESTORATION FEASIBILITY STUDY BORE LOGVIBRACORE NO. <u>3C</u>Page <u>9</u> of <u>9</u>

Job No.: <u>5274.02</u> Project: Colorado Lagoon Restoration Feasibility Study Latitude: <u>33.77195</u>						y Study	Date:     30 June 2004     Vessel:     1       Logged By:     NM     Tube Leng       Cored By:     DP     Tube Diam			Vessel: <u>KLI Barge</u> Tube Length: <u>15 ft.</u> Tube Diameter: <u>4 in.</u>
Longitude: 118.13212         Water Depth: 11.2ft       Water Depth: (MLLW)         (Measured)       (Corrected)				Start Time: Mudline El (MLL	T7:4 levatio W)	n: Depth of Core Re (bgs)	equired:			
Depth in Feet	Len	Recovered Recovered	Interval	ample Numper N	Container*	Hd	Pocket Penetrometer	Graphic Log	Weather: <u>Sunny/wa</u> Seas: Notes: Li	thologic Description
1 2 3 3 3 5 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 110 112 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 119	9.0 ft	8.3 ft	Top	N					Li SC- CLAYEY SAND, v slight hydrocarbon 75% fine to mediuu and organic materia ML/CL- SILTY CLAY, stiff, 5% v. fine sa material, slight or SM- POORLY GRADE fine to coarse sand, wet. CL- LOW PLASTICITY v. fine sand, 95% cl	thologic Description Dark gray brown, v. Soft and loose, strong organic odor. 25% silt and clay, m sand, saturated. Trace broken shells al. v. Dark gray brown, low plasticity, soft to nd, 95% clay and silt, abundant organic ganic odor, wet. D SAND with silt, dark gray, loose, 90% Y CLAY dark olive brown, soft, 5% lay and silt, wet
THIS SUMMARY	APPLIES ONLY	AT THE LOCATI	ION OF TH	HIS BORIN	G AND AT	THE TIME OF C	DRING SUBSURFA	CE CONE	ITIONS MAY DIFFER AT OTHER LOCAT	TIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE

PASSAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED ARE A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED.



## **APPENDIX B**

Laboratory Reports



42 Hangar Way

Watsonville, CA 95076-2404

• (831) 724-4522 •

FAX (831) 724-3188

July 21, 2004

ToxScan Number: T407003

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008

Attn: Bob Shelquist

Project Name:	Colorado Lagoon
Project Number:	5274.01
Date Sampled:	June 30, 2004 – July 01, 2004
Date Received:	July 02, 2003
Matrix:	Solid

Please find the enclosed test results for the parameters requested for analyses. The samples were analyzed using the following methods:

Chlorinated Herbicides by EPA 8151A. conducted by McCampbell Analytical Laboratories Metals by EPA 6000/7000 Series Methods Oil & Grease by EPA 1664A(HEM). conducted by McCampbell Analytical Laboratories Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A Percent Solids by EPA 160.3 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA 8082 Semivolatile Organic Compounds by EPA 8270C Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons by EPA 1664A(SGT-HEM). conducted by McCampbell Analytical Laboratories

The samples were received intact and were handled with the proper chain-of-custody procedures. Appropriate QA/QC guidelines were employed during the analyses on a minimum of a 5% basis. QC results are reported with or following the data for each analysis.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please feel free to call.

Sincerely.

Philip & Carpente

Philip D. Carpenter, Ph.D. President

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of the report.

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T407003
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: 5274.01	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	07/29/2004

### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
CL-1-Sed-Top	T407003-01	Solid	06/30/04 18:08	07/02/04 09:31
CL-1-Sed-Bottom	T407003-02	Solid	06/30/04 18:08	07/02/04 09:31
CL-2-Sed-Top	T407003-03	Solid	07/01/04 12:40	07/02/04 09:31
CL-2-Sed-Bottom	T407003-04	Solid	07/01/04 12:40	07/02/04 09:31
CL-3-Sed-Top	T407003-05	Solid	06/30/04 20:20	07/02/04 09:31
CL-3-Sed-Bottom	T407003-06	Solid	06/30/04 20:20	07/02/04 09:31

ToxScan Inc.

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



## McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

ToxScan	Client Project ID: #T407003	Date Sampled: 06/30/04
42 Hangar Way		Date Received: 07/08/04
Watsonville, CA 95076	Client Contact: Sandy Reebie	Date Reported: 07/14/04
	Client P.O.: #015714	Date Completed: 07/14/04

#### WorkOrder: 0407121

July 14, 2004

Dear Sandy:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 3 analyzed samples from your #T407003 project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yours aruly Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

McCampbell An	alytical, Inc.		110 2nd Av Telepho Website: www.	venue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA one : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925 mccampbell.com E-mail: main	A 94553-5560 -798-1622 @mccampbell.c	om	
ToxScan	Client Project ID:	Client Project ID: #T407003 Date Sampled: 06/3					
42 Hangar Way				Date Received: 07/	08/04		
Watsonville CA 95076	Client Contact: Sa	andy Reebie		Date Extracted: 07/	08/04		
	Client P.O.: #015	5714		Date Analyzed: 07/	10/04		
Ct	lorinated Herbicid	les by GC-EC	D (Basic Tai	rget List)*			
Extraction Method: SW3550C	Analyt	ical Method: SW815	IA		Work Orde	er: 0407121	
Lab ID	0407121-001A (	0407121-002A	0407121-00	3A			
Client ID	CL-1-Sed-Top	CL-2-Sed-Top	CL-3-Sed-T	`op	Reporting	Limit for	
Matrix	S	S	S		DF =1		
DF	5	1	1		S	W	
Compound		µg/Kg	μg/L				
Acifluorfen	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Bentazon	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Chloramben	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
2,4-D (Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid)	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
2,4-DB	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Dalapon	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
DCPA (mono & diacid)	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Dicamba	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
3,5-Dichlorobenzoic Acid	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Dichloroprop	ND<250	ND	ND ·		50	NA	
Dinoseb (DNBP)	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
МСРА	ND<25,000	ND	ND		5000	NA	
МСРР	ND<25,000	ND	ND		5000	NA	
4-Nitrophenol	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
Picloram	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
2,4,5-T (Trichlorophenoxy acetic acid)	ND<250	ND	ND ND		50	NA	
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	ND<250	ND	ND		50	NA	
	Surroga	te Recoveries	5 (%)				
%SS:	99.5	88.0	91.6				
Comments	j						

\* water samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in µg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

# cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

h) a lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains >~1 vol. % sediment; j) sample diluted due to high organic content; k) reporting limits raised due to high organic content and cluttered chromatogram; l) results are reported by dry weight.

