

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
BUDGET HEARING:	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Since the Budget Hearing items all contain various of the same BH-13 attachment (in either 9 page summary, 20 page summary, or 503 page summary +fee schedules + attachments) I will briefly ask why there is not a single mention of the SART relocation we heard earlier about this Spring: Has the City found a dedicated place for a new facility, appropriately staffed and funded? If so, where in the budget book, or proposed FY23 budget supporting documentation, is the SART facility listed, please?	9/6/2022
13. 1 (22-1056) Recommendation to adopt Mayor's proposed budget recommendations, as amended, to the Proposed FY 23 Budget. (A-1)	Maria Macias	amacias@mycff.org		Greetings Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers. My name is Maria Angel Macias and I am a resident of the 3rd District. I support the Mayors 2023 budget proposal and ask that the City Council adopt the recommendations in the Mayors budget proposal. Thank you	9/6/2022
13. 1 (22-1056) Recommendation to adopt Mayor's proposed budget recommendations, as amended, to the Proposed FY 23 Budget. (A-1)	Leslie Smith	lrsmithesq@hotmail.com		I am a strong proponent of, and have frequented, each of the City's cultural centers. I applaud the City's use of Measure B funds to support the existing cultural centers. As such, I ask that the City Council adopt the Mayor's budget proposal, including placing a 3-year moratorium on adding additional programs to the Measure B funds. Thank you.	9/6/2022
13. 3 (22-1058) Recommendation to adopt expenditures and revenues as identified in the Proposed FY 23 Budget, as amended. (A-3)	Vivian Linderman	ovivtopher@hotmail.com		For a year now, the Parks, Recreation and Marine Pickleball Advisory Committee has requested PRM to repair and stripe existing courts for dual usage pickleball play. Some parks received support due to commitments by city councilmembers or Tidelands funds (College Estates, Bayshore, Marina Vista Parks). Somerset Park (5th District) has an active group of 50+ players, playing twice a week, yet courts are in desperate need of repair, signage, & striping. Houghton Park, (9th District) also needs repair, signage, & striping. It is inconceivable these requests were not included in the PR&M budget for 2023 when PR&M Director Dennis is well aware of the need & requests. Nor, were these parks selected for the Measure A fund improvements detailed by PR&M. Why are these areas being ignored? What does it take to get improvements to parks in north Long Beach? Please, find the funds to add to the 2023 budget to meet the growing need for pickleball courts in district 5/9 and throughout the city.	9/5/2022

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
18. (22-1075) Recommendation to adopt resolution authorizing the Financial Management Department to record a 10-year interfund loan of \$21,832,202 between the Fleet Services Fund Group and the General Fund Group from the General Fund's contributions for vehicle replacement in the Fleet Acquisitions Fund, to reimburse \$21,832,202 from the General Fund Group to the Water Fund Group, pursuant to the outcome of Measure M litigation. (Citywide)	Dave Shukla	dave.shukla@gmail.com		Oppose: Strong opposition until and unless the replacement vehicles, one and all, are Zero-Emissions Vehicles. Preferably all-electric, 'natch.	9/6/2022
24. (22-1102) Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Bill Hoehne	bill.hoehne@verizon.net		For 25 years we have lived in Belmont Shore and valued the pre-pandemic aesthetics of the neighborhood. The parklets installed on 2nd street to help businesses survive the pandemic are now an unjustifiable eyesore, nuisance for pedestrians and a depletion of accessible parking. Please do not extend permission or grant permanency for parklets on 2nd street.	9/6/2022
24. (22-1102) Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Ju So	jlulove@yahoo.com		Absolutely unacceptable. Stop putting profits over people. You take parking away from residents, your constituents yet provide zero alternatives. STOP PUTTING PROFITS ABOVE PEOPLE. These parklets were put in place to help businesses during quarantine, quarantine is long over and these parklets have to go.	9/6/2022

