

CITY OF LONG BEACH

R-24

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

333 West Ocean Blvd., 4th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 Phone: 570.6428 Fax: 570.6205

October 7, 2008

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL City of Long Beach California

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt the attached Resolution adopting findings in response to written objections received from property owners on the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project;

Adopt the attached Resolution approving the Negative Declaration for the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project; and

Declare the Ordinance amending Ordinance No. C-7412 and C-7912 and approving and adopting the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project read for the first time and laid over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for final reading. (Districts 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9)

DISCUSSION

On September 15, 2008, the Long Beach Redevelopment Agency (Agency) held a public hearing to listen to testimony and receive comments on the adoption of the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project (Second Amendment) and the Negative Declaration prepared for the Second Amendment.

At the close of the hearing the Agency considered and adopted the following:

- Resolution of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Long Beach approving a Negative Declaration for the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project;
- Resolution of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Long Beach approving and adopting the Report to the City Council on the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project, and submitting said Report and Second Amendment to the City Council; and

 Resolution of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Long Beach approving the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project.

On September 16, 2008, the City Council also held a public hearing to hear testimony and receive comments on the Second Amendment and the Negative Declaration. The Second Amendment would extend the Agency's authority to use eminent domain for another twelve years throughout the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project Area with the exclusion of the majority of Sub-Area 5, which comprises the Port of Long Beach (Port). The previous authority to acquire property by eminent domain in the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project Area expired on July 16, 2008.

As a result of the passage of Proposition 99 in June 2008, the Agency is prohibited from acquiring single-family residences that have been occupied by the owner for more than one year for the purpose of transferring that property to a private entity. In addition, as provided in Section 424 of the existing Redevelopment Plan, the Agency may issue certificates of conformance that would prohibit the Agency from acquiring property by eminent domain so long as the property is used and maintained in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan. Certificates of conformance can be issued at anytime; this includes following the adoption of the Second Amendment.

The Agency and the City Council received two letters of written objections regarding the Second Amendment from property owners in the Project Area (Exhibit A—Written Objections). Since these written objections from property owners in the Project Area were received, a response must be prepared to each objection and considered in conjunction with the Second Amendment and related actions. Responses to written objections have been prepared and are attached to the City Council resolution adopting findings in response to those written objections. With the adoption of the written responses, the City Council may continue with the other actions related to the adoption of the Second Amendment and approval of the Negative Declaration.

This letter was reviewed by Assistant City Attorney Heather A. Mahood on September 18, 2008, and by Budget Management Officer Victoria Bell on September 22, 2008.

TIMING CONSIDERATIONS

City Council action is requested on October 7, 2008, in order to renew the Agency's authority to acquire property through eminent domain as soon as possible. If the City Council adopts the Ordinance for the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan, then on October 14, 2008, the City Council will consider the second reading of the Ordinance adopting the Second Amendment that will be the final action in the approval and adoption of the Second Amendment.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL October 7, 2008 Page 3 of 3

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with this recommendation.

SUGGESTED ACTION:

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAIG BECK

DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

CB:AJB:LAF:laf

R:\City Council Letters\2008 City Council Letters\North2ndAmdt_CC Action 100708 v4.doc

Attachments:

Exhibit A – Letters of Written Objection

City Council Resolutions City Council Ordinance

APPROVED:

PATRICK H. WES

- aug 27, 2008

I maulyn a. Awize and Lupe M. Awige de delelare we own property at 2 41 & Plenty St. Long Black Ca. We object to the amendment proposed by the Long Black redecelopment agency to acquire homes in North Long Black by the power of eminent domain. We have in our home. We are seniors and it would be a chardship on us financially as well as emotionally.

Maulyn a. avoizo Supe M. avoizo

562-9849244

Larry Herrera City Clerk of Long Beach 333 W. Ocean Blvd. Long Beach, CA 90802

RE: Opposition to Proposed Amendment for Extension of Eminent Domain Power for Long Beach Redevelopment Agency.

Dear Mr. Herrera:

I am a long time resident of North Long Beach. I attended Long Beach schools as a child and teenager. I received training as a registered nurse at Long Beach City College and at California State University, Long Beach. I have spent most of my professional career working in Long Beach hospitals and medical centers. I have seen first hand what gangs, crime, and drugs can do to individuals, families, and communities.

While I applaud the efforts and mission of the Long Beach Redevelopment Agency in the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project, I am philosophically, morally, ethically, and economically opposed to extending eminent domain powers for twelve more years to the Redevelopment Agency. Living in a neighborhood of diverse ethnicities and cultural mores has enriched my life. Most of the individuals and families in the North Long Beach area are just trying to live their lives the best they can. They work hard, attend school, worship in their chosen places, pay their taxes, vote in elections, maintain their houses/properties and try to be good neighbors to each other. Yet the North Long Beach area is constantly besmirched as a blighted neighborhood as a whole.

