

Date: December 14, 2022

To: Ethics Commission

From: Julian Cernuda, Assistant to the City Manager

## Subject: Overview of California Ethics Commissions

On September 14, 2022, the Ethics Commission (Commission) requested a report on the authorities of other local government ethics commission, with a focus on staffing, enforcement capabilities, scope of duties, powers, and responsibilities. The Local Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Matrix (Matrix – Attachment A) provides an overview of the Commission duties and responsibilities as well as information on findings in the requested areas of other local ethics commissions.

## **Ethics Commission Powers and Duties**

The Long Beach City Charter (Charter) was amended in 2018 through Measure CCC to add Article XXIV, establishing the Commission and the powers and duties outlined in Section 2402.

"Sec. 2402 Powers and Duties of the Ethics Commission.

The Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances concerning campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics.

The City Ethics Commission shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

- (a) To provide support to agencies and public officials in administering the provisions of the Charter and other laws relating to campaign finance, conflict of interest, and governmental ethics;
- (b) To make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council concerning campaign finance reform, lobbying, governmental ethics and conflicts of interest and to report the Council concerning the effectiveness of these laws;
- (c) To assist departments in developing their conflict of interest codes as required by state law;
- (d) To advocate understanding of the Charter, City ordinances and the roles of elected and other public officials, City institutions and the City electoral process;
- (e) To develop an educational program to familiarize newly elected and appointed officers and employees, candidates for elective office and their campaign treasurers, and lobbyist with City, state and federal ethics laws and the importance of ethics to the public's confidence in municipal government; and
- (f) Such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code"

On February 3, 2021, pursuant to a request by the City Manager, the City Attorney provided an opinion via memorandum outlining the parameters of the authority of the City Ethics Commission (Attachment B). The memorandum notes that when legislation was drafted to create the Commission, it envisioned that the Commission would act as a central policy-making body for City employees and officials related to government ethics with a focus on increasing awareness of ethical responsibilities and establishing a clear City policy on compliance with current ethics laws and regulations.

A Charter amendment would be necessary to add investigatory authority and the ability to discipline employees to the Commission's duties in the Charter. Since the Civil Service Commission currently has exclusive authority in the City to adjudicate appeals involving employee discipline, a Charter amendment would be required to divest discipline authority from the Civil Service Commission to grant said authority to the Ethics Commission. Any change to the Charter that could impose new discipline on employees would also require meet and confer with City employee labor unions.

Finally, Charter section 2402(f) allows the City Council to assign addition duties and responsibilities consistent with current Charter provisions to the Commission in the Municipal Code.

### Survey Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Powers and Duties

### Local Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Matrix

The Matrix includes information on the Ethics Commissions of the following jurisdictions:

- City of Berkeley

- City of Oakland
- Orange County

- City of Sacramento
- City of Long Beach
  City of Los Angeles
  City and County of San Francisco
  - City of San Jose
    - City of Santa Clara

The City of Berkeley and City of Santa Clara commissions have atypical structures and differ significantly from the remaining commissions in the Matrix. Despite these commissions' differences, they were included in the Matrix as to demonstrate how much commissions can differ in the State.

The Matrix provides information on the 13 categories below for each of the jurisdictions included in this report, if available.

- Jurisdiction Name
- Year Established
- Operating Budget
- Member Compensation
- Staff Support
- Makes Policy Recommendations
- Mission/Vision Statement
   Authority to Audit/Investigate

Overview of California Ethics Commissions December 14, 2022 Page 3

- Roles and Responsibilities
- Member Structure
- Member Restrictions While Serving

### Commissions Commonalities and Patterns Overview

Staff identified seven comparable Ethics Commissions across California jurisdictions, identifying several patterns and takeaways across the categories above. Below is an overview of the Matrix.

*Year Established* – Historically, ethics commissions in California are a recent undertaking, with most being created in the 1990's and 2000's.

