

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
9. (20-0277) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Primary Nominating Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the purpose of nominating and/or electing candidates for three (3) offices on the City Council from district numbers 2, 6, and 8; and nominating and/or electing candidates for the Long Beach Unified School District Governing Board Members for the Second and Fourth Districts; and directing the City Clerk to place on ballots to be used at the General Municipal Election to be held on the 3rd day of November 2020, the names of the candidates declared to have been nominated in the Primary Nominating Election; and directing the City Clerk to issue a certificate of Election to the candidate declared to have been elected at the Primary Nominating Election;	Aileen Colon	onemouse11@aol.com						Kindly defer from accepting Measure A. It would be in the best interest to the citizens of Long Beach, if you do not vote on Item 9 at this time. The process of the recount vote is unamerican. I was shocked to read about what is going on, per today's Press Telegram. Do the right thing. do not adopt the resolution at this time, until the forth coming litigation is settled. Best Regards Aileen Colon	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
9. (20-0277) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Primary Nominating Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the purpose of nominating and/or electing candidates for three (3) offices on the City Council from district numbers 2, 6, and 8; and nominating and/or electing candidates for the Long Beach Unified School District Governing Board Members for the Second and Fourth Districts; and directing the City Clerk to place on ballots to be used at the General Municipal Election to be held on the 3rd day of November 2020, the names of the candidates declared to have been nominated in the Primary Nominating Election; and directing the City Clerk to issue a certificate of Election to the candidate declared to have been elected at the Primary Nominating Election;	Paul Walsh	walshpaul08@gmail.com						Table item 9	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
9. (20-0277) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Primary Nominating Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the purpose of nominating and/or electing candidates for three (3) offices on the City Council from district numbers 2, 6, and 8; and nominating and/or electing candidates for the Long Beach Unified School District Governing Board Members for the Second and Fourth Districts; and directing the City Clerk to place on ballots to be used at the General Municipal Election to be held on the 3rd day of November 2020, the names of the candidates declared to have been nominated in the Primary Nominating Election; and directing the City Clerk to issue a certificate of Election to the candidate declared to have been elected at the Primary Nominating Election;	Michael Salemi	gdlead@hotmail.com						I strongly object to certifying the election results of Long beach form the fact that a city council candidate and her family and friends registered as residents to the same address, that was listed as a rental, when in fact they weren't . This is now under investigation by the LA country district attorneys office dated 4/2/20 by Michele Gilmer Assistant Head deputy and there votes would be canceled pending the results . Long Beach is famous in doing the wrong thing and paying for it in legal fees	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
9. (20-0277) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Primary Nominating Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the purpose of nominating and/or electing candidates for three (3) offices on the City Council from district numbers 2, 6, and 8; and nominating and/or electing candidates for the Long Beach Unified School District Governing Board Members for the Second and Fourth Districts; and directing the City Clerk to place on ballots to be used at the General Municipal Election to be held on the 3rd day of November 2020, the names of the candidates declared to have been nominated in the Primary Nominating Election; and directing the City Clerk to issue a certificate of Election to the candidate declared to have been elected at the Primary Nominating Election;	Carlos Ovalle	csovalle@gmail.com						<p>Please read before the council.</p> <p>Dear Council,</p> <p>Before you is one of the most important resolutions affecting our democracy, the right of citizens to challenge election results.</p> <p>As noted below, the County chose to string us along without informing us of the cost or time of the recount. We didn't know either even days after the recount had begun.</p> <p>The only certainty is that the County went against their published price list. Initially blaming Coronavirus; later basically telling us they didn't have to abide by their published rules, but that they had the right to make stuff up on the fly.</p> <p>Regardless of your position on Measure A, I urge you to please pull from the consent calendar the item for approval of certification of the Measure A election results until the recount issue can be resolved in court.</p> <p>It is the right thing to do if you care about the democratic process.</p> <p><a href="https://bit.ly/34I5giL">https://bit.ly/34I5giL</a>  <a href="https://bit.ly/2REvnSI">https://bit.ly/2REvnSI</a>  <a href="https://bit.ly/2V6ULm2">https://bit.ly/2V6ULm2</a>  <a href="https://bit.ly/2Xzk01N">https://bit.ly/2Xzk01N</a></p>	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
9. (20-0277) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Primary Nominating Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the purpose of nominating and/or electing candidates for three (3) offices on the City Council from district numbers 2, 6, and 8; and nominating and/or electing candidates for the Long Beach Unified School District Governing Board Members for the Second and Fourth Districts; and directing the City Clerk to place on ballots to be used at the General Municipal Election to be held on the 3rd day of November 2020, the names of the candidates declared to have been nominated in the Primary Nominating Election; and directing the City Clerk to issue a certificate of Election to the candidate declared to have been elected at the Primary Nominating Election;	Keith Kennedy	keith@bgifirst.com						We OPPOSE today's City Council Agenda Item #9 and request that it be pulled from the 'consent calendar' for council discussion which would officially accept the certified results of the March 3, 2020 election in Long Beach in whole, with no mention of the pending dispute over Measure A where a recount process was illegally halted by the County Clerk on Monday April 13, 2020 and is consequently headed for litigation.