

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
6. (22-0316) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a new Schedule I, Transaction-Based Natural Gas Storage Service Agreement, as part of the long-term Master Services Contract, with the Southern California Gas Company, for natural gas storage capacity rights, from April 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		This is an item that should be brought to the Regular Agenda, not just the consent calendar.  This contract needs to be reviewable on a quarterly basis - by October 1, 2022, there may be significantly more costs associated with this MSC for their storage of natural gas in Aliso Canyon.	3/22/2022
7. (22-0317) Recommendation to receive and file the report, "Elevation Changes in the City of Long Beach, November 2020 through November 2021." (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Well, at least the maps on pp. 10-12 weren't completely gaslighty.  Leaving aside the fact that the Long Beach Unit just happens to be more or less co-extensive with where the City Council District boundaries for the 3rd were retrogressed...	3/22/2022
8. (22-0318) Recommendation to approve and adopt the Long Beach Unit Annual Plan (July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023). (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Right...  Not really much for thinking through things, are we?	3/22/2022
9. (22-0319) Recommendation to approve the Modification and Supplement of the Long Beach Unit Annual Plan (July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022). (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		This definitely needs to be moved to the Regular Agenda  How much monetary value is left in this Unit, and what is its relation to the Tidelands Fund?	3/22/2022
10. (22-0320) Recommendation to approve the Fiscal Year 2022 designation of one-time District Priority Funds for City Council District infrastructure projects and existing programs; and  Approve related budget appropriation adjustments for Fiscal Year 2022 in accordance with existing City Council policy. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Curious...	3/22/2022

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>14. (22-0324) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to grant an easement deed to Southern California Edison, for the installation of a power conduit within El Dorado East Regional Park; and</p> <p>Accept Categorical Exemption No. CE-18-002. (District 4)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Curious	3/22/2022
<p>15. (22-0325) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and any necessary documents including any subsequent amendments, including any amendment to the award amount, with the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), to accept a grant for access to professional planning services to study curb space management. (Citywide)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Interesting	3/22/2022
<p>15. (22-0325) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute an agreement, and any necessary documents including any subsequent amendments, including any amendment to the award amount, with the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), to accept a grant for access to professional planning services to study curb space management. (Citywide)</p>	Rae Gabelich	hoorae1@aol.com		<p>"Curb Space Management"! What does that mean? The fact that you did not oppose SB9 or 10 and now the state decides what we can and cannot build has already taken valuable parking from our neighborhoods. Your lack of leadership on behalf of our Charter City now has allowed my neighboring building to remove the onsite parking garages and the owner is building 2 single units in its place! You should be as outraged as I am, yet not one of you responded when I asked for your opinion three weeks ago. SCAG does not run the show in LB! Their own numbers do not add up. Remember, Quality of Life for your residents here today should be your #1 priority.</p>	3/19/2022

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>16. (22-0326) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing City Manager, or designee, to execute a contract and any necessary documents including any necessary subsequent amendments, with Transnomis Solutions, of Ontario, Canada, to test a system to alert community members of traffic impacts in local neighborhoods via GIS and navigation applications, at no cost to the City, for a four-month pilot period. (Citywide)</p>	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Interesting	3/22/2022
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Diana Michaelson	dianamichaelson05@gmail.com		<p>My name is Diana Michaelson and I am a junior at Long Beach Polytechnic High School who has been leading the Long Beach Green Schools Campaign (<a href="https://www.polygsc.com/">https://www.polygsc.com/</a>). This campaign is working to pass a 100% clean energy resolution at Long Beach Unified School District to commit Long Beach Schools to net-zero emissions in the electricity sector by 2030, and in all other energy sectors (transportation, cooking, HVAC) by 2040. I founded this grassroots, student-led, initiative in the summer of 2020, and we are hoping to pass our resolution at the district soon. I am reaching out because I would like to hold discussions between city officials and the students from the campaign on how the city can take further steps in achieving environmental justice. Specifically, we are interested in having the city pass a clean energy resolution in tandem with the school district. Our city is experiencing the effects of climate change first hand and I believe that, together, we can bring change.</p>	3/22/2022