*Operating Budget* – Ethics Commission operating budgets varied widely. For example, the City of San Jose Ethics Commission has a Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 budget of \$40,000, while the City of Los Angeles has an operating FY23 budget of \$9 million. The difference between budgets for these and other jurisdictions in the report can be attributed to a variety of factors such as: the number of staff working for a city, the nature of a commission's roles and responsibilities, the staffing support framework approach, facilities use charges, and even the way in which the budget is reported. San Jose may reflect a lower operating budget because it appears that wages and benefits of its Commission's staff is accounted for by the staff's department and not the commission. San Jose also has a staffing model where an investigator is contracted on a case-by-case basis and does not have an inhouse investigator. This may lead to lower operating costs, especially when compared with commissions that have inhouse investigatory staff.

*Mission and Vision Statement* – Five of the seven commissions included in the report have a mission and/or vision statement. Statements generally espouse values that promote public trust and confidence, and fairness and integrity while conducting city business.

Roles and Responsibilities – All commissions shared common elements of responsibilities related to campaign finance and policies, Brown Act compliance, lobbying, conflict of interest, and governmental ethics. Although these areas were common across all commissions, the level or depth of responsibility within each element varied among the commissions. Most commissions have the responsibility to ensure impartial and effective administration over their jurisdiction's entire Code of Ethics. Yet, the Campaign Finance and Ethics Commission of Orange County is only responsible for Code of Ethics sections that pertain to the use of public assets and the revolving door policy. Research found that differences like these were common not only with commissions' responsibilities for the Code of Ethics, but also several other areas within each of the elements listed earlier.

There were also responsibilities that appeared to be more unique or uncommon. The City of Los Angeles Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances that concern

- Authority to Discipline
- Creating Authority

Overview of California Ethics Commissions December 14, 2022 Page 4

contracts and developers. However, no other surveyed commission oversees contracts and developers. Additional uncommon roles and responsibilities found include reviewing gifts policy, setting councilmember salaries, reviewing councilmember existing employment for potential conflict of interest, and establishing Closed Session procedures for council.

*Member Structure* – Commissions' size ranged between five to seven members and terms served were generally set to two terms of three to four years.

*Members Restrictions While Serving* – Restrictions on serving members across Commissions centered on holding public office, serving on other commissions, participating in elections – in support or in opposition towards candidates or ballot measures, registered lobbyist status, and employment. Commissions restrictions also varied in scrutiny within each type of restriction. For example, some commissions prohibited a commissioner from only being a registered lobbyist with its jurisdiction, while other commissions prohibited being a registered lobbyist on a county or even federal level.

*Member Compensation* – Five of the commissions do not compensate members, while two commissions compensate members.

*Staff Support* – The level of staff support varied based on the level of roles and responsibilities of each commission and the size of the jurisdiction which the commission serves. The City of Los Angeles Ethic Commission, for example, has what may be the widest range of roles and responsibilities. The support of 37 total staff support reflects this by having a range of staff roles, which include an Executive Director, Directors and Program Managers that lead a range of programs, and Analysts, Auditors, and Investigators that support each of the programs. In contrast, the City of San Jose's Board of Fair Campaign and Political Practices does not appear to have dedicated full-time staff, and instead, relies on existing departmental staff and a contract investigator to fulfill their set roles and responsibilities.

*Makes Policy Recommendations* – Commissions may make policy recommendations.

Authority to Audit/Investigate – Survey commissions have the authority to audit/investigate matters relating to their prescribed roles and responsibilities. A commission's depth of involvement in an audit/investigation also varies from commission to commission and by audited/investigated area.

The Commissions' oversight is also largely focused on elected officials, elected official offices, and lobbyists. It is also common for commissions to have written investigation procedures that describe the investigation process, such as the role of the commission, departments, hearings, appeals, and disciplinary actions. As examples, here are the City and County of San Francisco procedures, and City of San Jose procedures.