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Marlene McCoy	futureytdirector@yahoo.com						Fire this useless Mayor Garcia. Corrupt and illegal policy's. Always going against the people of Long Beach. Remove him from office.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Charles Wogman	chuckwogman@gmail.com						I would like to offer my opinion as a Long Beach resident, homeowner and tax payer that these measures are allow to be recounted. I find the dismissal of properly ensuring a recount in such a close call tally to reek of city over reach, and tone deaf to the residents in this city. If you feel that you (the city and county clerk) are correct that this passed, then the recount should be nothing to fear and prove you correct.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Efraim Flores Gonzalez	eflores562@gmail.com						I would like to remind members of City Council and the Mayor that they represent all the citizens of Long Beach, not just those who supported their campaigns and those who voted in favor of Measure A. This council and Mayor enthusiastically embraced an ethics commission, one of the purposes of the ethics commission is to ensure governmental ethics and dissuade conflicts of interest. I ask, were Prop A to have been 16 votes shy of a majority would every member of council and the Mayor accept the result as determined by the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk? 16 votes in an election that had a myriad of issues for voters? 16 votes in an election using a new system that has already been reported as having flaws? Can each of the members of council can look to their own values, their own ethics, and say no they would not request a recount had the result been different? Please represent each citizen, demand a recount on Measure A. Efraim Flores Gonzalez 7th District	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Anna Christensen	achris259@yahoo.com						Please Remove this item from tonight's City Council Meeting Agenda and let the recount continue. The City has been called out and sued over similar actions which, at the time, appeared to be a clever way to outsmart the opposition. In some ways, ignoring democracy involves little risk, lawsuits, even one's that are lost or settled, are paid for by the public, not the individuals who made bad decisions in the first place. So it's really a matter of ethics and taking responsibility for doing the right, not the sleazy, thing. Please be your better selves. Anna Christensen	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Anna Christensen	achris259@yahoo.com						Please Remove this item from tonight's City Council Meeting Agenda and let the recount continue. The City has been called out and sued over similar actions which, at the time, appeared to be a clever way to outsmart the opposition. In some ways, ignoring democracy involves little risk, lawsuits, even one's that are lost or settled, are paid for by the public, not the individuals who made bad decisions in the first place. So it's really a matter of ethics and taking responsibility for doing the right, not the sleazy, thing. Please be your better selves. Anna Christensen	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	mary newton	mcnewton14@verizon.net						Objection to item 9. There is a recount so therefore this item cannot be voted on.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Richard Noble	rknoble123@verizon.net						I find it unreasonable to accept measure A as law given it's current status. This matter should be delayed until final disposition has been made.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Michael Matter	cmmatter@hotmail.com						I and all in my neighborhood were shocked to learn that Long Beach's measure A passed by 16 votes in the last minute. I am truly sickened by the city/county responses to a legitimate recount effort. Thus far it seems that every attempt is being made to stifle the effort to recount. Many in the community including myself feel very strongly about this recount taking place. If you will not reasonably allow a recount effort you undermine our democracy on all levels. Elected officials in Long Beach will feel the backlash on election day and in community temperament if this recount effort continues to be undermined. Thank you	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Peter Chen	lunchticketfilms@gmail.com						I object to today's City Council Agenda Item #9 (and request that it be pulled from the 'consent calendar' for council discussion). There is no way our city should even attempt to officially accept the certified results of the March 3rd election in Long Beach in whole, with no mention of the pending dispute over Measure A (a recount process illegally halted by the County Clerk yesterday and now headed toward litigation). Sincerely, Peter Chen (Long Beach Resident)	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Paul Walsh	walshpaul08@gmail.com						Please table item 9 concerning Measure A	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Michael Salemi	gdlead@hotmail.com						I strongly object to certifying the election results of Long beach measure A as the recount was halted and done illegally aside from the fact that a city council candidate and her family and friends registered as residents to the same address, that was listed as a rental, when in fact they weren't . This is now under investigation by the LA country district attorneys office dated 4/2/20 by Michele Gilmer Assistant Head deputy and there votes would be canceled pending the results . Long Beach is famous in doing the wrong thing and paying for it in legal fees	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Arisbeth Rossi	arisbeth.rossi@gmail.com						Council members,  I am urging you to simply read into the record the number of votes for and against measure A. You also need to make a statement that there is a recount and a legal challenge being filed on Measure A. Not being honest and forthcoming on record about the current legal suit is not what the people of Long Beach want. Do the right thing!	4/14/2020