Г

Project: Colorado Lagoon Project Number: 5274.01 Project Manager: Bob Shelquist T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Metals by EPA 6000/7000 Series Methods

**ToxScan Inc.** 

		Reporting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T407003-01) Sediment									
Silver	1.20	0.169	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Arsenic	7.51	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Barium	342	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Beryllium	0.532	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cadmium	2.09	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cobalt	6.14	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chromium	34.1	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Copper	55.0	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Molybdenum	12.0	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Nickel	18	0.17	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Lead	409	0.845	"	100	"	"	07/28/04	"	
Antimony	1.74	0.169	"	20	"	"	07/15/04	"	
Selenium	0.530	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Thallium	0.910	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vanadium	56.4	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Zinc	266	1.69	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Mercury	0.331	0.0338	"	10	TG42101	07/20/04	07/20/04	EPA 7471B	
CL-1-Sed-Bottom (T407003-02) Sediment									
Copper	22.4	0.169	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Lead	40.0	0.169	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Zinc	62.9	1.69	"		"	"	"	"	
CL-2-Sed-Top (T407003-03) Sediment									
Silver	1.68	0.153	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Arsenic	6.15	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Barium	538	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Beryllium	0.493	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cadmium	0.652	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cobalt	6.04	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chromium	29.3	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Copper	27.4	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Molybdenum	8.65	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Nickel	14	0.15	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Lead	81.3	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Antimony	0.769	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Selenium	0.281	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Thallium	0.445	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vanadium	52.9	0.153	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Zinc	97.3	1.53	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Mercury	0.165	0.0306	"	10	TG42101	07/20/04	07/20/04	EPA 7471B	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Metals by EPA 6000/7000 Series Methods

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-2-Sed-Bottom (T407003-04) Sediment									
Copper	28.4	0.149	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Lead	13.8	0.149	"	"		"	"	"	
Zinc	103	1.49	"	"	"	"	"	"	
CL-3-Sed-Top (T407003-05) Sediment									
Silver	0.281	0.140	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Arsenic	4.90	0.140	"	"	"	"		"	
Barium	107	0.140	"	"	"	"		"	
Beryllium	0.373	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cadmium	0.375	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cobalt	4.08	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chromium	20.6	0.140	"	"	"	"		"	
Copper	14.5	0.140	"	"	"	"		"	
Molybdenum	6.70	0.140	"	"	"	"		"	
Nickel	8.9	0.14	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Lead	39.8	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Antimony	0.565	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Selenium	0.323	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Thallium	0.357	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vanadium	39.1	0.140	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Zinc	45.7	1.40	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Mercury	0.0527	0.00560	"	2	TG42101	07/20/04	07/20/04	EPA 7471B	
CL-3-Sed-Bottom (T407003-06) Sediment									
Copper	25.8	0.167	mg/kg dry	20	TG41604	07/15/04	07/15/04	EPA 6020	
Lead	12.7	0.167	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Zinc	71.8	1.67	"	"		"	"	"	

ToxScan Inc.

Mc	Campbell Ai	nalytical, Inc	• Uvebsit	110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 Website: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com						
ToxScan		Client Project II	D: #T407003	Date Sampled: 06/30/04-07/01/04						
42 Hangar Way				Date Received: 07/0	Date Received: 07/08/04					
Watsonwillo C	05076	Client Contact:	Sandy Reebie	Date Extracted: 07/0	8/04					
watsonvine, CA	4 93070	Client P.O.: #0	15714	Date Analyzed: 07/0	8/04					
Analytical methods: 5	H 5W9071B	exane Extractable	Material With Silica	Gel Treatment*	Work Order:	0407121				
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	HI	EMSGT	DF	% SS				
0407121-001A	CL-1-Sed-Top	S		290	1	N/A				
0407121-002A	CL-2-Sed-Top	S		ND	1	N/A				
0407121-003A	CL-3-Sed-Top	S		ND	1	N/A				
						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
					1					
	-									
	·				1					
		1 . -								
·										
· · ·		· · ·								
1		1			,					
Reporting Li	imit for DF =1; of detected at or	W		NA	N	√A				
above the r	reporting limit	S		50	mg	g/Kg				

# surrogate diluted out of range or not applicable to this sample.

g) sample extract repeatedly cleaned up with silica gel until constant IR result achieved; h) a lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

DHS Certification No. 1644

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad CA, 92008 Project: Colorado Lagoon Project Number: 5274.01 Project Manager: Bob Shelquist T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T407003-01) Sediment									
Aldrin	ND	3.4	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8081A	
alpha-BHC	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
beta-BHC	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
delta-BHC	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
gamma-BHC	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
alpha-Chlordane	50	14	"	4	"	"	07/12/04		
gamma-Chlordane	55	14	"	"	"	"	"		
4,4´-DDD	ND	3.4	"	1	"	"	07/10/04		
4,4´-DDE	67	14	"	4	"	"	07/12/04		
4,4´-DDT	14	14	"	1	"	"	07/10/04		
Dieldrin	27	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan I	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan II	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin Ketone	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"		
Methoxychlor	ND	6.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Toxaphene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Kepone	ND	17	"	"	"	"	"		
Mirex	ND	17	"	"	"	"	"		
Heptachlor	ND	3.4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	65.1 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	74.0 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	
T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-2-Sed-Top (T407003-03) Sediment									
Aldrin	ND	3.1	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8081A	
alpha-BHC	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
beta-BHC	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
delta-BHC	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
gamma-BHC	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
alpha-Chlordane	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
gamma-Chlordane	3.3	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
4,4´-DDD	3.5	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
4,4´-DDE	16	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
4,4´-DDT	ND	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Dieldrin	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Endosulfan I	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan II	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Endrin	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Endrin Ketone	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Methoxychlor	ND	6.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Toxaphene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Kepone	ND	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Mirex	ND	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Heptachlor	ND	3.1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	73.9 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	79.7 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-3-Sed-Top (T407003-05) Sediment									
Aldrin	ND	2.8	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8081A	
alpha-BHC	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
beta-BHC	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
delta-BHC	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
gamma-BHC	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
alpha-Chlordane	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
gamma-Chlordane	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
4,4´-DDD	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
4,4´-DDE	4.3	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
4,4´-DDT	ND	11	"	"	"	"	"		
Dieldrin	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan I	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan II	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Endrin Ketone	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	2.8	"	"	"	"	"		
Methoxychlor	ND	5.6	"	"	"	"	"		
Toxaphene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Kepone	ND	14	"	"	"	"	"		
Mirex	ND	14	"	"	"	"	"		
Heptachlor	ND	2.8		"		"	"	"	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	77.9 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	82.9 %	50-15	0		"	"	"	"	