Authority to Discipline – Having been given the authority to audit/investigate, it follows that surveyed commissions may also discipline based on their findings. The most common allowed discipline that a commission may impose is that of a monetary penalty. Monetary penalties also varied based on the area (campaign finance, gifts, lobbyist, etc.) in which the violation took

Overview of California Ethics Commissions December 14, 2022 Page 5

place and the degree of severity. An order to cease and desist activity, requiring the filing of documents, and public reprimands are also common disciplinary actions commissions may take.

*Creating Authority* - Four of the seven survey commissions were established through city charters, while the remaining three rely on municipal codes.

## Conclusion

All commissions surveyed share similarities yet, remain unique. Shared similarities include general roles and responsibilities that an ethics commission is prescribed to assist with in their city. The individuality of each commission – their roles and responsibilities, structure, budget, and staff support – is born out of the unique needs of the city in which it serves.

If you have any questions, please contact Julian Cernuda, Assistant to the City Manager, at (562) 570-6154.

Attachment A – California Jurisdictions Ethics Commissions Matrix Attachment B – Memo Re Parameters of Ethics Commission Authority

CC: APRIL WALKER, ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HEATHER VAN WIJK, ETHICS OFFICER TAYLOR ANDERSON, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JONATHAN NAGAYAMA, CITY CLERK ANALYST

