

## 2022 Continuum of Care Scoring and Ranking Policies

The Long Beach Continuum of Care believes in evaluating the performance and utilization of funding on an annual basis to determine the ranking and scoring of projects as well as determining which projects will be put into Tier 2 and which projects will potentially be considered for reallocation.

The following document provides an overview of what areas are reviewed by CoC staff for performance and how scores are determined.

Reporting Period: July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022

The following project types are exempt from the Long Beach Scoring Process. CoC Planning Grant, Unified Funding Agency (UFA) Grant, Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Grants, and Supportive Service Only (SSO) grants. The Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services is currently working with the CES subcommittee to determine a process for monitoring and determining performance for SSO grants. Long Beach is moving towards identifying a better way of scoring and prioritizing SSO grants.

Long Beach staff will be bringing forward an updated reallocation policy within the coming year to look at setting a threshold score for program types where any program falling below an identified threshold would be recommended for reallocation.

### **Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing (PH-PSH)**

Total Points for all sections: 177 Points and 2 Bonus Points

Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served <b>-OR-</b> 1b. % of All Households Served	15.0	79.99% and below 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 7.5 15.0
2. % of Residential Occupancy on average	15.0	79.99% and below 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 7.5 15.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income	5.0	<4.99% and below 5% - 11.99% >12%	0.0 2.5 5.0
4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income	9.0	<19.99% and below 20% - 34.99% >35%	0.0 4.5 9.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting to permanent housing	15.0	Below 69.99% 70% - 79.99%	0.0 7.5

		>80%	15.0
6. Increase the number of persons remaining in permanent housing	15.0	Below 79.99% 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 7.5 15.0
7. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations	22.0	Above 15% 5% - 14.99% >4.99% or no leavers	0.0 10.0 20.0
8. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources	14.0	Above 15% 5% - 14.99% >4.99% or no leavers	0.0 7.0 14.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>108.0</b>		

## Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above 5% - 14.99% >4.99%	0.0 7.5 15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	8.0	<25% ≥25%	0.0 8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	5.0	One or more None	0.0 5.0
4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	5.0	Yes, and no mmt letter Yes, but mmt letter No	0.0 2.5 5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	20.0	\$24,000 and above or No Placements \$16,001 - \$23,999 >\$16,000	0.0 10.0 20.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>53.0</b>		

## Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	6.0	>5% <5%	0.0 6.0
2. Report submission timeliness	4.0	0 – 19.99% 20% - 59.99% >60%	0.0 2.0 4.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>10.0</b>		

## Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	2.0	50% - 74.99% >75%	1.0 2.0

## Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	3.0	>2 standard deviations Between 1 and 2 standard deviations <1 standard deviation	0.0 1.0 2.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	3.0	>2 standard deviations Between 1 and 2 standard deviations <1 standard deviation	0.0 1.0 2.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>6.0</b>		

### **Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH)**

Total Points for all sections: 177 Points and 2 Bonus Points

Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served <b>-OR-</b> 1b. % of All Households Served	20.0	79.99% and below 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 10.0 20.0
2. % of Average Residential Occupancy on average	20.0	59.99% and below 60% - 74.99% >75%	0.0 10.0 20.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income	10.0	<7.99% 8% - 11.99% >12%	0.0 5.0 10.0
4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income	8.0	<14.99% and below 15% - 29.99% >30%	0.0 4.0 8.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting to permanent housing <b>* There is concern with agencies that have 100% exits to permanent housing and ensuring that agencies are enrolling people before knowing they are going to be successful in finding housing.</b>	20.0	Below 69.99% 70% - 79.99% 80% - 99.9% Agencies with 100%	0.0 10.0 20.0 15.0
6. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations	20.0	Above 15% 5% - 14.99% >4.99% or no leavers	0.0 10.0 20.0
7. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources	10.0	Above 20% 10% - 19.99% >9.99% or no leavers	0.0 5.0 10.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>108.0</b>		

Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above	0.0

		5% - 14.99%	7.5
		>4.99%	15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	<b>8.0</b>	<25%	0.0
		≥25%	8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	<b>5.0</b>	One or more	0.0
		None	5.0
4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	<b>5.0</b>	Yes, and no mmt letter	0.0
		Yes, but mmt letter	2.5
		No	5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	<b>20.0</b>	\$20,000 and above or No Placements	0.0
		\$12,001 - \$19,999	10.0
		>\$12,000	20.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>53.0</b>		

### Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	<b>6.0</b>	>5%	0.0
		<5%	6.0
2. Report submission timeliness	<b>4.0</b>	0 – 19.99%	0.0
		20% - 59.99%	2.0
		>60%	4.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>10.0</b>		

### Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	<b>2.0</b>	50% - 74.99%	1.0
		>75%	2.0

### Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	<b>3.0</b>	>2 standard deviations	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard deviations	1.0
		<1 standard deviation	2.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	<b>3.0</b>	>2 standard deviations	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard deviations	1.0
		<1 standard deviation	2.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>6.0</b>		

### **Transitional Housing (TH)**

Total Points for all sections: 177 Points and 2 Bonus Points

## Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served <b>-OR-</b> 1b. % of All Households Served	20.0	79.99% and below 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 10.0 20.0
2. % of Average Residential Occupancy on average	20.0	59.99% and below 60% - 74.99% >75%	0.0 10.0 20.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income	10.0	<7.99% 8% - 11.99% >12%	0.0 5.0 10.0
4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income	8.0	<14.99% and below 15% - 29.99% >30%	0.0 4.0 8.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting to permanent housing	20.0	Below 69.99% 70% - 79.99% >80%	0.0 10.0 20.0
6. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations	20.0	Above 15% 5% - 14.99% >4.99% or no leavers	0.0 10.0 20.0
7. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources	10.0	Above 20% 10% - 19.99% >9.99% or no leavers	0.0 5.0 10.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>108.0</b>		

## Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above 5% - 14.99% >4.99%	0.0 7.5 15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	8.0	<25% ≥25%	0.0 8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	5.0	One or more None	0.0 5.0
4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	5.0	Yes, and no mmt letter Yes, but mmt letter No	0.0 2.5 5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	20.0	\$18,000 and above or No Placements \$12,001 - \$17,999 >\$12,000	0.0 10.0 20.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>53.0</b>		

## Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	6.0	>5% <5%	0.0 6.0

2. Report submission timeliness	<b>4.0</b>	0 – 19.99% 20% - 59.99% >60%	0.0 2.0 4.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>10.0</b>		

#### Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	<b>2.0</b>	50% - 74.99% >75%	1.0 2.0

#### Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	<b>3.0</b>	>2 standard deviations Between 1 and 2 standard deviations <1 standard deviation	0.0 1.0 2.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	<b>3.0</b>	>2 standard deviations Between 1 and 2 standard deviations <1 standard deviation	0.0 1.0 2.0
<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>6.0</b>		