**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Ryan Miller	rkmiller@themillerweb.com						This resolutions is premature and inappropriate based on the current recount that is in process. To adopt this measure is unacceptable and the recount must go on.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Vicky Bierbrodt	vbierbrodt@yahoo.com						I object to today's City Council Agenda Item #9 and request that it be removed from the consent calendar for council discussion. It is inappropriate and disrespectful for the City Council to officially accept the certified results of the March 3rd election in Long Beach in whole, when there is a pending dispute over Measure A ballot results. A recount requested by the citizens of Long Beach was illegally halted by the County Clerk yesterday and is now headed toward litigation. The recount needs to be acknowledged by the City Council.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Tom Tran	ttran@ieee.org						Why are the election results being adopted when there are issues with a recount effort? This is undemocratic. Don't be like Trump!	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Trevor Mason	me@trevordolce.com						Please do not adopt this resolution to include Measure A as a valid recount has not been allowed and the issue is headed to the courts. Thanks.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Ian Patton	ispatton@yahoo.com						I have just emailed my comment to Mayor and Council.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Dennis Smith	dennis@dennis-smith.com						I would like to remind members of City Council and the Mayor that they represent all the citizens of Long Beach, not just those who supported their campaigns and those who voted in favor of Measure A. This council and Mayor enthusiastically embraced an ethics commission, one of the purposes of the ethics commission is to ensure governmental ethics and dissuade conflicts of interest. I ask, were Prop A to have been 16 votes shy of a majority would every member of council and the Mayor accept the result as determined by the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk? 16 votes in an election that had a myriad of issues for voters? 16 votes in an election using a new system that has already been reported as having flaws? Can each of the members of council can look to their own values, their own ethics, and say no they would not request a recount had the result been different? Please represent each citizen, demand a recount on Measure A. Thank you. Dennis C Smith, 8th District	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Mike Vaccaro	mike@mikevaccaro.com						I oppose City Council Agenda Item #9 It seems so simple to have an honest recount overseen by a trusted election company along with state government officials to get a correct count. It would seem those that support Item 9 would be thrilled to have the vote recounted as it would validate their contention that the election was fair. I wonder if we want validation of a fair election. I do, Do you?	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Daniel Oehler	danoehler23@gmail.com						I request that this item be pulled from the consent calendar in consideration of the ongoing recount of Measure A. It is utterly improper to certify that election result when a recount is ongoing, and will continue to be ongoing due to the county's inability to conduct a recount. Measure A has no impact until the current tax ends a number of years from now, so there is no reason to rush to certify it. Additionally, with the voters of Long Beach, historically passing tax measure like this with wide margins, becoming resistant to the extension, it seems like the wiser move politically to let democracy take its course. Most people will accept a narrow election loss, but if this result is pushed through there could well be political consequences for council members and repeated initiatives in coming years to end this tax. Don't forget, the utility tax was cut in half years ago, and this tax can be repealed too! I urge you to do the right thing and pause the certification of Measure A.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	GLennis Dolce	glennisd@mac.com						Please do not certify or approve the results of the Measure A ballot item until the recount issue has been finalized in court. I don't need to go into details here as I and many others have sent that to you via email. thank you.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Ivan Ovalle	ivanper3z@yahoo.com						<p>Dear Councilmembers,</p> <p>Please pull from the consent calendar the item for approval of certification of Measure A election results until the recount issue can be resolved in court.</p> <p>The process for recounts is broken. Regardless of whether you are in favor or opposed to the Measure A Taxation extension,Â Â you will want to do this because you care dearly about the democratic process.</p> <p>If, for some reason, you have not kept up with the news on this issue, here are links to the information:</p> <p><a href="https://longbeach4d.blogspot.com/2020/04/measure-recount-stopped.html?m=1">https://longbeach4d.blogspot.com/2020/04/measure-recount-stopped.html?m=1</a></p> <p><a href="https://www.lbreport.com/news/apr20/recount7.htm">https://www.lbreport.com/news/apr20/recount7.htm</a></p> <p><a href="https://mailchi.mp/36c9a83cdbc4/reform-news-week-in-review-532944">https://mailchi.mp/36c9a83cdbc4/reform-news-week-in-review-532944</a></p> <p><a href="https://www.dailynews.com/2020/04/09/close-vote-count-reveals-flaw-in-la-countys-pricey-new-system/">https://www.dailynews.com/2020/04/09/close-vote-count-reveals-flaw-in-la-countys-pricey-new-system/</a></p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Ivan Ovalle</p>	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Keith Kennedy	keith@bgifirst.com						<p>We OPPOSE today's City Council Agenda Item #9 and request that it be pulled from the 'consent calendar' for council discussion which officially accepts the certified results of the March 3rd election in Long Beach. The reason we are asking that it be pulled is that there is no mention of the pending dispute over Measure A voting results causing a recount process which has been illegally halted by the County Clerk as of April 13, 2020 and consequently is now headed toward litigation.</p>	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Zach Purnell	lbpurnell@hotmail.com						This action is completely premature. The city should not be accepting the disputed results of Measure A since there is a recount in progress and is likely headed to court due to the unethical and potential illegal actions by the County Registrar.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Helga Gergens	hgergens@hotmail.com						I your objection to today's City Council Agenda Item #9 and request that it be pulled from the 'consent calendar' for council discussion. You well know there is a pending dispute (a recount process illegally halted by the County Clerk yesterday and now headed toward litigation). Long Beach Citizens are being denied our legal right to a recount. Please remove item #9 from today's agenda.	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	Steve Albers	swalbers@gmail.com						Please refrain from declaring results, when there is a current recount taking place for one of the Measures. Record how many votes were obtained, but why the results if they are in question (and currently underway with a review)?	