

---

Date: April 7, 2021

To: Independent Redistricting Commission Members

From: Kevin J. Jackson, Deputy City Manager

Subject: 2011 Redistricting Background Information

---

In support of your work on redistricting, City staff is providing you with background information about the last redistricting process in 2011. The purpose of this is to provide you with context for establishing a set of map evaluation criteria and operating procedures for the 2021 Long Beach Independent Redistricting process.

### **Charter Requirements**

In 2011, the City's last redistricting process followed City Charter Section 103 of Article I, which was repealed on November 6, 2018, by Measure DDD. Section 103 required that the City be divided, for electoral purposes, into nine (9) Councilmanic Districts that are approximately equal in population. Section 103 also required that redistricting occur every five years or at any other time directed by the City Council upon receipt of findings from the Planning Commission on the number of inhabitants in each Councilmanic District. If the Planning Commission's findings indicated that Councilmanic Districts were not approximately equal in population, the City Council was required to redistrict the City by ordinance into (9) Councilmanic Districts. However, unlike Measure DDD, Section 103 did not specify criteria and a process for redistricting beyond ensuring for an approximately equal population.

### **2011 Redistricting Process**

The 2011 redistricting project spanned approximately six (6) months, from February 2011 to August 2011, and included seven Council meetings and one Planning Commission meeting. See attachment for all staff reports and supporting documentation relating to the 2011 redistricting process. The City Council adopted criteria before starting the redistricting process to guide staff through the process. City staff drafted criteria for City Council to consider for adoption utilizing City Council feedback from the initial Study Session held on February 15, 2011. The intent of the proposed criteria was to: (1) ensure for a smooth process; (2) provide transparency in the process; (3) allow for meaningful public input; (4) provide direction City staff as they bring options back to the City Council; and (5) ensure a legally defensible outcome. The City Council adopted the proposed criteria on March 22, 2011. The public engagement occurred at community meetings as requested by City Council members and during City Council meetings on redistricting. At community meetings, residents received information on the redistricting process and were able to provide input on how they would prefer the Council District lines be drawn. Residents were able to provide input on draft maps during public comment at City Council meetings on redistricting.



**February 15, 2011**

City Council held a study session to receive and file a presentation on the redistricting process for Councilmanic Districts. City Council directed staff to return with criteria for approval.

**March 22, 2011**

Council adopted criteria for the 2011 redistricting process with an amendment of criterion 13 to avoid the splitting of census blocks where appropriate.

**May 5, 2011**

The Planning Commission reviewed and transmitted the 2010 Census Data to City Council.

**May 24, 2011**

City staff presented the updated population numbers for current City Council Districts, using the new 2010 Census Data, which demonstrated a population imbalance. City Council directed City staff to manage redistricting to near a 5% variance for those over/under 5%; to retain district lines as close as possible to their current positions; to move lines to accommodate population and not for parks or monuments; and request that the agenda item be laid over to the meeting of Tuesday, June 7, 2011.

**June 7, 2011**

Staff brought four (4) redistricting options to City Council for review and requested direction on the preferred redistricting options to be released for public comment.

City Council approved a single (1) map to use for community input based on the map labeled as Option No. 4, with the changes to:

1. Unify the Bixby neighborhood up to San Antonio Drive;
2. Keep the West Long Beach area whole by keeping Map No. 4, Area G in the 7th District;
3. Exclude Map No. 4, Area D;
4. Move from the 6th District to the 1st District the area between Anaheim Street and 11th Street, bounded by Long Beach Boulevard and Atlantic Avenue;
5. Move from the 2nd District to the 6th District the area between 11th Street and Anaheim Street, bounded by Mahanna Avenue and Gardenia Avenue; and
6. Move from the 6th District to the 2nd District, the area between 11th Street and 10th Street, bounded by Almond Avenue and Orange Avenue.

**July 5, 2011**

Staff brought the publicly released map to City Council for direction. City Council approved the City Council Proposed Redistricting Plan, with the following changes:

1. Exclude the previously proposed new areas of Bixby Knolls (Area C on the City Council Proposed Plan); and,
2. Include in the 7th Council District the area going west on Bixby Road from Atlantic Avenue to the Blue Line right-of-way, and all areas south of the above description to the 7th Council District boundary.

Also, staff received direction to bring a draft ordinance reflecting the approved map.

**July 19, 2011**

Staff brought the draft ordinance amending Section 1.20.010 of the Long Beach Municipal Code relating to the boundaries of the City's nine (9) council districts read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading.

**August 2, 2011**

The ordinance was read for the second time and adopted by the City Council.

**Subsequent Redistricting Actions Prior to Measure DDD Approval**

In 2017, the Mid-Decade Redistricting did not occur, and staff received direction to review the population data after the 2020 Census.

If you have any questions, please contact Bradley Bounds II at (562) 570-6787 or via email at [Bradley.Bounds@longbeach.gov](mailto:Bradley.Bounds@longbeach.gov).

ATTACHMENT: 2011 STAFF REPORTS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

C: AMY R. WEBBER, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY  
TAYLOR M. ANDERSON, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY  
MONIQUE DE LA GARZA, CITY CLERK  
JONATHAN NAGAYAMA, CITY CLERK ANALYST  
BRADLEY BOUNDS II, REDISTRICTING PROGRAM SPECIALIST  
PATRICIA ALEMAN, COMMUNICATIONS COORDINATOR  
ANTHONY DE LA SOTA, GIS PROJECT MANAGER (BSS VI)



# Redistricting Process

February 15, 2011



# Background

- Section 103 of the City Charter requires “approximately an equal number of inhabitants”
- The opportunity for redistricting in Long Beach happens every 5 years
- Last Redistricting was in 2001
- In 2006 the City Council made a finding that redistricting was not necessary



# Charter Provisions

- Requires the Planning Commission to ascertain the number of inhabitants in each District
- Requires the Council to redistrict by ordinance
- Does not allow any other process to redistrict



# California Redistricting Process

- California has a new process for the State Legislature and Congressional district:
  - 14 member Commission
  - Different timeline (August 15, 2011 deadline)
  - Different rules
- Does not directly affect the City of Long Beach process
- Long Beach can participate through comments as individuals or the City as a whole



# Process

- Federal release of Census Data
- Planning Commission
  - Certification of population data
  - Recommendation on need for redistricting
- City Council public meetings on potential scenarios
  - Public input
  - Direction to staff
- LBUSD / City College submits plan
- Final ordinance by the City Council





## 2011 Timeline

- February 15: Council study session
- End of March: Census data released
- April: Planning Commission reviews population and forwards to the Council
- May: Boundary adjustment process begins if districts are out of balance
- June - August: Public input / public hearings / staff submit maps / decision by City Council
- August 31: New boundaries are due to Los Angeles County Registrar - Recorder for 2012 election



## LBUSD / City College

- Both the School District and the City College may need to redistrict
- The City Council makes the ultimate decision on those boundaries
- The City is requesting that each of those entities perform their own process
- Consensus agreements will be forwarded to the Council no later than August 1, 2011



## August 31, 2011 Deadline

- Required to have the second ordinance read and adopted by August 31, 2011
- City Clerk will forward to the LA County Registrar
- Necessary to require enough public notification time prior to 2012 Council election



# Roles

- **Community:** Public input
- **City Council:** Direction to staff and adoption of the final ordinance
- **City Manager:** Staff support for Redistricting process and coordination
- **City Clerk:** Transmittal of ordinance to the County, verification with the County, oversight of election process, consolidation of precincts and voting centers within new district boundaries, reporting of any necessary reconciliations prior to next election, and assisting voters with finding their districts leading up to Election Day



# Roles

- City Attorney: Legal oversight
- Technology Services / Development Services: GIS mapping / boundaries and technical support for census data
- Planning Commission: Certification of the data and need for redistricting
- County of Los Angeles: Provide guidance on submission process, implement new district boundary lines, and voter outreach



# Need for Criteria

- Redistricting Criteria are important to:
  - Ensure a smooth process
  - Provide transparency in the process
  - Allow for meaningful public input
  - Provide direction to staff as they bring options back to the City Council
  - Ensure a legally defensible outcome



# Criteria

- Deviations from mean population should be as small as possible, but not greater than +/- 5%
- Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identify should be avoided
- Districts should be as compact as possible, avoiding gerrymandering
- Residences of Councilpersons should remain within their respective districts
- Boundaries should, wherever practicable, follow major roads and other readily identifiable features



# Criteria

- Preservation of communities of interest, where possible
- Boundary adjustments should generally consist of easily identifiable blocks/areas
- Use Census tract boundaries wherever possible;  
Redistricting shall not split a Census block
- Preservation of population cores which have consistently been associated with particular districts
- Avoidance of large scale dislocations of district populations
- Recognition of inevitable and historical topographic and geographic limitations on district boundaries





# Criteria

- Direction to staff on adjustments shall occur in public session of the City Council
- Redistricting should focus on areas of population, and not on areas of non-population (parks, businesses, etc.)
- Requested information will be shared publicly with all members of the City Council and the community
- Staff will receive input from many sources, but formal direction will come from the City Council in open session
- Staff will provide the City Council with several options, and request direction until consensus is reached



# Legal Issues

- The courts will closely review the results if any under-represented minority groups are impacted
- Almost all litigation results in impacts to under-represented minorities
- Redistricting cannot dilute minority-strength (“cracking”)
- Similarly, it cannot over-concentrate minorities (“packing”)



# Public Input

- Public Hearings / Council Meetings
  - Public meetings to discuss population numbers, criteria, preliminary maps and direction to staff on proposed changes
  - Input on the maps at City Council meetings
  - Input on the ordinance
- Redistricting Website
  - Repository for comments and input to staff and City Council
  - Display site for official documents and maps



## Process After Redistricting is Complete

- Los Angeles County will verify the lines and formal boundary descriptions
- City will engage in an outreach process to inform residents that boundaries have changed
  - Press coverage / Videos / Social Media
  - Website database showing new address
- Official notification of their change will come from the County in their sample ballot for registered voters



# Redistricting Process

February 15, 2011



# CITY OF LONG BEACH

# R-18

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

333 WEST OCEAN BOULEVARD • LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802 • (562) 570-6711 • FAX (562) 570-6583

PATRICK H. WEST  
CITY MANAGER

March 22, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  
City of Long Beach  
California

## RECOMMENDATION

Adopt criteria for the upcoming redistricting process as recommended by the City Manager, or adopt alternative criteria as deemed appropriate by the City Council.  
(Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

At the City Council Study Session on February 15, 2011, the City Council received a presentation regarding the upcoming redistricting process. A component of this presentation was the City Manager's recommendation for the criteria that should be used in the redistricting process. Although the feedback regarding the criteria provided by the City Council at the Study Session was favorable, staff recommends the City Council formally adopt the criteria.

The recommended criteria for the redistricting process are provided below. (The criteria have been numbered for identification purposes only.)

1. Transparency and public information should be of the highest priority;
2. Staff will receive input from many sources, but formal direction will come from the City Council in open session;
3. Direction to staff on adjustments shall occur in public session of the City Council;
4. Requested information will be shared publicly with all members of the City Council and the community;
5. Staff will provide the City Council with several options, and request direction until consensus is reached;
6. Deviations from mean population should be as small as possible, but not greater than +/- 5%;
7. Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identity should be avoided;

8. Districts should be as compact as possible, avoiding gerrymandering;
9. Residences of Councilpersons should remain within their respective districts;
10. Boundaries should, wherever practicable, follow major roads and other readily identifiable features;
11. Preservation of communities of interest, where possible;
12. Boundary adjustments should generally consist of easily identifiable blocks/ areas;
13. Use Census tract boundaries wherever possible; Redistricting shall not split a Census block;
14. Preservation of population cores which have consistently been associated with particular districts;
15. Avoidance of large scale dislocations of district populations;
16. Recognition of inevitable and historical topographic and geographic limitations on district boundaries; and
17. Redistricting should focus on areas of population, and not on areas of non-population (parks, businesses, etc.).

This matter was reviewed by Chief Assistant City Attorney Heather A. Mahood on March 10, 2011 and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on March 11, 2011.


FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact or local job impact associated with this recommendation.

SUGGESTED ACTION

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,



PATRICK H. WEST  
CITY MANAGER



# City of Long Beach: Redistricting Criteria

*Adopted, March 22, 2011*

The Long Beach City Council adopted redistricting criteria applicable to Long Beach City Council districts for the 2011 redistricting process on Tuesday, March 22, 2011. The criteria are presented below and have been numbered for identification purposes only.

1. Transparency and public information should be of the highest priority;
2. Staff will receive input from many sources, but formal direction will come from the City Council in open session;
3. Direction to staff on adjustments shall occur in public session of the City Council;
4. Requested information will be shared publicly with all members of the City Council and the community;
5. Staff will provide the City Council with several options, and request direction until consensus is reached;
6. Deviations from mean population should be as small as possible, but not greater than +/- 5%;
7. Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identity should be avoided;
8. Districts should be as compact as possible, avoiding gerrymandering;
9. Residences of Councilpersons should remain within their respective districts;
10. Boundaries should, wherever practicable, follow major roads and other readily identifiable features;
11. Preservation of communities of interest, where possible;
12. Boundary adjustments should generally consist of easily identifiable blocks/areas;
13. Use Census tract boundaries wherever possible; Redistricting shall avoid splitting Census blocks whenever possible;
14. Preservation of population cores which have consistently been associated with particular districts;
15. Avoidance of large scale dislocations of district populations;
16. Recognition of inevitable and historical topographic and geographic limitations on district boundaries; and
17. Redistricting should focus on areas of population, and not on areas of non-population (parks, businesses, etc.).





# CITY OF LONG BEACH

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

333 West Ocean Blvd., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

Long Beach, CA 90802

(562) 570-6194

FAX (562) 570-6068

May 5, 2011

CHAIR AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS  
City of Long Beach  
California

## RECOMMENDATION:

Transmit to the City Council the 2010 Census population totals by City Council District for use in the Councilmanic Redistricting process. (Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

Section 103 of the Long Beach City Charter reads in part:

Commencing the second quarter of 1981 and at intervals of five (5) years, or at any other time the City Council may direct, the Planning Commission shall ascertain the number of inhabitants in each Councilmanic District and report its finding to the City Council. If the report shows that the Councilmanic Districts are not approximately equal in number of inhabitants, the City Council shall, by ordinance, redistrict the City into nine (9) Councilmanic Districts, each having approximately an equal number of inhabitants.