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Valli ODonnell	theods@charter.net		We are opposed to the blanket extension of the existing Parklet program. Please do not continue to put lipstick on this pig and pass it without necessary reform and oversight. Sincerely Valli and Tim ODonnell	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Dana Greaney	dlgreaney@gmail.com		Remove the parklets and quit extending the date. We need the parking!	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Carol Hill	h20hill3@aol.com		Get rid of the parklets ... do your job. I have waited for June, July, August and September and now you're going to extend through January, 2023. This is crap... When January comes around you'll have another excuse. Stop this nonsense now! Do your job... Get rid of the parklets! Elections are coming and I'll remember how you swept this under the rug and vote accordingly. Signed Angry member of District 3.	9/6/2022

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Jeannette D. Santage</p>	<p>jdsantage@charter.net</p>		<p>These are businesses that need to adhere to City deadlines! They are not children and need to comply. Every few months they come up with many excuses to continue to exist on ROADS and SIDEWALKS belonging to ALL!! These parklets were subsidized by the City. It is time to stop granting special privileges to a select few!!</p> <p>As a homeowner, do I have the privilege of setting up patio furniture on the public sidewalk in front of my home?? NO! Yet the City continues giving away public space to a select few. Parklets on a busy east-west corridor (SECOND STREET) continue to be dangerous.. IT IS TIME TO STOP EXTENDING THEIR EXISTENCE.</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Kris Dyck</p>	<p>kriswulff@yahoo.com</p>		<p>Absolutely not! We do not need to grant a 5th extension! Remove the parklets.</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Brian Cochrane</p>	<p>bcscribe@gmail.com</p>		<p>For more than a year, City Council has been hearing from Kieran Gough and bars and restaurants about how hard their life is. "City paperwork is too difficult." "We can't get matching forks and spoons." "Give us more free stuff."</p> <p>Boo-hoo.</p> <p>Putting this item on the agenda on a Friday before a holiday, knowing that residents would not have time to effectively respond, the mayor has gone from bending over backwards to placate bad-faith businesses into egregious, embarrassing giveaway without regard for residents, rules and fair process.</p> <p>Residents will feel this impact now and long after the mayor and Suzie Price have scooted on to other office. We can only hope that City Council members will put the brakes on this cycle of endless parklet extensions and abusive, non-transparent moves to boost the bottom lines of bars and restaurants that can't even seem to get their plans for a free giveaway lined up in time to meet deadlines.</p> <p>Please, Council Members, can you finally just say "NO"?</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Diane Ohde</p>	<p>dianeohde@gmail.com</p>		<p>It's time to restore 2nd Street to the way it was prior to the Covid Pandemic. I have many concerns, here are some of them: 1) Difficulty finding parking especially for local residents. 2) Loss of income from parking meters. 3) The safety of people seated in the parklets. 4) Crowding on the sidewalks especially during peak shop hours and events. 5) Major challenges when it comes to the Christmas Parade, not only for the participants but for the bystanders as well.</p> <p>Please DO NOT extend the time for the parklets and please DO NOT let them become permanent.</p> <p>Sincerely, Diane Ohde</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Bill Hoehne</p>	<p>bill.hoehne@verizon.net</p>		<p>For 25 years we have lived in Belmont Shore and valued the pre-pandemic aesthetics of the neighborhood. The parklets installed on 2nd street to help businesses survive the pandemic are now an unjustifiable eyesore, nuisance for pedestrians and a depletion of accessible parking. Please do not extend permission or grant permanency for parklets on 2nd street.</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Mona Morris</p>	<p>mfgmorris@yahoo.com</p>		<p>Dear Council Members, PLEASE do not extend the temporary parklets for another months. 2nd Street is not made to be both a main thoroughfare & have parklets on the street because of safety reason for drivers& pedestrians & cyclist. The customers of parklets tend to congregate on the sidewalks or the owners find a way to insert more tables, both block the sidewalk for pedestrians. There's also the problem of hygiene, the sidewalks aren't being cleaned & the owners of parklets aren't very diligent in cleaning the floors of their parklets. I don't know why the mayor who will only be here for a few more months want to extend these temporary parklets, for campaign contributions? Whatever his reasons are, the necessity of parklets has expired; we are asked to live the new normal with the Covid virus. Parklets on 2nd Street are not meant to be permanent. There's also the problem of the residents having less parking and having to put up with noise & litters from bar patrons. Thank you.</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Ju So	jlulove@yahoo.com		Absolutely unacceptable. Stop putting profits over people. You take parking away from residents, your constituents yet provide zero alternatives. STOP PUTTING PROFITS ABOVE PEOPLE. These parklets were put in place to help businesses during quarantine, quarantine is long over and these parklets have to go.	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Kevin Elizondo	kelizondo1@yahoo.com		Eliminate the parklets immediately. They were authorized as "temporary" during Covid relief but are not necessary or of any use. The residents off 2nd street continue to feel the impact of the lack of parking near their homes caused by the parklets. Get rid of the parklets. They are eye sores, dangerous to traffic flow and just not being utilized.	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Jeff Cozart	jeff@belmontathleticclub.com		As a business owner in Belmont Shore I believe the park let's need to go. Our parking is so valuable to all of the businesses, not just restaurants. And all of us are trying our best to recover from the pandemic, not just restaurants. Continuing the parklets is not fair use of public space. And it's a slap in face to every non restaurant business.	9/6/2022