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T407003
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: 5274.01	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	07/29/2004

## Percent Solids by EPA 160.3

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T407003-01) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	59.15	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	
CL-1-Sed-Bottom (T407003-02) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	59.00	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	
CL-2-Sed-Top (T407003-03) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	65.40	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	
CL-2-Sed-Bottom (T407003-04) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	67.04	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	
CL-3-Sed-Top (T407003-05) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	71.39	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	
CL-3-Sed-Bottom (T407003-06) Sediment									
Solids,Percent 160.3	59.80	0.1000	%	1	TG41401	07/12/04	07/14/04	EPA 160.3	

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA 8082

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T407003-01) Sediment									
Aroclor-1260	98	34	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8082	
Aroclor-1254	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1248	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1242	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1232	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1221	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1016	ND	34	"	"	"	"			
Total PCBs	98	34	"	"	"	"	"	"	
CL-2-Sed-Top (T407003-03) Sediment									
Aroclor-1260	ND	31	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8082	
Aroclor-1254	ND	31	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1248	ND	31	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1242	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"		
Aroclor-1232	ND	31	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1221	ND	31	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1016	ND	31	"	"	"	"			
Total PCBs	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
CL-3-Sed-Top (T407003-05) Sediment									
Aroclor-1260	ND	28	ug/kg dry	1	TG41905	07/07/04	07/10/04	EPA 8082	
Aroclor-1254	ND	28	"	"	"	"			
Aroclor-1248	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Aroclor-1242	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Aroclor-1232	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Aroclor-1221	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Aroclor-1016	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total PCBs	ND	28	"		"	"	"	"	

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Semivolatile Organic Compounds by EPA 8270C

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T407003-01) Sediment									<u> </u>
Acenaphthene	ND	34	ug/kg dry	1	TG41402	07/07/04	07/09/04	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthylene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Anthracene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3600	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Chrysene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	34		"	"	"	"		
Diethyl phthalate	47	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Dimethyl phthalate	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Fluoranthene	370	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Fluorene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Naphthalene	ND	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Phenanthrene	250	34	"	"	"	"	"		
Pyrene	630	34	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	109 %	23-12	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	81.7 %	30-12	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	108 %	18-13	7		"	"	"	"	

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Semivolatile Organic Compounds by EPA 8270C

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-2-Sed-Top (T407003-03) Sediment									<u> </u>
Acenaphthene	ND	31	ug/kg dry	1	TG41402	07/07/04	07/09/04	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthylene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Anthracene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	410	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chrysene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Di-n-butyl phthalate	38	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Diethyl phthalate	42	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Dimethyl phthalate	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Fluoranthene	53	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Fluorene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Naphthalene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Phenanthrene	ND	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Pyrene	73	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	90.2 %	23-12	20		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.0 %	30-12	20		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	101 %	18-13	7		"	"	"	"	

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Semivolatile Organic Compounds by EPA 8270C

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-3-Sed-Top (T407003-05) Sediment									<u> </u>
Acenaphthene	ND	28	ug/kg dry	1	TG41402	07/07/04	07/09/04	EPA 8270C	
Acenaphthylene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Anthracene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Benzo (a) pyrene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	260	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Butyl benzyl phthalate	34	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Chrysene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Diethyl phthalate	65	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Dimethyl phthalate	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Fluoranthene	31	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Fluorene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Naphthalene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Phenanthrene	ND	28	"	"	"	"	"		
Pyrene	34	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	104 %	23-12	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	66.2 %	30-12	0		"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: Terphenyl-dl4	98.6 %	18-13	7		"	"	"	"	



## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8151A

				Matrix:		WorkOrder: 0407121					
EPA Method: SW8151A	Extraction: SW3550C BatchID: 12287					S	Spiked Sample ID: 0407120-003A				
	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	e Criteria (%)	
	µg/Kg	µg/Kg	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High	
2,4-D (Dichlorophenoxyacetic aci	ND<250	100	74.5	78.3	4.98	70.6	71.1	0.771	60	140	
2,4-DB	ND<250	100 -	83.5	91.9	9.61	88.6	83.2	6.30	60	140	
Dalapon	ND<250	100	83	82.1	1.03	73.5	77.9	5.75	60	140	
Dicamba	ND<250	100	77.7	81.9	5.31	71.1	70.7	0.673	60	140	
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	ND<250	100	72.2	76.6	5.88	78.3	78.4	0.0841	60	140	
%SS:	104	100	108	112	3.69	89.5	89.2	0.340	60	140	
All target compounds in the Meth	od Blank o	f this extra	ction batch v	vere ND le	ss than the m	nethod RL	with the fo	llowing excen	tions:		

NONE

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

\* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate. NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

DHS Certification No. 1644

V R QA/QC Officer

T407003

Reported:

07/29/2004

#### Metals by EPA 6000/7000 Series Methods - Quality Control

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
i maryo	Result	Lillin	Onits	Lever	Result	/UNLC	Linits	ΝD	Lillin	notes
Batch TG41604 - EPA 3050										
Reference (TG41604-SRM3)				Prepared &	& Analyzed	: 07/15/04	4		SV	V-8
Antimony	213	0.100	mg/kg wet	268		79.5	70-130			
Arsenic	251	0.100	"	273		91.9	70-130			
Beryllium	38.8	0.100	"	46.2		84.0	70-130			
Cadmium	50.3	0.100	"	52.7		95.4	70-130			
Chromium	65.8	0.100	"	61.5		107	70-130			
Cobalt	107	0.100	"	121		88.4	70-130			
Copper	146	0.100	"	166		88.0	70-130			
Lead	83.1	0.100	"	87.2		95.3	70-130			
Molybdenum	133	0.100	"	116		115	70-130			
Nickel	48.3	0.10	"	57.1		84.6	70-130			
Selenium	116	0.100	"	124		93.5	70-130			
Silver	87.8	0.100	"	82.4		107	70-130			
Thallium	96.3	0.100	"	93.0		104	70-130			
Vanadium	136	0.100	"	120		113	70-130			
Zinc	128	1.00	"	147		87.1	70-130			
Batch TG42101 - EPA 7471B										
Blank (TG42101-BLK1)				Prepared &	& Analyzed	: 07/20/04	4			
Mercury	ND	0.0200	mg/kg wet							
Matrix Spike (TG42101-MS1)	Se	ource: T407	7003-05	Prepared &	& Analyzed	: 07/20/04	4			
Mercury	2.71	0.112	mg/kg dry	2.80	0.0527	94.9	80-120			
Matrix Snike Dun (TC42101-MSD1)	C.	ource: T407	7003-05	Prenared &	& Analyzed	· 07/20/04	1			
mains spike Dup (1042101-MSDI)	50	Juile, 140/	003-03	i iepaieu e	x / mary Zeu	. 07/20/04	Ŧ			
Mercury	2.82	0.112	mg/kg dry	2.80	0.0527	98.8	80-120	4.03	20	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

#### Metals by EPA 6000/7000 Series Methods - Quality Control

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG42101 - EPA 7471B										
Reference (TG42101-SRM1)			Prepared & Analyzed: 07/20/04 SS-01							
Mercury	0.0860	0.0100	mg/kg wet	0.0910		94.5	70-130			

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## **Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control**

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Blank (TG41905-BLK1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	nalyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	ND	2.0	ug/kg wet							
alpha-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
beta-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
delta-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
gamma-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
alpha-Chlordane	ND	2.0	"							
gamma-Chlordane	ND	2.0	"							
4,4´-DDD	ND	2.0	"							
4,4´-DDE	ND	2.0	"							
4,4'-DDT	ND	8.0	"							
Dieldrin	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan I	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan II	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin Ketone	ND	2.0	"							
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	2.0	"							
Methoxychlor	ND	4.0	"							
Toxaphene	ND	20	"							
Kepone	ND	10	"							
Mirex	ND	10	"							
Heptachlor	ND	2.0	"							
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	7.12		"	10.0		71.2	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.84		"	10.0		88.4	50-150			
LCS (TG41905-BS1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	5.76	2.0	ug/kg wet	8.00		72.0	34-132			
gamma-BHC	4.02	2.0	"	8.00		50.2	46-127			
4,4'-DDT	16.3	8.0	"	20.0		81.5	23-134			
Dieldrin	18.0	2.0	"	20.0		90.0	31-134			
Endrin	19.6	2.0	"	20.0		98.0	42-139			
Heptachlor	5.40	2.0	"	8.00		67.5	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	7.50		"	10.0		75.0	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.86		"	10.0		88.6	50-150			

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Matrix Spike (TG41905-MS1)	Su	ource: T407	7003-05	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	8.46	2.8	ug/kg dry	11.2	ND	75.5	34-132			
gamma-BHC	5.66	2.8	"	11.2	ND	50.5	46-127			
4,4´-DDT	23.6	11	"	28.0	ND	84.3	23-134			
Dieldrin	24.3	2.8	"	28.0	ND	86.8	31-134			
Endrin	27.5	2.8	"	28.0	ND	98.2	42-139			
Heptachlor	7.26	2.8		11.2	ND	64.8	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.3		"	14.0		73.6	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	11.8		"	14.0		84.3	50-150			
Matrix Spike Dup (TG41905-MSD1)	So	ource: T407	7003-05	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	8.96	2.8	ug/kg dry	11.2	ND	80.0	34-132	5.74	43	
gamma-BHC	6.28	2.8	"	11.2	ND	56.1	46-127	10.4	50	
4,4´-DDT	25.4	11	"	28.0	ND	90.7	23-134	7.35	50	
Dieldrin	25.9	2.8	"	28.0	ND	92.5	31-134	6.37	38	
Endrin	28.5	2.8	"	28.0	ND	102	42-139	3.57	45	
Heptachlor	8.40	2.8	"	11.2	ND	75.0	35-130	14.6	31	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.9		"	14.0		77.9	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	12.4		"	14.0		88.6	50-150			

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T407003
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: 5274.01	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	07/29/2004

#### Percent Solids by EPA 160.3 - Quality Control ToxScan Inc.

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41401 - Inorganic Prep										
Duplicate (TG41401-DUP1)	S	ource: T407	012-01	Prepared:	07/12/04 A	analyzed:	07/14/04			
Solids,Percent 160.3	43.51	0.1000	%		42.26			2.91	20	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Aroclor-1016

Total PCBs

## **Reported:** 07/29/2004

#### Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA 8082 - Quality Control

#### **ToxScan Inc.**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Blank (TG41905-BLK1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	nalyzed:	07/10/04			
Aroclor-1260	ND	20	ug/kg wet							
Aroclor-1254	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1248	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1242	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1232	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1221	ND	20	"							

..

ND

ND

20

20



#### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW9071B

				Matrix:	S				WorkOrder	: 0407121
EPA Method: SW9071B	E	Extraction:	SM5520E	DF_S	BatchID:	12288	s	piked Sampl	e ID: 0407	7121-002A
	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptanc	ce Criteria (%)
a state of the second	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High
HEMSGT	ND	100	93	95	2.13	92	94	2.15	70	130
All target compounds in the Meth NONE	nod Blank o	f this extrac	tion batch v	were ND le	ss than the m	nethod RL	with the fo	llowing excep	tions:	

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

\* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate. NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