The City Manager's Office of Government Affairs and Strategic Initiatives is coordinating the preparation and processing of Census 2010 census data to be used in the 2011 Councilmanic Redistricting process. The Planning Commission has the role of reviewing the population figures by City Council District and forwarding that information to the City Council.

The City Council will use this data to determine if there is a significant imbalance between Council District populations, which would trigger the need to redistrict. The Council District ideal population (citywide population divided by nine) and percent difference from ideal population will be key factors in making this determination. District populations that are more than +/- 5 percent from the ideal population would be considered first for District boundary changes to bring their population totals within the +/- 5 percent threshold.

As shown in the table below, Long Beach's population increased by only 0.2 percent between 2000 and 2010, according to the 2010 Census data. Population change varied by Council District, with five districts increasing and four decreasing in inhabitants recorded by the Census. The largest increase was 2.6 percent in the 8<sup>th</sup> District and the largest decrease was -3.3 percent in the 1<sup>st</sup> District.

**April 1, 2010 Population by Councilmanic District**

Council District	2000 Population	2010 Population	00-10 Change	# Difference from Ideal Population	% Difference from Ideal Population
1	49,979	<b>48,314</b>	-3.3%	3,048	-5.9%
2	53,536	<b>52,341</b>	-2.2%	-979	1.9%
3	51,089	<b>52,320</b>	2.4%	-958	1.9%
4	52,198	<b>51,456</b>	-1.4%	-94	0.2%
5	49,129	<b>49,852</b>	1.5%	1,510	-2.9%
6	49,492	<b>48,206</b>	-2.6%	3,156	-6.1%
7	49,681	<b>50,597</b>	1.8%	765	-1.5%
8	52,684	<b>54,075</b>	2.6%	-2,713	5.3%
9	53,734	<b>55,096</b>	2.5%	-3,734	7.3%
Citywide	461,522	<b>462,257</b>	0.2%		

**Ideal Population**      51,280      **51,362**  
 (Citywide Population  
 divided by 9)


To fulfill its City Charter-mandated role in the Councilmanic Redistricting process, the Planning Commission is requested to transmit to the City Council the updated Council District populations based on the 2010 Census data.

Respectfully submitted,



AMY J. BODEK, AICP  
 DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

AJB:DB:LR



# CITY OF LONG BEACH

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

333 West Ocean Boulevard • Long Beach, CA 90802 • (562) 570-6711

~~R-11~~  
**UB-9**

May 24, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  
City of Long Beach  
California

## RECOMMENDATION

Make a determination whether redistricting is required based on the population data provided by the Planning Commission and provide direction to staff on policy for redistricting. (Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

At the City Council Study Session on February 15, 2011, the City Council received a presentation regarding the redistricting process. On March 22, 2011, the City Council formally adopted their criteria for a redistricting process (Attachment A). On May 5, 2011, the Planning Commission officially reviewed the 2010 Census data by Council District and voted to transmit that information to the City Council (Attachment B).

Under the City Charter, the City Council makes the decision on whether redistricting is required. Section 103 of the Long Beach City Charter reads in part:

Commencing the second quarter of 1981 and at intervals of five (5) years, or at any other time the City Council may direct, the Planning Commission shall ascertain the number of inhabitants in each Councilmanic District and report its finding to the City Council. If the report shows that the Councilmanic Districts are not approximately equal in number of inhabitants, the City Council shall, by ordinance, redistrict the City into nine (9) Councilmanic Districts, each having approximately an equal number of inhabitants.

The City Council will use the data transmitted by the Planning Commission to determine if there is a significant imbalance between Council District populations, which would trigger the need to redistrict. The Council District ideal population (citywide population divided by nine) and percent difference from ideal population, are key factors in making this determination. District populations that are more than +/- 5 percent from the ideal population would be considered first for boundary changes to bring their population totals within the +/- 5 percent threshold. Historically the City has used +/- 5 percent as the threshold to trigger redistricting, and the City Council voted earlier this year to re-adopt that criteria for redistricting. As shown in the attached documents, four of the districts (District 1, 6, 8, and 9) exceed the threshold.

### **Policy Issues**

There are two additional policy issues for the City Council to consider, in addition to the guiding principles the City Council adopted. These policy issues will determine the magnitude of the changes as the City Council, City staff and the community engage in the redistricting process. These issues include:

1. Does the City Council take action to focus on only redistricting the districts that exceed the threshold or should all districts be redistricted?
2. Does the City Council strive to bring all districts to as close to being equal as possible (e.g. near 0 variance) or to make as few changes as possible (e.g. just under 5 percent), or a variant of those two concepts?

### **Schedule**

On May 24, 2011, staff is requesting City Council direction on the two policy issues above and the official decision to redistrict. Shortly thereafter, staff will utilize the policy guidelines already adopted by the City Council to generate several maps that utilize those policies in order to provide options for the City Council and the community to consider. City staff will post those maps for a minimum of two weeks on [www.longbeach.gov/redistricting](http://www.longbeach.gov/redistricting) to allow public comment, which can be provided through the website. After the public comment period, City staff will return to the City Council for a discussion on the maps, receive public testimony, and receive further City Council direction on the maps.

This matter was reviewed by Chief Assistant City Attorney Heather Mahood and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on May 13, 2011.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact or local jobs associated with this recommendation.

### **SUGGESTED ACTION**

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

  
PATRICK W. WEST  
CITY MANAGER



# City of Long Beach: Redistricting Criteria

*Adopted, March 22, 2011*

The Long Beach City Council adopted redistricting criteria applicable to Long Beach City Council districts for the 2011 redistricting process on Tuesday, March 22, 2011. The criteria are presented below and have been numbered for identification purposes only.

1. Transparency and public information should be of the highest priority;
2. Staff will receive input from many sources, but formal direction will come from the City Council in open session;
3. Direction to staff on adjustments shall occur in public session of the City Council;
4. Requested information will be shared publicly with all members of the City Council and the community;
5. Staff will provide the City Council with several options, and request direction until consensus is reached;
6. Deviations from mean population should be as small as possible, but not greater than +/- 5%;
7. Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identity should be avoided;
8. Districts should be as compact as possible, avoiding gerrymandering;
9. Residences of Councilpersons should remain within their respective districts;
10. Boundaries should, wherever practicable, follow major roads and other readily identifiable features;
11. Preservation of communities of interest, where possible;
12. Boundary adjustments should generally consist of easily identifiable blocks/areas;
13. Use Census tract boundaries wherever possible; Redistricting shall avoid splitting Census blocks whenever possible;
14. Preservation of population cores which have consistently been associated with particular districts;
15. Avoidance of large scale dislocations of district populations;
16. Recognition of inevitable and historical topographic and geographic limitations on district boundaries; and
17. Redistricting should focus on areas of population, and not on areas of non-population (parks, businesses, etc.).



# CITY OF LONG BEACH

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

333 West Ocean Blvd., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

Long Beach, CA 90802

(562) 570-6194

FAX (562) 570-6068

May 5, 2011

## CHAIR AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS

City of Long Beach  
California

### RECOMMENDATION:

Transmit to the City Council the 2010 Census population totals by City Council District for use in the Councilmanic Redistricting process. (Citywide)

### DISCUSSION

Section 103 of the Long Beach City Charter reads in part:

Commencing the second quarter of 1981 and at intervals of five (5) years, or at any other time the City Council may direct, the Planning Commission shall ascertain the number of inhabitants in each Councilmanic District and report its finding to the City Council. If the report shows that the Councilmanic Districts are not approximately equal in number of inhabitants, the City Council shall, by ordinance, redistrict the City into nine (9) Councilmanic Districts, each having approximately an equal number of inhabitants.

The City Manager's Office of Government Affairs and Strategic Initiatives is coordinating the preparation and processing of Census 2010 census data to be used in the 2011 Councilmanic Redistricting process. The Planning Commission has the role of reviewing the population figures by City Council District and forwarding that information to the City Council.

The City Council will use this data to determine if there is a significant imbalance between Council District populations, which would trigger the need to redistrict. The Council District ideal population (citywide population divided by nine) and percent difference from ideal population will be key factors in making this determination. District populations that are more than +/- 5 percent from the ideal population would be considered first for District boundary changes to bring their population totals within the +/- 5 percent threshold.

As shown in the table below, Long Beach's population increased by only 0.2 percent between 2000 and 2010, according to the 2010 Census data. Population change varied by Council District, with five districts increasing and four decreasing in inhabitants recorded by the Census. The largest increase was 2.6 percent in the 8<sup>th</sup> District and the largest decrease was -3.3 percent in the 1<sup>st</sup> District.


**April 1, 2010 Population by Councilmanic District**

Council District	2000 Population	2010 Population	00-10 Change	# Difference from Ideal Population	% Difference from Ideal Population
1	49,979	<b>48,314</b>	-3.3%	3,048	-5.9%
2	53,536	<b>52,341</b>	-2.2%	-979	1.9%
3	51,089	<b>52,320</b>	2.4%	-958	1.9%
4	52,198	<b>51,456</b>	-1.4%	-94	0.2%
5	49,129	<b>49,852</b>	1.5%	1,510	-2.9%
6	49,492	<b>48,206</b>	-2.6%	3,156	-6.1%
7	49,681	<b>50,597</b>	1.8%	765	-1.5%
8	52,684	<b>54,075</b>	2.6%	-2,713	5.3%
9	53,734	<b>55,096</b>	2.5%	-3,734	7.3%
Citywide	461,522	<b>462,257</b>	0.2%		

**Ideal Population**      51,280      **51,362**  
 (Citywide Population  
 divided by 9)

To fulfill its City Charter-mandated role in the Councilmanic Redistricting process, the Planning Commission is requested to transmit to the City Council the updated Council District populations based on the 2010 Census data.


Respectfully submitted,



AMY J. BODEK, AICP  
 DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

AJB:DB:LR





# City Council Districts

## 2010 Population &

## % Difference from Ideal Population

City of Long Beach, California

As of March 2011

**Disclaimer**  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, the City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.



Department of  
Technology Services GIS

E:\Census2010\CD\_Pop.mxd





# CITY OF LONG BEACH

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

## UB-9

Revised

333 West Ocean Boulevard • Long Beach, CA 90802 • (562) 570-6711 FAX (562) 570-7650

June 7, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  
City of Long Beach  
California

### RECOMMENDATION

Receive and discuss four redistricting options and provide direction to staff on the preferred redistricting options to be released for public comment. (Citywide)

### DISCUSSION

This item is the continuation of the redistricting discussion from the May 24, 2011 Council meeting. At that meeting, the Council voted to direct staff to: make a determination that redistricting was necessary; manage redistricting to near a 5 percent variance for those over/under 5 percent; retain district lines as close as possible to their current positions; move lines to accommodate population and not for parks or monuments; and continue the item to June 7, 2011 and return to the City Council with draft maps for public comment. Given this direction, staff has now generated several scenarios for the Mayor and City Council's consideration to release to the community to begin the process.

#### **Overview of the Maps**

Based on the March 22, 2011 approved City Council redistricting criteria and the direction given to staff on May 24, 2011 as stated above, the attached maps present four options for potential revised district boundaries. In the attached maps, the areas in red are areas that are proposed to be changed, and the color within the red boundaries shows the new district that area would move to. Each changed area is marked with a letter for identification purposes (listed from top to bottom), and the number indicates the number of residents included within the boundaries of that particular area. Each option has a table showing the previous population and variance from the ideal population, the numerical change under that option, and the revised population and variance from the ideal population. A narrative description of the changes and the change in demographics is also included.

#### **Other Options Possible**

The four options shown are not the only options that would result in a solution that meets the City Council's direction. Various aspects of each option may be able to be assembled to result in a solution that would meet the City Council's criteria. Additionally, the City Council may ask for other options that meet the criteria. The purpose of the four options is to provide the City Council and the community with various options to consider.

**Next Steps**

City staff is requesting direction on the preferred alternatives to release for public comment. The City Council may vote to release all or some of the four options, or request additional options be created to release to the public. After the public comment period, City staff will return to the City Council on July 5, 2011 for a discussion on the maps, receive public testimony, and receive further City Council direction.

**Public Comment**

Public comment can occur both at the City Council meeting, and through the City's Redistricting website at [www.longbeach.gov/redistricting](http://www.longbeach.gov/redistricting). All maps and redistricting information will be available on the website, as well as a public comment form and an email address dedicated for comments on redistricting. Those comments will be formally submitted to the City Council prior to each City Council meeting on this issue through the agenda process.

This matter was reviewed by Chief Assistant City Attorney Heather Mahood and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on June 3, 2011.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact or local job impact associated with this recommendation.

SUGGESTED ACTION

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

  
PATRICK W. WEST  
CITY MANAGER


List of Attachments:

- Option 1 Map and Narrative
- Option 2 Map and Narrative
- Option 3 Map and Narrative
- Option 4 Map and Narrative
- List of Website Comments Received
- City Council Adopted Criteria



Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	835	49,149	-4.3%
2	52,341	1.9%	0	52,341	1.9%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	0	51,456	0.2%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	918	49,124	-4.4%
7	50,597	-1.5%	-205	50,392	-1.9%
8	54,075	5.3%	-280	53,795	4.7%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257  
 Ideal Population Per District = 51,362  
 +/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Option Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Option Area



Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



# City Council Districts Redistricting Option #1

Department of  
 Technology Services GIS

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, The City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.

# OPTION 1

- This option utilizes South Street as the District 9 border. The neighborhood south of South Street and east of Paramount Blvd. would be moved into the 8<sup>th</sup> District, as would the neighborhood south of South Street (between DeForest and Daisy).
- In District 8, the neighborhood north of San Antonio up to Del Amo (between Cherry and Orange) and the neighborhood south of 36<sup>th</sup> Street (between Long Beach Blvd. and Pacific) would move into the 7<sup>th</sup> District.
- In Districts 6 and 7, Magnolia is established as the dividing line creating a clear line up to 29<sup>th</sup> Street.
- The area from Pacific Coast Highway to Hill (from Santa Fe to the western border) would move from the 7<sup>th</sup> District to the 1<sup>st</sup> District.