4/14/2020
(20-0278) Recommendation to adopt resolution declaring the results of the Special Municipal Election held in the City of Long Beach, California, on Tuesday, March 3, 2020, for the two (2) ballot measures which had been submitted to a vote of the qualified electors of the City;	John Smith	john.smith123124@gmail.com						For public record and transparency purposes, have any elected officials holding office in the City of Long Beach taken ANY part in the Measure A or Measure B vote process? Specifically, did any elected officials take part, impact, or take a role in the vote tabulation process between election day and the final certification (i.e. lobby for the inclusion of any provisional ballots, ballots initially rejected, etc.)? If so, the number of votes should be made public record as well as if this process swayed the outcome of Measure A to pass when it had initially trailed in all updates until the final day certification.  With such a close electoral result any such acts of elected officials, their staff, and/or proxies should be of public record.	4/13/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	diana sanchez	dysanchez416@gmail.com						I, Diana Sanchez, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection to continue burning controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility, SERRF. The incinerator has emitted toxic pollutants into the air for the past 30 years that have disproportionately negatively impacted the health of residents living next to the facility and it continues to do so. The City of Long Beach should not continue to expose their residents to toxic air and should instead ask those agencies to find a safer way to handle confiscated drugs that does not impact community health. Residents shouldn't have to continue to bear the burden of those emissions especially now when they are already susceptible to COVID-19 due to underlying health problems caused by ongoing pollution from the incinerator, ports, rail yards, and diesel trucks.	4/14/2020
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	Jessica Amaya	jessicas.amaya@gmail.com						I, Jessica Amaya, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection to continue burning controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility, SERRF. The incinerator has emitted toxic pollutants into the air for the past 30 years that have disproportionately negatively impacted the health of residents living next to the facility and it continues to do so. The City of Long Beach should not continue to expose their residents to toxic air and should instead ask those agencies to find a safer way to handle confiscated illegal drugs that does not impact community health. Residents shouldn't have to continue to bear the burden of those emissions especially now when they are already susceptible to COVID-19 due to underlying health problems caused by ongoing pollution from the incinerator, ports, rail yards, and diesel trucks.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	Jan Victor Andasan	janvictor.eycej@gmail.com						I, Jan Victor Andasan, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT to renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice & U.S. Customs Border & Protection for the Southeast Recovery Facility (SERRF). The adjacent communities situated closest to the facility are already overburdened with disproportionate amounts of contamination. The incinerator continue to expose residents with toxic air and the cumulative impacts creates many health problems for the residents. The city of Long Beach should as these agencies to find a safer way to handle these confiscated illegal drugs so that working class, black and brown immigrant communities do not bear the brunt of the pollution. Given the COVID-19 crisis which attacks people's respiratory system, the city should be finding ways to limited the already overexposed and overpolluted lungs of residents near major toxic facilities. The city needs to look into safe disposal of these items & find ways to mitigate pollution across facilities in LB	4/14/2020
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	Karla Perez	karlap.eycej@gmail.com						I, Karla Perez, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection to continue burning controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility, SERRF. The incinerator has emitted toxic pollutants into the air for the past 30 years that have disproportionately negatively impacted the health of residents living next to the facility and it continues to do so. The City of Long Beach should not continue to expose their residents to toxic air and should instead ask those agencies to find a safer way to handle confiscated illegal drugs that does not impact community health. Residents shouldn't have to continue to bear the burden of those emissions especially now when they are already susceptible to COVID-19 due to underlying health problems caused by ongoing pollution from the incinerator, ports, rail yards, and diesel trucks.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	Kimberly Amaya	kimberly.amaya.ka@gmail.com						I, Kimberly Amaya, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection to continue burning controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility, SERRF. The incinerator has emitted toxic pollutants into the air for the past 30 years that have disproportionately negatively impacted the health of residents living next to the facility and it continues to do so. The City of Long Beach should not continue to expose their residents to toxic air and should instead ask those agencies to find a safer way to handle confiscated illegal drugs that does not impact community health. Residents shouldn't have to continue to bear the burden of those emissions especially now when they are already susceptible to COVID-19 due to underlying health problems caused by ongoing pollution from the incinerator, ports, rail yards, and diesel trucks.	4/14/2020
16. (20-0285) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to renew the Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with the California Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to continue law enforcement incineration of controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), for a period of one year, with the option to renew for two additional one-year periods. (District 1)	Whitney Amaya	whitney.amaya@gmail.com						I, Whitney Amaya, ask that the City of Long Beach and City Manager NOT renew the MOU with the CA Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection to continue burning controlled substances at the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility, SERRF. The incinerator has emitted toxic pollutants into the air for the past 30 years that have disproportionately negatively impacted the health of residents living next to the facility and it continues to do so. The City of Long Beach should not continue to expose their residents to toxic air and should instead ask those agencies to find a safer way to handle controlled substances that does not impact community health. Residents shouldn't have to continue to bear the burden of those emissions especially now when they are already susceptible to COVID-19 due to underlying health problems caused by ongoing pollution from the incinerator, ports, rail yards, and diesel trucks.	4/14/2020