						California Jurisdictions Ethics Commis						
Jurisdiction Name Long Beach	Year Established 2018	Operating Budget FY 23 - \$100,000	Mission/Vision Statement To advance public trust and confidence in	Roles and Responsibilities Impartial and effective administration and	Member Structure     2 - Appointed by Mayor	Member Restrictions while Serving Members cannot:	Member Compensation Yes	Department/Staff Support Deputy City Manager	Makes Policy Recommendations Yes	Authority to Audit/Investigate No	Authority to Discipline	Creating Authority City Charter
Long Beach	2018		the City's government through education an	d implementation of the provisions of the	<ul> <li>2 - Appointed by City Auditor</li> </ul>							,
				Charter, statues and ordinances concerning	<ul> <li>3 - Appointed by existing Commissioners from a</li> </ul>	Hold elective office, including the LBUSD Board or the LBCC Board of Trustees		Ethics Officer				
			that promote each of the City's values of Accountability, Fairness, Impartiality,	campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics.	public recruitment process	<ul> <li>Serve as an officer in any election campaign for or against a candidate for any elected office or any City or District measure</li> </ul>		Assistant to the City Manager				
			Diversity, Transparency, and Integrity.	interest, and governmental ethics.	• Total: 7 members at up to 2 consecutive terms of 4			Assistant to the City Manager				
					years	•Employ or be employed as a person required to register as a lobbyist with the City		Deputy City Attorney				
								City Clerk Analyst				
								5 total positions				
	2010	N/A	N/A	Oversees the Open Government Ordinance		Members cannot:	Yes	City Attorney	Yes	No	No	City Municipal Code
Government Commission)				and the Lobbyist Registration Act. Hears complaints regarding non-compliance, and	Practices Commission	Hold or seek election to any other public office						
				advises Council on actions to enhance open	Up to 9 members, based on number of active Fair	• Serve as an officer of any political party or partisan organization or participate in or contribute						
				and effective government	Campaign Practices Commission. Serve the duration							
					of appointing councilmember.	<ul> <li>Endorse, support, oppose, or work on behalf of or against any candidate or measure in a City election</li> </ul>						
Los Angeles	1990	FY23 - \$ 9 million	To help preserve the public trust and foster	Impartial and effective administration and	<ul> <li>1 - Appointed by Mayor</li> </ul>	Members cannot:	Yes	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Charter
			public confidence in city government and	implementation of the provisions of the	<ul> <li>1 - Appointed by City Attorney</li> </ul>							
			elections.	Charter, statutes and ordinances concerning campaign financing, contracts, developers,	<ul> <li>1 - Appointed by Controller</li> <li>1 - Appointed by President of the Council</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hold any other public office</li> <li>Participate in or contribute to a City election campaign</li> </ul>		Deputy Executive Director		Alleged violations of state law, the Charter and	<ul> <li>Cease and desist</li> <li>Require filings of reports, statements, other documents</li> </ul>	
				lobbying, conflicts of interest and	<ul> <li>1 - Appointed by President of the Council</li> <li>1 - Appointed by President Pro Tem of the Council</li> </ul>	Participate in or contribute to a city election campaign     Participate in or contribute to an election campaign for a member of the Los Angeles Unified		Directors		lobbying and conflicts of interest and	required by law	5
				governmental ethics.		School District Board of Education				governmental ethics. Executive Director can		
					<ul> <li>Total: 5 members at 1 term of 5 years</li> </ul>	Participate in or contribute to a City official or member of the Los Angeles Unified School		Secretary		also refer cases to another appropriate		
						District Board of Education running for an elective office • Employ or be employed as a person required to register as a lobbyist with the City of Los		Program Managers		agency.		
						Angeles						
								Analysts				
								A				
								Auditors				
								Investigators				
								Other Employees				
								37 total positions				
Oakland	1996	FY22 - \$1.4 million	Fairness, openness, honesty, and integrity in	Oversee compliance with campaign finance	3 - Appointed by Mayor	Members cannot:	No	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Charter
			City government.	reform, conflict of interest, lobbying, Brown	<ul> <li>4 - Appointed by existing Commissioners from a</li> </ul>							
				Act and Public Records Act, governmental	public recruitment process	Be employed by the city or have any direct and substantial financial interest in any work or		Commission Assistant		Matters relating to the Commission's	Monetary penalty/fine	
				ethics, elections and campaign policy reviews, City Councilmember compensation.		<ul> <li>business or official action by the city</li> <li>Seek election to any other public office, or participate in or contribute to an Oakland</li> </ul>		Enforcement Chief		prescribed duties through investigations, audits, public hearings, issuing of subpoenas.		
				,		municipal campaign						
						Endorse, support, oppose, or work on behalf of any candidate or measure in an Oakland		Disclosure/Filing Officer				
						election		Education Analyst				
								Education Analyst				
								Investigator				
								6 total positions				
Orange County (Campaign Finance and	2016	FY22 - \$469,000		Responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the	<ul> <li>5 - Appointed by each of the 5 board districts and confirmed by the majority vote of the Board of</li> </ul>	Members cannot:	No	Executive Director		Yes	Yes	City Charter
Ethics Commission)				provisions of the County Campaign Reform	Supervisors	<ul> <li>Hold an elected or appointed public office in any jurisdiction</li> </ul>		County Counsel		Matters relating to the Commission's	Comply with penalties found in the issued Notice of	
				Ordinance, Lobbyist Registration and	Be an employee of any holder of an elected or appointed public office, or any member of a				prescribed duties.	Violation		
					Reporting Ordinance, the Gift Ban Ordinance • Total: 5 members at up to 2 consecutive terms of 3						Cease and desist violation	
				and Code of Ethics (use of public property and revolving door)	years	<ul> <li>public body</li> <li>Be an employee of a person who is acting as a County Lobbyist, State Lobbyist, or Federal</li> </ul>					<ul> <li>File any reports, statements or other documents or information required by law</li> </ul>	
				ictoring doory		Lobbyist					Perform remedial measures	
						Have been, during the previous ten (10) years, an Elective County Officer, a County					Monetary penalty	
						Agency/Department Head, or a County Executive Manager • Have been, during the previous ten (10) years, an elected or appointed official of a national,						
						state or local partisan political (central) committee						
						Be in a profession, occupation, or employment that provides services to candidates for public						
						office or elected official in Orange County, engage in public affairs for an employer doing						
						business with the County, or any Special District operating within the County						
						<ul> <li>Have been, during the previous ten (10) years, employed the County, or with any Joint Powers Authority or with any Special District operating within the County, or with any employee</li> </ul>						
						representative organization whose members are employees of the County						
						Have served on a County Grand Jury may serve for ten (10) years from the date of the						
						Commission's enactment.						
						<ul> <li>Have proposed, sponsored or co-sponsored the measure establishing the Commission for ten (10) years from the date of this article's enactment.</li> </ul>						
						(20) years nom the unit of this mittle 3 endethement.						
Sacramento	2017	FY 23 - \$188,000	To review and consider complaints against		5 - Nominated by the Personnel and Public     Employees Committee and appointed by the Mayer	Members cannot:	Yes	City Attorney	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Municipal Code
			elected and appointed city officials, to ensure those city officials are conforming	employment, Code of Fair Campaign Practices	f Employees Committee and appointed by the Mayor	Serve at another City commission		City Clerk		Matters relating to the Commission's	A reprimand	
				campaign contribution limitations, campaign	Total: 5 members at up to 2 consecutive terms of 4	Be a paid staff/consultant to any City elected official				prescribed duties.	A warning letter	
				spending limits and public campaign financing	, years	Receive a non-competitive bid contract with the City		Independent Evaluator			Monetary penalty	
				lobbying, governmental ethics, Sunshine Ordinance, and conduct of members and		<ul> <li>Be a registered as a city lobbyist</li> <li>Contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office</li> </ul>						
				Ordinance, and conduct of members and Closed Session of the Council Rules of		<ul> <li>contribute to or participate in any candidate campaign for City elective office</li> </ul>						
				Procedures.								
				The commission's authority extends only to								
				city elected officials, candidates for city elected office, independent expenditure								
				committees, members of boards and								
		1		commissions, the city manager, the city clerk,								
				the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city								
				the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and								
				the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city								
				the city attorney, the city treasurer, the city auditor, the independent budget analyst, and								