Rationale: Option 1 represents a minimal amount of change keeping most district lines intact. The four districts that previously exceeded +/- 5 percent are now slightly below 5 percent, ranging from 4.3 to 4.8 percent. Option 1 uses major streets as boundary lines at South Street, Del Amo, and Magnolia and recognizable boundaries for other changes. Districts 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not changed in Option 1.

## Redistricting Option 1 (as percent of population)


Council District	Total Population		Hispanic		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Other		2 or more	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
1	48314	49149	64.5	63.7	11.9	12.2	13.9	14.4	6.3	6.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.9	2.0
2	52341	52341	38.4	38.4	34.6	34.6	13.4	13.4	9.4	9.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	3.1	3.1
3	52320	52320	15.0	15.0	69.9	69.9	3.6	3.6	7.4	7.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	3.3	3.3
4	51456	51456	35.9	35.9	33.2	33.2	11.2	11.2	15.5	15.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.3	3.3
5	49852	49852	19.8	19.8	63.9	63.9	3.4	3.4	8.2	8.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.7	3.7
6	48206	49124	54.9	54.8	5.1	5.4	17.0	16.9	19.8	19.8	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7
7	50597	50392	37.0	36.9	17.9	18.0	15.4	15.0	24.4	24.9	0.2	0.2	1.8	1.9	0.2	0.2	3.0	3.0
8	54075	53795	43.8	44.3	17.7	17.5	19.7	19.8	13.6	13.2	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.0	0.2	0.2	2.8	2.7
9	55096	53828	58.1	58.4	9.5	9.2	18.6	18.7	9.3	9.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7

Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	803	49,117	-4.4%
2	52,341	1.9%	0	52,341	1.9%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	-904	50,552	-1.6%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	637	48,843	-4.9%
7	50,597	-1.5%	1,348	51,945	1.1%
8	54,075	5.3%	-616	53,459	4.1%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257

Ideal Population Per District = 51,362

+/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Option Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Option Area



Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



Department of  
Technology Services GIS

# City Council Districts Redistricting Option #2

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, The City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.

## OPTION 2

- This option utilizes South Street as the District 9 border. The neighborhood south of South Street and east of Paramount Blvd. would be moved into the 8<sup>th</sup> District, as would the neighborhood south of South Street (between DeForest and Daisy).
- In District 8, the neighborhood north of San Antonio up to Del Amo (between Cherry and Orange) and the area north of 36th and south of Bixby (between Long Beach Blvd. and Atlantic) would be moved from the 8<sup>th</sup> District to the 7<sup>th</sup> District.
- The 6<sup>th</sup> District line on the east would move to the next largest street (Cherry). In the 7<sup>th</sup> District, areas east of Magnolia (to Eucalyptus) and areas west of San Francisco to the River (south of 20<sup>th</sup>) would move to the 6<sup>th</sup> District.
- Option 2 moves the population in the St. Mary's Medical Center area (south of Anaheim and north of 11<sup>th</sup>) from the 6<sup>th</sup> District to the 1<sup>st</sup> District. While a clearer line would include St. Mary's Medical Center in the 1<sup>st</sup> District as well, the hospital was left in the 6<sup>th</sup> District to follow the City Council's direction to not move monuments.

Rationale: Option 2 represents a minimal amount of change keeping most district lines intact. The four districts that previously exceeded +/- 5 percent are now below 5 percent, ranging from 4.1 to 4.9 percent. Option 1 uses major streets as boundary lines at South Street, Del Amo, and Bixby, Cherry, and Long Beach Blvd and recognizable boundaries for other changes. Districts 2, 3, and 5 are not changed in Option 1. Option 2 creates a salient in District 6 as a result of leaving the hospital in District 6, but follows the City Council's direction not to include monuments.

### Redistricting Option 2 (as percent of population)


Council District	Total Population		Hispanic		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Other		2 or more	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
1	48314	49117	64.5	64.2	11.9	11.9	13.9	14.0	6.3	6.7	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.9	1.9
2	52341	52341	38.4	38.4	34.6	34.6	13.4	13.4	9.4	9.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	3.1	3.1
3	52320	52320	15.0	15.0	69.9	69.9	3.6	3.6	7.4	7.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	3.3	3.3
4	51456	50552	35.9	35.8	33.2	33.7	11.2	11.1	15.5	15.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.3	3.3
5	49852	49852	19.8	19.8	63.9	63.9	3.4	3.4	8.2	8.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.7	3.7
6	48206	48843	54.9	54.9	5.1	5.2	17.0	16.9	19.8	19.9	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.0	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7
7	50597	51945	37.0	36.3	17.9	18.7	15.4	15.3	24.4	24.4	0.2	0.2	1.8	1.8	0.2	0.2	3.0	3.1
8	54075	53459	43.8	44.5	17.7	17.0	19.7	19.8	13.6	13.4	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.0	0.2	0.2	2.8	2.7
9	55096	53828	58.1	58.4	9.5	9.2	18.6	18.7	9.3	9.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7

Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	835	49,149	-4.3%
2	52,341	1.9%	0	52,341	1.9%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	0	51,456	0.2%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	683	48,889	-4.8%
7	50,597	-1.5%	43	50,640	-1.4%
8	54,075	5.3%	-293	53,782	4.7%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257

Ideal Population Per District = 51,362

+/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Option Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Option Area



Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



# City Council Districts Redistricting Option #3

Department of  
 Technology Services GIS

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, the City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.

## OPTION 3

- This option utilizes South Street as the District 9 border. The neighborhood south of South Street and east of Paramount Blvd. would be moved into the 8<sup>th</sup> District, as would the neighborhood south of South Street (between DeForest and Daisy).
- This option utilizes Bixby as the dividing line between the 8<sup>th</sup> District and 7<sup>th</sup> District, extending the 7<sup>th</sup> District to Pacific. The area south of Bixby Road (between Atlantic and Pacific) would move to the 7<sup>th</sup> District.
- Option 3 creates Magnolia as the dividing line between the 6<sup>th</sup> District and 7<sup>th</sup> District creating a clear line extending up to 31<sup>st</sup> street. Areas west of Magnolia would become the 7<sup>th</sup> District and areas east of Magnolia would become the 6<sup>th</sup> District. This option also moves the area west of San Francisco to the Los Angeles River (south of 20<sup>th</sup>) from the 7<sup>th</sup> District to 6<sup>th</sup> District.
- The area from Pacific Coast Highway to Hill (from Santa Fe to the western border) would move from the 7<sup>th</sup> District to the 1<sup>st</sup> District.

Rationale: Option 3 represents a minimal amount of change keeping most district lines intact. The four districts that previously exceeded +/- 5 percent are now slightly below 5 percent, ranging from 4.3 to 4.8 percent. Option 3 uses major streets as boundary lines at South Street, Bixby, and Magnolia and recognizable boundaries for other changes. Districts 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not changed in Option 3.


### Redistricting Option 3 (as percent of population)

Council District	Total Population		Hispanic		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Other		2 or more	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
1	48314	49149	64.5	63.7	11.9	12.2	13.9	14.4	6.3	6.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.9	2.0
2	52341	52341	38.4	38.4	34.6	34.6	13.4	13.4	9.4	9.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	3.1	3.1
3	52320	52320	15.0	15.0	69.9	69.9	3.6	3.6	7.4	7.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	3.3	3.3
4	51456	51456	35.9	35.9	33.2	33.2	11.2	11.2	15.5	15.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.3	3.3
5	49852	49852	19.8	19.8	63.9	63.9	3.4	3.4	8.2	8.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.7	3.7
6	48206	48889	54.9	54.8	5.1	5.3	17.0	17.0	19.8	19.8	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7
7	50597	50640	37.0	36.5	17.9	18.6	15.4	14.8	24.4	24.8	0.2	0.2	1.8	1.8	0.2	0.2	3.0	3.0
8	54075	53782	43.8	44.6	17.7	16.9	19.7	19.9	13.6	13.4	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.0	0.2	0.2	2.8	2.7
9	55096	53828	58.1	58.4	9.5	9.2	18.6	18.7	9.3	9.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7



Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	795	49,109	-4.4%
2	52,341	1.9%	0	52,341	1.9%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	0	51,456	0.2%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	918	49,124	-4.4%
7	50,597	-1.5%	-113	50,484	-1.7%
8	54,075	5.3%	-332	53,743	4.6%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257  
 Ideal Population Per District = 51,362  
 +/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Option Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Option Area

Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



# City Council Districts Redistricting Option #4

Department of  
 Technology Services GIS

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, The City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.

## OPTION 4

- This option utilizes South Street as the District 9 border. The neighborhood south of South Street and east of Paramount Blvd. would be moved into the 8<sup>th</sup> District, as would the neighborhood south of South Street (between DeForest and Daisy).
- The neighborhood south of Carson to Bixby (from Atlantic to Orange) would be moved from the 8<sup>th</sup> District into the 7<sup>th</sup> District. The neighborhood south of 36<sup>th</sup> Street (between Long Beach Blvd. and Pacific) would also move into the 7<sup>th</sup> District.
- In Districts 6 and 7, Magnolia is established as the dividing line creating a clear line up to 29<sup>th</sup> Street.
- One block of the neighborhood from 20<sup>th</sup> Street to 21<sup>st</sup> Street (from Santa Fe to Gale Ave) would move from the 7<sup>th</sup> District to the 1<sup>st</sup> District.

Rationale: Option 4 represents a minimal amount of change keeping most district lines intact. The four districts that previously exceeded +/- 5 percent are now below 5 percent, ranging from 4.4 to 4.8 percent. Option 4 uses major streets as boundary lines at South Street, Carson, and Atlantic. Districts 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not changed in Option 4. Option 4 seeks to limit the changes in the district lines, but in District 1 that change results in taking only one block of neighborhood. Including the entire neighborhood would require additional changes in other areas, changing additional district lines.

### Redistricting Option 4 (as percent of population)

Council District	Total Population		Hispanic		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Other		2 or more	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
1	48314	49109	64.5	64.3	11.9	11.8	13.9	13.9	6.3	6.7	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.9	1.9
2	52341	52341	38.4	38.4	34.6	34.6	13.4	13.4	9.4	9.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	3.1	3.1
3	52320	52320	15.0	15.0	69.9	69.9	3.6	3.6	7.4	7.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	3.3	3.3
4	51456	51456	35.9	35.9	33.2	33.2	11.2	11.2	15.5	15.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.3	3.3
5	49852	49852	19.8	19.8	63.9	63.9	3.4	3.4	8.2	8.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.7	3.7
6	48206	49124	54.9	54.8	5.1	5.4	17.0	16.9	19.8	19.8	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7
7	50597	50484	37.0	36.0	17.9	19.0	15.4	15.4	24.4	24.3	0.2	0.3	1.8	1.8	0.2	0.2	3.0	3.1
8	54075	53743	43.8	44.6	17.7	16.9	19.7	19.8	13.6	13.5	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.0	0.2	0.2	2.8	2.7
9	55096	53828	58.1	58.4	9.5	9.2	18.6	18.7	9.3	9.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7



# City of Long Beach: Redistricting Criteria

*Adopted, March 22, 2011*

The Long Beach City Council adopted redistricting criteria applicable to Long Beach City Council districts for the 2011 redistricting process on Tuesday, March 22, 2011. The criteria are presented below and have been numbered for identification purposes only.

1. Transparency and public information should be of the highest priority;
2. Staff will receive input from many sources, but formal direction will come from the City Council in open session;
3. Direction to staff on adjustments shall occur in public session of the City Council;
4. Requested information will be shared publicly with all members of the City Council and the community;
5. Staff will provide the City Council with several options, and request direction until consensus is reached;
6. Deviations from mean population should be as small as possible, but not greater than +/- 5%;
7. Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identity should be avoided;
8. Districts should be as compact as possible, avoiding gerrymandering;
9. Residences of Councilpersons should remain within their respective districts;
10. Boundaries should, wherever practicable, follow major roads and other readily identifiable features;
11. Preservation of communities of interest, where possible;
12. Boundary adjustments should generally consist of easily identifiable blocks/areas;
13. Use Census tract boundaries wherever possible; Redistricting shall avoid splitting Census blocks whenever possible;
14. Preservation of population cores which have consistently been associated with particular districts;
15. Avoidance of large scale dislocations of district populations;
16. Recognition of inevitable and historical topographic and geographic limitations on district boundaries; and
17. Redistricting should focus on areas of population, and not on areas of non-population (parks, businesses, etc.).

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -**

Respondent ID	Comment Date	District you live in...	District you want to comment on...	Comments:	Contact Information (optional):
		<i>Response</i>	<i>Response</i>	<i>Open-Ended Response</i>	<i>First Name: Last Name:</i>
email	5/26/2011	District 8	District 8	I attended and spoke at the council meeting last Tuesday. As a resident and candidate for the 8th district in the upcoming election I certainly don't want to see my neighborhood moved into another district. I have looked at the census data and maps. Long Beach's total population has grown less than .2%, some 735 people since the last census. Bottom line is that the city need not do anything. The +- 5% is merely a guideline. The city attorney called it a "safe harbor". What staffers and council need to be asking is why have the districts fallen outside the 5% range, suggesting redistricting may be necessary. There has not been any new residential development to speak of in the 9th or 8th districts, or city wide for that matter except in 1st and 2nd districts. And if they look at current vacancy factors district wide they will find the highest vacancy rates are in the 1st and 2nd while among the lowest are the 9th and 8th. What does that tell you? With our challenging economy residents have relocated into more affordable housing with many now sharing housing with families and friends while weather the storm. I say lets save ourselves a bunch council lines, breaking up neighborhoods and keep the districts intact as they now exist. All council has to do is justify the reasons (as state above) for no change.	Mike Kowel
1433277524	05/24/2011	District 8	District 8	Please do your best to maintain community boundaries. Many of the criteria overlap, but the community boundaries should be #1 after absolute legal requirements.	Bob Gill
1421752435	05/15/2011	District 8	District 8	who is my librarians for my district, and parks and recreation along with who is the Public work person names?	Benita McGlothen
1406917284	05/03/2011	District 5	District 5	I hope the City will consider redefining the lines between the 4th & 5th district, I think the 4th District should extend along Willow to as far needed east to off set the finger which covers the area east of Studebaker along the San Gabriel Riverbed. It seems to me that these would represent more uniform lines.	Ron Beeler

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -**

Respondent ID	Comment Date	District you live in...	District you want to comment on...	Comments:	Contact Information (optional):
		<i>Response</i>	<i>Response</i>	<i>Open-Ended Response</i>	<i>First Name: Last Name:</i>
1406916416	05/03/2011	District 7	District 7	<p>We are in the Gerrymandered sliver of Cal Heights that receives little recognition in comparison to Wrigley. We provide money to candidates, but receive no benefit from our representation. Bordered on the North by the 8th District and on the South by Signal Hill, we are plagued by MedPot shops on the Wardlow (six at one time!), liquor stores, and a smoke shop. Atlantic gets redevelopment money and traffic gets pushed to Orange Ave. Atlantic gets resurfacing and three new stoplights and we are told we will not get a traffic light. It would be better if we were placed in the 8th District as it is contiguous on the north side. But at least we are not in the 4th which is a blatantly gerrymandered district. And let's get rid of race based districts. I should not be judged by my race and political leaders should not pick us on this basis! We all have common needs--fix the roads, cover graffitti, pay for police. I should be treated the same as anyone else. Yes, I am a minority but am tired of being used by politicians who claim to represent me.</p>	



# CITY OF LONG BEACH

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

# R-15

333 West Ocean Boulevard • Long Beach, CA 90802 • (562) 570-6711 FAX (562) 570-7650

July 5, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

City of Long Beach

California

## RECOMMENDATION

Receive and discuss redistricting of City Council districts and provide direction to staff on the redistricting option released for public comment. (Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

At the June 7, 2011 City Council meeting, the City Council voted to release a map (attached) for public comment and request that staff return to the City Council on July 5, 2011 for further discussion. On June 10, 2011, City staff released and posted the map on the City's redistricting website at [www.longbeach.gov/redistricting](http://www.longbeach.gov/redistricting). The City has provided an online public comment feature on the website that the community can use to provide direct written comments to the Mayor and City Council. A copy of the responses received thus far is attached.