**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
35. (20-0306) Recommendation to request City Manager to establish information lines in multiple languages and also website landing pages in the three most commonly spoken languages (Spanish, Khmer, Tagalog), as described in the City's Language Access Policy (LAP); Direct City Manager to provide adequate staffing, resources and translation services to ensure that our monolingual residents are apprised of the most up-to-date information regarding the COVID-19 global pandemic, public health updates and City services; and, direct City Manager to report back on the feasibility and costs associated with permanently moving our translation services in-house to provide a faster response and update to our residents.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						Support this item, and look forward to the feasibility report.	4/14/2020
35. (20-0306) Recommendation to request City Manager to establish information lines in multiple languages and also website landing pages in the three most commonly spoken languages (Spanish, Khmer, Tagalog), as described in the City's Language Access Policy (LAP); Direct City Manager to provide adequate staffing, resources and translation services to ensure that our monolingual residents are apprised of the most up-to-date information regarding the COVID-19 global pandemic, public health updates and City services; and, direct City Manager to report back on the feasibility and costs associated with permanently moving our translation services in-house to provide a faster response and update to our residents.	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com						Yes! this is critical. The area's non-profits must have consistent, reliable and up-to-date language to disseminate. These organizations don't have access to translation services - especially during a crisis. Special attention must be place on senior-sensitive interpreters both for spoken and written communication.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
35. (20-0306) Recommendation to request City Manager to establish information lines in multiple languages and also website landing pages in the three most commonly spoken languages (Spanish, Khmer, Tagalog), as described in the City's Language Access Policy (LAP); Direct City Manager to provide adequate staffing, resources and translation services to ensure that our monolingual residents are apprised of the most up-to-date information regarding the COVID-19 global pandemic, public health updates and City services; and, direct City Manager to report back on the feasibility and costs associated with permanently moving our translation services in-house to provide a faster response and update to our residents.	Elsa Tung	elsa.m.tung@gmail.com						My name is Elsa Tung, resident of District 7, and strong supporter of this item. Currently, Limited English Proficient (LEP) residents are not receiving the same COVID-19 information as English speakers, and much of the information has been translated through Google Translate, which is riddled with inaccuracies. IÂ urge Council to take the following measures to ensure that our LEP neighbors are informed, safe, and healthy during this crisis and into the future: (1) Dedicate more staffing and resources to ensure that LEP residents are apprised of the most up-to-date information regarding COVID-19 and other City services. (2) Permanently move interpretation and translation services in-house to provide a faster and higher quality response to residents who need interpretation and translation. (3) Establish dedicated phone lines in Spanish, Khmer and Tagalog and web pages in each of these languages to ensure that all residents are informed during this crisis and in the future.	4/13/2020
38. (20-0309) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with all other appropriate departments, to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a temporary suspension of the Proactive Rental Housing Inspection Program (PRHIP) and business licensing renewal fees for residential rental property owners and commercial property owners impacted by the COVID-19;  Furthermore, request City Manager to work with appropriate departments to find additional financial relief or incentives at the local level for residential and commercial owners that are being directly impacted by revenue loss due to the COVID-19 and create an official website or source of information in various languages for those affected.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						I am looking forward to the discussion by Council and Staff on the details on the relief and incentives for residential/commercial.  I have strong reservations about the feasibility of implementing any temporary suspension of PRHIP, less so about business licensing renewal fees, past the immediate period of COVID-19 emergency.  Any automatic extension past calendar year 2020 needs strong evidence in support from public health officials and disease dynamics modelling generally, as well as city staff administering the program. While no one wants or expects a rebound or boomerang in the infection case/mortality rates this summer or fall, and California and Long Beach in particular have done a good and proactive job in social distancing/mitigation protocols already, there has to be identifiable public health basis for continuing any temporary suspension through the fall, much less the winter.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
38. (20-0309) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with all other appropriate departments, to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a temporary suspension of the Proactive Rental Housing Inspection Program (PRHIP) and business licensing renewal fees for residential rental property owners and commercial property owners impacted by the COVID-19;  Furthermore, request City Manager to work with appropriate departments to find additional financial relief or incentives at the local level for residential and commercial owners that are being directly impacted by revenue loss due to the COVID-19 and create an official website or source of information in various languages for those affected.	Christine Bos	cbos@lbchamber.com						Thank you Mayor and Members of City Council.  On behalf of the Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce we applaud the Long Beach City Council for taking proactive steps during the COVID-19 crisis. A temporary suspension of the PRHIP and business licensing renewal fees for residential rental property owners and commercial property owners impacted by the COVID-19 is enormously important at a time like this. We ask that Council also accept the recommendation to temporarily suspend: Any permitting fees associated with a business reopening if they closed due to orders/restrictions; Any Conditional Use Permit (CUP) fees being required to be paid upfront during the time the State of Emergency is in effect; and Traffic Control Fees. Thank you for bringing this proposal forward for our employees and the business community.	4/14/2020