# surrogate diluted out of range.

DHS Certification No. 1644

 $\underline{V}$   $\underline{V}$  \underline

T407003 **Reported:** 7/21/2004

#### Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control

Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B		•									
Blank (TG41905-BLK1)				J	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed	: 07/10/04			
Aldrin	ND	1.0	2.0 ug	g/kg wet							
alpha-BHC	ND	0.1	2.0	17							
beta-BHC	ND	_ 1.0	2.0								
delta-BHC	ND	1.0	2.0	11							
gamma-BHC	ND	1.0	2.0	"							
alpha-Chlordane	ND	1.0	2.0	а							
gamma-Chlordane	ND	1.0	2.0								
4,4´-DDD	ND	1.0	2.0	n.							
4,4 -DDE	ND	1.0	2.0	u.							
4,4'-DDT	ND	1.0	8.0								
Dieldrin	ND	1.0	2.0	"							
Endosulfan I	ND	1.0	2.0	11							
Endosulfan II	ND	1.0	2.0	"							
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	1.0	2.0	11							
Endrin	ND	1.0 ·	2.0	14							
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	1.0	2.0	17							
Endrin Ketone	ND	1.0	2.0	11							
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	1.0	2.0	п							
Methoxychlor	ND	2.0	4.0	n							
Toxaphene	ND	10	20	"							
Kepone	0.00	1.0	10	.,							
Mirex	0.00	10	10	17							
Heptachlor	ND	1.0	2.0								
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xvlene	7.12			"	10.0		71.2	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.84			"	10.0		88.4	50-150	.**		
LCS (TG41905-BS1)				I	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed	: 07/10/04			
Aldrin	5.76	1.0	2.0 ug	g/kg wet	8.00		72.0	34-132			
gamma-BHC	4.02	1.0	2.0	п	8.00		50.2	46-127			
4,4'-DDT	16.3	1.0	8.0	8	20.0		81.5	23-134			
Dieldrin	18.0	1.0	2.0		20.0		90.0	31-134			
Endrin	19.6	1.0	2.0		20.0		98.0	42-139			
Heptachlor	5.40	1.0	2.0	"	8.00		67.5	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	7.50			"	10:0		75.0	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.86			"	10.0		88.6	50-150			

#### Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B	·····									
Matrix Spike (TG41905-MS1)		Source:	T407003-05	Prepared	: 07/07/04	Analyzec	l: 07/10/04			
Aldrin	8.46	1.4	2.8 ug/kg dr	y 11.2	ND	75.5	34-132			
gamma-BHC	5.66	1.4	2.8 "	11.2	ND	50.5	46-127			
4.4´-DDT	23.6	- 1.4	11 "	28.0	ND	84.3	23-134			
Dieldrin	24.3	1.4	2.8 "	28.0	ND	86.8	31-134			
Endrin	27.5	1.4	2.8 "	28.0	ND	98.2	42-139			
Heptachlor	7.26	1.4	2.8 "	11.2	ND	64.8	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.3			14.0		73.6	50-150			

Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	11.8			"	14.0		84.3	50-150		
Matrix Spike Dup (TG41905-MSD1)		Source:	T407003-05		Prepared: (	07/07/04	Analyzed	1: 07/10/04		
Aldrin	8.96	1.4	2.8 ug/l	kg dry	11.2	ND	80.0	34-132	5.74	43
gamma-BHC	6.28	1.4	2.8	11	11.2	NĐ	56.1	46-127	10.4	50
4,4´-DDT	25.4	1.4	11	11	28.0	ND	90.7	23-134	7.35	50
Dieldrin	25.9	1.4	2.8	11	28.0	ND	92.5	31-134	6.37	38
Endrin	28.5	1.4	2.8	"	28.0	ND	102	42-139	3.57	45
Heptachlor	8.40	1.4	2.8	11	11.2	ND	75.0	35-130	14.6	31
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.9			"	14.0		77.9	50-150		
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	12.4			"	14.0		88.6	50-150		

T407003 **Reported:** 7/21/2004

## Percent Solids by EPA 160.3 - Quality Control

Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41401 - Inorganic Prep									********		
Duplicate (TG41401-DUP1) Solids,Percent 160.3	43.5	<b>Source</b> 0.100	e: <b>T407012-0</b> 0.100	1 %	Prepared	07/12/04 42.3	Analyzec	1: 07/14/04	2.80	20	
		-									

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## **Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control**

**ToxScan Inc.** 

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Blank (TG41905-BLK1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	nalyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	ND	2.0	ug/kg wet							
alpha-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
beta-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
delta-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
gamma-BHC	ND	2.0	"							
alpha-Chlordane	ND	2.0	"							
gamma-Chlordane	ND	2.0	"							
4,4´-DDD	ND	2.0	"							
4,4´-DDE	ND	2.0	"							
4,4'-DDT	ND	8.0	"							
Dieldrin	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan I	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan II	ND	2.0	"							
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	2.0	"							
Endrin Ketone	ND	2.0	"							
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	2.0	"							
Methoxychlor	ND	4.0	"							
Toxaphene	ND	20	"							
Kepone	ND	10	"							
Mirex	ND	10	"							
Heptachlor	ND	2.0	"							
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	7.12		"	10.0		71.2	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.84		"	10.0		88.4	50-150			
LCS (TG41905-BS1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	5.76	2.0	ug/kg wet	8.00		72.0	34-132			
gamma-BHC	4.02	2.0	"	8.00		50.2	46-127			
4,4'-DDT	16.3	8.0	"	20.0		81.5	23-134			
Dieldrin	18.0	2.0	"	20.0		90.0	31-134			
Endrin	19.6	2.0	"	20.0		98.0	42-139			
Heptachlor	5.40	2.0	"	8.00		67.5	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	7.50		"	10.0		75.0	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	8.86		"	10.0		88.6	50-150			

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

T407003

**Reported:** 07/29/2004

## Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA 8081A - Quality Control

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Matrix Spike (TG41905-MS1)	Su	ource: T407	7003-05	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	8.46	2.8	ug/kg dry	11.2	ND	75.5	34-132			
gamma-BHC	5.66	2.8	"	11.2	ND	50.5	46-127			
4,4´-DDT	23.6	11	"	28.0	ND	84.3	23-134			
Dieldrin	24.3	2.8	"	28.0	ND	86.8	31-134			
Endrin	27.5	2.8	"	28.0	ND	98.2	42-139			
Heptachlor	7.26	2.8		11.2	ND	64.8	35-130			
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.3		"	14.0		73.6	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	11.8		"	14.0		84.3	50-150			
Matrix Spike Dup (TG41905-MSD1)	So	ource: T407	7003-05	Prepared:	07/07/04	Analyzed:	07/10/04			
Aldrin	8.96	2.8	ug/kg dry	11.2	ND	80.0	34-132	5.74	43	
gamma-BHC	6.28	2.8	"	11.2	ND	56.1	46-127	10.4	50	
4,4´-DDT	25.4	11	"	28.0	ND	90.7	23-134	7.35	50	
Dieldrin	25.9	2.8	"	28.0	ND	92.5	31-134	6.37	38	
Endrin	28.5	2.8	"	28.0	ND	102	42-139	3.57	45	
Heptachlor	8.40	2.8	"	11.2	ND	75.0	35-130	14.6	31	
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	10.9		"	14.0		77.9	50-150			
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	12.4		"	14.0		88.6	50-150			