City staff is requesting direction from the City Council on the next steps for redistricting. The City Council may take the following actions:

1. Approve the map as the final redistricting map and direct the creation of the official ordinance describing the new boundaries;
2. Provide direction through a motion to change or modify the map; or
3. Provide other direction as appropriate.

This matter was reviewed by Chief Assistant City Attorney Heather Mahood and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on June 23, 2011.

## TIMING CONSIDERATIONS

In order for the redistricting Ordinance to take effect in August 2011, City Council action is required on July 5, 2011.

## FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact or local job impact associated with this recommendation.




Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	803	49,117	-4.4%
2	52,341	1.9%	-1,123	51,218	-0.3%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	0	51,456	0.2%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	1,238	49,444	-3.7%
7	50,597	-1.5%	2,464	53,061	3.3%
8	54,075	5.3%	-2,114	51,961	1.2%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257

Ideal Population Per District = 51,362

+/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Area



Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



Department of  
Technology Services GIS

# City Council Districts Proposed Redistricting Plan

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, The City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.



# PROPOSED REDISTRICTING PLAN

- This option utilizes South Street as the District 9 border. The neighborhood south of South Street and east of Paramount Blvd. would be moved into the 8<sup>th</sup> District, as would the neighborhood south of South Street (between DeForest and Daisy).
- In the current 8<sup>th</sup> District, the neighborhood south of San Antonio to Bixby (from Atlantic to Orange) would be moved from the 8<sup>th</sup> District into the 7<sup>th</sup> District.
- In Districts 6 and 7, Magnolia is established as the dividing line creating a clear line up to 29<sup>th</sup> Street.
- In the current 6<sup>th</sup> District, the neighborhood from Anaheim to 11<sup>th</sup> Street between Long Beach Blvd. and Atlantic would move from the 6<sup>th</sup> District to the 1<sup>st</sup> District.
- In the current 2<sup>nd</sup> District, the neighborhood from Anaheim to 11<sup>th</sup> Street between Mahanna Ave. and Gardenia Ave. would move from the 2<sup>nd</sup> District to the 6<sup>th</sup> District, while the area between 11<sup>th</sup> Street and 10<sup>th</sup> Street and Orange Ave. to Almond Ct. would move from the 6<sup>th</sup> District to the 2<sup>nd</sup> District.

## Proposed Redistricting Plan Demographic Change (as percent of population)

Council District	Total Population		Hispanic		White		Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander		Other		2 or more	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
	<b>1</b>	48314	49117	64.5	64.2	11.9	11.9	13.9	14.0	6.3	6.7	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.9
<b>2</b>	52341	51218	38.4	38.2	34.6	35.1	13.4	13.4	9.4	9.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	3.1	3.1
<b>3</b>	52320	52320	15.0	15.0	69.9	69.9	3.6	3.6	7.4	7.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	3.3	3.3
<b>4</b>	51456	51456	35.9	35.9	33.2	33.2	11.2	11.2	15.5	15.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.3	3.3
<b>5</b>	49852	49852	19.8	19.8	63.9	63.9	3.4	3.4	8.2	8.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	3.7	3.7
<b>6</b>	48206	49444	54.9	54.8	5.1	5.5	17.0	16.8	19.8	19.9	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.0	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7
<b>7</b>	50597	53061	37.0	35.7	17.9	19.7	15.4	15.6	24.4	23.7	0.2	0.3	1.8	1.8	0.2	0.2	3.0	3.1
<b>8</b>	54075	51961	43.8	45.5	17.7	15.8	19.7	19.7	13.6	13.8	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.1	0.2	0.2	2.8	2.7
<b>9</b>	55096	53828	58.1	58.4	9.5	9.2	18.6	18.7	9.3	9.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.7

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1462014486	6/21/11	District 8	District 7	There is no reason for the redistricting of 8 into 7, at all.	JOSEPH	CROOM
1461987684	6/21/11	District 8	District 8	I am completely against the redistricting of any portion of districts 7 and 8.	KAREN	MAYER
1461130750	6/21/11	District 8	District 8	The idea that James Johnson wants to promote as, unifying the Bixbys is just stupid. Why in the world would the council vote to create a new boundary for the 8th district that would physically split the business district on Atlantic Ave between Bixby Rd. and San Antonio Ave down the middle? As a resident and a business owner in the 8th district, I strongly oppose this action. If the residents of the proposed new boundaries are not heard and suddenly inherit a new council person, he had better be prepared to lose his next election. Many of us will be protesting his next library reading event on First Fridays that promotes both sides of Atlantic Ave.		
1460887639	6/20/11	District 8	District 8	James Johnson's claim to reunite Bixby Knolls is true "misinformation". Bixby Knolls has always been in Dist. 8. This proposal gives the distinct impression of a power grab. To annex Bixby Knolls and its viable business district is nothing more than a move to claim for Dist. 7 what rightfully belongs in Dist. 8. Furthermore, B.K. citizens want and deserve a Representative who lives in the area; who knows the concerns of the residents and is able to address these concerns with knowledge and first hand experience. Dist. 7 is a sprawled out district in which the residents of Bixby Knolls do not want to join.	Donald and Mildred	Carr

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1459460546	6/19/11	District 8	District 8	<p>I am not in favor of dividing the Atlantic corridor and essentially segregating the area between Carson to Bixby and Atlantic to Orange to District 7. I grew up in the California Heights-Chateau Thierry area from 1963-1979, downtown Long Beach from 1980-1984, the Bixby Knolls area from 1985-1991, and currently the Rancho Los Cerritos/Virginia Country Club area from 1992 to the present. As a long time resident of Long Beach and District 8, the current re-districting proposal would undermine the cohesiveness of the greater 'Bixby Knolls' area. I would have great concern in having two council people represent our area, potentially creating division amongst residents and businesses by proposing opposite agendas in the name of 'greater good' for what each believes the people who live in this area want. I would also have a concern that residents in the area would have trouble identifying their appropriate councilperson for issues affecting the overall neighborhood or having those issues addressed timely or even at all, if their issue was mis-directed to an incorrect district. I would also have a concern that there would be two council people when trying to get things done for the area. The Atlantic corridor has (finally and) recently had a resurgence of neighborhood support in the businesses. It's been a long, long time coming and one that I'm glad to finally see happen. What happens on the east side of Atlantic greatly affects the residents and businesses to the west, how our neighborhood is perceived, real estate prices, etc. Dividing us, the residents-- into two distinct districts would not give us a large enough voice and it would only serve in the mid-long term to be divisive in support of our respective councilperson's agenda, should this happen. The greater 'Bixby Knolls' area needs to remain intact in District 8 and be represented by one councilperson, one that has the 'greater good' in mind for residents and business people on both sides of Atlantic. I hope you consider my comments and vote to NOT redistrict/split up the Bixby Knolls/Cal Heights/Los Cerritos community. Thank you.</p>	Connie	Hughes

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1459424592	6/19/11	District 7	District 7	I would like to see the redistricting happen. I applaude our new councilman James Johnson as he is trying to bring businesses to the 7th district. If this helps him with this goal, good.	Patricia	Turner
1458951373	6/18/11	District 8	District 7	Tell Councilmember Johnson to leave the 8th district in tact. He has enough on his plate and will only divide the community.		
1457718115	6/16/11	District 8	District 8	I feel this is an outrage! There are plenty of ways to proceed with this - but where is the input from our district? Doing nothing is an option - splitting the heart of Bixby Knolls and the heart of the business district is not an option, it is inexcusable.		
1456310345	6/15/11	District 5	District 6	Since District 6 already borders on Signal Hill, why doesn't District 6 (rather than District 7) have the area surrounded by Signal Hill south of Wardlow?	G.G.	Richardson
1456304629	6/15/11	District 5	District 4	Why is the Eldorado Park area on the city's far east side included in District 4 rather than District 5? Wouldn't it make more sense to have the Recreation Park area rather than Eldo park area in District 4?	G.G.	Richardson
1456161498	6/15/11	District 7	District 7	I understand only ONE map was approved by the council to be discussed with the public. What does this say for a sincere attempt at the integration of public comment? Will other maps be considered? When and where are the public meetings? to my knowledge only the 9th and 8th district had meetings and the communities most impacted have not been consulted.	Tonia	Uranga

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1452261335	6/11/11	District 8	District 7	We do not want to be in the 7th. District. This map excludes the best candidate for Council office in the 8th. District and this would eliminate him. Also, we would not be able to vote in the 2012 election.	Wilma and John	Oppenheim
1451893444	6/10/11	District 5	District 4	If District 4 moved back up to Willow Street going East to the County line, District 6 could move East to Redondo and District 7 could move East to Pacific, This would alleviate the pressure for District 7 to move North and leave 8 as much as it is as possible. The communities South of Willow were in the 4th before and fit better. The idea that the 3rd, 4th and 5th don't have to change needs to be reviewed.	Ron	Nelson

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1450822758	6/10/11	District 7	District 7	As a resident of the 2700 block of Eucalyptus, I take pride in living on one of the nicest, most kept-up blocks in our neighborhood. While much of the North Wrigley neighborhood (north of Willow between Magnolia and Pacific) is decent, our block stands out as a particular jewel. This is a direct result of our historic inclusion in District 7 where we have long shared the same goals, resources, and leadership of neighborhoods including Bixby Knolls and California Heights. We owe much of our block's success to the support of James Johnson and Tonia Reyes Uranga before him. Council Redistricting Proposal #4 would eject our block from District 7 and relegate us to District 6, with which we have little in common. The vast difference in street surface quality and general neighborhood appearance between District 6 and 7 is painfully evident. Forcing our block into District 6 would disenfranchise a group of families that takes pride in their neighborhood and homes, and discount the investments we have made as a small community to create a Block of Pride over the past several decades. Maintaining the 2700 block of Euc District 7 would send a loud message to citizens from across our city that Long Beach leadership recognizes and appreciates the efforts of its citizens to maintain a shared environment of quality and pride of ownership. I fear that by forcing our block to District 6, we will be regretfully linked with neighborhoods stretching for miles to the east and south that do not share the same quality focus that our block has worked to build over the years. Sincerely, Andrew Downin District 7	Andrew	Downin
1450572241	6/9/11	District 9	District 8	I was appalled at the action that took place at the June 7th council meeting by Council person Johnson. He said that it was a move for the people but what I saw was a blatant power move to improve his district. Shame on you Council Person Johnson you are not for the people at all you are only for yourself.		