38. (20-0309) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with all other appropriate departments, to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a temporary suspension of the Proactive Rental Housing Inspection Program (PRHIP) and business licensing renewal fees for residential rental property owners and commercial property owners impacted by the COVID-19;  Furthermore, request City Manager to work with appropriate departments to find additional financial relief or incentives at the local level for residential and commercial owners that are being directly impacted by revenue loss due to the COVID-19 and create an official website or source of information in various languages for those affected.	Alicia Berhow	alicia.berhow@charter.com						My name is Alicia Berhow, Director of Govt Affairs for Charter Communications, one of the city's ISP that serves needs of residents & business. Charter supports Item #38, the Residential & Commercial Property Fee Relief proposal. The company has seen a large increase in permit costs in the city for work on existing facilities over the last several months. This has triggered projects to be delayed or cancelled, and has caused frustration among customers attempting to do business. This includes Traffic Control Review, Land Development Activities, City Fee Structure & Cancellation of Projects. Charter Communications is keen to quickly begin & complete projects critical to the needs of residents & essential businesses in Long Beach to aid in the economic recovery. A letter was sent city officials detailing the permitting issues. Charter Communications respectfully requests that the City Council of Long Beach approve Item #38, the fee relief request. Thank you for your consideration.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
38. (20-0309) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with all other appropriate departments, to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a temporary suspension of the Proactive Rental Housing Inspection Program (PRHIP) and business licensing renewal fees for residential rental property owners and commercial property owners impacted by the COVID-19;  Furthermore, request City Manager to work with appropriate departments to find additional financial relief or incentives at the local level for residential and commercial owners that are being directly impacted by revenue loss due to the COVID-19 and create an official website or source of information in various languages for those affected.	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com						There are an increasing number of senior related experiences with poor and deteriorating conditions, some in non-compliant HUD buildings but also in units in the private rental market. It is true that property owners are facing a loss of rental revenue, however there needs to be continued attention to 'bad actors', those with chronic issues and the like - especially during a crisis. Please reconsider the continuance of the PRHIP at this this.	4/14/2020
44. (20-0255) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 8.100, to temporarily prohibit evictions due to COVID-19 through May 31, 2020; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately, read and adopted as read. (Ordinance No. ORD-20-0010). (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						Strong support. Thank you.  Would love to hear about data collection and reporting on the effectiveness of these measures - as well as how the City will commit to enforcement mechanisms.	4/14/2020
44. (20-0255) Recommendation to declare ordinance amending the Long Beach Municipal Code by adding Chapter 8.100, to temporarily prohibit evictions due to COVID-19 through May 31, 2020; declaring the urgency thereof; and declaring that this ordinance shall take effect immediately, read and adopted as read. (Ordinance No. ORD-20-0010). (Citywide)	Gretchen Swanson	gretchen.swanson3@gmail.com						Of course, there can be no evictions during a Stay at Home policy. Please approve.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
45. (20-0318) Recommendation to approve an employment agreement with Thomas B. Modica to serve as City Manager.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						Support for this item.	4/14/2020
45. (20-0318) Recommendation to approve an employment agreement with Thomas B. Modica to serve as City Manager.	Michael LoGrande	michael.logrande@gmail.com						Hello, My name is Michael LoGrande, I am a resident of Long Beach and live in the 4th District. I want to commend the Mayor and City Council on promoting Thomas Modica to City Manager. I am a real estate developer by occupation and also own a Land Use Consulting business. Having a strong City Manager who understands economic development while balancing good urban planning principals is hard to find. Mr. Modica has these qualities and many more. I urge the Council and Mayor to allow Mr. Modica the freedom to accomplish his job and give him the latitude a professional City Manager needs to run the City Departments and make the permit and building process in long Beach more transport and technologically driven. Best of luck Mr. Modica.	4/11/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						<p>This is a really important item, and I look forward to the Staff presentatoin, and Council deliberation on this item.</p> <p>In the interests of time and space, I suggest the following:</p> <p>a. The overall thrust of the strategies for each item focus on immediate methods - such as the ' "back-up ordinance" ordniance by way of the the usual procedure (two readings) if the timeframe for the application of the ordinance appears to likely extend beyond the timeframe of the pandemic..." ' (p.23 of the Staff Report for this item) - to provide people relief in each area of concern, with the expectation the City is settng the precedent for the next round of measures if pandemic-related protocols extend into the Fall or Winter of this year.</p> <p>b. Please consider that our efforts, at the municipal and state level, in calendar year 2020 are a fire drill for not only future pandemics, but also climate change-related shocks, in this decade. Long Beach should take effective and proactive action on both, now.</p>	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Victor Sanchez	vgsanche@gmail.com						The City Council must vote to require businesses with over 500 employees to provide COVID-19-related sick leave, as laid out in Option A of the staff report. I strongly recommend against any additional exemptions beyond what exists in the Federal CARES Act. On Worker Return and Recall, as well as Retention, I ask that Council vote in favor of both Option A's, as identified in the staff report. I also strongly recommend clarifying that all subcontracts and leases part of hotel operations need to be included under any definitions outlined in a forthcoming ordinance. We have to cover ALL workers who have been part of the industry and its workplaces for years. Any ordinances should apply retroactively to March 4, 2020, in alignment with declared a State of Emergencies. The City should not place an arbitrary deadline on what it will take to fully recover from the economic crisis this pandemic has caused.	4/14/2020