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T407003
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: 5274.01	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	07/29/2004

#### Percent Solids by EPA 160.3 - Quality Control ToxScan Inc.

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41401 - Inorganic Prep										
Duplicate (TG41401-DUP1)	S	ource: T407	012-01	Prepared:	07/12/04 A	analyzed:	07/14/04			
Solids,Percent 160.3	43.51	0.1000	%		42.26			2.91	20	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Aroclor-1016

Total PCBs

## **Reported:** 07/29/2004

#### Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA 8082 - Quality Control

#### **ToxScan Inc.**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TG41905 - EPA 3550B										
Blank (TG41905-BLK1)				Prepared:	07/07/04 A	nalyzed:	07/10/04			
Aroclor-1260	ND	20	ug/kg wet							
Aroclor-1254	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1248	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1242	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1232	ND	20	"							
Aroclor-1221	ND	20	"							

..

ND

ND

20

20

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project:	Colorado Lagoon	T407003
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number:	5274.01	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager:	Bob Shelquist	07/29/2004

#### **Notes and Definitions**

|--|

- M-12 Spike recovery outside QC limits due to matrix interference.
- SS-01 National Research Council Canada MESS-3
- SW-8 Environmental Resource Associates Lot # D036-540
- DET Analyte DETECTED
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- NR Not Reported
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

Page of	uboratories, Inc mida Encinas XA 92008 68 59 Fax mevieve Lada	Project #: 5274.02	No. of Bottles Lah D. Receipt	1 7407003-01-A Intact		03-14	#-H0	1 0S-A	06-21	A 07-4.8C.0	4 08:48.6.0	4 09-4.062	tesults and Signature of QA Reviewer.	(this to PAH'S) and TRPH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	innetic La 225-H Ave arisbad, C 760) 438-89 760) 438-29 760) 438-29		r Pres	4 °C	4 °C	4 °C -	4 °C	4 °C	4 °C			$\rightarrow$	Analytical R	(in rela	By:		
	From: 5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Sediment	Containe	IL WMGJ	IT WWG	IL WMGJ	IL WMGJ	IL WMGJ	IL WMGI	_		->	f Analysis, /	LA-TES	Received	Received	
Record	а <i>ю</i> у ЮЗ	Matrix:	Analysis	Title 22 Metals, Title 22 Organics, PAHs, Percent Moisture*	Total Metals: Pb, Cu, Zn	Title 22 Metals, Title 22 Organics, PAHs, Percent Moisture*	Total Metals: Pb, Cu, Zn	Title 22 Metals, Title 22 Organics, PAHs, Percent Moisture*	Total Mctals: Pb, Cu, Zn	Elutriate Chemistry	Elutriate Chemistry	Elutriate Chemistry	bate of Extraction if applicable, Date (	Device instruction and specific analyses.	Transporter:	Transporter: Use in state with a life	
<b>Justody</b> ]	vived: 7/, 4070		Sample	Comp	Comp	Comp	Comp	Comp	Comp	_		0	ction Limit, I	, קוצואל	921	5	
Chain of (	Date Rece Lab T#:		Sample Time	8081	$\rightarrow$	0261	1240	2020	1020	808	1240	XCOCX	Method, Detec	X ZAISU	ate/lime:	ate/Time:	
542. (	ra Rechie		Sample Date	40/06/19	->	Holot	→	6/30/04	7	40/06/4	4/01/2	+ of of	ID, Analytical   OA/OC OMLV		Ha Ha		
Latre : RIL	y A 95076 Fax ard Villanueya, Sand	Colorado Lagoon	Station ID	Colorado Lagoon 1	Colorado Lagoon I	Colorado Lagoon 2	Colorado Lagoon 2	Colorado Lagoon 3	Colorado Lagoon 3	Coloradu Layon 1	(dorado logon 2	Coloradu Lacpon 3	e the following: Sample oments: RATCH		Dy. Specific de la compara		
6	1 0: Toxscan, Inc. 42 Hangar Wa Watsonville,C. (831) 724-4522 (831) 724-4522 (831) 724-3188 Contact: Leom	Project: Complete by: 3 weeks	Sample ID	C11-Sed-Top	CL-1-Sed-Bottom	CL:2-Sed-Top	CL-2-Sed-Bottom	CL-3-Sed-Top	CU-3-Sed-Bottom	c1-1-522.Top	CL-2. Sed. Top	CL- 3-Sed-Top	Data Report MUST includ Special Instructions/Con	Samulad and Dalination.2	M. De	Relinquished By: Academic 20	

20030429

( C

# Received: 7/23/04 3:35PM; 8317243188 > Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc.; Page 2 07/23/2004 15:17 8317243188 TOXSCAN INC PAGE 02 ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

and BACTERIOLOGISTS

Approved by State of California

Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188

SOIL CONTROL LAB

184813-6-2129

WATSON VILLE CARTONNIA CARTONNIA CARTONNIA

Kinnetic Laboratorics, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevicve Lada

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Sediment
Client Sample ID:	CL-1-Scd-Top
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon I
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-1