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1450555540	6/9/11	District 6	District 7	During the last re-districting process(2001), then councilwoman, Laura Richardson gerrymandered our portion of the seventh district (Wrigley area) to her sixth district(Central). I would like for the city council to put our portion of the Wrigley district (3000 block of Chestnut) back into the seventh district. Dee Andrews of the sixth district has issues in his area that have nothing to do with the Wrigley district and I believe we do not receive good representation for our area. Please consider my proposal.	Rick	Hibbard
1450458755	6/9/11	District 8	City Wide	In general, the districts need to be more compacted as all the council offices are endorsing rather than allowing for more sprawl. Fix these problems now or they will only get worse. Even though it will mean some serious, but necessary, tweaks to each council district. Utilize the MOST compact lines without splitting up important business districts or neighborhoods - such as Bixby Knolls, downtown, 2nd street, etc. Anticipating growth is another important consideration. Rather than making such a convoluted mess of the 7th and 8th, why not clean up the boundaries all around? It will require some compromise by all, but the council districts need to share in this exercise. Just be mature, apolitical (for the best of the city) â€" stop paying favorites and stop punishing council members you donâ€™t like â€" because they are independent thinkers, and take care of business. That is what the public expects. Some obvious suggestions, that should be seriously considered and calculated out to the extent necessary: For the 9th: OK as proposed For the 8th: Keep north of the 405, preferably Wardlow, maybe even Bixb Move the 7th out of there. Allow the 4th or 5th to move west and north, where the 7th CD is now - after considerations for movements proposed in ALL other council areas. For the 7th: rather than gerrymandering into the 8th on the east side (that was never a natural move) stay south as much as possible. It would make more sense for the 4th or 5th to move into the area. The 7th should stay south of Carson, south of Bixby would be better, south of Wardlow better still and south of the 405 best of all, and make PCH or Anaheim the southern border, if necessary - moving east to Signal Hill. West of the I710 or LA River only it would	Laurie	Angel

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
	6/9/2011 <i>(cont.)</i>	District 8 <i>(cont.)</i>	City Wide <i>(cont.)</i>	<p>make sense to go north of the 405, up to Del Amo, rather than crawling up and around the eastern edge north of the 405. However, the 7th would be more compact if it moved west and south of the 405 east of Signal Hill. For the 6th: Head south and east -south of Willow, Hill or PCH to allow for the 7th to be south of the 405. Move east using 10th as the southern dividing line. For the 5th: On the eastern side use the 405 as the southern border. East of Palo Verde, use Sterns as the southern boundary or use Palo Verde as the western border to Atherton. Take the port and airport out of the mix. Make them citywide assets as they are. If not, move the 1st into the port - remove the 2nd CD gerrymander, keeping west of Alamitos to the shore. For the 4th: Make the 405 the natural boundary, west of Lakewood, and Sterns or Willow south of the 405, the northern boundary to the eastern city limit to Signal Hill. Keep the 5th north of the 405 west of Palo Verde. The 4th could expand northward around Signal Hill. For the 3rd: Redondo or Temple could be the natural western boundary, all the way to the shore and north to Atherton to the eastern city limit. For the 2nd: Orange or Alamitos should be the natural western border, as the ocean should be the southern border. Will probably have to move east to Redondo, possibly Ximeno. For the 1st: Depending on the port, will have to move east, but would all the additional housing downtown would assume substantial residential growth over the next 5 years. I'll work on a sample map and send it in.</p>	Laurie <i>(cont.)</i>	Angel <i>(cont.)</i>
1449153228	6/8/11	District 5	City Wide	<p>Redistricting Criteria #7 states, "Splits in neighborhoods, ethnic communities and other groups having a clear identity should be avoided." The 4 alternatives splits the core of the Cambodian community, generally between PCH/7th and Alamitos/Redondo, between 4 council districts. What has been done to prevent splitting up this ethnic group?</p>	Ray	Pok



**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1449148323	6/8/11	District 8	City Wide	Council, I reside on E Cartagena Street in the 8th district. I vehemently oppose any redistricting option that splits the core Bixby Knolls neighborhood from the Atlantic Avenue business corridor. Bixby Knolls and Atlantic Avenue rely on each other completely, and it makes absolutely no sense to separate them. This is far more important than reuniting the Bixby-area neighborhoods. Please do not consider any proposal that will split Bixby Knolls from Atlantic Avenue. Thank you, Justin Bovee	Justin	Bovee
1448797909	6/8/11	District 8	District 8	While I can honestly say that I'm not political, I feel that it would be extremely detrimental to divide the 8th district along Atlantic Ave. Since I've lived here the area has gone from having a blighted out-dated business district to an area with a positive neighborhood identity, as semi-hip, even in our minivans, and totally eclectic, diverse population that wants to have a neighborhood in which to shop and hang out. Dividing the district along Atlantic Ave. would only make it more difficult to continue that forward momentum.	Diane	Fruta
1448782153	6/8/11	District 8	District 7	I think all of Bixby Knolls should be moved to District 7. It would better unify the community and assist us in obtaining a better council person. The only area that the District 8 council person listens to is the Country club. So I would like another chance to get better representation and actually a person who will listen.	Steven	Cantrell
1448529829	6/8/11	District 8	District 8	Of course he will add something now... But MK's claim to fame and only accomplishment (if you can call it that) is his <a href="http://www.lbneighborhoodsfirst.org">www.lbneighborhoodsfirst.org</a> . It has not had ANY update since June 16, 2009! Dat-a-boy MK! Thanks for all you've helped out with on Atlantic along side BC (from the BIA) who really has delivered results. Maybe if MK gets the power of 8, we'll see a new nail salon every 2 or 3 years on the "ave". Will somebody please buy a house from him. Maybe he will not have to take this jobby job then.	The BK poonS GGOD	

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1448518828	6/8/11	District 8	District 8	Can we get back to the business of focusing on the 7th & 8th districts needs for revitalization? If our next leader is MK, I say give all of the 8th to JJ as well. God save the 8th from MK!	The BK poonS GGOD	
1448299051	6/8/11	District 4	District 4	I have difficulty believing the accuracy of percentages provided through (a census?) that proposes levity amongst all districts, when the County Recorder's website fails accuracy of our very own existance within the 4th District. ie. Entered our address info within the County Recorders website to determine Location<>District association, the results came back as though our address does not exists within the very district that we do belong within. Were's the accuracy in the figures and how can they be trusted? based on my recent findings. Link: <a href="http://rrccmap1.co.la.ca.us/imf/imf.jsp?site=District_Maps&amp;qlyr=INCORPORATED_CITIES1&amp;qry=Districtmapping.sde.INCORPORATED_CITIES1.ABBR='08LO004'&amp;qhlt=true&amp;qzoom=true&amp;mapTitle=CITY OF LONG BEACH 4TH COUNCIL&amp;abbr=08LO004">http://rrccmap1.co.la.ca.us/imf/imf.jsp?site=District_Maps&amp;qlyr=INCORPORATED_CITIES1&amp;qry=Districtmapping.sde.INCORPORATED_CITIES1.ABBR='08LO004'&amp;qhlt=true&amp;qzoom=true&amp;mapTitle=CITY OF LONG BEACH 4TH COUNCIL&amp;abbr=08LO004</a> Use the locate button to enter the following as instructed: 4500 E. 14th St. Results show the address within the 4th District, but the site responds with it not being within.	Dan	Halverson

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1448127958	6/7/11	District 7	District 7	<p>The gerrymandering that was done in Northeast and Southwest Wrigley during the 2001 redistricting process is being perpetuated and not fixed during the current effort. District boundaries can, and should be, redrawn to honor natural neighborhood boundaries. 1. Southeast Wrigley between Pacific Coast Highway and Willow Street from Magnolia to the LA River should be part of the 7th District. 2. Northeast Wrigley between Willow and Spring Street from Magnolia to Del Mar/Blue Line Tracks should also be part of the 7th District. 3. The area from Spring Street to the 405 between Long Beach Boulevard and Atlantic Avenue should be redistricted from the 7th District to the 6th District. 4. The area from Spring Street to 31st Street from Del Mar to Long Beach Boulevard should also be redistricted from the 7th District to the 6th District. 5. The area east of Magnolia identified as E in Option 2 should be redistricted from the 7th to the 6th as proposed in Option 2; Area H should remain in District 1. 6. The narrow strip west of Cherry from the Signal Hill line to 10th Street should be redistricted from the 4th to the 6th. (Note: Area G in Option 2 stops at PCH but should be continued down to 10th.) 7. Area H in Option 2 should remain in the first District and be expanded to include the 6th District Area just south of it between Long Beach Boulevard and Atlantic Avenue. 8. The area south of Anaheim to 10th that is currently in the 2nd District should be redistricted into the 6th. The approach outlined above would honor the natural boundaries of Wrigley neighborhoods and allow the 6th the District to increase more than in the four Options presented by staff for City Council consideration. The 4th and 2nd Districts, despite being above the ideal number, were left out of consideration. The 7th District, which was under the ideal number, is once again being gerrymandered to satisfy political motives.</p>	Joan	Greenwood

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1448074964	6/7/11	District 9	District 9	In 1964, the Supreme Court ruled that districts in the state legislature must be as close to equal in population as possible. In 1968, they extended this ruling to include local municipalities. Recognizing that districts cannot be completely equal (down to each and every voter), the City Attorney's office has relied on case law to formulate an opinion that it is sufficient to be within 5% of the average for all districts. While this may be acceptable in cases where an attempt was made to balance all districts equally, I have trouble believing that there is a 5% "safe harbor" in our city under the circumstances. When the Long Beach City Council voted to avoid disruption by maintaining boundaries as close as possible to what they have been the last 10 years, they in essence were going against the mandate of the Supreme Court that they must strive to make them as equitable as possible. (In other words, they were saying we should resist the Court to the extent we could get away with it.) I doubt if the case law supports this thinking and must wonder if this approach would withstand a legal challenge.	Dennis	O'Hoyt
1447509245	6/7/11	District 8	District 8	I do not believe the 7th and 8th district lines should be changed to move Atlantic Ave into the 7th district. The Bixby Knolls Business Improvement Association has done a fabulous job improving life on Atlantic Ave. A lot of hard work has gone into bringing more businesses and recognition to the 8th district and it should not be altered so the 7th district benefits. If the discrepancies in population are in the 9th district, then their boundaries should change to move people into the 7th and 8th and everyone else stays the same. Residents take pride in the district they live in as well as being a resident of Long Beach. Change is a good thing however, it should be make sense for the majority and the options we are being offered do not.	Jane	Nadeau
1446931152	6/7/11	District 7	District 8	The present aliingment of 7th & 8th districts do not reflect their respective communités. Cal Heights and Bixby Highlands have no connection nor do they share the same environmental concerns(ie: The Airport and it's proposed expansion) as the Wrigley area residents of the 7th district. The council needs to look beyond the population and racial boudries they are attempting to address in the redistricting.	Rick	Meier

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**


Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1446844382	6/7/11	District 8	City Wide	After reviewing the maps and I must say I emphasize with the District 7 voters - especially voters on the east side of that district who have limited, other than City wide, similar issues and concerns with the west side of District 7. But my primary concerns are District 8. Redistricting Options #1 and #2 have the most potential because neither of these plans break up the Atlantic business district significantly. The Atlantic business district is just now very, very slowly growing and developing. This growth had been stimulated first by Jeff Kellogg when he was the representative and Ray Gabelich has continued to support development of businesses. The local business associations will continue, but a single voice on our City Council will benefit continued promotion of this challenged business district.	Barbara	Tidball
1446761952	6/6/11	District 8	District 7	As a potentially impacted resident on district 7, I have no problems with redistricting option #4 and would support that option. Thank you.	Naomi	D
1444538441	6/4/11	District 6	District 6	For many ,many years I lived in the 7th district. I always felt like I was represented....Then they "redistricted" me into the 6th district. I only feel "represented" when someone is running for my district and wants my vote.The rest of tihe time I am forgotten. When voting was going on....no one knew where we were supposed to vote. The address on our sample ballots was wrong, Birney School, where we had been voting for 20 years( and is 1/2 block from my house) is no longer in "my district" so they couldn't help.I drove around to different places that I was told to check for an hour, and FINALLY found a place to cast my vote. I now vote by mail because that was totally screwed up. I live in 2900 block of Daisy Ave...Please put us back into the 7th district...Wrigley...ALL of Wrigley should be in ONE district!	Susan	Brasch
1433277524	5/24/11	District 8	District 8	Please do your best to maintain community boundaries. Many of the criteria overlap, but the community boundaries should be #1 after absolute legal requirements.	Bob	Gill

**REDISTRICTING: Long Beach City Council Districts  
- Public Comment -  
June 23, 2011**

Comment Number	Comment Date	District you live in:	District you want to comment on:	General Comments:	First Name:	Last Name:
1421752435	5/15/11	District 8	District 8	who is my librarians for my district, and parks and recreation along ith who is the Public work person names?	Benita	McGlothen
1406917284	5/3/11	District 5	District 5	I hope the City will consider redefining the lines between the 4th & 5th district, I think the 4th District should extend along Willow to as far needed east to off set the finger which covers the area east of Studebaker along the San Gabriel Riverbed. It seems to me that these would represent more uniform lines.	Ron	Beeler
1406916416	5/3/11	District 7	District 7	We are in the Gerrymandered sliver of Cal Heights that receives little recognition in comparison to Wrigley. We provide money to candidates, but receive no benefit from our representation. Bordered on the North by the 8th District and on the South by Signal Hill, we are plagued by MedPot shops on the Wardlow (six at one time!), liquor stores, and a smoke shop. Atlantic gets redevelopment money and traffic gets pushed to Orange Ave. Atlantic gets resurfacing and three new stoplights and we are told we will not get a traffic light. It would be better if we were placed in the 8th District as it is contiguous on the north side. But at least we are not in the 4th which is a blatantly gerrymandered district. And let's get rid of race based districts. I should not be judged by my race and political leaders should not pick us on this basis! We all have common needs--fix the roads, cover graffitti, pay for police. I should be treated the same as anyone else. Yes, I am a minority but am tired of being used by politicians who claim to represent me.		

From: MikeKowal4You@aol.com  
To: rae.gabelich@longbeach.gov, steve.neal@longbeach.gov, Gerrie.Schipske@longbeach.gov,  
patrick.odonnell@longbeach.gov, robert.garcia@longbeach.gov, dee.andrews@longbeach.gov, Gary.DeLong@longbeach.gov,  
james.johnson@longbeach.gov, suja.lowenthal@longbeach.gov  
Cc: tom.modica@longbeach.gov  
Date: 05/26/2011 05:28 PM  
Subject: Posted on LBPost 5/26/2011

I attended and spoke at the council meeting last Tuesday. As an resident and candidate for the 8th district in the upcoming election I certainly don't want to see my neighborhood moved into another district. I have looked at the census data and maps. Long Beach's total population has grown less than .2%, some 735 people since the last census. Bottom line is that the city need not do anything. The +-5% is merely a guideline. The city attorney called it a "safe harbor". What staffers and council need to be asking is why have the districts fallen outside the 5% range, suggesting redistricting may be necessary. There has not been any new residential development to speak of in the 9th or 8th districts, or city wide for that matter except in 1st and 2nd districts. And if they look at current vacancy factors district wide they will find the highest vacancy rates are in the 1st and 2nd while among the lowest are the 9th and 8th. What does that tell you? With our challenging economy residents have relocated into more affordable housing with many now sharing housing with families and friends while weathering the storm. I say lets save ourselves a bunch of time and money in re-drawing council lines, breaking up neighborhoods and keep the districts intact as they now exist. All council has to do is justify the reasons (as stated above) for no change.



June 6, 2011

Mr. Pat West  
 Long Beach City Hall  
 333 W. Ocean Blvd. 14th floor  
 Long Beach, California 90802

Dear Mr. West:

As the city moves forward with its city council redistricting plans, the East Anaheim Street Business Alliance, representing nearly 600 businesses in the city of Long Beach, strongly supports retaining current 4<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> City Council District boundaries along the Anaheim Corridor as much as possible so that our growing business coalition may continue to be ably represented at City Hall. We understand that there are requirements within the redistricting process to keep neighborhoods and alliances in the same districts and we support doing that.