# ATTACHMENT A

San Diego	2001	FY23 - \$1.5 million	To preserve public confidence in our city government through education, advice, and		<ul> <li>7 - Appointed by Mayor from a pool of nominees submitted by the City Council and City Attorney</li> </ul>	Members cannot:	No	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Municipal Code
			the prompt and fair enforcement of local governmental ethics laws.	governmental ethics law reforms, conduct	•Total: 7 members at up to 2 terms of 4 years	Hold any other public office during their tenure or for 12 months after the leave the Commission		Clerical Assistant		violations of Governmental Ethics Laws, including referral to other enforcement	Cease and desist     Require filings of reports, statements, other documents	
			0	enforcement agencies, audit disclosure statements, and advise and educate City	····· ,	<ul> <li>Contribute financially to a City election campaign</li> <li>Participate in or contribute to an election campaign to support or oppose a candidate for City</li> </ul>		Investigator		agencies when appropriate.	required by law • Monetary penalty	
				officials and the public about governmental ethics laws.		Participate in a campaign supporting or opposing a City ballot measure (exception made to		Independent legal counsel			Public reprimand	
				etines laws.		measure involving activities/authorities of the Commission)		Other employees				
								6 total positions				
San Francisco	2002	FY23 - \$7.1 million	To practice and promote the highest standards of ethical behavior in government	Impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of this	<ul> <li>1 - Appointed by Mayor</li> <li>1 - Appointed by Board of Supervisors</li> </ul>	Members cannot:	No	Executive Director	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Charter
			and to promote a work environment that values health, wellness and diversity.	charter, statutes and ordinances	1 - Appointed by City Attorney     1 - Appointed by District Attorney	Hold a City, County, or political party office     Be a registered lobbyist, campaign consultant		Other Employees		Campaign statements and other relevant documents and investigate alleged violations	Cease and desist     Require filings of reports, statements, other documents	
			values nearth, weintess and diversity.	concerning campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest and governmental	• 1 - Assessor	Be employed by or receive gifts from registered lobbyist or campaign consultants     Be employed by the City or County		Legal Advisor		of state law, city charter, city ordinances relating to roles and responsibilities and to	required by law • Monetary penalty	
				ethics.	Total: 5 members at 1 term of 6 years	<ul> <li>Participate in any campaign support/opposing a candidate for City elective office, a City ballot measure. City officer</li> </ul>		34 total positions		report the findings to the City Attorney. City Attorney participation may also be required	Forfeiture of campaign contributions	
						ineasure, city once				during certain instances prior to the		
										Commission delivering findings to the City Attorney.		
San Jose (Board of Fai Campaign and Politica		FY23 - \$40,000	N/A	To monitor compliance with the City's campaign and ethics ordinances, which	5 - Appointed by no less than two-thirds of Counci	Members cannot:	No	City Clerk	Yes	Yes	Yes	City Municipal Code
Practices)				includes: elections, campaign contributions, gifts, revolving door restrictions, lobbying,	Total: 5 members at a maximum of 2 terms of 4 vears	Be employed by the City     Have any direct or substantial financial interest in any business, work or official action taken		City Attorney		Matters relating to the Commission's prescribed duties.	Issue public statement or reprimand     Require corrective action	
				income and time disclosure, concurrent and prospective employments of Councilmembers		by they City • Hold any public office		Investigator - contracted case by case			Monetary penalty	
				or City/agency employees.		Publicly endorse any candidate for City office or engage in any political or campaign activity or behalf of any candidate for City office	1					
Santa Clara (Governance and Ethi	2019 hics	FY23 - \$0	N/A	To refine or establish policies and procedures regarding City Council operations and general	4 Councilmembers	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	No	No	Council Committee
Committee)				good government practices, as well as the further implementation of the City's Code of	Total: 4 members at terms unknown							
				Ethics and Values program. The Committee is								
				also responsible for reviewing requests for facility naming and honorary recognitions.								