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Don Dao	dondao65@gmail.com		Hi, I am part of the Long Beach Green Schools Campaign in Poly High School, and I support clean energy for the safety of the youth growing up after me and for the youth today who face the brunt of an energy and climate crisis which should have been rectified decades ago. Though we acknowledge the harm brought by pollutants such as higher asthma rates, destruction of wildlife, global warming, and sea level rising, we have yet to take actionable change towards 100% clean energy. I need to see Long Beach adopt a 100% clean energy resolution at the city level.	3/21/2022
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Don Dao	dondao65@gmail.com		Hi, I am part of the Long Beach Green Schools Campaign in Poly High School, and I support clean energy for the safety of the youth growing up after me and for the youth today who face the brunt of an energy and climate crisis which should have been rectified decades ago. Though we acknowledge the harm brought by pollutants such as higher asthma rates, destruction of wildlife, global warming, and sea level rising, we have yet to take actionable change towards 100% clean energy. I need to see Long Beach adopt a 100% clean energy resolution at the city level.	3/21/2022
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Kelly Michelena	kelly.a.davies@gmail.com		Fix our deadly traffic circle at Bixby and Weston. See the petition and address it: <a href="https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle">https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle</a>	3/19/2022

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>17. 22-0281 Recommendation to direct City Attorney to draft resolution to have the City's legislative advocates in Sacramento, in collaboration with the City Manager and all relevant City departments, to support legislation to enact changes to the California Retail Food Code that will make it more sensitive to the context of sidewalk food vending while ensuring food safety.</p>	<p>Kristine Hammond</p>	<p>director@zaferia.org</p>		<p>The Recommendation (R-17) is unclear. The subject title is "Sidewalk Vendors." Does the recommendation only pertain to sellers of food? Does it also pertain to sidewalk sellers of cell phones, Dodger shirts, stuffed animals, and other tchotchkes, as well as food trucks? The Recommendation states "to adopt a resolution implementing a moratorium on issuing citations to sidewalk vendors for vending-related activities, including for selling goods without otherwise necessary permits." This seems to allow for ALL SIDEWALK VENDORS to continue on public sidewalks without business permits, health permits, or BID fees. During the pandemic, existing brick and mortar business owners have jumped through expensive hoops to set up parklets for dining, and retailers of goods have been prohibited to have parklets to sell their goods. Yet they continue to get billed for city and BID fees, plus now late fees. Show your support and respect for existing business owners.</p>	<p>3/22/2022</p>

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>20. (22-0329) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the Energy Resources Department to schedule a study session for the City Council to review the operation of the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF). The scope of the study session should include reviews of SERRF's incineration process, operational costs, energy production, recycling capabilities, greenhouse gas emissions, and possible alternatives.</p>	<p>Dave Shukla</p>	<p>dave.shukla@gmail.com</p>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Why burn trash for electricity?</li> <li>2. Does anyone real believe the facility just happens to break even in terms of energy/mass-consumed? Especially at the higher temperatures required for certain items, and the steady diet of organics that needn't be taken out of the soil/carbon/water cycles?</li> <li>3. In Situ, what are the logical possibilities for that facility, please?</li> <li>4. Given the electricity pathing required for Shore Power/cold-ironing, why doesn't the City of Long Beach just created a JPA with the Port of Long Beach already to procure 100% clean renewable electricity instead of doing all this?</li> <li>5. Anyone have an honest assessment of how far behind SB100 the City of Long Beach is?</li> <li>6. How come all the folks who are so pro- this facility want it to continue polluting poor black and brown neighborhoods instead of their own?</li> <li>7. What is the relative toxicity of the ashes, please?</li> <li>8. Why not a living building, like Copenhagen?</li> <li>9. Why anerobic digestion?</li> <li>10. Mitigate emissions much?</li> </ol>	<p>3/22/2022</p>