By extending eminent domain powers, all residents (not just criminals, drug traffickers, gang members) are under the threat of losing their homes or having their homes devalued. If others are like me, their main financial investment and security is in their homes. I understand that eminent domain cannot be used to convey property to a private person. However, the letter that I received from the Long Beach Redevelopment Agency clearly stated "with that exception, all property in the Project Area is therefore subject to the possibility of acquisition by purchase or condemnation by the Agency...".

I worked hard to pay off my mortgage and improve my home and property. I always thought that if there was a life emergency, I could use my home as collateral or sell it for whatever the market would allow. Now, my property and others could potentially be "acquired" under the guise of eminent domain whenever any governmental agency or corporate entity desired it.

Eminent domain is wrong. I have always been opposed to eminent domain practices. I grew up in the fifties, when people were forced from their homes by the use of eminent domain to supposedly build freeways or shopping malls "for the public good". Yet, many of the acquired properties were never utilized by the cities that acquired them. The quality of life for many was ruined. Recent news has shown that not even churches are immune to the threat of eminent domain. Historically, property owners have been forced to relocate to areas not of their choosing and accept less than what the market will allow for compensation for their properties, even though they owned the property! How would the Redevelopment Agency staff, Major, and City Council feel if eminent domain was used to acquire their homes?

It does not take eminent domain to repair streets and sidewalks, to provide after-hour programs for children and youth, to enforce current building, health, and safety codes, drug trafficking laws and other gang and criminal ordinances or to encourage the participation of citizens to improve their neighborhoods. It takes all of us working together, not threatening citizens with the potential loss or devaluation of their homes. I say YES to redevelopment and improvement, but an adamant NO to the extension of eminent domain powers.

I had previously expressed my views by phone to staff members in the offices of Mayor Foster, Rae Gabelich, and Craig Beck. I recently underwent surgery and am recuperating, so I will not be attending the public hearings on September 15, 2008 and September 16, 2008 on this issue. According to the Notice of Public Hearings letter that I received, "any person may file in writing with the City Clerk of the City of Long Beach a statement of objections to the proposed Amendment." This is the intent of my letter. I was told by the Redevelopment Agency to send the letter to you. I received a message from you stating that I should send the letter to Craig Beck. Therefore, I am sending it to both of you.

I thank you, in advance, for your assistance in having my opposition to the amendment noted at the public hearings. I trust that thoughtful consideration on this issue by the Long Beach Redevelopment Agency and the City Council will result in a denial of the proposed amendment to extend eminent domain authority. I have enclosed copies of the letters that I received from the Long Beach Redevelopment Agency.

Sincerely,

Pauline Woud

Pauline Ward 258 East 52nd Street

Long Beach, CA 90805

Cc: Craig Beck, Executive Director, Long Beach Redevelopment Agency

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney 333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH AMENDING ORDINANCE NOS. C-7412 AND C-7912 AND APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE NORTH LONG BEACH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Long Beach (the "City Council") adopted Ordinance No. C-7412 on July 16, 1996, approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan (the "Redevelopment Plan") for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. C-7912 on April 6, 2004, amending the Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Long Beach (the "Agency") has been designated as the official redevelopment agency to carry out in the City of Long Beach the functions and requirements of the Community Redevelopment Law of the State of California (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.) and to implement the Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has proposed a Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project (the "Amendment") to extend the Agency's ability to exercise eminent domain to acquire properties in the Project Area, excluding certain portions of Sub Area 5, for twelve (12) years from the date of adoption of the Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Project Area Committee for the North Long Beach
Redevelopment Project has submitted to the Agency and City Council its
recommendation for the approval and adoption of the proposed Amendment; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Long Beach (the "Planning Commission") has reviewed the Amendment and recommended the approval and adoption of the Amendment, together with its certification that the Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Long Beach; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has received from the Agency the proposed Amendment, together with the Report of the Agency and the Negative Declaration related thereto: and

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Agency duly published a notice of public hearings and the Agency public hearing was held on September 15, 2008, and the City Council public hearing was held on September 16, 2008, on adoption of the Amendment, in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 333 West Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, California; and

WHEREAS, the notice of said hearings was duly and regularly published in the Long Beach Press Telegram, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Long Beach, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the date of each hearing, and a copy of said notices and affidavits of publication are on file with the City Clerk and the Agency; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearings were mailed by first class mail to the last known address of each assessee of each parcel of land in the Project Area, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of Los Angeles; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearings were mailed by first class mail to the businesses and residents within the boundaries of the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearings were mailed by certified mail with return receipt requested to the governing body of each taxing agency which receives taxes from property in the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, on September 15, 2008, by Resolution No. Agency approved the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Beach Redevelopment Project; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered the Report of the Agency and the reports and recommendations of the Project Area Committee and the Planning Commission, the Amendment, the Negative Declaration, and provided an opportunity for all persons to be heard, and has received and considered all evidence and testimony presented for or against any and all aspects of the Amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City council of the City of Long Beach ordains as follows:

Section 1. The purposes and intent of the City Council with respect to the Amendment are to extend the Agency's ability to exercise eminent domain to acquire properties in the Project Area, excluding certain portions of Sub Area 5, for twelve (12) years from the date of adoption of the Amendment, in order to eliminate and prevent the spread of blight and deterioration and to promote the conservation, rehabilitation, renewal and redevelopment of the Project Area to the extent permitted by law and as specified in the Redevelopment Plan.