#### SIZE INTERVAL

<u>Phi</u>	mm	INTERVAL WT (gm)	INTERVAL (%)	CUMULATIVE (%)
<-5	>32	0.00	0.0	0.0
	J2-10	0.00	0.0	0.0
د-	10-8	0.00	0.0	0.0
-2	8-4	0.43	0.7	0.7
-1	4-2	0.42	0.7	1.4
0	2-1	1.11	1.8	3.2
1	1-0.5	4.01	6.6	9.8
2	0.5-0.25	11.40	18.6	28.4
د	0.25-0.125	6.90	11.3	39.7
4	0.125-0.062	4.91	8.0	17 7
5	< 0.062	31.96	52.3	100.0

total wt	coarse wt	fine wt
61.1	29.2	32.0

Mike Gullowm

Received: 7/23/04 3:35
------------------------

07/23/2004 15:17

SC

TOXSCAN INC

LAB

PAGE 03

## ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

BACTERIOLOGISTS

8317243188

Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188

184813-6-2129

42 HANGAR WAY

R

CHUFORNIA

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevieve Lada

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Sediment
Client Sample ID:	CL-1-Sed-Bottom
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon 1
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-2

#### SIZE INTERVAL

<u>Phi</u>	<u>_mm</u>	INTERVAL WT (gm)	INTERVAL (%)	<u>CUMULATIVE (%)</u>
<-5	>32	0.00	0.0	0.0
-4	32-16	0.00	0.0	0.0
-3	16-8	0.00	0.0	0.0
-2	8-4	0.39	0.6	0.6
-1	4-2	0.12	0.2	0.8
0	2-1	0.11	0.2	1.0
1	1-0.5	0.21	0.3	1.4
2	0.5-0.25	0.89	1.5	2.8
3	0.25-0.125	2.40	4.0	6.8
4	0.125-0.062	2.61	4.3	11.1
5	< 0.062	53.95	88.9	100.0

total wt	coarse wt	fine wt
<b>60</b> .7	6.7	54.0

Mike Hallowm

Received:	7/2	3/04	3:35PM;
07/23/20	104	15:17	8317243188

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

BACTERIOLOGISTS

Approved by State of Celifornia

Tel: 831 724-5422

FAX: 831 724-3188

8317243188 -> Kinnetic Laboratories, inc.,

TOXSCAN INC

LAB

184813-6-2129

raye 4

PAGE 04

42 HANGAR WAY WATSONVILLE \_ CALIFORNIA

95076

R

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevieve Lada

SOIL

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Sediment
Client Sample ID:	CL-2-Sed-Top
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon 2
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-3

SIZE INTERVAL			
<u>Phi mm</u>	INTERVAL_WT (gm)	INTERVAL (%)	CUMULATIVE (%)
<-5 >32	0.00	0.0	0.0
-4 32-16	0.00	0.0	0.0
-3 16 <b>-</b> 8	0.00	0.0	0.0
-2 8-4	0.22	0.3	0.3
-1 4-2	0.85	1.2	1.5
0 2-1	1.39	2.0	3.5
1 1-0.5	4.53	6.5	10.0
2 0.5-0.25	11.27	16.2	26.2
3 0.25-0.125	7.98	11.4	37.6
4 0.125-0.062	4.18	6.0	43.6
5 < 0.062	39.30	56.4	100.0

total wt	coarse wt	fine wt
69.7	30.4	39.3

Mike Gullowry



8317243188 -> Kinnetic Laboratories, inc., Fage J

TOXSCAN INC

PAGE 05

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

BACTERIOLOGISTS Approved by State of California

SOIL CONTROL LAB

Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188

184813-6-2129

WATSONVILLE

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevieve Lada

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Sediment
Client Sample ID:	CL-2-Sed-Bottom
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon 2
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-4

#### SIZE INTERVAL

<u>Phi mm</u>	<u>ÍNTERVAL WT (gm)</u>	INTERVAL (%)	CUMULATIVE (%)
<-5 >32	0.00	0.0	0.0
-4 32-16	0.00	0.0	0.0
-3 16-8	0.00	0.0	0.0
-2 8-4	0.00	0.0	0.0
-1 4-2	0.08	0.1	0.1
0 2-1	0.07	0.1	0.2
1 1-0.5	0.17	0.3	0.5
2 0.5-0.25	0.44	0.7	1.1
3 0.25-0.125	2.41	3.6	4.7
4 0.125-0.062	10.84	16.0	20.7
5 < 0.062	53.59	79.3	100.0

total wt	coarse wt	fine wt
67.6	14.0	53.6

Mike Gullowmy

necetveu. //2	3/04 0.00FM,	031/243100 KINNELIC	Laboratories, inc.; Mage b
07/23/2004	15:17 8317243188	TOXSCAN INC	PAGE 06
SOIL Kinnetic Labo	ALYTICAL CHEMISTS and BACTERIOLOGISTS Approved by State of California CONTROL 42 HANGAR WAY WATSONVILLE CHIFCRIME CONFORMULE	LAB	Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188 184813-6-2129
Kinnetic Labo 5225-H Aven	ALYTICAL CHEMISTS and BACTERIOLOGISTS Approved by State of California CONTROL 42 HANGAR WAY WATSONVILLE CONFORMED STATES, Inc. ida Encinas	LAB	Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188 184813-6-2129

Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevieve Lada

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Scdiment
Client Sample ID:	CL-3-Sed-Top
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon 3
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-5

SIZE INTERVAL			
<u>Phi mm</u>	INTERVAL WT (gm)	INTERVAL (%)	CUMULATIVE (%)
<-5 >32	0.00	0.0	0.0
-4 32-16	0.00	0.0	0.0
-3 16-8	0.36	0.4	0.4
-2 8-4	3.34	3.5	3.0
-1 4-2	1.79	1.9	5.9
0 2-1	3.78	4.0	9.8
1 1-0.5	14.69	15.6	75 4
2 0.5-0.25	22.69	24 1	2J.4 10.5
3 0.2 <b>5-0.12</b> 5	12.35	13.1	62.6
4 0.125-0.062	7.11	7.5	70.1
5 < 0.062	28.15	29.9	100.0

total wt	coarse wt	fine wt
94.3	66.1	28.2

Mike Gullowry

07/23/2004 15:17

SOIL

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

and BACTERIOLOGISTS

Approved by State of Celifornie

8317243188

Tel: 831 724-5422 FAX: 831 724-3188

184813-6-2129

42 HANGAR WAY WATSONVILLE

Sec. A.