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Tam Romero	tamkrom@yahoo.com						Guarantee workers at least 14 days of additional paid sick leave effective immediately..	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	ALEJANDRO MARTINEZ	alexmtz215@live.com						The City Council must vote to require businesses with over 500 employees to provide COVID-19-related sick leave, as laid out in Option A of the staff report. You must not pursue any additional exemptions beyond what exists in the Federal CARES Act. On Worker Return and Recall, as well as Retention, I ask that Council vote in favor of both Option A™s, as identified in the staff report. I also strongly recommend clarifying that all subcontracts and leases part of hotel operations need to be included under any definitions outlined in a forthcoming ordinance. We have to cover ALL workers who have been part of the industry and its workplaces for years. Any ordinances should apply retroactively to March 4, 2020, in alignment with declared a State of Emergencies. The City should not place an arbitrary deadline on what it will take to fully recover from the economic crisis this pandemic has caused.	4/14/2020
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Brendan Whalen	b.l.whalen@gmail.com						The City Council must vote to require businesses with over 500 employees to provide COVID-19-related sick leave, as laid out in Option A of the staff report. We strongly recommend against any additional exemptions beyond what exists in the Federal CARES Act. On Worker Return and Recall, as well as Retention, I ask that Council vote in favor of both Option A™s, as identified in the staff report. I also strongly recommend clarifying that all subcontracts and leases part of hotel operations need to be included under any definitions outlined in a forthcoming ordinance. We have to cover ALL workers who have been part of the industry and its workplaces for years. Any ordinances should apply retroactively to March 4, 2020, in alignment with declared a State of Emergencies. The City should not place an arbitrary deadline on what it will take to fully recover from the economic crisis this pandemic has caused.	4/14/2020



**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Christine Bos	cbos@lbchamber.com						Hello Mayor Garcia and City Council: The Long Beach Area Chamber commends the dedication by the City Council to slow the spread of COVID-19 and the efforts to provide relief for residents and businesses. While we appreciate the Economic Relief Package Update, we have serious concerns about the following recommended next steps. 1) City Council has asked staff to explore options and strategies to provide sick leave for all workers impacted by COVID-19 citywide; 2) City Council has asked staff to recommend policies of relief for laid-off or furloughed workers in the affected industries such as hospitality including, but not limited to, a "right of return" and "layoff and recall" policy; 3) City Council asked staff to explore and recommend policies that provide worker retention and/or just- cause for termination policy, specifically in the hospitality industry. We ask that you to look at policies that reduce burdensome regulations on business during this time. Thank you.	4/14/2020
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	CJ Yanga	joy@kgalb.org						Workers deserve nothing less than the best protections that will safeguard our health, safety and ability to continue providing the services our City needs to weather this pandemic and economic crisis. Long Beach electeds- your responsibility is to act swiftly to guarantee that our City flattens the curve by taking lessons learned from cities that didn't move equitable polices quick enough and are grieving a tremendous, heart-breaking loss. Businesses with over 500 employees such as hospitality industry or those now considered essential workers are most vulnerable to transmitting or contracting he virus so your vote is a public health issue that can cost lives. Please support equitable policies that value workers in these challenging times. Do not exempt what already exists in the Federal CARES Act. Please place your vote in favor of both Option A's (re: staff report) and apply protections retroactively (Mar 4, 2020) in alignment with declared state of emergencies. Thank you.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Elsa Tung	elsa.m.tung@gmail.com						My name is Elsa Tung, resident of District 7, and strong supporter of this item. Please direct the City Attorney to draft an ordinance incorporating the following worker policy priorities: (1) Paid Sick Days (Issue Area 1): Please vote in favor of Option A and require Long Beach businesses with over 500 employees to provide COVID-19-related sick leave. This covers employees left out of recently passed federal policy and mirrors what LA City Council passed. I oppose any additional exemptions beyond what exists in the Federal CARES Act. (2) Worker Return and Recall (Issue Area 8) AND Worker Retention and Just Cause Termination (Issue Area 9): Please vote in favor of Option A and clarify that all subcontracts and leases part of hotel operations need to be included under any definitions outlined in a forthcoming ordinance. We have to cover ALL workers who have been part of the industry and its workplaces for years. Please also consider other impacted industries, especially janitorial.	4/13/2020