Section 2. The City Council hereby readopts the findings, determinations and other provisions of Ordinance Nos. C-7412 and C-7912 (hereinafter the "Ordinance") and does hereby specifically find and determine:

- That significant blight remains within the Project Area, the redevelopment of which is necessary to effectuate the public purposes declared in the Community Redevelopment Law. This finding is based upon the facts contained in Section III of the Agency's Report to the City Council, including, without limitation, the following:
- 1. Only 6% of the properties in the Project Area have been substantially improved or redeveloped since Plan adoption, and 49% of the properties continue to be impacted by physical blighting conditions, including, without limitation: (i) buildings in which it is unsafe and

unhealthy for persons to live and work; (ii) conditions that prevent or substantially hinder the viable use or capacity of buildings or lots; (iii) incompatible adjacent or nearby uses that have prevented the economic development of portions of the Project Area; and (iv) lots that are in multiple ownership and whose physical development has been impaired by their irregular shape and inadequate size.

- 2. The Project Area continues to be impacted by conditions of economic blight, including, without limitation: (i) depreciated or stagnant property values or impaired investments, including hazardous materials; (ii) abnormally low lease rates; (iii) a serious lack of necessary commercial facilities that are normally found in neighborhoods; (iv) residential overcrowding that has resulted in significant public health and safety problems; and (v) a high crime rate that constitutes a serious threat to public health and safety.
- B. The conditions of blight identified above cannot be eliminated without extending the Agency's ability to exercise eminent domain. This finding is based upon the facts contained in Section III (D) of the Agency's Report to the City Council, including, without limitation, the prevalence of small, substandard lots held in multiple ownership, the generally depressed economic character of the Project Area, and the need to assemble multiple parcels to create attractive redevelopment opportunities.
- C. The elimination of blight and the redevelopment of the Project Area could not be reasonably expected to be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without the aid and assistance of the Agency. This finding is based upon the facts contained in Section III (C) of the Agency's Report to the City Council, including, without limitation: the existence of blighting influences, such as lower property sales values and

lower lease rates, the fact that over 60% of all properties in the Project Area are smaller than minimum zoning code size, and the prevalence of small, substandard lots held in multiple ownership, resulting in the need to assemble multiple parcels to create attractive redevelopment opportunities; and the inability of individual developers to economically remove these blighting influences without public assistance.

D. The implementation of the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will improve or alleviate the physical and economic conditions of blight in the Project Area. This finding is based upon the fact that the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will continue the current Implementation Plan for the North Long Beach Area, which identifies specific programs and expenditures that will improve or eliminate blighting conditions by providing for the rehabilitation of structures and improvements by present owners, their successors, and the Agency; acquisition of real property; demolition or removal of buildings and improvements; and installation, construction, or reconstruction of streets, utilities, and other public improvements.

E. The Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will redevelop the Project Area in conformity with the Community Redevelopment Law and in the interests of the public peace, health, safety, and welfare. This finding is based upon the fact that the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will implement the objectives of the Community Redevelopment Law by aiding in the elimination and correction of the conditions of physical and economic blight and deterioration in the Project Area; provide for the rehabilitation of structures and improvements by present owners, their successors, and the Agency; provide for participation by owners and tenants presently located in the Project Area by extending preferences to remain or relocate

within the Project Area or in adjacent areas; acquisition of real property; demolition or removal of buildings and improvements; relocation assistance to displace residential and non-residential occupants; installation, construction, or reconstruction of streets, utilities, and other public improvements; disposition of property for use in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan; and redevelopment of land for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan.

- F. The carrying out of the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will promote the public peace, health, safety, and welfare of the community and would effectuate the purposes and policy of the Community Redevelopment Law. This finding is based upon the fact that redevelopment, as contemplated by the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will benefit the Project Area by correcting conditions of blight and by coordinating public and private actions to stimulate development and improve the economic, social and physical condition of the Project Area and surrounding areas.
- G. The use of condemnation is necessary to the execution of the Redevelopment Plan and adequate provisions have been made for payment for property to be acquired through eminent domain proceedings, as provided by law. This finding is based upon the facts contained in Section III (C) of the Agency's Report to the City Council, including, without limitation, the prevalence of small, substandard lots held in multiple ownership, the generally depressed economic character of the Project Area, and the need to assemble multiple parcels to create attractive redevelopment opportunities; and the fact that the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will continue the current Implementation Plan for the North Long Beach Area, which identifies specific programs and expenditures, including acquisition of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

property through eminent domain.