LAB

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 97008

Carlsbad, CA 92008 Attn: Genevieve Lada

Project #/Name:	5274.02 / Colorado Lagoon
Method:	Plumb
Date Analyzed:	July 22, 2004
Matrix:	Sediment
Clicnt Sample ID:	CL-3-Sed-Bottom
Client Site ID:	Colorado Lagoon 3
ToxScan Lab ID:	184813-6

## SIZE INTERVAL

<u>Phi</u>	mm	INTERVAL WT (gm)	INTERVAL (%)	CUMULATIVE (%)
<-5	>32	0.00	0.0	0.0
-4	32-16	0.00	0.0	0.0
-5	16-8	0.00	0.0	0.0
-2	8-4	1.72	3.1	2 1
-1	4-2	0.23	0.4	3.1
0	2-1	1.05	1.9	5.4
1	1-0.5	1.56	2.8	<b>8</b> 2
2	0. <b>5-0</b> .25	2.43	4.4	174
3	0.25-0.125	4.13	7.5	20.1
4	0.125-0.062	5.57	10.1	30.2
5	< 0.062	38.60	69.8	100.0

total urt		
total wi	coarse wt	fine wt
55.3	16.7	20 6
		38.0

Mike Gallowry

## **APPENDIX C**

# Follow-up Leachate Reports



9

42 Hangar Way

Watsonville, CA 95076-2404

(831) 724-4522 • FAX (831) 724-3188

August 10, 2004

ToxScan Number: T408010

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad

5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008

Attn: Bob Shelquist

Project Name:	Colorado Lagoon
Project Number:	[none]
Date Sampled:	August 04, 2004
Date Received:	August 04, 2004
Matrix:	Water

Please find the enclosed test results for the parameters requested for analyses. The samples were analyzed using the following methods:

.

Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7

The samples were received and handled with the proper chain-of-custody procedures. Appropriate QA/QC guidelines were employed during the analyses on a minimum of a 5% basis. QC results are reported with or following the data for each analysis.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Philip &. Carpente

Philip D. Carpenter, Ph.D. President

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of the report

Kinnetic Laboratories - CarlsbadProject:Colorado LagoonT4080105225-H Avenida EncinasProject Number:[none]Reported:Carlsbad CA, 92008Project Manager:Bob Shelquist08/10/2004

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
CL-1-Sed-Top	T408010-01	Water	08/04/04 09:45	08/04/04 09:45

ToxScan Inc.

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad		Project: Colorado Lagoon					T408010			
5225-H Avenida Encinas Project Number: [none]							Rej	Reported:		
Carlsbad CA, 92008 Project Manager: Bob Shelquist 08/10/20							10/2004			
Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7										
ToxScan Inc.										
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes	

#### CL-1-Sed-Top (T408010-01) DI W.E.T.

Lead

ND 0.0200 mg/l 1 TH40601 08/05/04 08/05/04 EPA 200.7

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad
5225-H Avenida Encinas
Carlsbad CA, 92008

**Reported:** 08/10/2004

## Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7 - Quality Control

ToxScan Inc.

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TH40601 - EPA 200 Series										
Blank (TH40601-BLK1)	Prepared & Analyzed: 08/05/04									
Lead	ND	0.0200	mg/l							
Matrix Spike (TH40601-MS1)	Source: T408010-01		Prepared & Analyzed: 08/05/04					1997 mar - 1995 mar - 1997 mar		
Lead	0.199	0.0200	mg/l	0.200	ND	99.5	75-125			
Matrix Spike Dup (TH40601-MSD1)	1) Source: T408010-01			Prepared & Analyzed: 08/05/04						·
Lead	0.199	0.0200	mg/l	0.200	ND	99.5	75-125	0.00	20	
Reference (TH40601-SRM1)				Prepared & Analyzed: 08/05/04				SW	-08	
Lead	0.0830	0.0200	mg/l	0.0861		96.4	75-125			

Reported:

08/10/2004

#### **Notes and Definitions**

SW-08 Environmental Resource Associates Lot # S080697

DET Analyte DETECTED

- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- NR Not Reported
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

ToxScan Inc.

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.


42 Hangar Way

Watsonville, CA 95076-2404

(831) 724-4522 • FAX (831) 724-3188

August 10, 2004

ToxScan Number: T408011

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad

5225-H Avenida Encinas Carlsbad, CA 92008

Attn: Bob Shelquist

Project Name:	Colorado Lagoon
Project Number:	[none]
Date Sampled:	August 04, 2004
Date Received:	August 04, 2004
Matrix:	Water

Please find the enclosed test results for the parameters requested for analyses. The samples were analyzed using the following methods:

Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7

The samples were received and handled with the proper chain-of-custody procedures. Appropriate QA/QC guidelines were employed during the analyses on a minimum of a 5% basis. QC results are reported with or following the data for each analysis.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Philip & Carpenter

Philip D. Carpenter, Ph.D. President

Enclosures

This cover letter is an integral part of the report

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project:	Colorado Lagoon	T408011
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number:	[none]	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager:	Bob Shelquist	08/10/2004

### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
CL-1-Sed-Top	T408011-01	Water	08/04/04 09:45	08/04/04 09:45

ToxScan Inc.

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T408011
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	08/10/2004

## Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7

### ToxScan Inc.

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CL-1-Sed-Top (T408011-01) TCLP Extr.									
Lead	0.770	0.200	mg/l	10	TH40602	08/05/04	08/05/04	EPA 200.7	

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project:	Colorado Lagoon	T408011
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number:	[none]	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager:	Bob Shelquist	08/10/2004

# Metals (Total) by EPA 200.7 - Quality Control

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch TH40602 - EPA 3010A TCLP										-
Blank (TH40602-BLK1)				Prepared &	k Analyzed	: 08/05/0	4			
Lead	ND	0.0200	mg/l							
Duplicate (TH40602-DUP1)	Se	ource: T4080	)11-01	Prepared 8	k Analyzed	: 08/05/04	4			
Lead	0.760	0.200	mg/l		0.770			1.31	20	
Reference (TH40602-SRM1)				Prepared 8	k Analyzed	: 08/05/04	4		SV	V-08
Lead	0.0830	0.0200	mg/l	0.0861		96.4	75-125			

Kinnetic Laboratories - Carlsbad	Project: Colorado Lagoon	T408011
5225-H Avenida Encinas	Project Number: [none]	Reported:
Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project Manager: Bob Shelquist	08/10/2004

### **Notes and Definitions**

SW-08 Environmental Resource Associates Lot # S080697

DET Analyte DETECTED

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

NR Not Reported

dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis

RPD Relative Percent Difference

ToxScan Inc.