After more than two years of hard work, EASBA finally established a formal Business Improvement District (BID) in 2010, thanks in large part to strong support and guidance from Council Members Patrick O'Donnell and Gary DeLong. Currently, our business district - and all of our member businesses lie within the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Council Districts. We strongly desire for this to remain so.

We recently launched a multifaceted campaign promoting our vibrant business district and the historic Zaferia Village, where many member businesses operate. Redistricting, however, could force a change to our organization's long-term marketing plans.

We have built a solid, mutually-beneficial relationship with Council Members O'Donnell and DeLong over the years; we hope to continue working with them and their future successors as an integral part of the East Anaheim Corridor for years to come.

Sincerely,

Rod Wilson  
 President

Lee Vieira  
 Vice President

Ken Buck  
 Treasurer

Lorie Stack  
 Secretary

Carl Dene  
 Board Member

Renee Kim  
 Board Member

Jan Ward  
 Board Member

*Transforming East Anaheim Street in Long Beach*





OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
Long Beach, California

**ORD-21**

ROBERT E. SHANNON  
City Attorney

HEATHER A. MAHOOD  
Chief Assistant City Attorney

MICHAEL J. MAIS  
Assistant City Attorney

PRINCIPAL DEPUTIES

Dominic Holzhaus  
Anne C. Lattime  
Monte H. Machit  
J. Charles Parkin

DEPUTIES

C. Geoffrey Allred  
Gary J. Anderson  
Richard F. Anthony  
Amy R. Burton  
Kendra L. Carney  
Christina L. Checel  
Charles M. Gale  
Barbara J. McTigue  
Barry M. Meyers  
Cristyl Meyers  
Howard D. Russell  
Tiffani L. Shin  
Linda Trang  
Theodore B. Zinger

July 19, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  
City of Long Beach  
California

RECOMMENDATION:

Declare ordinance amending Section 1.20.010 of the Long Beach Municipal Code relating to the boundaries of the City's nine council districts read the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading.

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to the request of the City Council at its meeting of July 5, 2011, this office has prepared for your consideration the attached above-referenced ordinance. A map showing the proposed boundaries is attached hereto.

SUGGESTED ACTION:

Approve recommendation.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney

By


  
HEATHER A. MAHOOD  
Assistant City Attorney

Attachment

HAM:abc A11-01796 (7/7/11) L:\Apps\CtyLaw32\WPDocs\D007\PO15\00264102.DOC

Council District	2010 Population	Variance from Ideal	Proposed Change	New Population	Variance from Ideal
1	48,314	-5.9%	803	49,117	-4.4%
2	52,341	1.9%	-1,123	51,218	-0.3%
3	52,320	1.9%	0	52,320	1.9%
4	51,456	0.2%	0	51,456	0.2%
5	49,852	-2.9%	0	49,852	-2.9%
6	48,206	-6.1%	1,238	49,444	-3.7%
7	50,597	-1.5%	1,416	52,013	1.3%
8	54,075	5.3%	-1,066	53,009	3.2%
9	55,096	7.3%	-1,268	53,828	4.8%

2010 Population = 462,257  
 Ideal Population Per District = 51,362  
 +/- 5% Range = 48,794 - 53,930



Legend:  
 X - Area Identifier  
 # - Population for Area



Source : U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Data



# City Council Districts Adopted Redistricting Plan

from Council Meeting of July 5th, 2011

Department of  
 Technology Services GIS

City of Long Beach, California

Disclaimer  
 This map of the City of Long Beach is intended for informational purposes only. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data, The City assumes no liability or damages arising from errors or omissions. This map is provided without warranty of any kind. Do not make any business decisions based on this map before validating your decision with the appropriate City office.

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF LONG BEACH AMENDING THE LONG BEACH  
MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 1.20.010  
ESTABLISHING AND DESIGNATING THE POLITICAL  
SUBDIVISIONS OR DISTRICTS OF THE CITY OF LONG  
BEACH

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Long Beach ordains as follows:

Section 1. Section 1.20.010 of the Long Beach Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

1.20.010 Districts established.

The nine (9) political subdivisions or districts of the City are respectively designated and established as follows:

Unless otherwise noted, described lines are to the centerline of referenced streets.

A. District no. 1. That portion of the City which is now, or hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

Beginning at the point of intersection of Pacific Coast Highway with the western City boundary line, thence southeast along western City boundary line to Anaheim Street, thence generally south and west along the western City boundary line to the intersection with the centerline of Ocean Boulevard; thence northeast and east along the centerline of Ocean Boulevard to the intersection with the east line of the Los Angeles River; thence north along the east line of the Los Angeles River to the intersection with the westerly prolongation of Broadway; thence east along

1 said prolongation and along Broadway to Pacific Avenue; thence north  
2 along Pacific Avenue to Third Street; thence east along Third Street to  
3 Alamitos Avenue; thence northeast along Alamitos Avenue to Seventh  
4 Street; thence east along Seventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence north  
5 along Orange Avenue to Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth Street to  
6 Long Beach Boulevard; thence north along Long Beach Boulevard to  
7 Eleventh Street ; thence east along Eleventh Street to Atlantic Avenue;  
8 thence north along Atlantic Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west  
9 along Pacific Coast Highway to the northbound access road of the 710  
10 Freeway; thence north along said access road and the 710 Freeway to  
11 Twenty-first Street; thence west along Twenty-first Street to Gale Avenue;  
12 thence south along Gale Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence west along  
13 Twentieth Street to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south along Santa Fe  
14 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
15 Highway to the western City boundary line (point of beginning).

16 B. District no. 2. That portion of the City which is now, or  
17 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

18 Beginning at the point of the centerline intersection of Ocean  
19 Boulevard with the western Long Beach City boundary line; thence  
20 northeast and east along the centerline of Ocean Boulevard to the  
21 intersection with the east line of the Los Angeles River; thence north along  
22 the east line of the Los Angeles River to the intersection with the westerly  
23 prolongation of Broadway; thence east along said prolongation and along  
24 Broadway to Pacific Avenue; thence north along Pacific Avenue to Third  
25 Street; thence east along Third Street to Alamitos Avenue; thence  
26 northeast along Alamitos Avenue to Seventh Street; thence east along  
27 Seventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence north along Orange Avenue to  
28 Eleventh Street; thence east along Eleventh Street to Gardenia Avenue;

1           thence south along Gardenia Avenue to Tenth Street; thence east along  
2           Tenth Street to Redondo Avenue; thence south along Redondo Avenue to  
3           Third Street; thence west along Third Street to Orizaba Avenue; thence  
4           south along Orizaba Avenue to Broadway; thence west along Broadway to  
5           Junipero Avenue; thence south along Junipero Avenue to Ocean  
6           Boulevard; thence east along Ocean Boulevard to Eighteenth Place;  
7           thence south along Eighteenth Place and the prolongation of Eighteenth  
8           Place to the southern Long Beach City boundary line; thence west along  
9           said southern Long Beach City boundary line to its intersection with the  
10          western Long Beach City boundary line; thence northwest along said  
11          western Long Beach City boundary line to its intersection with the  
12          centerline of Ocean Boulevard (point of beginning).

13                   C.       District no. 3. That portion of the City which is now, or  
14                   hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

15                               Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwest line of the  
16                   405 Freeway and the City of Long Beach eastern boundary line with  
17                   Orange County; thence proceeding south along said eastern Long Beach  
18                   City boundary line to the southern boundary line of the City of Long  
19                   Beach; thence west along said southern City boundary line to its  
20                   intersection with the prolongation of Eighteenth Place; thence north along  
21                   said prolongation of Eighteenth Place and along Eighteenth Place to  
22                   Ocean Boulevard; thence west along Ocean Boulevard to Junipero  
23                   Avenue; thence north along Junipero Avenue to Broadway; thence east  
24                   along Broadway to Orizaba Avenue; thence north along Orizaba Avenue  
25                   to Third Street; thence east along Third Street to Redondo Avenue; thence  
26                   north along Redondo Avenue to Tenth Street; thence east along Tenth  
27                   Street to Ximeno Avenue; thence north along Ximeno Avenue to Anaheim  
28                   Street; thence east along Anaheim Street to Clark Avenue; thence north

1 along Clark Avenue to the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along  
2 the centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the northerly  
3 prolongation of the westerly line of Atherton Street Park; thence south  
4 along said prolongation and the westerly line of Atherton Street Park to its  
5 intersection with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain, (Bouton Creek);  
6 thence southeasterly along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its  
7 intersection with the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Lot 1 of  
8 Tract No. 11152, as per map recorded in Book 602, Page 81 of Maps, in  
9 the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County; thence north  
10 along said prolongation and the westerly line of said Lot 1 to its  
11 intersection with the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along the  
12 centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the northerly  
13 prolongation of the easterly line of said Lot 1 of Tract No. 11152; thence  
14 south along said prolongation and the easterly line of said Lot 1 to its  
15 intersection with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain; thence  
16 southeasterly along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its  
17 intersection with the southerly prolongation of the centerline of San  
18 Anseline Avenue; thence north along said prolongation of the centerline of  
19 San Anseline Avenue to its intersection with the centerline of Atherton  
20 Street; thence east along the centerline of Atherton Street to the  
21 southwest line of the 405 Freeway; thence southeast along the southwest  
22 line of the 405 Freeway to the City of Long Beach/Orange County  
23 boundary line (point of beginning).

24 D. District no. 4. That portion of the City which is now, or  
25 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

26 Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Atherton Street  
27 with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drainage Channel; thence west  
28 along the centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the centerline

1 of San Anseline Avenue; thence south along the southerly prolongation of  
2 the centerline of San Anseline Avenue to its intersection with the  
3 centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain, (Bouton Creek); thence northwesterly  
4 along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its intersection with the  
5 southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Lot 1 of Tract No. 11152, as  
6 per map recorded in Book 602, Page 81 of Maps, in the office of the  
7 County Recorder of Los Angeles County; thence north along said  
8 prolongation and the easterly line of said Lot 1 to its intersection with the  
9 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along the centerline of Atherton  
10 Street to its intersection with the northerly prolongation of the westerly line  
11 of said Lot 1 of Tract 11152; thence south along said prolongation and the  
12 westerly line of said Lot 1 to its intersection with the centerline of the Los  
13 Cerritos Drain; thence northwesterly along the centerline of the Los  
14 Cerritos Drain to its intersection with the southerly prolongation of the  
15 westerly line of Atherton Street Park; thence north along said prolongation  
16 and the westerly line of Atherton Street Park to its intersection with the  
17 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along the centerline of Atherton  
18 Street to Clark Avenue; thence south along Clark Avenue to Anaheim  
19 Street; thence west along Anaheim Street to Ximeno Avenue; thence  
20 south along Ximeno Avenue to Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth  
21 Street to Gardenia Avenue; thence north along Gardenia Avenue to  
22 Anaheim Street; thence north along the prolongation of Gardenia Avenue  
23 to Fourteenth Street; thence north along Gardenia Avenue to Nineteenth  
24 Street; thence east along Nineteenth Street and along the prolongation of  
25 Nineteenth Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary  
26 line; thence southeast along said Long Beach/Signal Hill boundary line to  
27 Pacific Coast Highway; thence east along the Long Beach/Signal Hill City  
28 boundary line (coterminous with Pacific Coast Highway) to Reservoir Drive

1 West; thence northwest, west and east along the City of Long Beach/City  
2 of Signal Hill boundary line to Redondo Avenue; thence south along  
3 Redondo Avenue to Stearns Street; thence east along Stearns Street to  
4 Euclid Avenue; thence southeast along Euclid Avenue to Mira Mar  
5 Avenue; thence northeast and north along Mira Mar Avenue to Stearns  
6 Street; thence east along Stearns Street to Lakewood Boulevard; thence  
7 north along Lakewood Boulevard to Willow Street; thence east along  
8 Willow Street to the centerline of the 405 Freeway; thence east and  
9 southeast along the centerline of the 405 Freeway to its intersection with  
10 the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along the centerline of  
11 Atherton Street and the east prolongation of the centerline of Atherton  
12 Street to the west line of the San Gabriel River; thence northeast and  
13 north along the west line of the San Gabriel River to a point on the east  
14 prolongation of the south line of El Dorado Park Golf Course boundary as  
15 described in the lease agreement for Contract No. 17448 between the City  
16 of Long Beach and American Golf Corporation and being on file in the  
17 office of the City Clerk; thence west along said prolongation to the  
18 southeast corner of said El Dorado Park Golf Course boundary; thence  
19 west and generally northerly along said golf course boundary as described  
20 in said contract to an angle point at the south line of Willow Street; thence  
21 north to the centerline of Willow Street; thence east along the centerline of  
22 Willow Street to the west line of the City of Los Angeles, Department of  
23 Water and Power Easement; thence north along said west line of  
24 easement to the centerline of Spring Street; thence east along the  
25 centerline of Spring Street to a point on the north prolongation of the west  
26 line of El Dorado Park Area 1 Community Gardens boundary as described  
27 in the lease agreement for Contract No. 25527 between the City of Long  
28 Beach and Long Beach Community Garden Association, Inc. and being on



1 file in the office of the City Clerk; thence south along said prolongation and  
2 said west line to the southwest corner of the property described in said  
3 contract; thence east along the south boundary of said property and the  
4 east prolongation of the south boundary to a point on the City of Long  
5 Beach/Orange County boundary line; thence southwesterly along said  
6 Long Beach/Orange County boundary line to the intersection with the  
7 southwest line of the 405 Freeway; thence northwest along the southwest  
8 line of the 405 Freeway to the centerline of Atherton Street; thence west  
9 along the centerline of Atherton Street to the centerline of the Los Cerritos  
10 Drainage Channel (point of beginning).