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	shelly williams	swilliams39@hotmail.com		The parklets were intended to be temporary, it's time for them to be removed. They are taking up valuable parking spaces in the Shore. If the parklets continue to remain permanent, then the City Council needs to seriously consider zoning parking that is capped at 2 hours on residential streets except by permit (which residents can apply for). Every other major city in Los Angeles County that has parking issues and congestion, caps parking time limits and gives preferential parking to the people that live in the congested area, allowing them to park by permit.	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Kendra Miller	kendramiller@kw.com		Please stop extending the deadline for removal of the parklets. As a Shore homeowner and lover of 2nd Street, I am ready to see the parklets removed. They are incredibly unsafe as a driver and unsightly for our community. I understand that several of the restaurants are asking for permanent parklets; however, these temporary parklets are just that - temporary. IF they get permanent parklets, the current parklets will have to be completely redone so the argument to keep these is moot. They cannot be used in the future and should be removed now to meet the September removal deadline.	9/6/2022
24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)	Melinda Cotton	mbcotton@hotmail.com		The Temporary Parklets and OAPs should be removed, as repeatedly promised by the Long Beach City Council and City Departments. Permanent Parklets and OAPs should not be allowed in the Belmont Shore Business District or in the Coastal Adjacent Belmont Pier and Olympic Plaza Park Area. Parklets interfere with traffic, take up valuable public parking spaces, contribute to sidewalk congestion and hinder disabled access. Alcohol and food delivery vehicles can no longer park near their customers, and resort to taking over bus stops or a lane of traffic. Retail businesses and office tenants are deprived of parking for their customers and clients. Resident parking is impacted. The added seating in Parklets contributes to the Shores historic parking problems, as does the loss of metered parking. ICONIX Fitness should NOT be allowed to continue its take over of more than a dozen Olympic Plaza Drive coastal parking spaces for its gym equipment and private clients. Vote No on this issue.	9/6/2022

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of September 6, 2022

Item	Name	E-mail	Address	Comment	Received
<p>24. 22-1102 Recommendation to direct City Manager to extend the parklet installation completion deadline to January 2, 2023, only for restaurants that have an existing temporary parklet and are in the process of establishing a permanent parklet in accordance with the City's Permanent Parklet Guidelines, for those restaurants who received notice from the City Traffic Engineer that their proposed permanent parklet is feasible and have met all requirements of the permanent parklet application process; and, extend all existing OAP's to January 2, 2023. (Citywide)</p>	<p>Nadia De Leon</p>	<p>deleonn1@gmail.com</p>		<p>The residents of Long Beach continue to deal with numerous issues with the parklet program. The parklets on 2nd street and corresponding side streets are disgusting and aesthetically displeasing. When the temporary parklet program was announced it was to accommodate restaurants during the widespread pandemic to provide physical distancing between dining patrons. While we are continuing to battle the Covid 19 pandemic with less restrictions in Long Beach, the parklets are now unnecessary. It was temporary. The mayor of Long Beach has continued to extend this program against the wishes of residents. He continues to push parklets as a permanent fixture in areas that have heavy foot and vehicle traffic. Deadlines established for a reason. Taxes and bills have deadlines so if applicants did not submit by the deadline then it should not be granted. City Council set a deadline and it should be followed and not extended. Extending the deadline must stop and applications past the date denied.</p>	<p>9/6/2022</p>