City of Long Beach Working Together to Serve

Office of the City Attorney

DATE:	January 13, 2021
То:	Thomas B. Modica, City Manager
FROM:	Amy R. Webber, Deputy City Attorney
SUBJECT:	Parameters of Ethics Commission Authority

In 2018, the Long Beach City Charter was amended by initiative ordinance to add Article 24, establishing a City Ethics Commission. The legislation gave the Commission the following specific powers and duties:

"Sec. 2402. Powers and Duties of the Ethics Commission.

The Ethics Commission is responsible for the impartial and effective administration and implementation of the provisions of the Charter, statutes and ordinances concerning campaign financing, lobbying, conflicts of interest, and governmental ethics.

The City Ethics Commission shall have the following duties and responsibilities:

- (a) to provide support to agencies and public officials in administering the provisions of the Charter and other laws relating to campaign finance, conflicts of interest, and government ethics;
- (b) to make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council concerning campaign finance reform, lobbying, governmental ethics and conflicts of interest and to report the Council concerning the effectiveness of these laws;
- (c) to assist departments in developing their conflict of interest codes as required by state law;
- (d) to advocate understanding of the Charter, City ordinances and the roles of elected and other public officials, City institutions and the City electoral process;
- (e) to develop an educational program to familiarize newly elected and appointed officers and employees, candidates for elective office and their campaign treasurers, and lobbyists with City, state and federal ethics laws and the importance of ethics to the public's confidence in municipal government; and
- (f) such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code."

Thomas B. Modica, City Manager January 13, 2021 Page 2

You have asked for an opinion on the current powers of the Ethics Commission; what additional powers could be created in the Municipal Code; and what powers would require future City Charter amendments to provide clarity and options for the Mayor and City Council to consider.

#### I. <u>Current Powers.</u>

When legislation was drafted creating the Ethics Commission, it envisioned that the Commission would act as a central policy-making body for City employees and officials. As can be seen from Section 2402, most of the powers listed involve increasing awareness of ethical responsibilities; supporting agencies which currently have enforcement authority, such as the District Attorney and the FPPC; and establishing clear City policy on compliance with current ethics laws and regulations. These powers are consistent with those of many ethics commissions in the state, such as those of Santa Clara and Berkeley.