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>20. (22-0329) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the Energy Resources Department to schedule a study session for the City Council to review the operation of the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF). The scope of the study session should include reviews of SERRF's incineration process, operational costs, energy production, recycling capabilities, greenhouse gas emissions, and possible alternatives.</p>	Whitney Amaya	whitney.amaya@gmail.com		<p>The City of Long Beach has wasted too many resources on SERRF. For almost 35 years, communities surrounding this facility, which include neighborhoods from other cities, have been exposed to harmful pollutants that impact development, respiratory and reproductive systems, and contribute to the high rates of asthma, cancer, and lower life expectancy we see in our communities compared to affluent and white neighborhoods in Long Beach. This is an issue of environmental racism. There are many studies that examine the environmental, financial, and public health harms of incineration, including a report by Earthjustice that delves into SERRF. We need this City Council to support a transition to zero waste and to work with our communities to pass a Zero Waste Goal and create a Zero Waste Plan. Please have a study session that looks into alternatives that won't cause harm to our communities and that prioritize waste prevention, source reduction, reuse, followed by recycling and composting.</p>	3/22/2022
<p>20. (22-0329) Recommendation to request City Manager to work with the Energy Resources Department to schedule a study session for the City Council to review the operation of the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF). The scope of the study session should include reviews of SERRF's incineration process, operational costs, energy production, recycling capabilities, greenhouse gas emissions, and possible alternatives.</p>	Padric Gleason Gonzales	padric.gleason@gmail.com		<p>Strongly support this motion. The SERRF served Long Beach well during it's time but it's time to shut it down. In the past, it was supported by environmentalists but it's now clear that burning trash just transfers the pollution from the ground into the atmosphere. The only sure method for addressing waste pollution is to reduce waste altogether.</p> <p>Not to mention that Long Beach is- embarrassingly- one of only 2 cities in California to continue the unsightly practice of burning trash. And the plant requires \$60 million in upgrades to continue working in the next 20 years. Are you really going to spend \$60 million to prop up a community polluter? No. It's time to move on and decommission this relic of the past. Move forward with cleaner and safer methods of disposing of waste and producing electricity. Say no to dangerous emissions. Vote yes on this motion.</p>	3/18/2022

**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
24. (22-0333) Recommendation to determine that the granting of Facility/Pipeline Permit Supplement No. S-16-22 under existing Facility/Pipeline Permit No. P-239-11 to The Boeing Company, will not be contrary to the public interest; and, authorize City Manager, or designee, to issue said Permit Supplement in accordance with Section 15.44.060, Permit Issuance, of the Long Beach Municipal Code for the installation of electrical conduits to power extraction wells for groundwater remediation along Harvey Way, East Village Road, and Blackthorne Avenue. (District 5)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Interesting	3/22/2022
26. (22-0338) Recommendation to request City Manager to investigate the feasibility of utilizing Long Beach Recovery Act funds to support upcoming significant cultural events in the City of Long Beach and report back to the City Council in 30 days.	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		?????	3/22/2022
26. (22-0338) Recommendation to request City Manager to investigate the feasibility of utilizing Long Beach Recovery Act funds to support upcoming significant cultural events in the City of Long Beach and report back to the City Council in 30 days.	Kelly Michelena	kelly.a.davies@gmail.com		Use the funds to fix the deadly traffic circle at Bixby and Weston. See the petition which has 400 signatures: <a href="https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle">https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle</a>	3/19/2022
27. (22-0339) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into an agreement with The Boeing Company, to accept a one-time cash payment of \$2,797,879.50 for the improvement of the Cherry Avenue and San Diego Freeway (I-405) intersection, to allow the City of Long Beach to construct improvements as required by the Douglas Park Development Agreement in lieu of the Boeing Company; and  Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$2,797,879.50, offset by funds received from the Boeing Company for the Improvement of the Cherry Avenue/405 Intersection. (District 5)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Interesting	3/22/2022



**eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 22, 2022**

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>27. (22-0339) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute all documents necessary to enter into an agreement with The Boeing Company, to accept a one-time cash payment of \$2,797,879.50 for the improvement of the Cherry Avenue and San Diego Freeway (I-405) intersection, to allow the City of Long Beach to construct improvements as required by the Douglas Park Development Agreement in lieu of the Boeing Company; and</p> <p>Increase appropriations in the Capital Projects Fund Group in the Public Works Department by \$2,797,879.50, offset by funds received from the Boeing Company for the Improvement of the Cherry Avenue/405 Intersection. (District 5)</p>	Kelly Michelena	kelly.a.davies@gmail.com		Improve the traffic circle at Bixby and Weston before someone dies. See the petition: <a href="https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle">https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle</a>	3/19/2022
PUBLIC COMMENT:	Kelly Michelena	kelly.a.davies@gmail.com		Fix the engineering mistake of the Bixby-Weston traffic circle before someone dies. See our petition and make it part of your next council agenda: <a href="https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle">https://www.change.org/DeadlyBixbyTrafficCircle</a>	3/19/2022
ANNOUNCEMENTS:	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		soil not oil!	3/15/2022
WOMEN'S HISTORY MONTH	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Support	3/15/2022