47. (20-0320) Recommendation to review a report on the Coronavirus Economic Relief Package for Long Beach families and small businesses and provide input and policy direction to staff on economic relief strategies for working families and small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 emergency, as more specifically detailed in such report. (Citywide)	Julio Martinez	julio.e.martinez13@gmail.com						My name is Julio Martinez. I work in a hotel in Long Beach and I have been there for 34 years, since 1986. I am asking that you pass this worker retention policy, to protect workers in the hospitality and other industries, like janitorial and restaurant workers, even through potential bankruptcy or sale, so that we, servers, cooks, housekeepers who are the backbone of this industry, have job security. I am terrified that without this guarantee, my decades of service to the industry would all be thrown away. As of today, I have no guarantee that I will be able to return to work. Please vote to require Long Beach businesses, like mine, to protect me and to provide COVID-19-related sick leave as well. I watch as hotels receive 1 trillion dollars in relief, but forget about me. Please take us into account and vote to keep our jobs and keep us safe.	4/13/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
48. 20-0321 Recommendation to adopt resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2020 Salary Resolution to revise the salary range for Public Safety Dispatcher I-IV, Communications Center Supervisor, Communications Center Coordinator, Public Health Nurse I-III, Public Health Nurse-NC, Public Health Nurse Supervisor, Registered Nurse I-II, Registered Nurse I-NC, Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Practitioner-NC, Licensed Vocational Nurse, Licensed Vocational Nurse-NC, and Ambulance Operator classifications. (Citywide)	Susana Aceves	susie-aceves@live.com						As a proud Registered Nurse and Public Health Nurse working for the city of Long Beach these are the times that really makes you appreciate how we come together to care for our community. I choose this profession to compassionately help others in need while making a positive impact in their lives. We help our community to make a better life for their future, and in whole our community. As a frontline worker, all of our hard work should feel appreciated and this is a start.	4/14/2020
48. 20-0321 Recommendation to adopt resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2020 Salary Resolution to revise the salary range for Public Safety Dispatcher I-IV, Communications Center Supervisor, Communications Center Coordinator, Public Health Nurse I-III, Public Health Nurse-NC, Public Health Nurse Supervisor, Registered Nurse I-II, Registered Nurse I-NC, Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Practitioner-NC, Licensed Vocational Nurse, Licensed Vocational Nurse-NC, and Ambulance Operator classifications. (Citywide)	Geline Maniago	gel0711@gmail.com						Please support our Registered Nurses and Public Health Nurses. Especially amidst this global pandemic our role as nurses in the frontlines has proven vital for the health and safety of our city. We have not had a salary rate adjustment in 15 years and it is negatively affecting our staffing and hiring process. We are sacrificing our own health and safety in the frontlines and we have been safeguarding the health and safety of the community even before COVID19. We deserve competitive compensation. Please approve this long awaited and much deserved salary adjustment.	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
48. 20-0321 Recommendation to adopt resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2020 Salary Resolution to revise the salary range for Public Safety Dispatcher I-IV, Communications Center Supervisor, Communications Center Coordinator, Public Health Nurse I-III, Public Health Nurse-NC, Public Health Nurse Supervisor, Registered Nurse I-II, Registered Nurse I-NC, Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Practitioner-NC, Licensed Vocational Nurse, Licensed Vocational Nurse-NC, and Ambulance Operator classifications. (Citywide)	Erica Sanchez	esmynono90@gmail.com						<p>It is mine and my family's hope that the council acknowledges and approves the above recommendation for the nurses' salary increase. It will bring the salary to comparable wages and demonstrate that nurses are valued for their work. Prior to COVID, the clinics at Main Health were heavily supported and relied on by the nurses, able to continue to function with only per diem providers and considered essential. Amidst COVID, the nurses have been the frontline, testing and still running clinics. As nurses, we continue to provide essential services to the public.</p> <p>Without the nurses the clinics would be severely severed and result in reduction of services. It is my hope that we are recognized and supported by the passing of this item. I absolutely love my job and take great pride in what I do. I hope we are recognized and supported on this.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Erica Sanchez, BSN, RN, PHN</p>	4/14/2020

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of April 14, 2020**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Street</b>	<b>Neighborhood</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Received</b>
48. 20-0321 Recommendation to adopt resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2020 Salary Resolution to revise the salary range for Public Safety Dispatcher I-IV, Communications Center Supervisor, Communications Center Coordinator, Public Health Nurse I-III, Public Health Nurse-NC, Public Health Nurse Supervisor, Registered Nurse I-II, Registered Nurse I-NC, Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Practitioner-NC, Licensed Vocational Nurse, Licensed Vocational Nurse-NC, and Ambulance Operator classifications. (Citywide)	Martha Islas	mar3islas@gmail.com						This resolution to revise pay range has been much needed. Nurses have and continue to work despite limited resources and staff for years prior and the cost of living has increased and continues to increase, yet the current pay rate has not reflected appropriately. The nursing team has worked especially hard during this covid crisis despite of other pending responsibilities. They have been versatile in the efforts to continue supporting the health department and testing in order to minimize covid's impact on the community. And at the risk of not only exposing themselves but also exposing their families, many who have children at home. The sacrifices and hard work that they continue to make everyday should not go unrecognized and under-appreciated. We are all working to better our community and hope that this increase can be a beacon of hope for how much the nurses are appreciated and that their contributions to the community has not gone unnoticed.	4/14/2020
48. 20-0321 Recommendation to adopt resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2020 Salary Resolution to revise the salary range for Public Safety Dispatcher I-IV, Communications Center Supervisor, Communications Center Coordinator, Public Health Nurse I-III, Public Health Nurse-NC, Public Health Nurse Supervisor, Registered Nurse I-II, Registered Nurse I-NC, Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Practitioner-NC, Licensed Vocational Nurse, Licensed Vocational Nurse-NC, and Ambulance Operator classifications. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com						Support for this item.	4/14/2020