11 E. District no. 5. That portion of the City which is now, or  
12 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

13 Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Atherton Street  
14 with the centerline of the 405 Freeway; thence northwest along the  
15 centerline of the 405 Freeway to Willow Street; thence west along Willow  
16 Street to Lakewood Boulevard; thence south along Lakewood Boulevard  
17 to Stearns Street; thence west along Stearns Street to Mira Mar Avenue;  
18 thence south and southwest along Mira Mar Avenue to Euclid Avenue;  
19 thence northwest along Euclid Avenue to Stearns Street; thence west  
20 along Stearns Street to Redondo Avenue; thence north along Redondo  
21 Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary line; thence  
22 northerly, westerly, southwesterly, northerly, westerly, northerly and  
23 westerly along said Long Beach/Signal Hill boundary line to Cherry  
24 Avenue; thence north along Cherry Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City  
25 of Lakewood boundary; thence following said Long Beach/Lakewood  
26 boundary line easterly, northerly and southerly to the City of Long  
27 Beach/Orange County boundary line; thence southwesterly along said  
28 Long Beach/Orange County boundary line to a point on the east

1 prolongation of the south line of the El Dorado Park Area 1 Community  
2 Gardens as described in the lease agreement for Contract No. 25527  
3 between the City of Long Beach and the Long Beach Community Garden  
4 Association, Inc., and being on file in the office of the City Clerk; thence  
5 west along said prolongation and said south line to the southwest corner  
6 of the property described in said contract; thence north along the west  
7 boundary of said property and the north prolongation of the west boundary  
8 to a point on the centerline of Spring Street; thence west along the  
9 centerline of Spring Street to the west line of the City of Los Angeles,  
10 Department of Water and Power Easement; thence south along said west  
11 line to the centerline of Willow Street; thence west along the centerline of  
12 Willow Street to a point north of an angle point in the El Dorado Park Golf  
13 Course boundary as described in the lease agreement for Contract No.  
14 17448 between the City of Long Beach and American Golf Corporation  
15 and being on file in the office of the City Clerk; thence south to the angle  
16 point in said boundary, being also on the south line of Willow Street;  
17 thence generally southerly and easterly along said golf course boundary  
18 and the easterly prolongation of said boundary to a point on the west line  
19 of the San Gabriel River; thence south and southwest along the west line  
20 of the San Gabriel River to a point on the east prolongation of the  
21 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along said east prolongation  
22 and the centerline of Atherton Street to the centerline of the 405 Freeway  
23 (point of beginning).

24 F. District no. 6. That portion of the City which is now, or  
25 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

26 Beginning at the point of intersection of Pacific Coast Highway and  
27 the southerly prolongation of San Francisco Avenue; thence north along  
28 said prolongation and San Francisco Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence

1 east along Twentieth Street to Magnolia Avenue; thence north along  
2 Magnolia Avenue to Twenty Ninth Street; thence west along Twenty Ninth  
3 Street to Maine Avenue; thence north along Maine Avenue to Thirty First  
4 Street; thence east along Thirty First Street to the west line of the Pacific  
5 Electric Railroad Right of Way; thence southeast along the west line of the  
6 Pacific Electric Railroad Right-of-Way to Spring Street; thence east along  
7 Spring Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary line;  
8 thence south and southeast along the City of Long Beach/City of Signal  
9 Hill boundary line to its intersection with the prolongation of Nineteenth  
10 Street; thence west along said prolongation of Nineteenth Street and  
11 along Nineteenth Street to Gardenia Avenue; thence south along  
12 Gardenia Avenue to Fourteenth Street; thence south along the  
13 prolongation of Gardenia Avenue to Eleventh Street; thence west along  
14 Eleventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence south along Orange Avenue to  
15 Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth Street to Long Beach Boulevard;  
16 thence north along Long Beach Boulevard to Eleventh Street; thence east  
17 along Eleventh Street to Atlantic Avenue; thence north along Atlantic  
18 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
19 Highway to Daisy Avenue East (point of beginning).

20 G. District no. 7. That portion of the City which is now, or  
21 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

22 Beginning at the point of intersection of the western Long Beach  
23 City boundary and the 405 Freeway; thence north and east along the  
24 western Long Beach City boundary line to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south  
25 along Santa Fe Avenue to the 405 Freeway; thence east and southeast  
26 along the 405 Freeway to the Metro Blue Line Right of Way; thence  
27 northwest along the Metro Blue Line Right of Way to the intersection of the  
28 westerly prolongation of Bixby Road; thence east along Bixby Road to

1 Orange Avenue: thence north along Orange Avenue to San Antonio Drive;  
2 thence northeast along San Antonio Drive to Cherry Avenue; thence north  
3 along Cherry Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City of Lakewood  
4 boundary line; thence east, south and west along said City boundary line  
5 to Cherry Avenue; thence south along Cherry Avenue to Spring Street;  
6 thence west along Spring Street to Orange Avenue; thence south along  
7 Orange Avenue to Willow Street; thence west along Willow Street to  
8 California Avenue; thence north along California Avenue to Spring Street;  
9 thence east along Spring Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal  
10 Hill boundary line; thence following said Signal Hill boundary line north,  
11 east, west and south around the northern part of Signal Hill to Spring  
12 Street; thence west along Spring Street to the west line of the Pacific  
13 Electric Railroad Right of Way; thence northwest along the west line of the  
14 Pacific Electric Right of Way to Thirty First Street; thence west along Thirty  
15 First Street to Maine Avenue; thence south along Maine Avenue to Twenty  
16 Ninth Street; thence east along Twenty Ninth Street to Magnolia Avenue;  
17 thence south along Magnolia Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence west  
18 along Twentieth Street to San Francisco Avenue; thence south along San  
19 Francisco Avenue and the southerly prolongation of San Francisco  
20 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
21 Highway to its intersection with the northbound access road of the 710  
22 freeway; thence north along the northbound access road and the 710  
23 Freeway to Twenty First Street; thence west along Twenty First Street to  
24 Gale Avenue; thence south along Gale Avenue to Twentieth Street;  
25 thence west along Twentieth Street to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south  
26 along Santa Fe Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along  
27 Pacific Coast Highway to the western Long Beach City boundary line;  
28 thence north and east along said western City boundary line to its

1 intersection with the 405 Freeway (point of beginning).

2 H. District no. 8. That portion of the City which is now, or  
3 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

4 Beginning at the point of the intersection of the northbound exit  
5 ramp of the 710 Freeway with Long Beach Boulevard; thence south along  
6 Long Beach Boulevard to a point on the centerline of the Los Angeles  
7 River; thence northeast along the centerline of the Los Angeles River to a  
8 point on the northwest prolongation of Chestnut Avenue; thence  
9 southeasterly along said northwest prolongation to De Forest Avenue;  
10 thence northeast along De Forest Avenue to South Street; thence  
11 southeast and east along South Street to the easterly Long Beach City  
12 Boundary line that is located within the alley east of Orizaba Avenue;  
13 thence south, east, south and west along said boundary line to Cherry  
14 Avenue; thence south along Cherry Avenue to San Antonio Drive; thence  
15 southwest along San Antonio Drive to Orange Avenue; thence south along  
16 Orange Avenue to Bixby Road; thence west along Bixby Road to the  
17 intersection of the prolongation of Bixby Road and the Metro Blue Line  
18 Right of Way; thence southeast along the Metro Blue Line Right of Way to  
19 the 405 Freeway; thence northwest and west along the 405 Freeway to  
20 Santa Fe Avenue; thence north along Santa Fe Avenue to its intersection  
21 with the western Long Beach City boundary line; thence north along said  
22 boundary line to an angle point in said boundary line opposite the  
23 northbound 710 Freeway exit ramp for Long Beach Boulevard; thence  
24 crossing the 710 Freeway easterly along the prolongation of the City  
25 boundary from the west to the northbound exit ramp for Long Beach  
26 Boulevard; thence along said exit ramp to its intersection with Long Beach  
27 Boulevard (point of beginning).

28 I. District no. 9. That portion of the City which is now, or

1 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

2 Beginning at the point of intersection of the northbound exit ramp of  
3 the 710 Freeway with Long Beach Boulevard, thence south along Long  
4 Beach Boulevard to a point on the centerline of the Los Angeles River;  
5 thence northeast along the centerline of the Los Angeles River to a point  
6 on the northwest prolongation Chestnut Avenue; thence southeasterly  
7 along said northwest prolongation to De Forest Avenue; thence northeast  
8 along De Forest Avenue to South Street; thence southeast and east along  
9 South Street to the easterly Long Beach City Boundary line that is located  
10 within the alley east of Orizaba Avenue; thence east along the Long  
11 Beach City Boundary line parallel to South Street to the westerly Long  
12 Beach City boundary line, thence along said boundary line north, west,  
13 south and east to the intersection of the 710 Freeway; thence crossing the  
14 710 Freeway easterly along the prolongation of the City boundary line to  
15 the northbound exit ramp for Long Beach Boulevard; thence northeast  
16 along said northbound exit ramp to its intersection with Long Beach  
17 Boulevard (point of beginning).

18  
19 Section 2. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage of this ordinance by  
20 the City Council and cause it to be posted in three (3) conspicuous places in the City of  
21 Long Beach, and it shall take effect on the thirty-first (31st) day after it is approved by the  
22 Mayor.

23 ///  
24 ///  
25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was adopted by the City Council of the City of Long Beach at its meeting of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Noes: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Absent: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

ORDINANCE NO.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF LONG BEACH AMENDING THE LONG BEACH  
MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 1.20.010  
ESTABLISHING AND DESIGNATING THE POLITICAL  
SUBDIVISIONS OR DISTRICTS OF THE CITY OF LONG  
BEACH

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Long Beach ordains as  
follows:

Section 1. Section 1.20.010 of the Long Beach Municipal Code is hereby  
amended to read as follows:

1.20.010 Districts established.

The nine (9) political subdivisions or districts of the City are  
respectively designated and established as follows:

Unless otherwise noted, described lines are to the centerline of  
referenced streets.

A. District no. 1. That portion of the City which is now, or  
hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

Beginning at the point of intersection of Pacific Coast Highway with  
the western City boundary line, thence southeast along western City  
boundary line to Anaheim Street, thence generally south and west along  
the western City boundary line to the intersection with the centerline of  
Ocean Boulevard; thence northeast and east along the centerline of  
Ocean Boulevard to the intersection with the east line of the Los Angeles  
River; thence north along the east line of the Los Angeles River to the  
intersection with the westerly prolongation of Broadway; thence east along

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664



1 said prolongation and along Broadway to Pacific Avenue; thence north  
2 along Pacific Avenue to Third Street; thence east along Third Street to  
3 Alamitos Avenue; thence northeast along Alamitos Avenue to Seventh  
4 Street; thence east along Seventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence north  
5 along Orange Avenue to Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth Street to  
6 Long Beach Boulevard; thence north along Long Beach Boulevard to  
7 Eleventh Street ; thence east along Eleventh Street to Atlantic Avenue;  
8 thence north along Atlantic Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west  
9 along Pacific Coast Highway to the northbound access road of the 710  
10 Freeway; thence north along said access road and the 710 Freeway to  
11 Twenty-first Street; thence west along Twenty-first Street to Gale Avenue;  
12 thence south along Gale Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence west along  
13 Twentieth Street to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south along Santa Fe  
14 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
15 Highway to the western City boundary line (point of beginning).

16 B. District no. 2. That portion of the City which is now, or  
17 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

18 Beginning at the point of the centerline intersection of Ocean  
19 Boulevard with the western Long Beach City boundary line; thence  
20 northeast and east along the centerline of Ocean Boulevard to the  
21 intersection with the east line of the Los Angeles River; thence north along  
22 the east line of the Los Angeles River to the intersection with the westerly  
23 prolongation of Broadway; thence east along said prolongation and along  
24 Broadway to Pacific Avenue; thence north along Pacific Avenue to Third  
25 Street; thence east along Third Street to Alamitos Avenue; thence  
26 northeast along Alamitos Avenue to Seventh Street; thence east along  
27 Seventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence north along Orange Avenue to  
28 Eleventh Street; thence east along Eleventh Street to Gardenia Avenue;

1           thence south along Gardenia Avenue to Tenth Street; thence east along  
2           Tenth Street to Redondo Avenue; thence south along Redondo Avenue to  
3           Third Street; thence west along Third Street to Orizaba Avenue; thence  
4           south along Orizaba Avenue to Broadway; thence west along Broadway to  
5           Junipero Avenue; thence south along Junipero Avenue to Ocean  
6           Boulevard; thence east along Ocean Boulevard to Eighteenth Place;  
7           thence south along Eighteenth Place and the prolongation of Eighteenth  
8           Place to the southern Long Beach City boundary line; thence west along  
9           said southern Long Beach City boundary line to its intersection with the  
10          western Long Beach City boundary line; thence northwest along said  
11          western Long Beach City boundary line to its intersection with the  
12          centerline of Ocean Boulevard (point of beginning).

13                 C.       District no. 3. That portion of the City which is now, or  
14                 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

15                 Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwest line of the  
16                 405 Freeway and the City of Long Beach eastern boundary line with  
17                 Orange County; thence proceeding south along said eastern Long Beach  
18                 City boundary line to the southern boundary line of the City of Long  
19                 Beach; thence west along said southern City boundary line to its  
20                 intersection with the prolongation of Eighteenth Place; thence north along  
21                 said prolongation of Eighteenth Place and along Eighteenth Place to  
22                 Ocean Boulevard; thence west along Ocean Boulevard to Junipero  
23                 Avenue; thence north along Junipero Avenue to Broadway; thence east  
24                 along Broadway to Orizaba Avenue; thence north along Orizaba Avenue  
25                 to Third Street; thence east along Third Street to Redondo Avenue; thence  
26                 north along Redondo Avenue to Tenth Street; thence east along Tenth  
27                 Street to Ximeno Avenue; thence north along Ximeno Avenue to Anaheim  
28                 Street; thence east along Anaheim Street to Clark Avenue; thence north

1 along Clark Avenue to the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along  
2 the centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the northerly  
3 prolongation of the westerly line of Atherton Street Park; thence south  
4 along said prolongation and the westerly line of Atherton Street Park to its  
5 intersection with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain, (Bouton Creek);  
6 thence southeasterly along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its  
7 intersection with the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Lot 1 of  
8 Tract No. 11152, as per map recorded in Book 602, Page 81 of Maps, in  
9 the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County; thence north  
10 along said prolongation and the westerly line of said Lot 1 to its  
11 intersection with the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along the  
12 centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the northerly  
13 prolongation of the easterly line of said Lot 1 of Tract No. 11152; thence  
14 south along said prolongation and the easterly line of said Lot 1 to its  
15 intersection with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain; thence  
16 southeasterly along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its  
17 intersection with the southerly prolongation of the centerline of San  
18 Anseline Avenue; thence north along said prolongation of the centerline of  
19 San Anseline Avenue to its intersection with the centerline of Atherton  
20 Street; thence east along the centerline of Atherton Street to the  
21 southwest line of the 405 Freeway; thence southeast along the southwest  
22 line of the 405 Freeway to the City of Long Beach/Orange County  
23 boundary line (point of beginning).