However, there are also ethics commissions which have investigatory authority as well as enforcement powers, such as those in Los Angeles and San Diego. They have investigators and sizeable staffs, and are authorized to make findings regarding particular conduct, and may impose fines and discipline, if appropriate. These powers are not currently part of the Commission's duties and powers.

These issues were brought to prominence by the City Auditor's Ethics Audit. The Audit was prepared by Harvey Rose and Associates, a consultant to the Auditor's office. The Audit was presented to City management and this office, and many legal and practical issues were raised by both. The Audit was subsequently presented to the Ethics Commission.

### II. Changes to Current Powers and Duties Which Require a Charter Amendment,

The City Charter currently includes provisions regarding the authority to impose discipline on City employees. To the extent the Ethics Commission seeks to establish powers in conflict with existing Charter authority, a Charter amendment approved by a vote of the people would be required. In addition, actions which could impose new discipline on employees represented by labor unions would require participation in a meet-and-confer process. The following City departments have Charter authority to investigate and impose discipline on affected employees. Moreover, within some departments, such as the Police and Fire Departments, there are additional state law requirements related to investigation of misconduct and discipline.

- City Council and Mayor (see Charter, Art. 2, especially sec. 207);
- City Attorney (see Charter Art. VI, especially sec. 603);
- City Prosecutor (see Charter Art. VII);
- City Auditor (see Charter Art. VIII)

Thomas B. Modica, City Manager January 13, 2021 Page 3

• Civil Service (see Charter Art. XI, especially sec. 1101(b), affecting all classified City employees)

### A. <u>Civil Service -- Employee Right of Appeal</u>

Pursuant to section 1100(g) of the City Charter, the Civil Service Commission has been delegated exclusive authority to adjudicate appeals involving employee discipline. Moreover, per section 1103 of the City Charter, no employee in the classified service can be suspended, discharged or reduced in classification for disciplinary reasons until the employee has been presented with the reasons for such action specifically stated in writing. Additionally, employees are afforded the right to appeal disciplinary action to the Civil Service Commission.

At the Appeal hearing, the Civil Service Commission is represented by the City Attorney's Office while the City is represented by outside counsel retained by the City Attorney's Office. The retention of outside counsel is to avoid a conflict of interest in having the City Attorney represent both the Civil Service Commission and the City at appeal hearings. After an appeal hearing is heard, per section 83 of the Civil Service Rules and Regulations, the Civil Service Commission may, at its discretion, either sustain, reduce, or deny the charges alleged against an employee. The decision of the Commission is final. Once the Commission has adopted a final decision, employees have the right to file a petition for judicial review of the Commission's decision within 90 days of the Commission adopting it's final decision.

The Ethics Commission has no authority to impose discipline upon employees. Thus, in order to grant the Ethics Commission more authority beyond making recommendations and vest final authority with the Ethics Commission to hear and adjudicate employee appeals of discipline, a Charter amendment would be required to divest the Civil Service Commission of this authority and vest it with the Ethics Commission.

### III. Changes to Current Powers and Duties Which Could be Made by Municipal Code.

When Measure CCC was drafted, it was not possible to include all possible powers the City, its citizens and Ethics Commissioners might find appropriate or useful, so the language of the measure was very broad. It also included subsection 2402(f), which allows amendments "...for such other duties as may be established by this Charter or the Municipal Code." Essentially, this would permit duties and responsibilities not in conflict with a current Charter provision to be added to the Municipal Code.

If you have questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact us.

ARW:bg A20-05214 01228442.DOCX Thomas B. Modica, City Manager January 13, 2021 Page 4

cc: Charles Parkin, City Attorney Michael J. Mais, Assistant City Attorney Gary Anderson, Principal Deputy City Attorney Rebecca Guzman Garner, Deputy City Manager JT Nagayama, City Clerk Specialist