- H. The Agency has a feasible method or plan for the relocation of families and persons displaced from the Project Area, if the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, results in the temporary or permanent displacement of any occupants of housing facilities in the Project Area. This finding is based upon the Agency's Plan or Method of Relocation for the Project Area, as restated in Section V of the Agency's Report to the City Council.
- I. There are, or shall be provided, in the Project Area or in other areas not generally less desirable in regard to public utilities and public and commercial facilities and at rents or prices within the financial means of the families and persons displaced from the project area, decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings equal in number to the number of and available to the displaced families and persons and reasonably accessible to their places of employment. Families and persons shall not be displaced prior to the adoption of a relocation plan pursuant to Sections 33411 and 33411.1. Dwelling units housing persons and families of low or moderate income shall not be removed or destroyed prior to the adoption of a replacement housing plan pursuant to Sections 33334.5, 33413, and 33413.5. This finding is based upon the findings of the neighborhood impact report, as set forth in Section IX (A) (1) and (B) of the Agency's Report to the City Council, and the Agency's Plan or Method of Relocation for the Project Area, as restated in Section V of the Agency's Report to the City Council.
- J. Permanent housing facilities will be available within three years from the time occupants of the Project Area are displaced and that, pending the development of the facilities, there will be available to the displaced occupants adequate temporary housing facilities at rents

comparable to those in the community at the time of their displacement. This finding is based upon the findings of the neighborhood impact report, as set forth in Section IX (A) (1) and (B) of the Agency's Report to the City Council, and the Agency's Plan or Method of Relocation for the Project Area, as restated in Section V of the Agency's Report to the City Council.

K. The Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, is consistent with the General Plan of the City of Long Beach, including, but not limited to, the Housing Element, which substantially complies with the requirements of Article 10.6 (commencing with Sections 65580) of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code. This finding is based upon the report of the Planning Commission that the Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Long Beach.

Section 3. The City Council is satisfied that all written objections received before or at the noticed public hearing have been responded to in writing. Written findings have been adopted in response to each written objection filed with the City Clerk either before or at the noticed public hearings.

Section 4. The Redevelopment Plan is hereby amended as set forth in the proposed "Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project," attached hereto as Exhibit No. 1 and incorporated herein and made a part hereof by reference. As so amended, the Redevelopment Plan is hereby designated as the official Redevelopment Plan for the North Long Beach Redevelopment Project.

Section 5. In order to implement and facilitate the effectuation of the Amendment hereby approved, it may be necessary for the City Council to take certain actions, and accordingly, this City Council hereby:

A. Pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out the Amendment;

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- B. Requests the various officials, departments, boards and agencies of the City having administrative responsibilities in the Project Area likewise to cooperate to such end and to exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner consistent with the redevelopment of the Project Area pursuant to the Amendment;
- C. Stands ready to consider and take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to effectuate the Amendment; and
- D. Declares its intention to undertake and complete any proceedings necessary to be carried out by the City under the provisions of the Amendment.
- Section 6. Ordinance Nos. C-7412 and C-7912 are continued in full force and effect as amended by this Ordinance.
- Section 7. The City Clerk is hereby directed to send a certified copy of this Ordinance to the Agency, whereupon the Agency is vested with the responsibility for carrying out the Redevelopment Plan as amended by the Amendment.
- Section 8. The City Clerk is hereby directed to record with the County Recorder of Los Angeles County a notice of the approval and adoption of the Amendment pursuant to this Ordinance. The statement shall contain a description of the land within the Project Area and a general description of the changes to the Redevelopment Plan made by the Amendment and shall be recorded not later than 60 days after adoption of the Amendment.
- Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect Section 9. thirty (30) days after its passage.
- Section 10. Publication. The City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the passage of this Ordinance and to cause the same to be published once in the Long Beach Press Telegram, a newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City of Long Beach, California.
 - Section 11. Severability. If any part of this Ordinance, or the Amendment

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney 333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

wh	which it approves, is held to be invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the		
∥ va	validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance or of the Amendment, and this City		
Co	Council hereby declares that it would have passed the remainder of the Ordinance, or		
ар	approved the remainder of the Amendment, if such invalid portion thereof had been		
deleted.			
	I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was adopted by the City		
Co	Council of the City of Long Beach at its meeting of, 20, by the		
following vote:			
	Ayes:	Councilmembers:	
	Noes:	Councilmembers:	
	Absent:	Councilmembers:	
			City Clerk
Approved: (Date) Mayor			
	(Date)	Mayor