24 D. District no. 4. That portion of the City which is now, or  
25 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

26 Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Atherton Street  
27 with the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drainage Channel; thence west  
28 along the centerline of Atherton Street to its intersection with the centerline

1 of San Anseline Avenue; thence south along the southerly prolongation of  
2 the centerline of San Anseline Avenue to its intersection with the  
3 centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain, (Bouton Creek); thence northwesterly  
4 along the centerline of the Los Cerritos Drain to its intersection with the  
5 southerly prolongation of the easterly line of Lot 1 of Tract No. 11152, as  
6 per map recorded in Book 602, Page 81 of Maps, in the office of the  
7 County Recorder of Los Angeles County; thence north along said  
8 prolongation and the easterly line of said Lot 1 to its intersection with the  
9 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along the centerline of Atherton  
10 Street to its intersection with the northerly prolongation of the westerly line  
11 of said Lot 1 of Tract 11152; thence south along said prolongation and the  
12 westerly line of said Lot 1 to its intersection with the centerline of the Los  
13 Cerritos Drain; thence northwesterly along the centerline of the Los  
14 Cerritos Drain to its intersection with the southerly prolongation of the  
15 westerly line of Atherton Street Park; thence north along said prolongation  
16 and the westerly line of Atherton Street Park to its intersection with the  
17 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along the centerline of Atherton  
18 Street to Clark Avenue; thence south along Clark Avenue to Anaheim  
19 Street; thence west along Anaheim Street to Ximeno Avenue; thence  
20 south along Ximeno Avenue to Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth  
21 Street to Gardenia Avenue; thence north along Gardenia Avenue to  
22 Anaheim Street; thence north along the prolongation of Gardenia Avenue  
23 to Fourteenth Street; thence north along Gardenia Avenue to Nineteenth  
24 Street; thence east along Nineteenth Street and along the prolongation of  
25 Nineteenth Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary  
26 line; thence southeast along said Long Beach/Signal Hill boundary line to  
27 Pacific Coast Highway; thence east along the Long Beach/Signal Hill City  
28 boundary line (coterminous with Pacific Coast Highway) to Reservoir Drive

1 West; thence northwest, west and east along the City of Long Beach/City  
2 of Signal Hill boundary line to Redondo Avenue; thence south along  
3 Redondo Avenue to Stearns Street; thence east along Stearns Street to  
4 Euclid Avenue; thence southeast along Euclid Avenue to Mira Mar  
5 Avenue; thence northeast and north along Mira Mar Avenue to Stearns  
6 Street; thence east along Stearns Street to Lakewood Boulevard; thence  
7 north along Lakewood Boulevard to Willow Street; thence east along  
8 Willow Street to the centerline of the 405 Freeway; thence east and  
9 southeast along the centerline of the 405 Freeway to its intersection with  
10 the centerline of Atherton Street; thence east along the centerline of  
11 Atherton Street and the east prolongation of the centerline of Atherton  
12 Street to the west line of the San Gabriel River; thence northeast and  
13 north along the west line of the San Gabriel River to a point on the east  
14 prolongation of the south line of El Dorado Park Golf Course boundary as  
15 described in the lease agreement for Contract No. 17448 between the City  
16 of Long Beach and American Golf Corporation and being on file in the  
17 office of the City Clerk; thence west along said prolongation to the  
18 southeast corner of said El Dorado Park Golf Course boundary; thence  
19 west and generally northerly along said golf course boundary as described  
20 in said contract to an angle point at the south line of Willow Street; thence  
21 north to the centerline of Willow Street; thence east along the centerline of  
22 Willow Street to the west line of the City of Los Angeles, Department of  
23 Water and Power Easement; thence north along said west line of  
24 easement to the centerline of Spring Street; thence east along the  
25 centerline of Spring Street to a point on the north prolongation of the west  
26 line of El Dorado Park Area 1 Community Gardens boundary as described  
27 in the lease agreement for Contract No. 25527 between the City of Long  
28 Beach and Long Beach Community Garden Association, Inc. and being on

1 file in the office of the City Clerk; thence south along said prolongation and  
2 said west line to the southwest corner of the property described in said  
3 contract; thence east along the south boundary of said property and the  
4 east prolongation of the south boundary to a point on the City of Long  
5 Beach/Orange County boundary line; thence southwesterly along said  
6 Long Beach/Orange County boundary line to the intersection with the  
7 southwest line of the 405 Freeway; thence northwest along the southwest  
8 line of the 405 Freeway to the centerline of Atherton Street; thence west  
9 along the centerline of Atherton Street to the centerline of the Los Cerritos  
10 Drainage Channel (point of beginning).

11 E. District no. 5. That portion of the City which is now, or  
12 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

13 Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Atherton Street  
14 with the centerline of the 405 Freeway; thence northwest along the  
15 centerline of the 405 Freeway to Willow Street; thence west along Willow  
16 Street to Lakewood Boulevard; thence south along Lakewood Boulevard  
17 to Stearns Street; thence west along Stearns Street to Mira Mar Avenue;  
18 thence south and southwest along Mira Mar Avenue to Euclid Avenue;  
19 thence northwest along Euclid Avenue to Stearns Street; thence west  
20 along Stearns Street to Redondo Avenue; thence north along Redondo  
21 Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary line; thence  
22 northerly, westerly, southwesterly, northerly, westerly, northerly and  
23 westerly along said Long Beach/Signal Hill boundary line to Cherry  
24 Avenue; thence north along Cherry Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City  
25 of Lakewood boundary; thence following said Long Beach/Lakewood  
26 boundary line easterly, northerly and southerly to the City of Long  
27 Beach/Orange County boundary line; thence southwesterly along said  
28 Long Beach/Orange County boundary line to a point on the east

1 prolongation of the south line of the El Dorado Park Area 1 Community  
2 Gardens as described in the lease agreement for Contract No. 25527  
3 between the City of Long Beach and the Long Beach Community Garden  
4 Association, Inc., and being on file in the office of the City Clerk; thence  
5 west along said prolongation and said south line to the southwest corner  
6 of the property described in said contract; thence north along the west  
7 boundary of said property and the north prolongation of the west boundary  
8 to a point on the centerline of Spring Street; thence west along the  
9 centerline of Spring Street to the west line of the City of Los Angeles,  
10 Department of Water and Power Easement; thence south along said west  
11 line to the centerline of Willow Street; thence west along the centerline of  
12 Willow Street to a point north of an angle point in the El Dorado Park Golf  
13 Course boundary as described in the lease agreement for Contract No.  
14 17448 between the City of Long Beach and American Golf Corporation  
15 and being on file in the office of the City Clerk; thence south to the angle  
16 point in said boundary, being also on the south line of Willow Street;  
17 thence generally southerly and easterly along said golf course boundary  
18 and the easterly prolongation of said boundary to a point on the west line  
19 of the San Gabriel River; thence south and southwest along the west line  
20 of the San Gabriel River to a point on the east prolongation of the  
21 centerline of Atherton Street; thence west along said east prolongation  
22 and the centerline of Atherton Street to the centerline of the 405 Freeway  
23 (point of beginning).

24 F. District no. 6. That portion of the City which is now, or  
25 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

26 Beginning at the point of intersection of Pacific Coast Highway and  
27 the southerly prolongation of San Francisco Avenue; thence north along  
28 said prolongation and San Francisco Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence

1 east along Twentieth Street to Magnolia Avenue; thence north along  
2 Magnolia Avenue to Twenty Ninth Street; thence west along Twenty Ninth  
3 Street to Maine Avenue; thence north along Maine Avenue to Thirty First  
4 Street; thence east along Thirty First Street to the west line of the Pacific  
5 Electric Railroad Right of Way; thence southeast along the west line of the  
6 Pacific Electric Railroad Right-of-Way to Spring Street; thence east along  
7 Spring Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal Hill boundary line;  
8 thence south and southeast along the City of Long Beach/City of Signal  
9 Hill boundary line to its intersection with the prolongation of Nineteenth  
10 Street; thence west along said prolongation of Nineteenth Street and  
11 along Nineteenth Street to Gardenia Avenue; thence south along  
12 Gardenia Avenue to Fourteenth Street; thence south along the  
13 prolongation of Gardenia Avenue to Eleventh Street; thence west along  
14 Eleventh Street to Orange Avenue; thence south along Orange Avenue to  
15 Tenth Street; thence west along Tenth Street to Long Beach Boulevard;  
16 thence north along Long Beach Boulevard to Eleventh Street; thence east  
17 along Eleventh Street to Atlantic Avenue; thence north along Atlantic  
18 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
19 Highway to Daisy Avenue East (point of beginning).

20 G. District no. 7. That portion of the City which is now, or  
21 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

22 Beginning at the point of intersection of the western Long Beach  
23 City boundary and the 405 Freeway; thence north and east along the  
24 western Long Beach City boundary line to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south  
25 along Santa Fe Avenue to the 405 Freeway; thence east and southeast  
26 along the 405 Freeway to the Metro Blue Line Right of Way; thence  
27 northwest along the Metro Blue Line Right of Way to the intersection of the  
28 westerly prolongation of Bixby Road; thence east along Bixby Road to



1 Orange Avenue: thence north along Orange Avenue to San Antonio Drive;  
2 thence northeast along San Antonio Drive to Cherry Avenue; thence north  
3 along Cherry Avenue to the City of Long Beach/City of Lakewood  
4 boundary line; thence east, south and west along said City boundary line  
5 to Cherry Avenue; thence south along Cherry Avenue to Spring Street;  
6 thence west along Spring Street to Orange Avenue; thence south along  
7 Orange Avenue to Willow Street; thence west along Willow Street to  
8 California Avenue; thence north along California Avenue to Spring Street;  
9 thence east along Spring Street to the City of Long Beach/City of Signal  
10 Hill boundary line; thence following said Signal Hill boundary line north,  
11 east, west and south around the northern part of Signal Hill to Spring  
12 Street; thence west along Spring Street to the west line of the Pacific  
13 Electric Railroad Right of Way; thence northwest along the west line of the  
14 Pacific Electric Right of Way to Thirty First Street; thence west along Thirty  
15 First Street to Maine Avenue; thence south along Maine Avenue to Twenty  
16 Ninth Street; thence east along Twenty Ninth Street to Magnolia Avenue;  
17 thence south along Magnolia Avenue to Twentieth Street; thence west  
18 along Twentieth Street to San Francisco Avenue; thence south along San  
19 Francisco Avenue and the southerly prolongation of San Francisco  
20 Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along Pacific Coast  
21 Highway to its intersection with the northbound access road of the 710  
22 freeway; thence north along the northbound access road and the 710  
23 Freeway to Twenty First Street; thence west along Twenty First Street to  
24 Gale Avenue; thence south along Gale Avenue to Twentieth Street;  
25 thence west along Twentieth Street to Santa Fe Avenue; thence south  
26 along Santa Fe Avenue to Pacific Coast Highway; thence west along  
27 Pacific Coast Highway to the western Long Beach City boundary line;  
28 thence north and east along said western City boundary line to its

1 intersection with the 405 Freeway (point of beginning).

2 H. District no. 8. That portion of the City which is now, or  
3 hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

4 Beginning at the point of the intersection of the northbound exit  
5 ramp of the 710 Freeway with Long Beach Boulevard; thence south along  
6 Long Beach Boulevard to a point on the centerline of the Los Angeles  
7 River; thence northeast along the centerline of the Los Angeles River to a  
8 point on the northwest prolongation of Chestnut Avenue; thence  
9 southeasterly along said northwest prolongation to De Forest Avenue;  
10 thence northeast along De Forest Avenue to South Street; thence  
11 southeast and east along South Street to the easterly Long Beach City  
12 Boundary line that is located within the alley east of Orizaba Avenue;  
13 thence south, east, south and west along said boundary line to Cherry  
14 Avenue; thence south along Cherry Avenue to San Antonio Drive; thence  
15 southwest along San Antonio Drive to Orange Avenue; thence south along  
16 Orange Avenue to Bixby Road; thence west along Bixby Road to the  
17 intersection of the prolongation of Bixby Road and the Metro Blue Line  
18 Right of Way; thence southeast along the Metro Blue Line Right of Way to  
19 the 405 Freeway; thence northwest and west along the 405 Freeway to  
20 Santa Fe Avenue; thence north along Santa Fe Avenue to its intersection  
21 with the western Long Beach City boundary line; thence north along said  
22 boundary line to an angle point in said boundary line opposite the  
23 northbound 710 Freeway exit ramp for Long Beach Boulevard; thence  
24 crossing the 710 Freeway easterly along the prolongation of the City  
25 boundary from the west to the northbound exit ramp for Long Beach  
26 Boulevard; thence along said exit ramp to its intersection with Long Beach  
27 Boulevard (point of beginning).

28 I. District no. 9. That portion of the City which is now, or

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

hereafter shall be, within the following described boundary:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northbound exit ramp of the 710 Freeway with Long Beach Boulevard, thence south along Long Beach Boulevard to a point on the centerline of the Los Angeles River; thence northeast along the centerline of the Los Angeles River to a point on the northwest prolongation Chestnut Avenue; thence southeasterly along said northwest prolongation to De Forest Avenue; thence northeast along De Forest Avenue to South Street; thence southeast and east along South Street to the easterly Long Beach City Boundary line that is located within the alley east of Orizaba Avenue; thence east along the Long Beach City Boundary line parallel to South Street to the westerly Long Beach City boundary line, thence along said boundary line north, west, south and east to the intersection of the 710 Freeway; thence crossing the 710 Freeway easterly along the prolongation of the City boundary line to the northbound exit ramp for Long Beach Boulevard; thence northeast along said northbound exit ramp to its intersection with Long Beach Boulevard (point of beginning).

Section 2. The City Clerk shall certify to the passage of this ordinance by the City Council and cause it to be posted in three (3) conspicuous places in the City of Long Beach, and it shall take effect on the thirty-first (31st) day after it is approved by the Mayor.

///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was adopted by the City Council of the City of Long Beach at its meeting of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Noes: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Absent: Councilmembers: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor