ATTACHMENT 5

JANUARY 22, 2018

PUBLIC HEARING

OF THE

BOARD OF HARBOR COMMISSION

4801 AIRPORT PLAZA DRIVE LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

"PIER B ON-DOCK RAIL FACILITY"

1	6:01 P.M.
2	* * *
3	
4	MS. BYNUM: Good evening, everyone. Thank you all
5	for being here. We appreciate the turnout this evening.
6	I am Lou Anne Bynum, President of the Board
7	of Harbor Commission. Before we get started with the
8	hearing, I would like to ask Chief DuRee to come up and
9	give a short safety presentation.
10	CHIEF DUREE: Thank you very much, Madam President,
11	Commissioners, Mr. Cordero and staff.
12	Real quick, for those of you in the room
13	tonight, this room was designed to hold a hundred
14	eighty-five people.
15	We have exceeded capacity right now and I am
16	okay with that. What I would ask of you would be this:
17	If you are standing on the walls and you are not in a
18	seat, I would ask that you get a single person and stand
19	up against the walls.
20	We need to make sure that the exit is
21	maintained and clear at all times so people can get out
22	of here.
23	There is an exit on this end of the building
24	as well and Assistant Chief Xavier Espino and I will be

in the back of the room should anything arise and then

1 follow our direction, if it does.

Otherwise, thank you very much, Madam President. Have a good evening.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Chief. Joining me tonight are Commissioners Vice President, Tracy Egoscue to my right, Secretary Lori Ann Guzman is on her way, Commissioner Bonnie Lowenthal to my far right and Commissioner Frank Colonna to my far left.

The agendas for tonight's meeting are available at the back of the room and on our website at POLB.com.

Follow the Commission tab to the board meeting where you will find the agenda and staff reports for each item along with live and archived web streams of our meeting.

We welcome public involvement at our meetings. So that we can recognize you at the appropriate time, please fill out a speaker's card indicating the number of the item on the agenda that you would like to address.

And if you need translation for your comments tonight, can you please let staff right here know so we can prepare and have that ready for you at the right time.

Let's stand for the Pledge of Allegiance,

1 please, to be led by Mr. Mario Cordero. 2 (The Pledge of Allegiance was recited 3 by all.) Thank you. We are item one. 4 MS. BYNUM: 5 public comments are on the agenda. As I understand, we have three people. If I could ask all three of them to 6 7 come up and be ready to speak. 8 Dr. Tom Williams, Joshua Allen and Lee Wilson. Thank you. 9 10 DR. WILLIAMS: Good evening, Dr. Tom Williams, 11 Sierra Club Transportation Committee, Citizens' Coalition 12 for a Safe Community and several others. 13 The Ports are key to the air Basic elements: 14 quality of the Los Angeles basin. AQMD has recognized 15 this and is trying to develop facilities-based 16 requirements, primarily for trucks. 17 However, we pointed out to them many times 18 that the Alameda Corridor is not used to its full 19 capacity and is not congested compared to the 710 or the 20 110. 21 As part of the Sierra Club Transportation 22 Committee's 2018 program, we are looking at full double 23 tracking of all rail within seventy miles of the Ports of 24 LA and Long Beach. 25 "Double tracking;" that means a couple

- tunnels, also. We are also looking for full
- 2 electrification of the Alameda Corridor which will be an
- interesting issue which is not -- I say the subject of
- 4 tonight's meeting.
- So we are quite concerned regarding these
- 6 things and how the Ports of LA and Long Beach represent a
- big problem within the air quality of the South Coast Air
- Quality Management District. So we are quite concerned
- 9 about this and we will be reviewing your CAAP and others.
- 10 Thank you.
- MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Dr. Williams. Next speaker
- 12 is Joshua Allen.
- MR. ALLEN: My name is Joshua Allen with Local 12.
- 14 Just up here to say that I support union work, I support
- 15 this job. This job -- this career has given me an
- opportunity to turn my life around and for that, I thank
- 17 you guys for supporting union work. Thank you.
- MS. BYNUM: Thank you. Lee Wilson?
- 19 MR. WILSON: I filled out a card for Pier B so I
- 20 don't know if this is --
- MS. BYNUM: Okay. We will hold it then for Pier B.
- 22 Thank you. Okay.
- Thank you very much. We are now on the
- 24 hearing session. Will you please read the items, Shana?
- MS. ESPINOZA: Item 1-H, receive and file

supporting documentation into the record and conduct a public hearing on the project and adopt a resolution certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Pier B on-Dock Rail support facility and make findings, adopt a Statement of Overriding Consideration and Mitigation (inaudible) and Reporting program, an application summary report and approve the project at a Level III Harbor Development Permit.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, I would like to open the public hearing for Pier B, On-Dock Rail Support Facility Project, final Environmental Impact Report and Application Summary Report to receive public comments in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act, the California Coastal Act and the Fourth Master Plan.

Those in the audience wishing to comment on this project are encouraged to sign a speaker's card located in the back of the room.

There are translators available for Spanish language and sign language for any member of the audience who would like to utilize that service.

I would also like to acknowledge, before we get started, some special people that are here tonight.

Two of them will be speaking.

Ginny Baxter, Trustee, Long Beach City

College thank you, Jenny. Vivian Malalulu, Trustee, Long
Beach City College, Billy O'Connell, Councilman City of
Huntington Beach, Irantzu Pujadas, Office of Congressman
Alan Lowenthal, Office of Supervisor, Janice Hahn.

At this time I would like to ask
Rick Cameron, Managing Director of Planning and
Environmental Affairs, to introduce the project followed
by a presentation by Heather Tomley, Director of
Environmental Planning to summarize the project and
provide the staff report. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Good evening, Madam President and Commissioners. As you know, On-Dock Rail is very important to the Port of Long Beach and to this region.

Going back to really even before 2006, but primarily identifying the need for On-Dock Rail and increasing our On-Dock Rail by virtue of identifying certain infrastructure, whether it be on the terminals, outside of the gates, identifying bottlenecks and as well as increasing our overall capacity of our rail system within the Port Complex connected to the Alameda Corridor.

The Port has established a goal to maximize On-Dock operations to reach a goal of thirty to thirty-five percent of containers to be handled On-Dock, inside the gates, not trucks going down through the

1 neighborhoods or the I-710 facilities.

This objective was identified in the 2006

Port Rail Study Update as of port wide. It was in

conjunction with the Port of Los Angeles and looked at

the entire bay connecting back up to the Alameda

Corridor.

The Pier B On-Dock Rail Support facility was identified as a much-needed improvement to meet that goal overall.

Support for On-Dock is included in our Clean Air Action Plan, most recently included or updated Clean Air Action Plan in addition to looking at efficiency strategies which are all tied together when we start to talk about our systems and being more efficient within the gate, federal utilization for On-Dock facilities within the terminals and getting the cargo out as efficiently as possible. So rail is very much a key to our efficiency goals in the long run.

It is also consistent with local, regional and statewide goals identified in the City Mobility Plan, the Regional Transportation Plan and the State Sustainable Action Plan.

My team has been working through the development of the EIR for this project since the Notice of Preparation was released in 2009.

There has been many years scoping, analysis and refinement. As many of you know, there have been a lot of different events that have taken precedent or priorities when it comes to Rail and some of the other projects.

There was a decision by the Board and management that we let some of these things settle out and that was part of a little bit of what I would call the delay of getting this thing for you tonight.

In addition to -- over the years, we have also had extensive outreach related to this project including meetings with affected property and business owners and community groups and the coordination with City and regional agencies.

If this project is approved tonight, we will continue to coordinate with these parties throughout the development process and I really want to emphasize that as something that I know Mario and the Executive team and everybody who is a part of this project here at the Port is committed to doing that in so many different levels.

My team has done a great job and when I say, "my team," it is really the Port's team. This was not just the Environmental Planning folks even though they led the charge on the Environmental document.

It is our City Attorney's Office, it is our

outside Counsel, it is our engineering team, it is our 1 2 operations, I could go on and on. 3 So I want to really thank everybody for their hard work in getting us to where we are tonight. 4 5 They have prepared a strong technical document and is in compliance with CEQA. 6 After many years, we are pleased to be able 8 to present to the Board for consideration tonight. 9 would like to hand over the presentation to Heather to 10 provide the Staff Presentation. Thank you so much. 11 MS. TOMLEY: Thank you, Madam President and 12 Commissioners.

I am pleased to present to you the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility project tonight.

For this project, the Port of Long Beach is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act or CEQA.

As the lead agency, we have prepared an Environmental Impact Report or "EIR," to analyze the potential impacts from the project.

To begin, I will provide a brief presentation summarizing the project, including the objectives and alternatives and describe the potential impacts associated with the project.

I will also review the EIR process and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

summarize the comments we received.

Following the presentation, staff will request that the Board of Harbor Commissioners certify the Final Environmental Impact Report and Application Report and approve the Pier B Project and associated Level III Harbor Development Permit.

The existing Pier B Rail Facility is located in the northern part of the Harbor District at Pier B near Anaheim Street and the I-710 Freeway.

The facility is located in a highly industrial area. There are no residential neighborhoods bordering the facility; the closest residence is approximately one-quarter mile east of the project.

Currently, the facility provides rail car and locomotive storage and staging to support operations in the On-Dock rail yards at the Port's marine terminals.

Track from the facility connects to the Alameda Corridor.

The 2006 Rail Study Update for the Ports identified the need for additional storage tracks and longer tracks in the Port to support more efficient rail operations.

The amount of track currently available at the Pier B facility is limited and the facility is considered to be operating at or near its maximum,

meaning additional activity will not be able to increase substantially in the future to meet anticipated On-Dock Rail demand.

The objectives of the Pier B project include supporting the transition to a more efficient, more economically competitive and less polluting freight transport system in alignment with the shared goals of the local and regional transportation agencies.

In addition, the project would promote a mode shift from movement of cargo by truck to near-dock or off-dock facilities to movement by rail directly from the On-Dock rail facilities at the Port.

The addition of rail car and locomotive storage, staging and servicing areas at the facility would also enable the On-Dock Rail Yard in the terminals to better manage the loading of containers onto rail cars to help meet the Port's goal to handle thirty to thirty-five percent of containers by On-Dock Rail.

The ability to build arriving and departing trains up to ten thousand feet long within the facility will accommodate the demand for building longer destination trains and will alleviate rail line congestion resulting in a more efficient rail network at the Ports.

With more cargo transported out of the Port

complex on trains, congestion on local streets and highways could also be reduced.

The project would also upgrade and modernize existing aging infrastructure.

And lastly, the closure of the at-grade crossing at 9th Street and Pico will improve safety for both vehicles and locomotives.

The Port has established support for On-Dock Rail as a top priority to improve operational efficiencies, relieve traffic congestion and reduce air emissions.

This goal was outlined in the Port's Rail Study and also included in the recently-adopted Clean Air Action Plan Update.

Promotion of On-Dock Rail utilization is also consistent with the City's Mobility Element, the Regional Transportation Plan, the State's Sustainable Freight Action Plan and with the goals that have been expressed by the environmental groups and local communities over the years.

It is estimated that each train that leaves the Port complex via On-Dock rail can alleviate the need for seven hundred fifty truck trips that, otherwise, would have occurred to transport cargo to near-dock and downtown rail yards for loading onto trains.

The Port's On-Dock Rail utilization has increased over the years. In 2016, twenty-four percent of containers were moved via On-Dock Rail.

The proposed Project would modify the existing Pier B Rail facility by reconfiguring and expanding the tracks to provide additional rail infrastructure in the Port complex to support better utilization of the On-Dock Rail in the terminals.

Additional arrival and departure tracks and yard tracks would be added so that the facility can meet the need for assembling cuts of rail cars from the terminals into longer destination trains, up to ten thousand feet or disassembling into cuts of rail cars for distribution to the terminals.

This enhancement to the Port's rail network will alleviate constraints on the main line tracks, which can currently be blocked while longer trains are being assembled and disassembled and can move rail cars off of the working tracks in the terminals so that the On-Dock Rail tracks can continue to be used for active loading and unloading of rail cars.

In addition, the project would also provide an area for locomotive refueling in the yard with tanker truck refueling vehicles loaded with fuel offsite, an area for inspection and departure trains brake testing

with access to the necessary compressed air and an area for rail car repair activities.

The project would also close the at-grade crossing located at the intersection of 9th Street and Pico Avenue which currently requires arriving and departing trains to be split into shorter components to avoid blocking the intersection.

This limits the ability to build the longer trains and requires additional time and multiple back and forth movements resulting in less efficient operation.

Closure of the at-grade crossing would also eliminate the interaction between trains and vehicles at that location, which is a safety concern.

The Pier B Rail Facility is also a critical juncture in the Port's rail network, connecting rail in the Port with the Alameda Corridor.

And, finally, overall, the proposed project improvements would allow for more efficient utilization of On-Dock Rail infrastructure in the terminals.

The EIR evaluated four alternatives: The 12th Street Alternative, which is the, "Proposed Project," is the largest project and best meets the key objectives.

The 10th Street Alternative, which is slightly reduced and 9th Street Alternative which is

further reduced and a "No-Project" Alternative which
would be no changes to the existing facility.

I will walk through a more detailed description of each of the alternatives in the following slides.

The Proposed Project or 12th Street

Alternative would be constructed in the three phases over seven years expanding the rail facility from its existing twelve tracks to a total of forty-eight tracks.

This additional track would allow more efficient assembly of trains and accommodate approximately seventeen trains per day up to ten thousand feet long to arrive and depart the facility, supporting the Port's thirty to thirty-five percent On-Dock Rail goal.

The expanded facility would also include support facilities, including an in-ground air supply system, an area for train brake testing and a locomotive fueling area using tanker truck locomotive refueling vehicles.

The Dominguez Channel Rail Bridge would be modified to accommodate one new track and Pier B Street and Pico Avenue would need to be realigned and/or widened to provide a sufficient area for expansion of the Rail Facility.

Several road closures would occur in the vicinity, including portions of roads in both the City of Long Beach and the City of Los Angeles.

Utility lines would be newly constructed or relocated into subsurface utility corridors that would run between tracks to allow access for repair and maintenance and an existing pump station would be relocated.

The 9th Street at-grade crossing would also be closed to accommodate the rail expansion at the eastern edge of the Pier B Facility.

Access to Anaheim Street would be shifted to Anaheim Way at the western end of Pier B Street.

In addition, the Shoemaker ramps and approaches would be removed.

In response to comments received on the Draft EIR related to concerns from existing businesses and property owners within the footprint of the proposed project, the Port's engineering team conducted an effort to refine the project boundaries and minimize the required property acquisitions.

This is indicated by the areas marked with the yellow line, marking the boundaries included in the Draft EIR and a green line indicating the new boundary presented in the Final EIR.

This modification also included eliminating the West Yard Layover and Fueling Area that was part of the proposed project and other build alternatives described in the Draft EIR.

These modifications affected the footprint in two areas, one on the southern side of the project within the City of LA and one on the northern side of the project in the City of Long Beach.

These modifications were reviewed to determine whether recirculation of the Draft EIR was necessary in accordance with CEQA Guidelines and Statutes.

The modifications would not result in any new, significant environmental impacts or substantial increase in the severity of an existing environmental effect.

The modifications would not result in any change to the impact findings in the Draft EIR and, therefore, recirculation is not warranted.

For the 12th Street Alternative, the modification reduced the project size by approximately eleven acres.

In parallel, we also conducted an effort to verify and recount the potential number of legal parcels with unique Assessor Parcel Numbers that could be

affected by the proposed project.

The reduced number of parcels from both the design modification and the parcel count refinement is included in Table 10-A of the Final EIR.

It is anticipated that the proposed project could affect a hundred and eighty-four parcels of which thirty-nine privately-owned parcels would need to be acquired within the project area through a process conducted in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations.

One of the privately-owned parcels that would need to be acquired is located in the City of LA and that site is currently vacant.

In addition, a portion of the affected parcels are on Port property that is leased to tenants.

All tenants that would be affected by the project would be treated consistently with the terms of their lease and any applicable laws and regulations.

The 10th Street Alternative is also expected to be constructed in three phases over approximately seven years.

This alternative would be similar to the proposed project but somewhat reduced in scope and with a few key exceptions.

In this alternative, the facility would have

fewer arrival, departure and yard tracks expanding to a total of thirty-four tracks and could accommodate approximately fifteen trains per day. Support facilities would be similar to the proposed project.

Given the reduced footprint, fewer realignments and partial road closures would be necessary.

The 9th Street at-grade crossing would still be closed but the Shoemaker ramps would be reconfigured to maintain the connection between Anaheim Street and Downtown via Harbor Avenue.

The number of rail cars and trains that could be accommodated would be less than under the proposed project and would not fully meet the thirty to thirty-five percent goal of cargo moved via On-Dock Rail.

In response to comments on the Draft EIR, our engineering team also conducted an effort to refine the project boundaries and minimize the required property acquisitions in the same two areas for the 10th Street Alternative.

This modification also included eliminating the West Yard Layover and Fueling Area.

The result of this design modification was to reduce the size of the 10th Street Alternative by approximately seven acres from what was presented in the

1 Draft EIR.

Potential property acquisitions for the 10th Street Alternative would be necessary but fewer in number than for the proposed project.

A hundred forty-eight parcels could be affected, of which twenty-six privately-owned parcels would need to be acquired.

The 9th Street Alternative is the smallest of the build alternatives considered for this project.

This alternative would be built in two phases over approximately three years.

This alternative would be even smaller in scope adding nine tracks to expand the facility to a total of twenty-one tracks and could accommodate approximately fourteen trains per day.

Support facilities would be included similar to the proposed project. Utility and roadway work would be reduced given the smaller footprint.

No additional track would be added over the Dominguez Channel so the bridge would not need to be widened.

The 9th Street at-grade crossing would still be closed to accommodate building the longer trains but the Shoemaker ramps would remain as they currently exist.

There would be fewer property acquisitions

1 under the 9th Street Alternative.

Of note, Table 10-A and Table 3.6-3 which show potential property acquisitions have both been corrected.

The number of private parcels for the 9th Street Alternative have been inadvertently copied from the 10th Street Alternative and, therefore, overstated the actual number.

The corrected numbers are included in the tables that were distributed tonight and show ninety-six parcels would be affected of which eleven privately-owned parcels would need to be acquired for this alternative.

Also, with this alternative, the number of rail cars and trains that could be accommodated would be less than under the proposed Project and would not meet the thirty to thirty-five percent goal of cargo moved via On-Dock Rail.

Under the, "No Project Alternative," no improvements would be made to the existing Pier B Rail Facility.

The existing facility could continue to operate as it does today. The current yard has two mainline tracks, ten-yard tracks and no arrival and departure tracks.

An estimated five to seven trains per day

would depart the rail facility which reflects operations at capacity.

Once the existing facility reaches the limit of its ability to handle train movement, the remaining direct intermodal cargo that is not accommodated by On-Dock Rail yards would be transported by truck to a near-dock or downtown rail yard to be loaded onto a destination train.

Under the, "No Project Alternative," the existing at-grade crossing located at 9th Street and Pico Avenue would remain open and Pier B Street would not be improved.

In addition, the No Project Alternative would not result in any property acquisition or business relocations.

Potentially significant impacts from the proposed project were identified in four areas: To air quality and health risk, for direct emissions and contribution of emissions to ambient air quality impacts from both construction and operation of the proposed project, to biota and habitat for potential impacts to bats and nesting migratory birds due to construction of the project to cultural resources for potentially encountering paleontological resources during construction and to global climate change for emissions

of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions during construction and operation of the proposed project.

To address the potentially significant impacts, mitigation measures have been applied to the project, specifically for air quality impacts, construction best management practices will be applied, including requiring on-road construction trucks to meet EPA MY 2010 standards, requiring off-road construction vehicles to be well maintained, limit idling to five minutes, use high-pressure fuel injectors and meet Tier IV standards and to implement fugitive dust control measures.

In addition, a five-year periodic technology review will be conducted to identify emerging emission reduction technologies that could be implemented to reduce ongoing operational emissions.

And finally, a contribution will be made to the Community Grants Program in the amount of \$149,757 as determined by the Board-approved methodology.

For Biota and Habitats, qualified specialists would be hired to conduct surveys of any areas where roosting bats or nesting birds may be found and appropriate actions and ongoing monitoring would be conducted during construction.

For cultural resources, ongoing monitoring

will be conducted during construction and if any resources are identified, work would halt until a qualified vertebrate paleontologist could evaluate the resources and appropriate treatment measures could be implemented.

And for Global Climate Change, air quality mitigation measures to address on-road trucks and off-road equipment will provide co-benefits for greenhouse gas emission reductions.

In addition, new buildings will be LEED certified, energy efficiency measures like LED lighting will be implemented and sustainable business practices will be employed such as construction material recycling, xeriscaping, shade tree planting and employee carpooling.

In addition, a contribution of approximately one point four million dollars will be made to the Community Grants Program as determined for the Board-approved methodology.

While many of the potential impacts of the proposed project can be mitigated, some impacts were identified as significant and unavoidable after mitigation. These impacts were for air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.

Before I go further, given the importance of the air quality analysis to this project and the final

EIR, I would like to invite our technical air emission expert to provide additional information about the NO2 emissions identified with this project, John Castleberry.

MR. CASTLEBERRY: Thank you, Heather. Good evening Madam President and Commissioners.

My name is John Castleberry. I am a consultant to the Port and I was responsible for the technical air quality analysis for the EIR.

And I would like to provide some clarification and perspective to one of the air quality impacts in particular that was generating a lot of concern and that would be the local nitrogen dioxide concentrations that were shown to be significant in some of the areas around the project site.

These are reported in the EIR as impacts AQ-2, that is for construction and impact AQ-4 for operation, project operation and it is also discussed in detail in appendix A-2.

So the predicted NO2 concentrations around the project site are actually made up of two components that are added together.

There is a background concentration and then there is the incremental impact concentration from the project, itself.

And as I mentioned, those two values are

added together to get total NO2 concentration.

The background concentration was, actually, measured with instrumentation at the closest ambient air quality monitoring stations for the project site as the superblock station just north of the project.

And it represents the existing NO2 concentration in the project area from all sources in the air basin except for the proposed project, so that is the background.

And then to that, we add the project increment and that is what is modeled with an air quality dispersion model, that is the contribution from the proposed project, itself.

So the two numbers together equal the NO2 concentration and that is what is compared against the standard to determine the significance.

Now the background concentration is, actually, usually, much larger than the concentration from the project, itself, and that was the case for this project, as well.

Not only that, the background concentration takes up about eighty to ninety percent of the significant threshold for NO2. So this leaves very little room for the model project increment to add to the background while still staying under the threshold.

So this explains why it is not unusual for us to see NO2 -- model NO2 exceedences of the significant thresholds from large Port projects as we had in this case.

Now one question that often comes up is how often would the one-hour NO2 concentrations exceed the thresholds if we predict a significant impact, how often would it happen.

So what we did was for proposed project operations, we ran the dispersion model to predict the percent of hours during a years' time where you would actually go over the thresholds for the one-hour NO2 concentration.

And what we found was that in the year 2020 -- we had three analysis years -- 2020, 2025 and 2035.

In 2020, we predicted that about five percent of all hours would exceed the one-hour threshold for NO2 at the maximum off-site location which would be on the property border, the boundary.

And that number reduces to about two percent of all hours exceeding the threshold in 2025 and 2035 at the maximum off-site location.

And this is a very conservative estimate because what we assumed there was that the background

concentration would remain at its highest level, it's highest measured level for the entire year and we assume that the rail yard activity would be at its peak hourly rate every hour for the year so it was a conservative estimate.

We also looked at another site and that was the Multi-Service Center which has also garnered some concern on this project.

That is just north of the project site and the model for this during project operations, there would be no NO2 exceedences at all or at the Multi-Service Center during project operation.

Now, it is more difficult to predict the frequency of exceedences during project construction and that is because of the fluid nature of construction emissions and locations. It is just moving around all the time so it is very difficult for us to model that.

One way to consider what the one-hour concentrations might look like would be to consider what we modeled for the annual average NO2 concentration which is merely an average of all the one-hour concentrations over the course of a year.

And the predicted annual NO2 concentrations at the highest off-site location during construction are only about fifteen to thirty percent of the one-hour

1 thresholds.

So what that tells us is that most of the time, we expect that the one-hour NO2 concentrations during construction would be less than -- and in many cases -- much less than the one-hour thresholds.

And then finally to conclude, I have one more point that I feel is important to make.

As you know, a primary benefit of the proposed project would be the replacement of future drayage trucks or truck trips with train trips.

To be conservative, the Port elected not to analyze the beneficial air quality effect of removing trucks.

We only analyzed the effect of the additional trains, not the effect of replacing the trucks.

And so this unquantified project benefit would be especially applicable to the Multi-Service Center, for example, because of its location close to the 710 Freeway. Thank you.

MS. TOMLEY: Thank you very much, John. After conducting the analysis and applying the mitigation measures, some impacts were identified as significant and unavoidable after mitigation.

These were project-related construction and operation emissions of carbon monoxide and nitrogen

oxides and nitrogen dioxide concentrations that exceed the South Coast Air Quality Management District regional significance thresholds.

Cumulatively, considerable impacts from both construction and operation that will contribute to multiple air quality emissions and ambient air quality concentrations and project-related and cumulatively considerable emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions from both construction and operation.

Potentially significant benefits will result from the proposed project, including fulfilling the Port's mandate under the Tidelands Trust which includes facilitating the movement of cargo and supporting more efficient rail operations, implementing the Clean Air Action Plan, which includes a goal to promote the use of On-Dock Rail and the mode shift of cargo moved by truck to cargo moved by rail, to implement local roadway safety improvements, support the City of Long Beach's Mobility Element of the General Plan, the Regional Transportation Plan and the State's Sustainable Freight Action Plan and finally, to contribute to the Port's Community Grants Program.

Therefore, a Statement of Overriding

Consideration has been included in the resolution being considered by the Board tonight.

Several comment themes were raised during the public comment period. Many comments were raised about the need to acquire property or relocate businesses in the footprint of the project and what the process would be for compensating and relocating affected property and business owners.

It is important to point out that the CEQA process is just the first step in the planning process.

Until the Board certifies the document and approves the project, no action can be taken.

If and when we clear those key steps, the Port would initiate the property acquisition and relocation process in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations.

And we have with us tonight our legal expert on these matters, Dave Cosgrove, that will be available for the Board should you have any additional questions about this process.

In addition, many comments were also raised about the proposed street closures and access to downtown and the Port.

The EIR fully evaluated the potential environmental impacts related to the ground transportation including road closures and no significant environmental impacts were identified.

Therefore, no mitigation is required under CEQA. However, if the project is approved, during final design, the Port team would work with adjacent property owners and businesses to provide adequate access for individual businesses.

The at-grade crossing at 9th Street just north of the intersection of 9th Street, Pico Avenue and Pier B Street would be closed.

This closure would eliminate interactions between rail and vehicle traffic consistent with the goals of the State of California to remove at-grade crossings which will provide a safety improvement.

In addition, the Shoemaker Bridge ramps would be removed as a part of the proposed project which would be realigned for the 10th Street Alternative and would be unchanged for the 9th Street and no project alternatives.

It is possible that the Shoemaker ramps would be removed as a part of the Caltrans I-710 Corridor Project Improvements or as a part of the City of Long Beach proposed replacement of the Shoemaker Bridge.

Removal of the ramps would eliminate an access point between downtown and the North Harbor District and would require rerouting.

Again, the traffic analysis conducted in accordance with CEQA did not identify any significant

environmental impacts at the analyzed intersections or roadway segments as a result of the project.

In addition, based on our analysis, the travel time difference for the different routes from the Port to downtown is estimated to be no more than four minutes of additional travel time.

Finally, none of the project alternatives resulted in significant impacts for emergency services.

To address air quality impacts, some of the comments raised expressed views that electric or zero emission locomotives should be used for the project.

While zero emission technologies are promising, no zero emission switcher locomotives have been proven to be feasible in Port operations nor have they been commercialized.

PHL, the operator that will utilize the proposed facility, has been a good partner with the Port in participating in demonstrations of new, cleaner technologies.

One project the two ports and PHL are currently involved in will demonstrate a near-zero emission switching locomotive and there is potential to upgrade that locomotive with batteries to allow for zero emission truck miles. We are hopeful that this demonstration will be successful.

In addition, as a special condition of the project, the Port will conduct a periodic technology review every five years from the project approval to identify new, promising technologies and we would work with PHL or the current switcher operator for the Ports to implement that technology.

Further, due to existing agreement and federal preemption, the Port does not have the authority to impose a zero emission locomotive requirement on the rail operators.

Finally, concerns were raised regarding potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the proposed project.

Potential environmental impacts associated with noise and vibrations were evaluated in accordance with CEQA and no significant environmental impacts were identified and, therefore, no mitigation is required.

Nonetheless, concerns were raised about train horns. Use of horns is mandated by the Federal Railroad Administration at all at-grade crossings and when rail workers are in the right of way.

The closure of the at-grade crossing at 9th Street will eliminate some of these horns.

Further, baseline noise monitoring was conducted at the site and all potential future noise

sources were modeled and compared to the appropriate significant thresholds and no significant impacts were identified.

In addition, vibration impacts were analyzed using the appropriate methodology and compared to the Federal Transit Administration guidelines and no significant impact was identified from construction or operation of the project.

Throughout development of this EIR, the Port followed all of the process and public comment requirements in accordance with the CEQA guidelines and statutes.

The Draft EIR was released by the Port for public review and comment on December 15, 2016. The original sixty-day review and comment period was set to end on February 13, 2017.

However, it was extended to March 13, 2017 for a total public review period that was twice the required amount of time. Three public meetings were held during the comment period, as well.

All comments were responded to in writing in the Final EIR and the responses were circulated more than ten days prior to this hearing, as required by CEQA.

The Final EIR was released on January 12th and included written responses to all of the comments

1 | that were submitted on the draft document.

Tonight, we are here to present the Final EIR for the Board's consideration.

And before I make the recommendation, I would like to hand it over to Dawn McIntosh, our Deputy City

Attorney who would like to make some additional comments for the Board to consider.

MS. MCINTOSH: Thank you, Madam President,

Commissioners. I just wanted to bring to your attention
there is a recent court opinion from the California Court
of Appeal that involved the City of Long Beach and the

Port of Los Angeles and DNS Railway, referred to as, "The
Gate Opinion," referring to the Southern California
International Gateway Project, which is a rail yard that
has been proposed by LA and DNS Railway over in Los
Angeles.

The rail yard was proposed to be about four miles from the Port of LA in the City of Los Angeles just west of the proposed project site, the Pier B project.

On Friday the 12th of January, the Court of Appeal issued their opinion in the case.

The original challenge has been brought by a number of parties against that project including the City of Long Beach, the Southern California Air Quality

Management District, a whole host of environmental and

community groups, the Long Beach Unified School District was involved.

Also, some trucking and industry groups all joined to oppose that project and the environmental impact report that was done for it.

There were a number of challenges. In the trial court, they granted the writ of mandate on all of the claims and then it was appealed by Los Angeles and PNSF.

The Court of Appeal reversed the lower Court on many of the claims but upheld the lower Court's ruling on two air quality issues and the Court ruled that before that project could proceed, they had to go back and eliminate the project approval and do the proper CEQA analysis on both the air quality and cumulative air quality analyses.

In proceeding with our review, environmental review, for this particular project, we were very aware of that case and we made sure that our analysis was consistent with the most rigorous potential outcome in that case.

So a number of the analyses we did were consistent with the trial Court's ruling that the Court of Appeal later found was not necessary.

So some of the analysis we did you could say

perhaps was more rigorous than we needed to do but that simply makes our documents stronger. All of our analysis complies with that Court's ruling.

So you may have some questions about it but we were aware of it, we took the necessary steps and the Court ruling is consistent with our analysis done for this project. So Heather, I will turn it back to you unless anyone has any questions.

MS. TOMLEY: Thank you, Dawn. So tonight, we are asking the Board to adopt a resolution certifying that the final Environmental Impact Report has been completed in compliance with CEQA and adopt the Findings of Fact Statement of Overriding Considerations and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and second, to approve the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility Project and approve the Application Summary Report and a Level III Harbor Development Permit in accordance with California Coastal Act.

Thank you very much. That concludes the staff presentation.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Heather. Now we will begin public comment. But before we get started, I do want to recognize two people that have also joined us this evening.

One of them is Dina Cervantes, Chief of Staff

for Councilwoman Jeannine Pearce. I don't know if they are still there. Thank you, Dina.

And I need to introduce my former boss who pulled herself away from Long Beach City College tonight, Dr. Reagan Romali, President of Long Beach City College. Thank you for joining us, Dr. Romali.

If there are persons wishing to speak in favor of or opposition to this project, please make your presentations brief, to the point and no more than two minutes, please.

Please avoid duplication of comments by preceding speakers. Speakers should, if you are able to do this, provide written copies of your comments to the Board so that those comments can be accurately recorded or you can hand them to staff if you do have them.

And so what I would like to do before we open it up to everyone in the audience, I do want to recognize the people who are here representing the elected officials to be able to speak so I am going to ask Billy O'Connell, Council Member of Huntington Beach, if you could please join us. Thank you.

MR. O'CONNELL: Good evening, Madam President and Commission members. It is a pleasure to be here with you tonight. It is also a pleasure to be here with the working men and women in our community and the business

1 owners.

And the reason why I am here tonight, I support this project. I believe it will impact many of the surrounding cities in a positive manner.

It would also give hope to some of our disenfranchised folks in our community through apprenticeship programs and serve many people in that category and give them the hope of a good job, a fair days' pay and the opportunity to participate in the American dream.

So I believe many of our surrounding cities, like I mentioned earlier, will receive benefits from this project and when I look around this room, I see many folks from the City of Huntington Beach who actually live in the City of Huntington Beach and will benefit from this project also, so I strongly support this project. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: I would like to ask Dina Cervantes to join us, please, Chief of Staff for Council Member Jeanne Pearce.

MS. CERVANTES: Good evening. The Councilwoman is, unfortunately, not able to join us. She did send her remarks in support for the project and I will read those at this moment.

I am proud to represent our Port which has

continually shown growth. Our port has twenty-three
percent of exports, fifty-one percent of imports,
twenty-six percent empty containers, had a high trading
impact with China by seventy percent and many more.

Since our CAAP was first passed in 2006, we have reduced emissions significantly.

We have continuously sought to do so by engaging stake holders, environmental groups and staff committee meetings, town halls and various other forms of communication.

On the other hand, the Pier B On-Dock Support Facility will help us to continue to move in the right direction for sustainable and cost effective results through having more cargo containers on trains which will reduce short-haul trucking congestion and pollution to the 710 Freeway.

I support this project and continue to strive to make our Port not only competitive as well as keeping our neighborhoods healthy and making sure we provide our residents stable jobs. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Ms. Cervantes.

Irantzu Pujadas representing the Office of Congressman

Lowenthal.

MS. PUJADAS: Good evening and thank you for providing this opportunity. I would like to read a

1 statement from the Congressman.

Members of the Harbor Commission, thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal for the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility.

This project is an important next step in our Port's leadership in efficient cargo movement.

As this project moves forward, I want the Commissioners to know that you have a strong federal partner in our area of congressional delegation.

I look forward to working with the Port to secure federal support for worthwhile projects like this one to allow our Port to improve cargo flow, address congestion and reduce emissions. Thank you, sincerely, Alan Lowenthal, Member of Congress. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you very much. And one more speaker before I turn it over to our Chief of Staff who will handle this process for comments. Is Rick DuRee here or is it Mike? Sorry Mike.

MR. DUREE: Madam President, Commission Members, I am Mike DuRee. I am Fire Chief in Long Beach and I rise before you, as was said by previous speakers, in support of this project

As was talked about during the presentation, the Long Beach Fire Department and Long Beach Police Department have had ample time to review and discuss this

with Port staff and, clearly, you know, I am supportive 1 of this.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think this is a good thing for Long Beach. There are no big public safety concerns here but I do want to take a minute, if I can, it hasn't been said yet, and thank Mr. Cordero and, specifically, thank Rick Cameron and his staff.

Mr. Cameron and his staff, when we did have questions a few months ago, was immediately responsive, assembled the team at Fire Headquarters to sit down with my command staff and invest a great deal of time making sure that us dumb Firefighters understood the CEQA process first and foremost but answered all of our questions and did it in a very professional and responsive way.

So I couldn't be more pleased with what you are hearing tonight. And as your Fire Chief, I rise in support of this project for the City of Long Beach. Thank you.

Thank you, Chief. Thank you for that. MS. BYNUM: At this point, I am going to turn it over to Mr. Richard Jordan, our Chief of Staff, who will handle the process with our speakers.

Thank you, Madam President. MR. JORDAN: interest of time, I would like to call up five speakers at a time and if you please line up along the wall in the area of the podium and this will facilitate a faster

flow.

With that, the first five, Dr. Tom Williams, Tommy Faavae, Mike Leue, David Thornburg, Craig Holland.

DR. WILLIAMS: Dr. Tom Williams, Citizen's Coalition For A Safe Community

You might check the quality of slide three because seven hundred fifty trucks don't fit on a ten thousand foot train. Sorry.

Incomplete, inadequate and we got thirty days from probably tonight to review and put in additional comments.

So basic element: Trucks on rail or containers on rail. But in one of the issues with CEQA is public accessibility references.

If you make them, you got to have them and the public has the right to review them.

There was one comment in a response to one of my comments: "Commonly understood." Well, slide three is not commonly understood by anyone because you are mixing twenty-foot equivalent units with train cars that are four fifty-three foot containers so most of the trucks on the 710 are forty and fifty-threes, not twenties, so check things.

We will have a list again but the basic element is we support getting it on rail and as pointed out, this is very important and it is a key to the air quality of CAAP.

But only thirty to thirty-five percent of the containers will go on rail whereas the Alameda Corridor has about fifty percent of its available capacity unused. Why? We don't know and it is an interesting aspect of that. So, we find it incomplete, inadequate, still. Thank you.

MR. FAAVAE: Good evening, Public Commissioners and Port staff and public that is here today. My name is Tommy Faavae. I represent IBEW Electrical Workers Local 11 and I am here -- I wanted to recognize our members that are here today. Quite a few of them that are here tonight that live in Long Beach and work in Long Beach.

And a lot of the jobs that will be created out of this project, the Pier B Rail Yard Facility, our contractors and our members will be incentivized by these type of big projects.

And I just like to say -- mention -- that I know the prior two administrations when Chris Lytle and before Stenke retired, was that they brought this project up at that time and it has been many years and I know Rick Cameron mentioned it about ten years they have been

1 | talking about it.

So I have been kind of tracking it for almost ten years. But, I am glad that it is in front of the Board and we urge that you finalize the Final EIR tonight and support this project on moving forward. Thank you.

MR. THORNBURG: Good evening Madam President, distinguished Harbor Commissioners and Port staff. My name is David Thornburg and I am here representing SA Recycling in the Port for over twenty years.

I am passing to you a copy of the support letter written by George Adams, the President and CEO of SA Recycling, sent last week.

We urge the Board to approve the 12th Street Option for Pier B Rail Enhancement Project.

This project has been in the planning stages for over twenty years, it is urgently needed to keep up with the expanding cargo flow through our Port.

It is critical to SA Recycling's rail operations and for the same as some of the other tenants in the Port.

Our rail operations require the ability to receive unit trains, to remain competitive in a rapidly evolving global supply chain network.

The existing Pier B Rail Yard has physical limitations and adds cost and delays to rail operations.

The full build option of this project will increase efficiencies, reduce rail cost and keep up with the present demand for longer trains while decreasing street level crossings in the area.

Most importantly, it will support the Port's future goals of thirty-five to fifty percent of On-Dock Rail Operations -- I realize fifty percent is later in the future -- while sustaining thirty thousand jobs the Port provides in Long Beach every year.

It is our hope that you will support this project and I thank you for allowing me the time to express our views.

MR. LEUE: Good evening, my name is Mike Leue. I work in Long Beach for AECOM. I am a Professional Engineer and a Transportation Planner.

I am here to speak in support of the 12th Street Alternative as the best use of maximizing transport by rail and rail trucks.

I am also speaking as a community member. My family has been in Long Beach for six generations and my wife's family lives within a football field of the 710 Freeway so this project is, personally, important to me. Thank you.

MR. HOLLAND: Good evening Commissioners. My name is Craig Holland. I am a resident of Long Beach. I have

been a resident since moving here about 1974.

I spoke at the Draft Meeting and I am going to speak tonight very briefly to say that I still favor this project and hope that you can approve the 12th Street Alternative.

My experience includes Port Engineering which has been my career and I can tell you how rare it is to find a project that has this kind of economic improvement for a region that carries little impact at all on the environment.

In fact, I am convinced it will eventually have all positive impacts on the environment. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: Jeremy Harris, Sarah Jones, Behjat Zanhani, Ray Lawson and John Schafer.

MR. HARRIS: Good evening Madam President,
Commissioners, staff. My name is Jeremy Harris, Senior
Vice President of the Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce
and here before you tonight on behalf of our leadership.

Our eight hundred members support this project and the staff's recommendations to finalize the EIRs.

Much has already been said tonight so I will keep my comments brief about the impact and the importance of moving this project forward and what it has on our local economy.

However, I do want to point out a few items and I know staff is hard at work, has addressed this in the EIR and will continue to address this, moving forward.

We appreciate a project that is this type of scope and size. One of our potential concerns would be surrounding folks leading in and out of the area and we ask that staff, which we know they are at hard work doing, come up with common sense approaches in solving these type of problems and mitigate them as much as possible.

For example, we know that some of the Chambers' partners will be impacted such as the access points as being assessed a SCIG Project which was addressed earlier tonight and then some of the pipelines and our local refinery partners.

Again, I know staff is hard at work to work with these folks and ensure that everything can be mitigated as much as possible.

I will conclude by just saying that this project fits within the recently-approved 2017 Clean Air Plan, as we all know.

This project is also an example of showing the Port can continue to be an economic leader in our region while at the same time continuing to be the

greenest port in our region.

I want to say thank you to staff who have reached out when we have had questions over the last year or so with the first Draft EIR. They have been -- they need to be commended and were very accessible.

For these and other reasons, we support moving this project forward. Thank you so much.

MR. JONES: Good evening, Madam President and the Executive Staff. My name is Sarah Jones. I live in the Ninth District of Long Beach, currently work with Diamond Electric at Pier E under a Project Labor Agreement

As an apprentice with IBEW Local 11, I am in support of this project. It is a great -- it is great having local hire programs in effect.

Keeping me working close to home, especially with the freeways and the congestion of Los Angeles, is a great advantage, especially on PLAs.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

MS. ZANHANI: Good evening Madam President,
Honorable Board Members and Port staff. My name is
Behjat Zanhani. I am President of Integrated Engineering
Management.

We are a small construction and project management firm based in San Pedro. I am here today to talk and speak in support of Pier B On-Dock Rail

1 Facility.

The Port of Long Beach has been the driver in small business participation on your project. And I have to say this project would be a tremendous opportunity to further drive that goal.

It will be a game changer for me and many other small businesses who get a chance to participate on this project.

I look forward to your certification of this EIR tonight and I am looking forward to follow-up phases on this project. Thank you.

MR. LAWSON: Good evening, Madam Chairman and Executive Board Members. My name is Ray Lawson and I represent the Carpenters Union Local 630, Long Beach, stand up brothers and sisters.

I am here to give my support for this project, Pier B project. It would represent good jobs, good union paying job, we represent votes for the City of Long Beach.

We build Long Beach and we do a great job at it. We represent our families, hard working members and take care of their families to build Long Beach and continue a great future with the Port of Long Beach. Thank you for your support on this project.

MR. SCHAFER: Good evening, John Schafer, Pile

Drivers Local 2375 which is a proud partner of the Southwest Regional Council.

Tonight, we have some pile drivers. Would the pile drivers stand up a little bit. In particular, I want to also notice we have got a new agent named Jessie Marquez who has worked the last three or four years helping build the beginning part of the Desmond Bridge project.

I have spoken to a lot of you in different capacities both the new Board Members that came on about me being a third generation and how my grandfather joined the Hall in '28 and started to work as a diver and as my Dad worked as a rock slinger, we called them, building the breakwater that goes through there and the pride that I felt.

What is really cool now is that you have all of these young members and working people who can tell you with the same passion, you know, because of all the projects that have begun and the projects that have gone forward.

This Pier B project is like having a car in the front yard and not -- if we don't get this project, it's like not having the key.

You need to get the Clean Air Action Plan fully approved. And in order to do that, when you saw

that Clean Air Action Plan, it says certain infrastructure projects have to get through and this is one of those key projects.

You can't reach those goals that were fought so hard for by a lot of people on the whole spectrum unless you get this project approved.

So, I hope for your support and I am really thankful for what you can see right now. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: Ginny Baxter, Vivian Malauulu, Dr. Reagan Romali, Ted Jiminez and Marysol Flores.

MS. BAXTER: I am going to allow President Romali to speak for me, Ginny Baxter.

DR. ROMALI: Good evening Board President Bynum and Harbor Commissioners. Thank you so much for allowing us to speak tonight.

I am here to represent Long Beach City

College in support of your project. I am joined by

Dr. Ginny Baxter from our Board of Trustees, Vivian

Malauulu and Sonny Via from our Board of Trustees, as
well.

We are particularly excited about the number of jobs that would come to Long Beach through this program and stand at the ready to support you with skilled labor to fill those jobs of the future.

We have a pre-apprenticeship program where

students can see into our wonderful, hard-working unions at great union wages. Our students stand at the ready to do that and so we know that these lead to wonderful construction jobs that lead to a prosperous Long Beach.

We also stand ready to develop curriculum and update any curriculum as your needs see fit to provide whatever technical level of job so that our students can see into the jobs that you see in demand so you can count on a ready stream of workers to help feed this job and we are very excited to stand in support of this. Thank you.

MS. MALAUULU: Good evening Honorable Board,
Harbor Commissioners and Port staff as well as all the
guests here in the audience.

Thank you for giving us all the opportunity to speak on this very important project. I, on any given day, wear multiple hats.

Today I am only wearing three as I stand before you which is not, you know, the usual.

So the first hat that I am wearing is as a College Board Trustee and I won't repeat what Dr. Romali said.

I would like to echo it and support it and say everything she said I agree with.

The second hat that I am wearing is a West Long Beach resident. I live in a community that is very

near to where this project will be constructed so I share in the concerns for the environmental impact of our community, our schools, our children as well as all of the traffic that happens along the corridor there with the 710 and the nearby streets. So I am very concerned for that community which I represent on a College board.

And the third hat that I am wearing, which I wear very proudly and I am a very proud member of the ILWU and I would like to ask my union brothers who are here to please stand, some have been standing throughout the whole meeting so I would like to acknowledge them also. Thank you.

It is my privilege to work for the Port of Long Beach as Longshore Worker. In fact, later on tonight, I will be working at TTI at Pier T on the MSC Shrea so I have got a job now, be reporting to later tonight.

Now, this Port has a solid reputation of being a port of growth, a port of green and tonight, as you consider this very important project, I would like you to also consider possibly it being a port of glamor to glamorize this port.

And what I mean by that, I don't mean to bling it out. I am not trying to be a girl but I would like to ask you to make this a glamorous port in terms of

it being inclusive, which you are doing by accepting our comments but also being inclusive and sensitive to businesses, customers and, most importantly, the workers on our port.

And it is not just the ILWU. We have all of our fellow labor brothers and sisters here, many of whom have already spoken.

But what good are we as a port and what good are we as a community if we disregard that community only in favor of commerce without actually taking into consideration the men and women who work along the port and who will work to build this project.

Let us together, as you have been charged to do, make decisions and tonight you will be (inaudible) to support local hires, local jobs and, most importantly, local workers and that is always something very near and dear to my heart.

I hope Long Beach City College can provide those workers and I hope you support the existing work force that is already on the dock both with the ILWU as well as our other labor brothers and sisters.

Thank you so much for this opportunity and please continue to do the good work of this Board.

MR. JIMINEZ: Good evening, Madam President and Commissioners. My name is Ted Jiminez. I am a Southwest

1 Regional Council Representative for the Carpenters.

I would like all carpenters to stand up. We are here in support of this project.

There are many carpenters who could not make it here tonight because we have other programs going on and they would like to have been here, as well.

I would like to talk about our education. We are already hearing about good jobs and we know we have certified, well-trained carpenters ready to not only do this project but get it to you done right and on time.

But our apprenticeship that would be also working on this project, our apprentices actually earn college credit while they attend our apprenticeship.

We have a relationship with our colleges and it is a great outcome for them because by the time they graduate, the apprenticeship and the journeyman program they are already thirty units into their education and continue that throughout any of the other colleges they wish to attend.

I find that to be a very important and sustainable not only for the individual but their families as well that they would be earning a legal wage, pension and they would be able to support their families with good health care.

This project, we are all in support of it and

as you can see, there are quite a few building trades of our brothers out here who are also willing to do the same so thank you very much for your time and we are very much in support of this.

MS. FLORES: Good evening. My name is

Marysol Flores. I am an apprentice for the Operating

Engineers Local 12 and I am also a Long Beach resident so
this is very near and dear to my heart, this project.

I just want to start by saying that the apprenticeship program has given me a really wonderful life.

You know, it gives me great training, I am able to take pride in my completed work, I am learning to work safely, I earn college credits.

You know, our apprenticeship program is accredited through the State and also, most important for me is I am able to earn the same wage as the man I am working next to and for a minority woman, such as myself, that may not be an opportunity I would have elsewhere.

The union has given me many wonderful gifts and, you know, unfortunately, a lot of times our work is not close to home so we spend a lot of hours on the road.

You know, we get up at 4:00 in the morning, we come home sometimes way after dark and, you know, I miss a lot of time with my family when I am not working

close to home.

And for this project to be so close to me, it is actually just a few miles from my home, it would give me a really great opportunity to spend more time with my family and also, to give all these wonderful gifts that the union has given to me back to my community.

So I strongly urge you to please vote yes on this project. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: Alex Cherin, Ron Miller, Bobby Rogers, Daryl Phillips, Stan Janocha.

MR. CHERIN: Good evening, Madam President and Members of the Harbor Commission. My name is Alex Cherin. I am here tonight representing the California Trucking Association and on that behalf, we ask you to please support this project and certify the EIR.

As you know, the CTA, together with our friends at the Harbor Trucking Association, represent nearly sixty percent of all the drayage activity at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

Our goal is to identify and promote efficiencies throughout the supply chain, not just in the drayage sector.

The Pier B proposal will do just that. It will promote and realize efficiencies that will benefit the draymen and other segments of the supply chain.

1 It will encourage the streamline movement of 2 cargo throughout the complex. 3 We, as a grave industry, know the challenges in balancing the environment with business and this EIR 4 5 and this project do just that. 6 So we ask for your support of this project. 7 As an aside, I want to just point out how well your staff 8 has done with outreach on this particular project, in 9 particular, Rick Cameron and Heather Tomley and their 10 quidance and expertise in this area is very well 11 recognized and appreciated in the industry so thank you 12 very much. 13 MR. MILLER: Good evening, Madam President, Board 14 Ron Miller, Executive Secretary of the LA and Orange County Building Trades. 15 16 We represent over a hundred thousand 17 hard-working skill trained men and women in LA and Orange 18 County, many thousands that live around Long Beach and 19 the surrounding area. 20 A lot of them are here tonight. I am not 21 going to have them stand up but they are here. 22 We represent thousands of building trades

We represent thousands of building trades workers on the job in the Port building the Gerald Desmond Bridge replacement in the City.

We are building a new Port building, by the

23

24

way, and at the community college. All of those are done under project labor agreements that are negotiated by the building trades on behalf of all the construction trades in LA and Orange County.

They all have local hire and preference for veterans and it is very important to us to see that those goals are met. So I think all the trades do a good job in partnership with all the entities in Long Beach.

We are especially proud of our partnership with the Long Beach City College for the apprenticeship readiness classes that we have preparing young individuals for entering into our apprenticeship programs.

We recently partnered with the community college to get additional funding to start that class back up.

It is going to start back up in March so we are very proud of that fact and we think that is a great partnership for the City of Long Beach.

Other than that, I hope you support the Alternative 12, the 12th Street Alternative. We think that after meeting with your staff and conferring we think that is the project that is best suited for the Port and I hope you support it. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGERS: Madam President, Commissioners, I am

outnumbered here tonight but I am in incredible company with the fine workers of the Port and the union workers.

I want to extend a special thank you to several people who have opened the line of communication on this project.

You might know that I am in opposition to the current project for any number of reasons that have been expressed in a previous communication with the Port but I would like to thank Mario Cordero, Rick Cameron, Sonny Zia, Mark Ericson, Matthew Arms.

You all went above and beyond to reach out to us local businesses. So my business is located next to the Multi-Service Center.

One hundred and three years we are Port property owners. We are Port property tenants. We are City of Long Beach suppliers.

We have been around the block. We know what is going on and I am not opposed to development, I am not opposed to redevelopment as long as it is done properly.

I passed on some of the words that I wanted to say this evening because I wasn't planning to be here.

So I am going to paraphrase what I have written because you all have record of it and for all intents and purposes, I really stand in support of all of the businesses that will be affected by this.

So it is very much that I appreciate the willingness of the Port of Long Beach and the Harbor Commissioners to listen to the concerns of the local businesses over the years.

In particular, I value your support, openness in discussing the dramatic impact of this project.

As you know, the response comments that we made earlier, one of the most important problems that we see is that the projected three-minute commute from our area by the closing of the Shoemaker Bridge will incredibly impact the safety of our local businesses for emergency services.

You are going to hear plenty of people concerned about the air pollution. I will let them talk about that.

So, just know in review, the development is important to the community. In its current size, it truly affects and eliminates way too many businesses and I would hope that you take an earnest position in understanding our position.

We know that you are going to help mitigate but that doesn't change the effect, especially with my own employees and all of the other businesses. You have the message. Thank you very much for your time. I really appreciate your work.

1 MR. JANOCHA: Good evening. My name is 2 Stan Janocha. I am the owner of Superior Electrical 3 Advertising. We are located at 1700 West Anaheim Street. 4 We occupy the old Coca Cola Bottling I provided -- hopefully, you guys got a 5 building. rendering of the railroad, how it is going to impact our 6 7 business. It will be -- according to the EIR -- the 8 9 rail yard will be a hundred and -- the rail yard will be 10 a hundred twenty-five feet from our business. 11 Barely -- this room is probably a hundred feet long so that is the closeness of the rail to our 12 13 business. 14 I have a hundred and thirty employees. 15 have many employees who have been there for over thirty 16 I have been there, myself, for almost fifty 17 It was the first job I got when I got out of the 18 Navy after serving four years in Viet Nam. 19 You know, a rail yard isn't a very attractive 20 -- you know, it is necessary but it is not a very 21 attractive thing to look at and we have a lot of -- we 22 build signs for a lot of high-profile businesses; 23 Starbucks, CBS, McDonald's, Disney. 24 We have people coming to our facility all the 25 time. To look at a rail yard that is right up against us

1 is not just not a very attractive thing for us to present to our clients. 3 We are in support of some system but the 12th Street option should not be an option. It just impacts 4 too many of the west side businesses, too many street 5 closures, Santa Fe dead ends into the rail yard. So we ask that you consider alternatives and 8 not the 12th Street option. Thank you. 9 MR. JORDAN: Darick Simpson, Nathan deSousa, 10 Peter Santillan, Kyle Patterson and Kate Mergen. 11 MR. SIMPSON: Good evening, Madam President, 12 Commissioners. Many of the comments that I were to make 13 have been stated so I will speak from a community 14 perspective as I was asked to come and speak. 15 Someone asked me if I was in support of what 16 is going on tonight. I said I am as what is accomplished 17 as well as stated to be accomplished. 18 And I say that from a community perspective. 19 Much has been said about the number of jobs that will be 20 created, that have been created. 21 And I want to ensure, as you think about 22 this, that we hold true to the comments about the one 23 thousand jobs that were stated local. 24 I want to be sure that when we think about

local, we think about local in terms of Long Beach, not

in terms of LA County and Orange County but Long Beach first.

And as we feed into this pipeline that the President of Long Beach City College spoke of, that we, as community organizations, such as the nonprofit that I represent, Long Beach Community Action Partnership, that we are engaged every step of the way to do everything that we can representing those that work within the community.

In my case, it is the twenty-one percent of this population in Long Beach that live in poverty. It is the people who need the jobs most, not just pay jobs but a livable wage job. They would love to be a part of the many unions that have stood up and spoke before you this evening.

So in any way possible that I can be an ombudsman to the community that I serve to help feed into the unions, to help feed into the college, to help feed into the jobs that you spoke of and those that may not have been spoken of, to the people who don't know because I often say that my greatest fear is I don't know what I don't know.

And many of the people in the community that I serve aren't aware of what they can possibly obtain by coming to meetings like this, by working with the people

1 who are speaking in this room.

So, I support this tonight, wholeheartedly because I believe in you, as the leaders of our community, in doing the right thing. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: As a reminder for the speakers, please just state your name. Thank you.

MR. DeSOUSA: Nathan deSousa. I am the President and CEO of Port Design Partners. It is a new, very small business enterprise here in the City of Long Beach.

And I am here tonight to support the project. I have been in the planning, design, construction operation of inter-rail facilities for over twenty years and this project is not only important to the efficient movement of cargo in the On-Dock Rail environment but it is also very critical to small businesses like mine that are trying to grow and have the opportunity to participate on this project.

So I very much support it and hope you approve it. Thank you.

MR. SANTILLAN: Good evening. My name is
Peter Santillan, Business Manager of Labor Local 1309.

And in the essence of time, I stand before you along with the brothers of the labor union in support of this project and we ask that you move this project forward. Thank you.

1 MR. PATTERSON: Good evening. My name is
2 Kyle Patterson and I am a union carpenter. I am here
3 today with the rest of the crew that is here
4 This, right here, is very important. I heard
5 three things that is very important to not only Long

Beach but California, moving forward.

We are looking at -- this is going to provide -- be a clean project. Not only that but it is going to also shore up our infrastructure.

And lastly, it is going to keep men and women working, good jobs, good paying jobs, apprenticeship programs, the Carpenter and the Brother's Keeper Program.

And this is also something that our General President, Doug McCannon, put together to where it gives -- reaches out to people in the community to be able to teach the resource skills, how to go out there and network when you are out here soliciting for work.

So we got to ask ourselves, are we -- this is our chance to move Long Beach forward like every other state and major city in the country. So the carpenters, we support this. Thank you.

MS. MERGEN: Good evening, Madam President, Members of the Commission. My name is Kate Mergen. I am here on behalf of the Associated General Contractors of California.

We are members of an organization of approximately a thousand companies state wide and several of our companies have had the opportunity and the partnership with the Port over the past several years on several of the projects ongoing.

With your consideration of the final EIR in front of you tonight, there are a few concepts I would like to cue in on.

The first is that your staff has been an amazing advocate and it developed quite a partnership with several of our companies along with our association and we are very grateful for the ongoing support and open discussion that we are able to have in order to streamline the project moving forward.

Projects released by the Port not only support healthy economic growth but they have given a lot of our members an opportunity to expand their own work forces.

Several member companies participated in the pre-apprenticeship program hosted by the Long Beach City College and with over eleven hundred jobs expected to be created for this project, the company will have even more opportunities to hire locally and tap into the program such as these.

With over eight hundred twenty million

1 dollars hitting the work -- I am sorry -- work hitting the streets -- the program speaks right to the small, 3 local and women-owned businesses that our association is helping to grow and expand. 4 5 We urge your support on this project and 6 thank you for your time. MR. JORDAN: Anthony Otto, Billy O'Connell, 8 Thomas Jelenic, Jim Stewart and Lee Wilson. 9 MR. OTTO: Madam President, Harbor Commission, my 10 name is Anthony Otto and I am the President of Long Beach 11 Container Terminal. 12 I am here in support of the 12th Street 13 Option of the proposed Pier B Rail Yard Project. 14 This project is of great importance to the 15 continued environmental effort to remove truck trips from 16 our region's road and freeways. 17 It is especially important to LBCT, because 18 without it, the lofty environmental goals of the Middle 19 Harbor Redevelopment Project cannot be reached. 20 LBCT will never be able to reach its 21 near-term goal of thirty-five percent On-Dock freight nor 22 would we likely reach -- ever reach -- our forty-five 23 percent goal that we set for ourselves for the longer

term.

24

through our On-Dock Rail is one more container that hits 1 2 the street, trucked through our local streets and 3 freeways resulting in greater congestion and even higher emissions.

It would also result in LBCT falling short of the intermodal commitment that we have set for our ocean alliance partners.

The Pier B Rail Yard has always been a critical component in the success of the Middle Harbor Redevelopment Project.

So, the Board's approval of the Final EIR tonight will be a huge step forward in keeping the Port of Long Beach on track in fulfilling its environmental vision for the Middle Harbor.

It will also ensure that the goods movement through this port will be handled more efficiently in the years to come.

The Final Environmental Report for the 12th Street Option of the Pier B Yard Project should be considered the Port's highest priority and I urge you to vote for it this evening, yes. Thank you.

MR. JELENIC: Good evening Madam President and Commissioners. My name is Thomas Jelenic, representing I will keep my comments brief this evening.

PMSA supports the 12th Street Alternative and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pier B project. This project is necessary to support your tenants and customers. This project is necessary to retain and grow discretionary cargo. The cargo that will move on Pier B represents purely discretionary cargo that can use any gateway.

And so, for those reasons, we request that you approve the 12th Street Alternative this evening. Thank you.

MR. STEWART: Hello. I am Jim Stewart, a resident of West Long Beach and I am certainly pleased that, first of all, this is a great project and it will benefit the community immensely.

And that the -- one of the significant factors for us in West Long Beach is the elimination of the train horns.

It has been keeping us up all night so we really are thrilled about that and can hardly wait for the closure of that at-grade crossing.

The other issue, of course, is the issue of the emissions and there is some nice discussion in your EIR about this issue of getting zero-emission switcher locomotives.

We have the authority in California, we don't have any authority over those long hauls, but at least we do have the authority here to require as low emissions as

 $1 \mid we want.$

And so we really look forward to having those zero emission locomotives in place very, very soon and I hope that that can happen very fast.

I am disappointed with the fact that the Air Quality people didn't feel like they had to model diesel emissions or at least they modeled them but they didn't have to report them because they weren't regarded as significant.

I am sure you are all aware that this is the worst air quality area in the entire Southern California because of all of those diesel emissions, that we have the highest number of deaths, over twelve hundred new deaths per million people in the Port area.

And a big, significant part of that, about twenty percent is due to these darn locomotives. Clean them up.

MR. WILSON: Madam Commissioner, President,
Commissioners, my name is Lee Wilson. I am a property
owner at 1520 West 11th Street

The 12th Street Project takes our property and the 10th Street Alternative, the top line of that, the north line of that is the back line of our property.

So I am guessing we keep the public alley that is ten feet wide and we will have a rail yard ten

1 | feet from our building.

It overlaps eight and a half years. It has been a very lengthy, very expensive and frustrating process.

You know, I have made some good acquaintances in the Port. I met Rick, met Mario here last -- at a meeting of the Wilmore (inaudible.)

Some of the meetings have not been very cordial but I think they have all been beneficial for both sides.

But as a property owner surrounded by the Port today, it is not a very comfortable position. Eight and a half years ago when the NOX was published in August of 2009, I would have told you the biggest disaster for our family would be to have you take the building.

Let me tell you this: Eight and a half years, where I have had to financially subsidize our tenant to keep him in the building, the 10th Street Project would be a disaster.

The Port would absolutely surround us and they are not a very good neighbor. They are so -- because of their mass, they do what they want to do, they do it with very little consideration for their neighbors.

We have got the Super Block immediately north of us. The Port does whatever they want to do with that

project or with that location without any consideration
for our tenant.

Our family has owned that property since the
mid 1960s. We have had a relationship with our existing

We have watched our kids grow up, go to college. I mean we are very involved with each others' families after that length of time. I have done all I can to keep his business viable.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

tenant for over twenty-five years.

MR. WILSON: The imports and exports -- pardon?

MR. JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Wilson, for your time.

MR. WILSON: Well, I would like a little bit more time. I am also speaking for my tenant who is in bed tonight with the flu.

MS. BYNUM: Mr. Wilson, if you could just spend a couple of more statements and wrap it up, that would be great.

MR. WILSON: Okay. I hope the Port makes the most value-added decision and in my opinion, that is the 12th Street Alternative

Why do anything after spending the millions of dollars you have spent to get to this point to do anything less than the best you can for the Port. Thank you.

1 MR. JORDAN: Elizabeth Warren, Otis Cliatt, 2 Jerard Wright, Jareed Moore and Jessica Alvarenga. 3 MS. WARREN: Good evening. President Bynum, your fellow Commissioners and staff, thank you for the 4 5 opportunity to speak this evening 6 My name is Elizabeth Warren. I am the 7 Executive Director of Future Ports. First, I would like 8 to congratulate Rick Cameron, Heather Tomley and her 9 team, as well. 10 And on behalf of Future Ports, I am here this 11 evening to speak in support of the 12th Street 12 Alternative and ask for your consideration and attention 13 to two points I have outlined in our letter, which has 14 been submitted. 15 I am also speaking this evening for the 16 Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce who couldn't be here this 17 evening. 18 So the Pier B Project is a vital 19 infrastructional improvement that will benefit the 20 region. 21 The San Pedro Bay Port Service is strong and 22 economic engine for the region and the (inaudible) of 23 this project is a principal transportation connection 24 between the ports and the railroads.

These improvements be made to ensure the

efficient flow of goods and allow the Port to maintain its competitive edge.

One point that we want to make is that we know that the project will require the relocation of potentially hundreds of utilities, including a significant number of pipelines and (inaudible) owned and managed by energy providers and fuel suppliers.

We ask that the Port continue to communicate and coordinate closely with these impacted utilities that provide critical resources and that the Port provide support during the planning and construction phases to ensure that the utilities accommodations for the project do not interfere with the region's energy supply logistics and cost.

And as you know, Future Ports has been a long-time supporter of the BNSF SCIG Project. SCIG is an important rail infrastructure serving the San Pedro Bay Port. It will eliminate long truck miles from local freeways.

I understand there may be a conflict with rail access, certainly Pier B and SCIG. We encourage the Port to resolve any conflict with SCIG prior to the Final EIR.

So for these reasons, along with our concerns stated in our comments, we are pleased to support this

Final EIR. Thank you.

MR. CLIATT: Good evening Harbor Commissioners. My name is Otis Cliatt of the Pacific Harbor Line. I would also like to say good evening to the Port of Long Beach and the staff

And Mario, very quickly, your staff has done a fantastic job. I have submitted a letter to the Board and in the essence of time, I won't repeat the stuff that was in that letter because a lot of it has already been mentioned here today.

But I would like to say that Pacific Harbor

Line is a wonderful Port partner. The Pacific Harbor

Line, the majority of my employees are the brotherhood of

Local (inaudible) in training so we have the union piece

covered.

Also, Pacific Harbor Line, twenty-seven percent of our work force are veterans and we have been recognized by the State of California for having veterans and we also were the first railroad company to have a complete fleet of marine locomotives which we are very happy and very proud of.

All of our locomotives are Tier III Plus and we are currently the only short-line railroad that is (inaudible) a Tier IV Locomotive, which means we are getting even cleaner as we speak.

And currently, we are also in discussions with the project with the Port of Long Beach that they are working on and another vendor to have an even cleaner locomotive that is a CNG Electric Locomotive.

So we are moving towards zero emissions and we are moving in a rapid rate -- at a rapid pace -- and again, I think that the Port of Long Beach has been a fantastic partner in that and in making this project happen.

The 12th Street Project, I think this is one that you definitely need to approve, you definitely need to take a really good look at it because if you look at the men and women in this room, outside of the Port but also the union folks that are represented, these are the folks right here that make Christmas happen. All right?

That is the commercial that we like to talk about. And in all of the meetings that I attend around the country, particularly in Washington, D.C., I am asked repeatedly about Christmas. These are the folks that make it happen. This project is very important and I hope you approve it. Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Good evening. My name is

Jerard Wright, Policy Manager from LA County Business

Federation.

I want to thank President Bynum, our

Honorable Harbor Commissioners and our Port of Long Beach staff for the ability to speak tonight.

I am speaking on behalf of the LA County
Business Federation, the first (inaudible) to be awarded
one hundred seventy of the top business groups
representing more than three hundred ninety thousand
employers of over three hundred -- excuse me -- three
point five million employees for LA County -- and we
celebrate our ten-year anniversary this year.

I am speaking expressing our support for the 12th Street Alternative of the Pier B On-Dock Facility.

BizFed believes that for a region to prosper, we must invest in our infrastructure. That is why we are behind the supporting measure and supporting SB-1.

We know that infrastructure means jobs, that means what -- that is what moves our economy.

Projects that effectively move and officially move our goods provide solid jobs enhancing the quality of life for millions of Southern California residents and strengthening our regional economy.

BizFed requests consideration of the following items as this convenes forward; resolving the access conflicts with SCIG, another project that the Business Federation supports.

Relocation of petroleum pipelines relating to

utilities because that is the fuel in some cases, the power that moves the Port conflict so please, careful consideration there.

And also in short vehicular access to adjacent businesses because we are a business group. We want to see the business, the local businesses supported and stay in place because that is what, again, is what moves our economy.

So all those considerations in mind, this has the unique opportunity to do so many things for -- locally and in Long Beach but also for the rest of the region and for the rest of the nation because we represent forty percent of the goods that come through our ports go right out to the rest of the country.

As a fellow speaker just said, you are providing the Christmas or providing the gifts that everyone so desperately deserves.

One wonders where does it come from. So that is an important element. So I have a letter to pass out to everyone right after. Thank you.

MR. MOORE: Good evening Commissioners and members of Port staff. My name is Jareed Moore. I represent Jacobs this evening.

We are the engineering firm that is present tonight. We do architecture, engineering, programming

and construction management.

We have had the fortunate opportunity to help the Port over the years in various assignments here so we understand this program. We understand the project that is in front of us.

Just -- a lot has been said already so I will keep my comments brief. Just two points that we would like to make.

First of all, we would like to congratulate the staff for the long journey they have been on getting the project and the program this far and we are excited about the delivery of that now.

Lastly, I would just like to say that we,

Jacobs, representing the three thousand employees in

Southern California, we understand how important this

project is for the Port, how important it is for Southern

California and how important it is for other parts of the

United States. So thank you for your time.

MS. ALVARENGA: Good evening President Bynum, Commissioners. My name is Jessica Alvarenga and I am here for the Pacific Merchant Shipping Association.

I would like to share my support for the proposed Pier B On-Dock Rail Project and specifically, the 12th Street Alternative Option.

The Pier B On-Dock Rail Project supports more

efficient and more economically competitive transportation system.

Additionally, by adding and enhancing rail capabilities at the Port, it will limit the need of more trucks to carry containers.

More rail, less truck is associated with less trucks and less pollution. Sounds like a win-win.

(Inaudible) transportation system for the Pier B Project and create the ability to build longer trains which is currently limited by lack of rail line tracks.

Although this project may require relocation for some businesses, the process for the proposed project is long thus providing sufficient time for the Port to assist with finding adequate relocation situations for business owners.

Please consider improving Port efficiency by approving the 12th Street Alternative of the proposed Pier B On-Dock Rail Contract.

Those of us who work or live near the Port would like to continue seeing the Port thrive without adding more trucks or pollution on our roads. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: Stacy Tomsen, Frank Zambrano, Lou Baglietto, Gary Shelton, Luis Damas and Giovany Oliva.

MS. TOMSEN: Good evening, Madam President and Commissioners. My name is Stacy Tomsen. I am an attorney with Peterson Law Group and we represent Fastlane Transportation, Inc. which is a business that has been operating in the Port area for thirty years.

Fastlane is located at 2400 Pacific Coast Highway and right now, they have two access points to their property.

From the north, they can access the property from PCH and from the south, they can access the property via Farragut Avenue.

According to the EIR, Farragut Avenue will be experiencing some permanent closures but it is unclear where exactly those closures may be and my client, Fastlane, is concerned that if they lose their access at Farragut Avenue, that they will eventually be landlocked because they will be losing their access to the north from PCH as a result of the SCIG project.

So we ask that you clarify where the closures are with respect to Farragut Avenue and also that if indeed, if he -- my client, Fastlane -- will be affected by this closure that there be some sort of alternative for the business to be able to access the property.

Otherwise, like I said, it will end up being landlocked and have to go out at that time. Thank you.

MR. ZAMBRANO: Madam President, Commissioners and staff, thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to listen to us.

My name is Frank Zambrano and I represent the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters and you know what?

With all of the different tradesmen that came up and spoke and the different unions, there is not much else to say, you know, about the pre-apprenticeship program, the apprenticeship program, the journeymen, the wages, the benefits that come along with this.

But you know, just sitting in this room and looking around at these pictures right here and Long Beach and the history, this is, right here, the artery, one of the arteries that feed the country.

And without growth, without going forward with this project, what are we saying? You know, that this is not going to sustain or it is not going to stay part of the artery of -- part of what feeds not only Southern California but the rest of the country.

So I stand here right along with the rest of my brothers and sisters in support of this project and let's move forward. Thank you very much.

MR. BAGLIETTO: Good evening. My name is

Lou Baglietto and many of you know me as a representative

for various Port businesses over the last twenty years tonight.

I am here as a Long Beach resident, I am not representing anybody who has passed the dais. In fact, that is why I didn't wear a suit tonight.

I am here as just a life-long Long Beach resident and I am here in wholehearted support of the 12th Street Alternative.

I think your Executive Director,

Mario Cordero, in his op-ed in the Press Telegram this

week said it best that this is a project that will allow

the Port to obtain both its operational and its

environmental goals in order to retain and grow trade in

the Port of Long Beach and that is a win-win in my book.

And that is exactly why the Port of Long Beach is here to grow and to grow green.

As the staff report said today, the one caveat that I would have is as you go forward into the relocation and property accumulation stage, I hope you would be able to work and come to a mutually agreeable solution with the variety of businesses that commented on the EIR that have spoken here tonight.

I would hope that the Port of Long Beach will continue to be a good neighbor and support all businesses throughout the Harbor area.

So in the very closing, I would like to thank your staff who has done an absolutely wonderful job reaching out to the business community and to many of my clients and many of the other businesses from your Executive Director to the Rick Cameron who has done a wonderful job, Noel Hacegaba, Sunny Zia, your staff should be commended for a job well done on putting this project together so thank you.

MR. SHELTON: Good evening, all. My name is

Gary Shelton. I live downtown at the head of Embassy

Courtyard. I could probably hit the project with a rock

if I threw it.

We are talking tonight about an EIR and, ultimately, one of the things we are going to be asked to do is find a Statement of Overriding Considerations and I want you to think about that and maybe even talk about that out loud so I can understand the Overriding Considerations when we look at what are perhaps inadequacies or faults with the EIR.

I am not arguing the project so much, something has to be done and if I were to have a preference, I would say the 12th Street version of it but you know something?

That is right across the street from the Multi-Service Center which is on 12th Street and I am

speaking sort of as a former Advocacy Chair of the Long Beach Area Coalition for the Homeless.

And so I was at a meeting on this EIR that was in a previous life at the Multi-Service Center, a lot of considerations and concerns at that time.

But there are eighteen thousand client visits at the Multi-Service Center every year and to suggest that there is no impact at all from the air quality that is going to be changed on account of this project is, I think, a stretch.

And so I think that we need to look at that a little more closely this evening and understand how we can override that with some kind of consideration.

The point that was made in the EIR was that the people of the Multi-Service Center are there from 8:00 to 5:00 and therefore, the impact on them is diluted both from air quality and from the noises and vibrations.

That is if to say that the impact to children in school is diluted because of projects such as the SCIG Project which are close to schools.

So again, please find some overriding considerations you can express tonight that will make the public more comfortable with the impact on the children and, also, one other thing; cumulative effects (inaudible) piece of the EIR again, not taking anything

1 away from the project.

The cumulative effects the 710 and interchange with Anaheim Street wasn't even -- okay, I don't have any more time -- that wasn't even covered but that had a very strong effect the way the 12th Street project will line up right where that offramp is going to be drawing a circle around the Multi-Service Center.

So, again, what I want to hear is your Overriding Considerations. Thank you.

MR. DAMAS: Good evening, Harbor Commissioners. My name is Luis Damas. I am Director of Operational Hispanic Chamber of Commerce.

Our mission is to follow the Hispanic business community in Southern California. I am here in support of the Pier B On-Dock expansion and enhancement of the capacity of Long Beach Pier B rail yard.

(Inaudible) moving through the Port of Long Beach sustains more than three hundred thousand jobs in the Southern California region and as well as thirty thousand in Long Beach.

The Port -- the Pier B Project would allow the Port to move more cargo more efficiently and effectively which continues to sustain jobs and general economic opportunity while reducing emissions and traffic.

Also, construction of the Pier B facility would generate more than a thousand one hundred jobs during the project's development. Thank you.

MR. JORDAN: One final name, Giovany Oliva.

MR. OLIVA: Giovany Oliva. Madam President and Commissioners, I am with Local 2375. I am actually a diver at Local 2375.

I was there at the beginning of the demo of Pier D and I actually laid one of the last rails that my brother back there Joe, in Pier B.

Now we already know that Pier B is at a maximum right now. You are putting out a lot of containers and it is only a matter of maybe a year until you open up Pier E and you are going to have those containers coming in.

So, it is not a -- it is a matter of the Port needing this. It is -- you need it. It is coming. You are going to get more containers, we are going to get super ships coming in pretty soon after Pier E is opened up and Long Beach needs it. As a citizen of Long Beach, I am asking you to approve it. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you all for coming out tonight and taking the time to sit here for two hours and share your thoughts about this as we move forward and take a look at this tonight.

Appreciate it very much, appreciate the community, appreciate our stake holders, appreciate our business partners and thank you very much.

At this time, I would like to ask our Executive Director if he has any additional comments he would like to make at the time.

MR. CORDERO: Yes, thank you, President Bynum. First of all, I would like to say that we appreciate and thank the speakers who came here to offer comments with regard to the very important project, both comments of support and the comments of concern.

I think from my perspective, I just want to emphasize how important this project is and I think one speaker made reference that the importance of this project, if we are going to do this, we need to maximize this project and, of course, I am referencing the 12th Street Option.

Now the fact is that for Long Beach, for this port to remain competitive, we need to enhance our rail capabilities.

And as you are heard from some of the commentary, clearly, enhancing this project is an opportunity to create jobs here to this community and support international trade.

The proposed project would also do two

things: As I referenced, enhance our business opportunities and our rail connections and second, better serve our customers because, again, I want to emphasize the competitive nature that we are in, at this point.

As you heard, the cargo trains will minimize traffic congestion and improve quality and as a matter of fact, the representative from PHL (inaudible) where the railroad is being absorbed. Pier port trains, C and G and in the future, the potential of electric trains.

The point is very important because, again, as we look at this project, we are looking with regard to how important it is to this Port to continue to reduce, and at some point, eliminate emissions.

So with that, I think I want to emphasize that twenty-five percent approximately of our containers go on-dock and as referred by one of the commentators, our capability is proven to enhance on-dock is key.

And with that, again, I would like to say that in providing the opportunity for this project to enhance our staging facility by way of Pier B, I think it goes a long way of making sure we are competitive.

As you have heard, our staff has strongly examined all the issues preparing strong technical documents in compliance with CEQA.

And finally, let me reference the fact that

staff is committed, absolutely committed, to discussing any and all remaining questions about access, property acquisition, relocation and final design options.

And with that, I would like to also thank those speakers who did comment on the efforts our staff is making and we will continue those strong efforts to, again, have the dialogue with all of the important stake holders.

So with that, I would to like, again, to say thank you for being here and thank you for listening and Madam President, that will conclude my comments.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Mario. I would now ask

David Albers with the City Attorneys Office to provide an

overview of the decision before us today, please.

MR. ALBERS: Madam President, if I could,
Sean Gamette had a few comments on some next steps of the project.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Sean.

MR. GAMETTE: Madam President, Commissioners,
Mario, Thank you. My name is Sean Gamette. I am the
Managing Director of Engineering for the Harbor
Department and I will be fairly brief but I also would
like to thank everybody that provided public comments on
our proposed project tonight in favor and against it,
very informative.

And I would like to spend a few minutes just talking about what some of our next steps might be should the Board approve the project this evening.

And if someone can give me a slide. Thank you. First and foremost, one of our next steps would be to form a cross divisional delivery team.

There is very key reasons for this and the first of those is that this type of team is needed to deliver a development of the magnitude contemplated.

The team will need an experienced and senior leader and cross divisional expertise will be needed for all of us to be successful in an endeavor like this.

And a formal team is a leader as a single point of contact is going to do one more thing that is very important and that is going to allow for good and efficient communication with our community, with businesses, tenants, utility owners and so forth.

Some of the first activities that the delivery team will engage in will be to develop and present a baseline program budget and schedule and that is done in accordance with our program project budget approval of accounting policy.

And that will be presented to the Board for consideration and in about the May time frame should the project be approved tonight.

We will also immediately engage stake holders that include owner -- property owners, businesses and, of course, tenants and utilities.

Key to this engagement would be -- it would be advancing our design work together with stake holders and working with utilities on relocation plans that are cost effective.

We know that is an enormous endeavor to undertake. We are very experienced with a lot of utility relocations within the Harbor District and we will partner with all of those folks as well as part of our delivery team.

One of the key, early, next steps of the course that you heard tonight from Heather is to close the 9th Street and Pico Avenue rail crossing to vehicular traffic.

That is important because it is an essential step to removing what is a critical constraint in our rail system.

And finally, although it is not listed on this slide, the pursuit of grant funds and any associated action would certainly be one of our key next steps as well.

As far as upcoming Board action, should the Board approve a project this evening, the delivery team

negates the Board throughout the life cycle of the development for various forms of guidance, direction and approvals and authorizations and initially, as I mentioned earlier, the Board can expect a proposed baseline budget and schedule for the development for consideration and review in the May time frame.

Beyond the program budget action though, the Board can also expect our team to bring forward requests for spending authorities, consulting contracts needed to support the design and authorizations for ride-away acquisitions all this year.

Our team will certainly have the capability to do some of this work in house but with the development of the magnitude contemplated, we would also use external support as well.

To help illustrate the number of upcoming engagements for the Board, I would like to just end my comments with one final slide if I could get one more. Thank you, Heather.

This slide, it is busy, I know. It shows the life cycle of a program. And what I want to illustrate here is that if an alternative is approved this evening, our team will deliver the development as a program and that program would be comprised of many different individuals but smaller projects that would all be

1 designed, bid and constructed.

And today, we are in the planning phase on the first line of the flow chart on this slide and we are ready for action under CEQA.

As you can see on the right side of that first line though, establishing a baseline program budget is the next step and that will be done for the entire development.

Beyond that step though, the Board can expect our team to advance and design a specific project and bring those projects -- baseline budgets for those individual projects -- to the Board for consideration, seek the Board's approval to advertise each of those projects for public bids and, also, for the award of any associated design or construction contracts.

This is illustrated in the lower three lines of the flow chart slide here. And what I would like to kind of end with is that through this process, we will be seeking, again, guidance, authorizations, approvals from the Board that will influence the execution and completion of the contemplated development all within the overall envelope of an approved environmental impact report.

And lastly, I would like to also note that we do have a resiliency plan and we have a resiliency plan

to manage priorities of all projects that are included in our capital program.

And if, at any time, an economic condition should worsen, lower priority projects can be deferred to reduce capital expenditures.

This plan will continue to be updated quarterly throughout the contemplated development allowing us to remain agile and adapt to changing economic conditions, should that ever be necessary.

And with that, Commissioners, I would like to end my comments and I know, David, you were going to speak next but I believe if it is okay with the Board that we have a few comments from our Director of Real Estate, Karl Adamowicz.

MR. ADAMOWICZ: Thank you, Sean. Good evening,
Madam President and Commissioners. It is a pleasure to
be here today.

I will be brief so this shouldn't take too long. As we all know, as Heather has mentioned, to date, the Port has been in the planning stages of the Pier B Rail Yard Project.

Because of this, the real estate division has not undertaken a comprehensive investigation of what businesses are operating on private property within the project area or what their real estate needs might be.

For example, we don't know at this point their specific building space requirements, the utility requirements or whether or not it is critical that they be in the Harbor area.

This type of investigation would be further along in the process should we proceed.

However, based on public information, we generally know how much space is being occupied in the aggregate for businesses in the project footprint.

Consequently, we have analyzed the existing industrial real estate market, vacancy rates and new construction projects since we believe most of the businesses would fall under this category based on the existing zoning of the project area.

We looked at a number of quarterly reports from major real estate firms with robust forecasting teams and based on the fourth quarter, 2017 Research and Forecast Report by Colliers International, a major commercial real estate firm, they can see rates in the LA, Long Beach, Harbor Cities area is at a high of three point seven percent depending on the size of the building that would be required.

Furthermore, as of the fourth quarter of 2017, there is thirty-four million nine hundred ten six hundred square feet of industrial space inventory in the

Long Beach, LA Harbor and cities area with an availability of two point three percent which equates to approximately a hundred and two thousand square feet.

Moreover, in response to market demands, there is generally considerable industrial property under construction which adds to the supply of available real estate.

Therefore, we believe, based on this information, there will be opportunities for consideration and analysis for business relocation and there is no need to construct replacement buildings or structures. That is the end of my comments. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Karl. Do we have any other comments from staff at this point? Thank you.

Mr. Albers?

MR. ALBERS: Yes, thank you, Madam President. You have heard the staff report and a number of speakers from the public In a moment, you will have the opportunity to close the public hearing.

Just wanted to let you know what is being asked of you tonight and it has already been said but just to review is three items that would be to certify the Environmental Impact Report, to choose one of the three alternatives that has been presented and then to approve the Harbor Development Permit.

1 So those are the actions before you tonight. 2 What you are not doing is approving steel going into the ground right away. 3 As you heard Sean Gamette speak this evening, 4 5 he talked about a number of different authorizations in 6 the future, a number of different touch points between 7 the staff and the Board in public hearings to move this 8 project forward. 9 So tonight, you are just doing those three 10 things; certify the EIR, select one of the options and 11 then approve the permit from a (inaudible) CEQA 12 perspective. Thank you. 13 Okay, thank you. Let me just clarify MS. BYNUM: 14 the understanding is we do select an alternative then, 15 tonight? 16 MR. ALBERS: That is correct. 17 MS. BYNUM: Okay. So we receive and file, we make 18 a motion on the EIR Alternative --19 MR. ALBERS: -- to certify the environmental document --20 21 MS. BYNUM: Yes. Okay. And then we approve the 22 proposed project and that would be making a selection of 23 one of the four? 24 MR. ALBERS: That is correct. 25 MS. BYNUM: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ALBERS: We would like a moment to respond to 1 2 some of the comments, if it please you. 3 MS. BYNUM: I think that would be good before we turn it over to the Commissioners. 4 5 There are just a few but I am MS. JENSEN: 6 Kathy Jensen with Rutan and Tucker. I am CEQA Counsel. 7 I have been working on this project since the very 8 beginning. 9 With regard to Dr. Williams' comment, he had 10 a comment on slide number three. He said a train does 11 not hold seven hundred fifty trucks. 12 That wasn't the point of that slide. It was 13 that the train, double stacked, replaces each -- a single 14 train will replace seven hundred and fifty trains. 15 Now that is one train can move as many 16 containers as seven hundred fifty slides. We have had 17 extensive responses to his comments. They are set forth 18 in the Final EIR starting at page eleven dash 19 seventy-seven. 20 He had detailed comments and we went through 21 each and every one of those. There was a concern by 22 Philip Steel, the biggest concern being emergency 23 responses.

That was already specifically responded to in

detail outlining where the local responding team would be

24

coming from on page eleven dash one-eighty-three but you heard it from your Fire Chief, Mike DuRee, who indicated that he has reviewed the plans and he is totally satisfied with them.

With regard to Superior Electric Advertising, the basic point was that the rail yard is not pretty and there is advertising.

We have already responded to that point at page eleven dash four-oh -- two-oh-four -- but the primary issue being that this is a general industrial, heavy industrial location.

It's not really intended for pretty businesses. There was a west side resident, Jim Stewart, who indicated that the air quality analysis did not model diesel emissions. That is incorrect.

The diesel emissions were modeled. Some impacts were less than significant but they were all analyzed.

With regard to Gary Shelton and his statement that he wanted to know where the consider -- Overriding Considerations are -- they start at page sixty-nine of the findings and they go on. They are numerous. They go on to page seventy-one.

And the last point being with regard to Fastlane, if I could have Heather respond on that. Our

understanding is that the Farragut Avenue will remain
open in that location.

MS. TOMLEY: That is correct. So the Port will
work with all of the businesses and the property owner.

work with all of the businesses and the property owners to make sure that we provide adequate access as the project proceeds.

There may be some construction impacts to the Fastlane facility and we will work with them as we develop the traffic management plan associated with the construction project.

But Eastside Street and Farragut Avenue will both remain open at that location and access to Fastlane will be maintained.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you. That was helpful.

Appreciate that. At this point, I am going to turn it over -- yes, Secretary Guzman.

MS. GUZMAN: Thank you. I still have a procedural question regarding action that we are taking tonight.

And so if we certify the EIR and we choose an alternative, is it the point of no return in terms of the project?

MS. JENSON: With regard to property acquisition -- and you are not committing to property acquisition if that is what your question is.

There are many steps and my partner,

Dave Cosgrove, could explain those to you but in terms of 1 -- you could change your mind. There is no -- nothing 3 permanent that is happening if you approve that particular project. 4 5 If you can get into it and you could, you know, make modifications to it but it really does not 6 7

commit you to condemning property, for example. That would be at a later date.

MS. GUZMAN: So let's say that we approve the -- we certify the EIR this evening based on the 12th Street Alternative and then two, three years from now, conditions change dramatically and substantially in an unprecedented way, is there anything that we are doing tonight that would preclude us from scaling the project?

MS. JENSON: No.

MS. GUZMAN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you. Now I will bring it back to the Board. Do we have any comments? Yes, Vice President Egoscue?

Thank you. Thank you for giving me MS. EGOSCUE: the opportunity to make comments. I have been trying to whittle them down so I am going to try and do that and continue to do that.

I wanted to make a preliminary statement to my colleagues that I have been serving on the ad hoc

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Special Purpose Rail Subcommittee for some time now and in addition to Commissioner Colonna, have been shepherding this process of what does the rail future

4 look like for the Port, forward.

So I am, in particular, very pleased to see it tonight. I would also like to acknowledge our former Commissioner Dines for his work on this, in particular. He was a huge proponent of this so I just wanted to make those preliminary comments.

Also, I wanted to make comments because I think we are confusing a little bit here. Staff has done an amazing job tonight, amazing.

I know I say, "amazing," a lot, however, I think in trying to support this project, we are diluting the purpose of why we are here, a lead agency certifying CEQA.

So I would like to, at the outset, as I go through these comments and I believe as my colleagues go through their questions and comments, if we could flag what is under our requirement by law to analyze and then, also, in particular, flag what is not.

So that we don't get confused and I will, I think, note a couple of them that I am touching that I think it would help us and for example, property value and then the impact to property value is not something

that we are required to analyze under CEQA; is that correct?

MS. JENSON: That is correct.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. Thank you. So as we go through, I think that would be really important because it takes -- this is an unusual situation because, as the lead agency, we are also Commissioners who live in this community and would like to be responsive to the community and the business owners.

We had a number of comment letters. We read them all. We had more comment letters that were handed to us tonight. I wanted to actually count them and tell you how many but there were in excess of fifteen that we received tonight that I read.

So, we are taking into consideration the impact and we very much value the time that they have given to this.

However, we -- under this specific process tonight, this hearing, some of the issues that have been brought up are not correctly in their purview nor should we attempt to address them and I just want to make sure that I am correct on that; is that correct? Okay. Yes?

MS. JENSON: That is correct.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. Thank you. I wanted to start by addressing the seven hundred fifty trucks that come

off the road and I know that in the Statement of

Overriding Consideration, starting at page sixty-nine,

thank you for noting that we do sort of talk about how

this project will further our environmental goal as a

However, I am concerned and want to make sure that it is clear to not only myself but my colleagues that this environmental document did not consider the impact of taking trucks off the road.

MS. JENSON: We absolutely did not take credit for the truck reduction that will likely occur as a result of this.

But the trucks are not -- there are no truck trips associated with this project. Therefore, we couldn't eliminate any of them.

The trucks are controlled by terminal operators that the Port does not control with this project.

So while we are -- the project makes way for transport by rail, it doesn't physically cause or contractually commit the reduction of those train trips -- or truck trips -- and for that reason, we did not take credit for the terms of a line item on the calculations.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. Thank you. That is very

Port.

important and it was important for me, because again, in the Statement of Overriding Considerations, which I will get to and and also in some of the statements, there is a sense that there will be this benefit but I want to make sure that regardless of what we might think happened, there is no commitment or obligation under this document to remove trucks.

Okay. That is important for me. I am hearing sighing, so I will try and go quickly.

In terms of comments regarding the relocation of utilities for businesses, again, I am assuming that that is something that we will be working towards during the design of the project.

It is not necessarily something that we deal with today; is that correct?

MS. JENSON: Well, the environmental impacts associated with the anticipated relocation has been accounted for, for example, in the quality analysis, that those were factored in. But the relocation, itself, will occur with further coordination.

MS. TOMLEY: Right. The project team will be coordinating with all of the utility owners as the project goes forward as we continue to work through the design process and we will be working on developing a utility relocation plan and coordinating that with all of

the affected industry partners.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. So again, the document anticipates and analyzes the impact of relocation but does not assume the relocation right now? It hasn't been designed; is that correct?

MS. TOMLEY: The specific details of the relocation have not been designed yet.

MS. EGOSCUE: All right. Thank you very much for that. The two impacts that cannot be -- that remain significant despite mitigation the air quality impacts both in construction operation and the global climate change, I want to just walk through and I know Mr. Castleberry also wants to go through this but I think it is really important and also, we had our City Attorney representative walk us through it, too.

Can we just repeat briefly again what the memo says, just very simply in terms of AQ-2 and AQ-4 and why we focus only on the nitrogen dioxide concentration?

Can we --

MS. TOMLEY: John, to provide some additional --

MS. EGOSCUE: Because, again, this memorandum came to us on the 19th and I just want to make sure that we all understand it as part of the record.

MR. CASTLEBERRY: Yes, sure. Well, impacts AQ-1 and AQ-3 which I didn't talk very much about tonight have

to do with emissions, the amount of pollution going into
the air.

And that is considered by the South Coast

AQMD to be more of a regional impact so it basically adds

to the air quality problems in the entire South Coast Air

Basic by virtue of putting more emissions into the air.

So my focus was on what we call the, "Local Impacts," which would be the impacts that were predicted by the dispersion model so the actual levels of pollution in the neighborhoods right around the proposed rail yard project.

That is what impacts AQ-2 and AQ-4 are. So AQ-2 would be the predicted pollution levels due to construction during the construction period and then AQ-4 would be the predicted air pollution levels during project operation.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. And the document, the draft, already considered this full analysis. You were just giving us further clarification?

MR. CASTLEBERRY: Correct. I was just clarifying because there was some concern we were seeing from the comments about the levels of pollution at, for example, businesses right around the rail yard and the Multi-Service Center.

So I just wanted to give a little perspective

on that. For example we, you know, showed that there would be one hour NO2 impacts.

There are annual ones -- an annual impact as well but for one-hour impacts, a natural question would be how often would that occur so I am trying to give a little perspective on that that is where the -- for example, during project operations, that is where the five percent of the hours and the two percent of the hours was that I referred to earlier. That was an extra analysis we did to look at that specific question.

MS. EGOSCUE: And it is particularly important that we are using on-site data is that correct, close to the project?

MR. CASTLEBERRY: Right. Well, the meteorology that we use for the air quality model, right, that came from the super block station which it is about as close as you can possibly get to the project.

I don't think I have ever worked on a project that had a station that close other than the Port projects.

So, yes, the meteorological data is very representative of the area and, of course, the air quality emissions that went into the model were calculated using very detailed information that we got from engineering about the train movements and, you know,

both of the switchers, the line haul locomotives and everything else in the yard.

MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you for that. I also appreciate the actual forms and I don't remember where they are, they were handwritten notes and diagrams from the staff that were there analyzing the noise. That was particularly important for me so I appreciate that.

One more and I am almost done. There is two issues I want to clarify that the project was actually analyzed and/or assumed under the EIR and the second question is what is this sort of hint of a conflict something that is -- it is not required in their analysis of the flag; right, so that is kind of where I am going.

MS. TOMLEY: So SCIG was included in our cumulative analysis of other projects that we were anticipating to move forward.

So it was accounted for in the analysis that we conducted. There were a couple comments tonight about concerns about a potential conflict with access to the SCIG facility.

Based off of what we know now, there is our design, we believe that we can accommodate our project and their project within the current -- within the footprint that we will identify in the EIR so we don't anticipate any conflicts.

MS. EGOSCUE: And if we did, that is not something
that we have to consider prior to this EIR this evening;
is that correct?

MS. TOMLEY: The analysis of the EIR is
appropriate.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. Thank you. All right.

Another issue that was very important to me is the authority that we may or may not have to require zero emission locomotives. It is clear to me that that is a federal preemption issue. It is pretty straightforward. We even have a California Supreme Court case.

MS. JENSON: That is correct.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. Thank you. There is a bit of a hint that we can deal with that have some issues coming up but as part of this, tonight, any motion that we make is not something that we have legal authority to require?

MS. JENSON: That is correct and for the record, the contract with PHL is running through 2024, the end of 2024 so that -- after that point in time, this Board will have an opportunity to renegotiate that contract.

MS. EGOSCUE: Okay. So to your comment about pretty businesses, I would argue that every Port in the business is pretty in the Port.

My last comment slash question is regarding the Statement of Overriding Considerations. This is a

seventy-three page document Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations, and in particular, the legal significance of what we have to find, as Commissioners, in order to move forward the project.

Under CEQA, you are not allowed to approve unless we make these findings and I just want to note that other than fulfilling the mandate that we have as under the Tidelands Trust, there are findings regarding the implementation of our Clean Air Action Plan, our safety, aerobic safety, actually getting more containers onto rail.

I would like to distinguish that from saying that we are lessening the transport by truck and the Sustainable Freight Action Plan from the Governor.

We have heard that over and over again and there is a wonderful letter from the State of California to that effect from CARB.

And then last but not least, and this is my final comment, our Community Grants Program, similar to the last major EIR -- and this is much more significant in my mind, not in terms -- not from the CEQA specific term, I shouldn't use that word -- but much more -- larger magnitude.

The last time we went to the City Council at

1 Mitsubishi, there was some concern about payment for mitigation and in anticipation of that, I just want to 3 note that if the Board decides to do something outside of the context of this EIR and the actual math that we use 5 that has been consistent across all projects, we have 6 that ability through our volunteering efforts Community 7 Grants Program but it is not necessary to this site and I 8 would like to -- when we go in front of City Council --9 make sure that we discuss that just because of our 10 lessons learned on this issue.

So that is it. Thank you so much for the time, I appreciate it.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Vice President Egoscue. Did you have a comment, Heather?

MS. TOMLEY: I could just add a comment related to the last comment. The methodology that we follow for calculating the contributions to the Community Grants Program is consistent with the investment plan and modernization study that was adopted as part of the Community Grants Program that the Board approved end of 2016, I believe now.

So that the calculations, the values that we used for calculating that are consistent with that -- the Board-approved methodology.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you. It certainly helps to have

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the environmental attorney on the Commission so thank you 1 for your questions and your clarification. Appreciate 3 that. Do we have any other comments? Yes, 4 5 Secretary Guzman and then Commissioner Colonna. 6 MS. GUZMAN: Thank you, Madam President. I had a 7 number of questions and then also remarks. 8 Staff, thank you for bringing this forward, a 9 combination of many years of work and so ... 10 I did have some questions for clarification 11 just to make sure that we are all on the same page about 12 what we are approving tonight because to Vice President 13 Egoscue's point, we have got a lot of information 14 tonight, some that is directly related with the actions 15 and some that is just useful and background information. 16 And so, in terms of the -- our goal of 17 getting to eighty-five percent and the recent cargo 18 update that we did, how do those two align? 19 When we say that we are going to get the 20 thirty-five percent with this project of On-Dock Rail, 21 are we talking about based on say seven million 22 containers, are we talking about our long-term cargo 23 forecast? 24 Right. So it is with our cargo MS. TOMLEY: 25 forecast going out into the future. There was a recent

1 update to the cargo forecast which shows that some of the time lines for achieving those higher cargo growth rates 3 have now been shifted out a few years but the need for this project still exists even under that new cargo 4 5 forecast, just the time line of a few years shifted out. 6 MS. GUZMAN: Thank you, and then to the point 7 that -- I think it was Sean -- about grants and continuing to pursue those opportunities, the materials 8 9 that we have received already is fifteen point three 10 million. 11 But with the 12th Street Alternative, only 12 two percent fell and I, of course, encourage that to 13 continue to aggressively explore those opportunities and 14 I speak to Mario and the audience and so that one is for 15 you. 16 In terms of the different alternatives, when 17 we talked about 9th Street, you specify that that

In terms of the different alternatives, when we talked about 9th Street, you specify that that particular alternative does not meet the goal of thirty-five percent.

Does that mean that 10th Street with just two fewer trains does meet the goal?

MS. TOMLEY: The only alternative that fully meets the goal is the 12th Street Alternative.

MS. GUZMAN: Got it. We have a lot of representatives from labor tonight and I just wanted to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

thank them for coming out, as well. Always good to have a great turnout and see democracy at work.

When we get the Project Labor Agreement and we approved about eight hundred million dollars in the project, remind me was this included or do we need to take an action at some subsequent time to include it and we are not acting on that tonight but I just wanted clarification.

MS. TOMLEY: Sean?

MR. GOMET: The proposed Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility is something new. So while it is in our ten-year capital forecast from a forecasting standpoint, we would certainly bring projects under that to the Board for addition into the PLA.

MS. GUZMAN: I guess what my question was, is that included in the action you have already taken or will there be some subsequent action that we would have to take to make that happen yet?

MR. GAMETTE: Subsequent action.

MS. GUZMAN: Okay. Thank you. You mentioned, Heather, one of the mitigating elements to the equipment and the emissions is to limit idling to five minutes.

How are we going to enforce that?

MS. TOMLEY: That is all part of our construction compliance work that we will be doing, working with the

contractors. In many cases, they can install idling limitation devices.

In other cases, it can be management practices that they can employ in the field that are identified by the inspectors that go out to visit the site.

So there are a few different ways that that can be enforced but that would be something that we would be making sure to get applied through the Environmental Compliance Contractors that we would have working with us on this project or through our construction inspection process.

MS. GUZMAN: Well, thank you for that clarification. So it is not like a fluffy goal that we are just -- we will be basing on our system.

It is actually a very practical thing that we will, in fact, be able to work with if everyone can be sure that it gets applied.

MS. TOMLEY: That is correct.

MS. GUZMAN: Thank you. Regarding the MSC, I think probably in reading all the documents and taking everything into consideration that the one issue that I am still struggling with and its really tugging at my heart and so I know that we feel that we have this Mitigation Grants Program.

We already received over a hundred thousand dollars from (inaudible.) And when we talk about projects like these, those of us who have a job, who have means who have -- who are connected, we are not disabled and we have a network and the ability to be able to participate in the meeting tonight, there are people that are not able to do that.

They don't have Transportation to get here, they don't have clothing, they don't have food. They are living on the street or wherever they can find a spot and so I feel like it is our duty and our obligation, morally, to also consider the impact to the folks that are served by our just incredible facility.

We have one of the best Multi-Service Centers that anyone could have in the region helping the homeless population which, as many of you have seen, has just grown exponentially throughout Southern California.

And so, could you please help me feel more comfortable that we have -- that we are adequately litigating that impact?

I know we have a letter in our packet from the Department of -- from the Board of Health and Human Services, the Director there seeking additional funding either through the grants for tonight or through another mechanism.

But when we look at the breakdown that you provided, a very small amount is for, I believe, the MSC and so that is a pain point for me that I need you to help me work through.

MS_TOMLEY: So the analysis that we did from an

MS. TOMLEY: So the analysis that we did from an air quality perspective, we and -- John Castleberry, if I get in over my head, I might need you to provide some additional detail.

But we did -- one of the receptor locations that we analyzed for this project was located at the MSC facility so that we could have details about what the impacts were to their specific facility.

From an operation perspective, the air quality emission impacts are below the significant threshold.

They do have an impact from the -- during the construction, all three phases of the construction of the project.

So during the construction, the seven-year period that we analyzed, they will have significant impacts to their facility during that time.

We did identify, following the Board's methodology, the contribution to the Community Grant Program.

As you identified, the MSC has been an

awardee under that program in the past and I guess my suggestion would be for the Board to consider whether or not you want to consider something in a future action by the Community Grants Program about directing funds in a certain way through upcoming solicitations or potentially adding more funding to that program outside of the CEQA approval for this process.

Under CEQA, we performed the analysis appropriately. We identified all of the significant impacts and applied all the appropriate mitigation that it would be at the Board's prerogative if you wanted to do something like a Community Grants Program or something like that.

MR. CAMERON: Secretary Guzman, I think Heather hit the nail on the head in terms of that. We actually, met last week with Elsa who runs the MSC as well as the Director of the Human Services, Health and Human Services Department.

I think they -- we walked them through, just as Heather alluded to, you know, there is the CEQA, you know, law piece to it but then there is the holistic community-type of aspect to your point.

They have concerns about trucks going there through those roadways that currently go through there and how are we going to address that.

And I think we can address that working with them as has been upheld to by other stake holders through the design through the traffic management.

There could be other things associated with noise or even, for instance, they brought up beautification in certain areas came up.

So those are the things that we can work with them outside of through the design as Shawn and his team will be taking on the project delivery.

Beyond that, there is also the consideration of two other projects that have potential impacts on the MSC that we acknowledge in this meeting and that was whatever happens out of the I-710 action as well as the Shoemaker Bridge.

So one of the things that we talked about was having a discussion about the potential future relocation, not directly with this project, but how do we work as a Port with our city with other stake holders to start to have a more meaningful discussion about if its not this project, what is the next project and is this the safest location or what can we do to keep this intact working with other stake holders.

So I want to offer that up as well, that will be a continual battle that we will have beyond what CEQA said was an impact or not an impact.

MS. GUZMAN: So this is really important to me. It is something that, like I said, a pain point.

It is a community that -- and just the facility that we have that is so incredibly important, it is located there because it is an industrial area and there is a lot of new-bee issues when it comes to a site like that and a facility like that.

And this facility works because of where it is located and it is kind of a distance from other residential, you know, neighborhoods, somewhat.

But I guess that distance was minimally required under the law but I just want to get on record on saying that we need to do more, in my view, and I note that a rider to the motion or if it is a completely separate action, I am good either way.

I don't want us to drop the ball on this and not come back and so I don't know if you need to set up a subcommittee or if staff feels comfortable and there is consensus on the Board and but it is just -- it is a very vulnerable population. It is one of our best assets. We are helping the neediest of the needy.

And I -- this project will impact them and many others and they are a community that we can't turn our back on. They will recheck the list. Not from me. That is not good enough for me.

So hopefully, we can work to do something better in this regard whether it is adding more money to the overall community mitigation grants program during the budget process but I would like to work with staff on a path forward specifically for this facility and to the points that were mentioned in the letter that had to do with the filtration system and things like that just to make sure that we are not making a very vulnerable population already more subjugated to pollution and other health risks.

MR. CAMERON: So, Madam Secretary, if I can make a recommendation, I would like to work with Mario and others on the executive team and others on the team and what we can commit to as coming back to the Board with maybe a game plan and maybe some next steps and whether it's an ad hoc subcommittee at the discretion of the Board working with staff and other stake holders to have a more meaningful discussion in the short term.

Some of the things that you have some concerns with that we can work with the design team with that (inaudible) this evening and then even the more broader, bigger discussions whether it is this location or maybe looking at some other relocation that may come about because of those other projects that I alluded to.

So that might be a better way of handling it

and that is our commitment, if Mario, if you are okay with that?

MS. GUZMAN: Okay. Thank you. And I just had one final thing and that is what steps you will be taking to work with businesses that will be dislocated in either of the Alternatives and that is in the future thing that will be determined just because we had a few visitors come up and I -- will we be working with community development to identify alternative sites or what kind of other assistance will we be giving to businesses that will be disrupted by the project?

MS. TOMLEY: So I would like to -- Dave Cosgrove is here and he is our outside Counsel that is our legal expert in this area.

But in reference to the comment that you had about working with the City, we have had some initial conversations with the -- well, in the early days, with redevelopment but then also with the development services staff and also with John Chrysler and his team as well to identify the need for assistance from the City in helping us to work through the relocation process.

We intend to continue those conversations in earnest following the Board's action if you choose to go forward with the project so we will continue on with that process.

But I would also like Dave to provide some of the structured process that we will be going -- undertaking.

MR. COSGROVE: And following what Ms. Tomley is saying, first and foremost, I think following also what Sean Gamette said, speak with the businesses, figure out what their needs are, try to catalogue what those needs are.

We have already identified that there are available areas, vacancies to which we can relocate people.

But the structure of the acquisition process is such that first, we have to do an appraisal and there will be an offer made with the appraisal and the owners and occupants, if they operate a business, they will be notified of the opportunity to make any kind of a claim for business good will and along with that offer, we will also let them know the structure that we have with respect to providing relocation assistance and relocation benefits.

So, what we would be doing if we do get to the point of acquisitions, would be assisting business owners, identifying suitable relocation sites, providing them with referrals.

If an appropriate site can be found, then

relocation benefits will kick in that will include underwriting moving expenses, certain expenses in looking for a replacement location, certain expenses in re-establishing the business at any location so all those will be paid in addition to any real property value.

So and to the extent then that a relocated business in its new location somehow suffers -- has a loss of business value -- that value can be claimed and as a loss of business good will claim through any condemnation proceeding that might result.

So those are some of the structural protections, as it were, that business owners get who may be looking at displacement.

MS. GUZMAN: Thank you. Again, thanks for that incredible job and very, very thorough EIR and analysis.

MR. GAMETTE: Secretary Guzman, Shawn Gamette again. Can I provide a little bit further clarification on the portwide PLA to your question regarding whether Pier B would be included?

It was included in that original list that the board approved in the portwide PLA but it is not broken down in the same -- you know, it is broken down in a sort of a simplistic fashion in the way it is listed.

So whether or not we need to take a future action to include it, I am not sure. Let us look into

that but I do want to reassure everybody that it is, in

fact, in the portwide PLA. It is just a matter of how we

have broken down the projects that comprise it.

MS. GUZMAN: Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you Secretary Guzman.

Commissioner Colonna?

MR. COLONNA: Thank you, Chair Bynum. I want to thank staff for doing a really great job on this particular project.

It has been in conception long before I came on the Board and, actually, I have to comment that I was very happy to see so many people speak in favor of this project. City Council usually do the opposite, that people start throwing things at us but that being said, I think it was an outstanding presentation.

I have just a couple of other things as Commissioner Egoscue alluded to regarding the truck trips.

I understand the value of what we want to do in terms of building a ten thousand foot train segment to provide for our needs and several of them on a daily basis.

But we still are going to be needing the truck operations in our port for the local delivery supply chain.

I don't see any -- we are looking to things
-- at least in my opinion -- that we are going to be
seeing a decline in truck trips.

I don't think we are going to be seeing that but I do think that we are going to be seeing state of the art vehicles coming down the road that will certainly compensate for it and deal with some of our pollution issues.

So at least from my view, I didn't want to maybe cause potential for misleading the public in thinking that this project is going to be taking a significant volume of trucks off the road.

I think we have the responsibility, at least from our CAAP, to make sure that we meet our obligations in succeeding in providing the best and cleanest truck vehicular traffic in addition to the fact that we have the Interstate 710, hopefully, and Caltrans and Metro can get off the dime and build a new freeway for us that will separate trucks from the vehicular traffic. I think that will be a big bonus. Heather, did you want to comment about that?

MS. TOMLEY: I will just ask clarification that what this project will hopefully allow us to do is as cargo continues to grow, having this infrastructure in place will allow us to move more of that cargo by rail

1 than otherwise.

Without this project, that cargo would need to go by a truck.

And so this will help us to accommodate a larger proportion of that cargo to go out on rail and in accordance with our thirty-five percent goal.

And that is consistent with the Clean Air
Action plan identified tonight. It is also consistent
with the goals of the South Coast Air Quality Management
District and the California Air Resources Board, Regional
Transportation Planning and other local, regional and
state-wide goals to support greater utilization of
On-Dock Rail.

MR. COLONNA: Thank you. Well stated and I believe our responsibilities are to grow the port and grow it green.

And as a result, I think that we just want to make sure that we do have that balance because the trains are an important incremental part of this.

We have discussed -- we are looking at thirty-five, forty percent of On-Dock Rail in our future.

Just a couple of other comments here that I think are a little bit important. We talked about a project and I have done some development in my day as a real estate broker and in construction.

Esthetics are important in our project. I think it is really important for us to understand that if we -- if one were to do a project of this magnitude, that it does represent who we are and not just lay down a bunch of rail and, you know, I don't want to use the word but, you know, sometimes we see these pictures of gritty railroad yards and just all kinds of things that don't really make a project like this hit the ground very well.

So, and I guess my question is considering that I do really like and support the 12th Street Project Alternative here is do we have elbow room in this project to let's say have some type of a buffer zone to the businesses or maybe some green sound wall barriers that can, you know, basically lift the bottom a little bit on a project like this so that the folks like Superior, you know, before -- when it was Coca Cola, I used to make deliveries there as a kid working on the west side.

So we have got a lot of long-time resident owners that have done yeoman's work when things weren't so good for Long Beach and they stuck with us.

And so I want to, you know, at least demonstrate that we believe in them as well and that we want to live up to our reputation of understanding that they are a business and we want to see them succeed.

So I don't know. Rick, is there room in this

project here for --

MS. TOMLEY: I can start the comment and then hand it over to engineering if they would like to add some additional detail.

I think it is important to note that we are at a very early stage in the design of this project and I think that as the program team gets assembled and they start working through the process of identifying -- moving towards the final design, they can explore whether or not there is opportunities to address those comments that you brought up.

MR. COLONNA: I think it is just important that we, you know -- well, we do. We understand, you know, I mean from what my tours of the Port are, we are not disregarding what we look like to our neighbors.

MR. GAMETTE: Definitely and, Commissioner, you can rely on, if the Board approves the project, our cross divisional team to address opportunity throughout this development, to be sustainable, to look at the project boundaries and meet with the businesses and property owners and talk about what kind of elbow room would you have there on the perimeter and to design a project that we can all be proud of.

MR. COLONNA: Great. Thank you. And my last comment, the Mayor stated in his -- State of the City

address about creating a new economic development corporation.

And my previous -- with the City -- was I was on that (inaudible) and I Chaired it.

So I really think that this would be a good opportunity for the Port to step up and, you know, hey, we would like to be one of the partners here because they are going to be funding and as was talked about by -- I think it was Dave on the relocation of the businesses -that there are, you know, funding mechanisms to be available and then I think that we, as a good partner with our City through economic development partnership and the corporation that the Mayor wants to form, that we could step up and be one of the first to say count us in and we want to make sure that we participate in that corporation and help the businesses as they maybe want to relocate and make sure that they remain successful and stay in Long Beach because that is so important for us to keep these jobs. So those are my comments. Good job. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Commissioner Colonna.

Commissioner Lowenthal?

MS. LOWENTHAL: Thank you. Well, I think most of my comments have already been stated but I am going to state them again.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First of all, Mario, to you and your staff to Rick, you did an amazing job and certainly, for me, you were incredible and responsive and obviously, most importantly, to the community were very, very responsive, even to the opponents, even to people who might lose the business as they have been in for so many years, you were very responsive so I can't thank you enough for that.

I have some of the same concerns. One similar to Secretary Guzman the Multi-Service Center is very important to me. I was part of creating that in a past life way back in the late '80s that was the recommendation of the Mayor on the homeless.

And then as a Member and Chair of the Homeless Advisory Commission, we saw that actually to fruition.

This morning, we drove by there and there were probably about thirty people outside. I am not sure if they were waiting to get services but I think we have to look at the people waiting in the parking lot, not just getting services inside.

So I, too, am very concerned about that and, Secretary Guzman, there is a subcommittee to look at those issues.

I know that the 9th Street access is going to be eliminated so that would mean a lot more traffic on Anaheim Street and that will certainly impact the MSC and

the people walking over the bridge to the MSC. So,
enough said about that. I think we are all in agreement.

There was a comment about businesses not needing to be pretty and I think the opposite. I think every business should have a beautiful environment on the outside as well as the inside.

You know, I think the worst case scenario is my picture of the rail yards downtown that are just so ugly when you go up and down the 710 and none of us want to see that.

So we don't want to see that around individual businesses that remain in the area and we don't want to see it on the periphery of the area as it is developed.

So I would hope that buffers are developed (inaudible) and also for individual businesses.

And I am concerned about losing the space that exists there that has existed for such a long time and so I appreciate the comments about trying to -- when the time comes -- that relocation is necessary we must try to relocate businesses in Long Beach whenever possible so that is important.

And last but not least and again, I think this was mentioned, I hope that in the process, that you are able to put together an official stake holders group so there will be a regular meeting for the same people who

know the west side, who have businesses, who can be there
as advocates and the Port moves on.

I do want to thank everybody that came out tonight. A lot of people have left. Seems like every segment of this community has been here and I really respect you for taking the time to come here and advocate or speak against the project and I think for those who spoke against the project, you can be reassured that the Port will continue to work with you even during difficult times. Thank you.

MS. BYNUM: Thank you, Commissioner Lowenthal. I will keep it very short. A lot what I am going to talk about has been said but I do have one question regarding the percentage of On-Rail.

So only 12th Street will give us thirty-five percent; is that correct?

MR. PLEZIA: Thank you, Madam President. Got a couple of slides anticipating questions about the forecast.

The first slide is taken from the EIR, I believe it is figure four point three dash one. And that shows the range from thirty to thirty-five percent of On-Dock as demand for -- for On-Dock Rail.

And the colored lines show what the different alternatives will achieve from a rail capacity

1 perspective.

So, the gray line representing the no-build option, the red line representing 9th Street, the yellow line 10th Street and the green line, 12th Street.

So this is the information that was in the EIR showing that at 2035, none of the alternatives actually get us to that thirty to thirty-five percent target zone.

MS. BYNUM: So the threshold is about thirty percent?

MR. PLEZIA: If I could go to the next slide. We take a look at the new forecast and applied that same thirty to thirty-five percent demand target zone to the new forecast which is, of course, was completed in 2016 and has generally lower growth rates and overall that is projected for the San Pedro gateway.

So in this case, 12th Street does achieve slightly more than thirty percent of On-Dock if we go that alternative while the other alternatives don't even reach thirty percent.

MS. BYNUM: I have heard -- we have kicked around -- I have heard numbers kicked around at fifty percent at some point to get to that.

But this project then, will actually get us a little less than thirty-five percent. I know tonight

Anthony Otto talked about a need for forty percent On-Dock and so that is a big question.

And we haven't got time to get into that tonight but it seems to me that that whole issue about rail and ability to be able to reach our objectives in the future, we are going to have to spend some more time talking about. Heather?

MS. TOMLEY: I will just add, briefly, that that is definitely something that the Port is very engaged in.

We are just launching a new process to update our rail study. We will be exploring those issues, specifically.

MS. BYNUM: Great. Thank you. The other thing I just want to say I agree totally with my colleagues about the community clearance program and the issues about the businesses. I think I heard a figure of a hundred forty-six thousand for community grants and the formula.

That is not nearly enough I don't think but that is something that we will deal with.

MS. TOMLEY: To clarify, there is two components to the contribution to community grants. There was the hundred forty-seven thousand that was for the NOX contribution but then there is also one point four million rounded that is from the greenhouse gas. So combined, it's about one and half million.

MS. BYNUM: Okay. Better. And businesses, I understand, I get it with businesses. I come from a family of small business owners.

I trust that our staff will work throughout this process. I know that there is value engineering done from this draft a year ago to the readings that came out recently and so that was good.

Hopefully, there will be other ways for us to be able to address this, plus all the other things here that were mentioned here tonight.

And just also wanted to thank -- I am just -over and over again our staff just continues to just put
out excellence in planning, design, execution and I am
just so proud of the work that you all do and thankful
for the quality of the work.

I want to thank all of the attorneys and the other teams that have been at this table as well participating in that and I see Doug Thiessen back there and I know that Doug has a lot to do with this, too.

Doug, it is good to see you here tonight. So, thank you.

That is all I have to say. Are there any other comments from anyone before we move on now? Thank you very much.

Okay. If there are no additional comments or questions regarding this project, I will close the public

hearing and entertain a motion to receive the file, the 1 2 staff report and public comments. 3 MR. COLONNA: So moved. Second. 4 MS. EGOSCUE: 5 MS. BYNUM: All those in favor? ALL COMMISSIONERS: Aye. MS. BYNUM: Motion passes unanimously. Now I will 8 entertain two additional motions for the Board regarding 9 the project before us, one motion on the EIR and one 10 motion on the Harbor Development Permit. 11 Do I have a motion to certify the final 12 Environmental Impact Report for the Pier B On-Dock Rail 13 Support Facility Project measure in front of me adopting 14 the Statement of Overriding Considerations, a mitigation 15 monitoring reporting program and an application summary 16 report? 17 MR. COLONNA: So moved. 18 MS. EGOSCUE: Second. 19 MS. BYNUM: All those in favor? 20 ALL COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 21 I will now entertain a motion to MS. BYNUM: 22 approve the proposed project, that is 12th Street that we 23 are talking about and the Level III Harbor Development 24 Program. Can I have a motion, please? 25 MS. EGOSCUE: Move approval.

```
MR. COLONNA: Second.
1
           MS. BYNUM: All in favor?
2
           ALL COMMISSIONERS:
 3
                               Aye.
           MS. BYNUM: Motion is carried unanimously. Thank
 4
    you very much. This now concludes the public hearing.
5
                 (At 9:10 p.m. the hearing was
6
                 adjourned.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 REPORTER'S 2 CERTIFICATE 3 I, Cynthia Lamb, CSR No. 8349, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 6 That said proceeding was taken before me at the time 7 and place set forth and was taken down by me in shorthand 8 and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription and 9 I hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true and 10 correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. 11 I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor 12 related to any party to said action nor in any way 13 interested in the outcome thereof. 14 15 16 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my 18 name this 2nd day of February, 2018. 19 20 21 22 23 CYNTHIA E. LAMB Certified Shortand Reporter 24 No. 8349 25

WORD INDEX	2016 14:2	7, 16 35:22	accounted	activities
	36:14	96:15	110:18	15: <i>2</i> 95: <i>18</i>
< \$ >	117:21	119:17	114:17	activity
\$149 , 757	140:14	137:23 140:3	accounting	12:1 29:3
24:18	2017 36: <i>16</i> ,		95: <i>22</i>	60:18
	17 50:21	< A >	accredited	actual 22:8
< 1 >	100:17, 24	A-2 26:18	59: <i>16</i>	112:9 114:4
10-A 19:4	2018 1:1	ability	accumulation	117:4
22: <i>2</i>	4:22 145:18	12:19 15:8	87:19	ad 106:25
10th 15:24	2020 28:15,	23:4 47:21	accurately	127:16
19:19 20:19,	15, 17	81:2 84:9	40:14	Adamowicz
24 21:2	2024 115: <i>18</i> ,	117:6 122:5	achieve	99:14, 15
22:7 33:15	19	141:5	139:25	Adams 47:11
74: <i>22</i> 75:18	2025 28:15,	able 10:7	140:17	adapt 99:8
119:20 140:4	22	12:1 40:12,	achieving	add 27:10,
11 46:14	2035 28:16,	19 41:22	119:2	24 117:15
51: <i>12</i>	22 140:6	58:23 59:13,	acknowledge	135:3 141:8
110 4:20	22 1:1	17 69:16	6:22 56:11	added 14:10
11th 74:20	2375 53:1	70:13 71:20	107:6 125:12	21:19 26:21
12 5:13	91:6, 7	85:23 87:20	acquaintances	27:1
59:7 62:21	2400 85:6	121:17	75 : <i>5</i>	adding 21:13
12th 15:21	28 53:12	122:5, 7	acquire 32:3	84:3, 22
16:6 18:20	2nd 145:18	138:24	acquired	124:6 127:2
36: <i>24</i> 37: <i>20</i>		141:5 142:9	19:8, 12	addition
47:13 48:16	< 3 >	absolutely	21:7 22:12	8:12 9:10
49:4 62:21	3.6-3 22: <i>2</i>	75:20 88:2	acquisition	12:9, 13
66:3, 8		94:1 109:10	23:14 32:12	14:22 17:14
71:12 72:18,	< 4 >	absorbed 93:8	94:3 105:22,	19:14 23:13
25 73:7	4:00 59:23	accepting	23 129:12	24:13 25:10,
74:21 76:20	4801 1:1	57:1	acquisitions	15 32:19
77:11 80:1 <i>0</i>		access 15:1	17:21 20:19	33:13 34:3
81:11 83:24	< 5 >	17:6, 12	21:2, 25	35:1 36:4
84:18 87:8	5:00 89:16	32:20 33:4,	22:3 97:11	107:2
88: <i>22, 25</i>		22 50:13	129:22	120:14
90:5 92:16	< 6 >	78:21 81:23	acres 18:22	130:5 132:16
106:10	6:01 2:1	82:4 85:7,	20:25	additional
119:11, 23	630 52:14	9, 10, 15, 17,	Act 6:14, 14	11:20 12:1
134:10		23 94:2	10:17 39:18	14:6, 9
139: <i>15</i>	< 7 >	105:5, 12	acting 120:7	15:9 16:10
140:4, 17	710 4:19	114:19	Action 8:11,	21:19 26:2
143:22	30:19 42:16	137:23	12, 22 13:14,	30:14 32:17
13 36:16, 17	45:24 48:21	accessibility	18 31:15, 20	34:6 37:6
1309 68: <i>21</i>	56: <i>5</i> 90: <i>2</i>	45:16	32:10 53:24	45:12 62:15
15 36:14	132:17 138:9	accessible	54:1 67: <i>6</i>	92:5 111:20
1520 74:20		51:5	96:22, 24	122:23
1700 65:3	< 8 >	accommodate	97:7 98:4	123:8 135:4
1960s 76:4	8:00 89:16	12:21 16:11,	105:18	142:24 143:8
1974 49:1	80s 137:11	22 17:10	116:10, 15	Additionally
19th 111:22	8349 145:4,	20:2 21:14,	120:6, 16, 17,	84:3
1-н 5:25	24	23 114:22	19 124:3	address 3:20
		133:4	125:13	24:3 25:7
< 2 >	< 9 >	accommodated	126:15	34:9 43:12
2006 7:14	9:10 144:6	20:13 22:14	128:23	50:3 108:21
8:2 11:19	9th 13:6	23:5	130:25	124:25
42:5	15:4, 25	accommodations	133:8 145:12	125:1
2009 8:25	17:9 20:8	78:12	actions	135:10, 18
75:14	21:8, 22	accomplished	24:23 102:1	136:1 142:9
2010 24:8	22:1, 5	66:16, 17	118:14	addressed
	23:10 33:6,	account 89:9	active 14:20	50:2, 15
			1	I
	ortora Ina			Daga: 1

addressing	119:13	alleviate	amazing	annual 29:20,
108:25	agile 99:8	12:22 13:22	70:10	23 113:3, 3
adds 47:25	aging 13:4	14:16	107:12, 12	answered
101:6 112:4	ago 44:9	alley 74:24	137:2	44:13
adequate	75:13 142:6	alliance 72:7	amazing,	Anthony 71:7,
33:4 84:15	agree 55:23	allow 15:18	107:13	10 141:1
105:5	141:14	16:10 17:6	ambient	anticipate
adequately	agreeable	34:23 43:12	23:19 27:3	114:25
122:19	87:20	54:11 78:1	31:6	anticipated
adjacent	agreement	87:11 90:21	American	12:2 19:5
33:3 82:5	35:7 51:11	95: <i>15</i>	41:10	110:17
adjourned	120:3 138: <i>2</i>	132:23, 25	amount 11:23	anticipates
144:7	agreements	allowed 116:6	24:18 36:19	111:3
Administration	62: <i>2</i>	allowing	112:1 123:2	anticipating
35: <i>20</i> 36: <i>6</i>	air 4:13	48:11 54:14	ample 43:25	114:15
	5:7, 7 8:11,	99:8	Anaheim 11:9	139:18
administrations	12 13:10, 13	alluded	17:12, 13	anticipation
46:22	15:1 16:17	124:20	20:10 65:3	117:2
adopt 6:2, 5	23:17, 19	127:24	90:3 137:25	anybody 87:4
39:10, 12	24:5 25:6,	131:17	analyses	Appeal 37:11,
adopted	22, 25 26:1,	Alternative	38:16, 22	21 38:10, 24
117:19	8, 10 27:3,	15:21, 24, 25	analysis 9:1	appealed 38:8
adopting	8, 11 30:12	16:1, 7	25:25 26:8	appendix
143:13	31:2, 6, 6,	18:20 19:19,	28:15 30:21	26:18
advance 98:10	14 34:9	22, 25 20:20,	33:24 34:3	applicable
advancing	37:24 38:12,	24 21:3, 8,	38:15, 19, 25	19:9, 18
96:5	15, 15 46:3	10, 12 22:1,	39:2, 6	30:17 32:13
advantage	50:21 53:24	6, 7, 12, 13	101:10	application
51:17	54:1 64:14	23:13 33:15	104:14	6:7, 12
advertise	74:5, 11	48:17 49:5	110:18	11:4 39:16
98:13	89:8, 17	62:21, 21	112:18	143:15
Advertising	104:14	72:25 73:7	113:10	applied 24:4,
65:3 104:5,	111:10	74:22 76:21	114:12, 15,	6 121:9, 18
7	112:2, 5, 5,	77:12 81:11	17 115:4	124:10
Advisory	6, 15 113:15,	83:24 84:18	123:5 124:8	140:12
137:14	22 116:10	85:22 87:8	130:15	applying
Advocacy 89:1	123:6, 13	97:22	analyze	30:21
advocate	133:7, 9, 10	102:14, 18	10:19 30:12	appraisal
70:10 139:6	AIRPORT 1:1	105:20	107:20 108:1	129:13, 14
advocates	Alameda 4:18	106:11	analyzed	appreciate
139: <i>2</i>	5:2 7:20	119:11, 18,	30:14 34:1	2:5 50:5
AECOM 48:14	8:5 11:18	22, 23 128:9	36:4 100:10	64:1, 25
aerobic	15:16 46:6	134:11	104:18	92:1, 1, 2, 2,
116: <i>11</i>	Alan 7:4	140:19	114:10	
116:11 Affairs 7:7	43:14	1		
affect 19:6		Alternative,	123:10, 20	114:4, 7 117:12
	Albers 94:13,	22:18 23:9	analyzes	
agencies 9: <i>14</i> 12: <i>8</i>	15 101:15,	alternatives	111:3	118:2 138:18
	16 102:16,	10:23 15:20	analyzing	appreciated
agency 10:16,	19, 24 103:1	16:4 18:3	114:6	61:11
18 107:15	Alex 60:9, 12	21:9 33:16	Angeles 4:14	apprentice
108:7	align 118:18	34:7 66:7	8:4 17:3	51:12 59:6
agenda 3:13,	alignment	101:24	37:12, 16, 18	apprentices
19 4:5	12:7	119:16	38:8 51:16	58:12
agendas 3:9	Allegiance	128:6	60:19 77:16	apprenticeship
agent 53:5	3:25 4:2	139:25	Ann 3:6	41:7 58:11,
aggregate	Allen 4:8	140:6, 19	Anne 2:6	13, 16 59:10
100:9	5:12, 13, 13	Alvarenga	anniversary	15 62:10, 12
aggressively		1	81:9	69:11 86:10
aggressively	, -,	77:2 83:19, 20	81:9	69:11

approaches	82:25	assignments	authority	ball 126:16
17:15 50:9	archived 3:14	83:3	35:8 73:23,	Barely 65:11
appropriate	area 11:11	assist 84:15	24, 25 115:8,	barriers
3:18 24:23	14:23, 25	assistance	16	134:13
25:4 36:1,	15:1 16:18,	128:10, 20	authorizations	based 34:3
5 115: <i>5</i>	19, 24 18:2	129:19	97:3, 10	51:24 100:7,
124:10	19:8 20:22	Assistant	98:19 102:5	13, 17 101:8
129:25	27:7 43:9	2:24	availability	106:10
appropriately	45:2 48:4	assisting	101:2	114:21
124:9	49:17 50:7	129:22	available	118:21
approval	61:10, 19	associated	3:10 6:19	baseline
35:3 38:14	64:10 74:11,	10:24 11:5	11:23 32:16	35:24 95:20
72:11 95:22	14 85: <i>5</i>	35:12, 14	46:7 101:6	97:5 98:6,
98:13 124:7	87:25 89:2	69:24 84:6	129:10	11
143:25	99:25 100:4,	96:21 98:15	136:11	Basic 4:13
approvals	14, 20 101:1	105:9	Avenue 15:5	45:14 46:1
97:3 98:19	113:22	109:14	16:23 20:11	104:6 112:6
approve 6:7	126:5	110:17 125:4	23:11 33:7	basically
11:5 39:14,	128:14	Association	85:11, 12, 16,	112:4 134:14
16 47:13	138:12, 13	60:14, 17	20 96:15	basin 4:14
49:4 68:19	areas 12:14	70:11 71:3	105:1, 11	27:8
73:7 80:11,	17:22 18:6	83:21	average	basing 121:15
21 91:21	20:19 23:17	assume 29:2	29:20, 21	basis 131:22
95:3 96:25	24:21 26:14	111:4	avoid 15:7	bats 23:22
101:25	125:6 129:10	assumed	40:11	24:22
102:11, 21	argue 115:22	28:25 114:10	award 98:14	batteries
106:3, 9	arguing 88:20	assuming	awarded 81:4	34:23
116:6 143:22	Arms 63:10	110:11	awardee 124:1	battle 125:24
approved	arrival 14:9	at-grade	aware 38:18	Baxter 6:25
9:15 33:2	20:1 22:23	13:5 15:3,	39:5 67:24	54:9, 11, 12,
53: <i>25</i> 54: <i>6</i>	arrive 16:13	11 17:9	74:10	18
95: <i>25</i> 97: <i>22</i>	arriving	20:8 21:22	Aye 143:6,	bay 8:5
98: <i>22</i>	12:19 15:5	23:10 33:6,	20 144:3	77:21 78:17
117:20	art 132:6	11 35:20, 22		BEACH 1:1
120:4 130:21	arteries	73:18	< B >	4:24 5:6
approves	86:15	attempt	back 2:25	6:25 7:2, 3,
32:10 135:17	artery 86:14,		3:10 6:18	13 10:15
approving	19	attend 58:13,	7:14 8:5	17:3 18:8
84:18 102:2	1	19 80:17	15:9 38:13	33:20 37:11,
118:12	asked 66:14,	attention	39:7 60:6	24 38:1
approximately	15 80:18	37:9 77:12	62:16, 17	40:4, 5, 20
11:13 16:12	88:14 101:21	Attorney	74:23 91:10	41:14, 15
18:21 19:20	asking 39:10	37:6 85:3	106:17	43:20, 24, 24
20:3, 25	91:21	111:14 118:1	126:17, 24	44:3, 18
21:11, 15	aspect 46:8	Attorneys	127:14	46:16, 16
25:15 70: <i>2</i>	124:22	94:13 142:16	137:11	48:9, 14, 20,
93:15 101:3	assembled	Attorney's	142:18	25 49:17
AQ-1 111:24	14:18 44:10	9:25	background	51:10 52:2,
AQ-2 26:16	135:7	attractive	26:22 27:2,	14, 19, 20, 22,
111:17	assembling	65:19, 21	9, 17, 21, 25	23 54:16, 22
112:12, 13	14:11	66:1	28:25 118:15	55:4, 25
AQ-3 111:25	assembly	audience	Baglietto	56:14 57:18
AQ-4 26:16	16:11	6:16, 20	84:24 86:24,	59:7 60:19
111:17	assessed	40:17 55:13	25	61:18 62:8,
112:12, 14	50:14	119:14	balance	10, 19 63:16
AQMD 4:14	Assessor	August 75:13	133:18	64:2 66:25
112:4	18:25	authorities	balancing	67:1, 4, 6,
architecture	assets 126:20	97:9	61:4	11 68:9
				69:6, 19
	I	I	I	
California Denosition Ren	antana Ina			Page: 3

70:20 71:10	122:14	106:18	bringing	84:16 85:4,
72:13 73:10,	126:20	115:19	118:8	23 88:3
14 79:4	132:15	117:3, 20	broader	90:14 92:3
	1			
80:2, 7	better 12:16	120:13	127:22	93:1 101:10
81:1 82:11	14:7 93:2	122:22	broken	108:9
86:14 87:3,	127:2, 25	124:2	130:22, 22	115:23
6, 14, 16, 23	142:1	126:19	131:3	129:15, 17,
89:2 90:16,	beyond 63:11	127:14, 17	broker 133:25	22 130:4, 7,
18, 20 91:20,	97:7 98:9	130:21	brother 91:10	8, 9, 12
20 92:18	125:10, 24	131:11	brotherhood	134:24
100:20	bid 98:1	133:10	79:13	137:6 138:5
101:1	bids 98:14	135:17 143:8	brothers	142:3
134:20	big 5:7	Board-approved	52:15 56:9	businesses
136:18	44:4 46:20	24:19 25:18	57:6, 21	17:17 32:3
138:20	74:15	117:24	59:2 68:23	33:4, 5
Beach's 31:18	132:20 141:2	Board's 37:3	86:22	52:7 57:3
beautification	bigger 127:22	72:11 98:13	Brother's	63:12, 25
125:6	biggest 127.22	123:22	69:12	
	75:14 103:22			64:4, 11, 18,
beautiful		124:11	brought	23 65:22
138:5	Billy 7:2	128:23	37:22 46:23	66:5 68:15
bed 76:14	40:20 71:7	Bobby 60:9	108:20	71:3 82:5,
beginning	biota 23:21	Bonnie 3:7	125:5 135:11	6 84:13
53:7 91:8	24:20	bonus 132:20	budget 95:20,	
103:8	birds 23:22	book 87:14	21 97:5, 7	88:4 95:17
begun 53:19	24:22	border 28:20	98:6 127:4	96:2 99:24
behalf 49:18	bit 9:8	bordering	budgets 98:11	100:9, 13
60:14 62:3	53:4 76:13	11:12	buffer 134:12	104:13
69:24 77:10	107:11	boss 40:3	buffers	105:4
81:3	115:13	bottlenecks	138:14	110:11
Behjat 49:13	130:17	7:18	build 12:19	112:23
51:21	133:23	Bottling 65:4		115:22
believe 41:3,	134:14	bottom 134:14	21:9 48:1	128:5, 10
11 68:3	BizFed 81:12,	boundaries	52:20, 22	129:6
99:12	21	17:20, 23	53:7 57:12	134:13
100:12	bling 56:24	20:18 135:20	1	135:20
101:8	block 63:17		132:18	136:9, 16
101.8	75:24 113:16	_	building	138:3, 12, 15,
			_	1
114:22	blocked 14:17	brake 14:25	2:23 12:21	20 139:1
117:21	blocking 15:7	16:18	21:23 53:13	141:16
123:2	BNSF 78:16	breakdown	59:1 61:15,	142:1, 2
133:14	BOARD 1:1	123:1	22, 23, 25, 25	busy 86:2
134:22	2:6 3:12	breakwater	62:3 65:5	97:20
139:21	9:6 10:8	53:14	75:1, 15, 18	BYNUM 2:4, 6
believes	11:3 31:25	Bridge 16:21	100:2, 21	3:4 4:4
81:12	32:9, 17	21:20 33:13,	131:20	5:11, 18, 21
beneficial	37:7 39:10	20 53:8	buildings	6:9 39:21
30:12 75:9	40:14 47:4,	61:24 64:10	25:10 101:11	41:18 42:21
benefit 30:8,	13 51:20	125:14 138:1	built 21:10	43:15 44:20
16 41:15	52:13 53:10	brief 10:21	bunch 134:5	54:13 76:10,
60:24 73:11	54:13, 18, 19	40:9 49:23	business	16 77:3
77:19 110:4	55:11, 20	72:24 83:7	9:12 23:14	80:25 83:19
benefits	56:6 57:23	94:22 99:18	25:12 32:6	91:22 92:7
31:10 41:12	61:13 79:7	briefly 49:3	40:25 52:3	94:12, 18
86:11	95:3, 23	111:16 141:8	61:4 63:12	101:13
		1	65:7, 10, 13	1
1	96:24, 25	bring 37:9		102:13, 17,
best 15:22	97:1, 4, 8,	97:8 98:11	68:9, 21	21, 25 103:3
24:6 48:17	17 98:9, 12,	106:17	76:9 80:23	105:14
62:23 76:24	20 99:12	120:13	81:4, 5, 24	106:17
87:11	102:7		82:5, 6	117:13, 25
	1			1

131:5, 7	car 11:14	category	143:11	128:23
136:21	12:13 15:2	41:8 100:13	145:5, 9, 11	Chris 46:22
139:11	53:21	cause 109:20	certifying	Christmas
140:9, 21	CARB 116:18	132:10	6:3 39:10	80:15, 19
141:13	carbon 24:1	caveat 87:18	107:15	82:16
142:1 143:5,	30:25 31:8	CBS 65:23	Cervantes	Chrysler
7, 19, 21	card 3:18	celebrate	39:25 41:18,	128:19
144:2, 4	5:19 6:17	81:9	21 42:21	circle 90:7
11112, 1	care 52:22	Center 29:7,	chain 47:23	circulated
< C >	58:24	12 30:18	60:21, 25	36:22
CAAP 5:9	career 5:15	63:13 88:25	131:25	cities 41:4,
42:5 46:4	49:7	89:4, 7, 15	Chair 89:1	11 100:20
132:14		90:7 112:24		101:1
	careful 82:2		131:7 137:13 Chaired 136:4	
calculated	cargo 8:16	137:9		citizen 91:20
113:24	12:10, 25	Centers	Chairman	Citizens 4:11
calculating	13:24 20:15	122:14	52:12	Citizen's
117:17, 23	22:16 23:5	CEO 47:11	challenge	45:6
calculations	31:13, 16, 17	68:8	37:22	City 6:25
109:24	42:14 43:6,	CEQA 10:6,	challenges	7:2, 2 8:20
117:22	12 47:17	17 18:11	38:6 61:3	9:14, 25
CALIFORNIA	61:2 68:14	32:7 33:2,	Chamber	17:2, 3
1:1 6:13,	73:3, 3, 4	<i>25</i> 35:16	49:17 77:16	18:7, 8
14 10:16	90:22 93:5	36:11, 23	90:12	19:12 31:18
33:11 37:10,		38:14 39:12	Chambers	33:19 37:5,
13, 24 39:17	24 119:1, 2,	44:12 45:15	50:13	11, 18, 23
60:13 69:6,	4 132:24, 25	93:24 98:4	chance 52:7	40:4, 5
<i>25</i> 73: <i>23</i>	133:2, 5	102:11	69:19	41:14, 15
74:11 79:18	carpenter	103:6	change 18:18	44:18 52:18
81:19 83:15,	69:2, 12	107:16	23: <i>25</i> 25: <i>6</i>	54: <i>16</i> 57: <i>18</i>
17 86:20	Carpenters	108:1 116:6,	64:22 106:2,	61:24 62:10,
90:14, 19	52:14 58:1,	22 124:6, 8,	12 111:12	19 63:16
115:11	2, 4, 9	20 125:24	changed 89:9	67: <i>4</i> 68: <i>9</i>
116:17	69:20 86:5	certain 7:17	changer 52:6	69:20 70:20
122:17	carpooling	54:1 124:5	changes 16:2	94:13
133:10 145:5	25:14	125:6 130:2,	changing 99:8	111:14
call 9:8	carried 144:4	3	Channel	116:25
44:25 112:7	carries 49:9	certainly	16:21 21:20	117:8
called 53:13	carry 84:5	73:10 78:21	charge 9:24	125:18
Caltrans	cars 12:16	96:22 97:12	charged 57:13	128:16, 20
33:18 132:17	14:11, 13, 18,	117:25	chart 98:3,	131:13
Cameron 7:6,	21 20:12	120:13	17	135:25
11 44:7, 8	22:14 45:22	132:6 137:2,	check 45:8,	136:3, 12
46:25 61:9	case 27:19	25	25	City's 13:16
63:9 77:8	28:4 37:21	CERTIFICATE	Cherin 60:9,	claim 129:16
88:5 124:14	38:19, 21	145:2	11, 13	130:9
127:11	67:10	certification	Chief 2:8,	claimed 130:8
capabilities	115:11	52:9	10, 24 3:4	claims 38:8,
84:4 92:20	138:7 140:17	certified	39:25 41:19	11
capability	cases 30:5	25:11 58:9	43:16, 20	clarification
93:17 97:12	82:1 121:1,	145:4, 23	44:17, 20, 22	26:10
capacities	3	certifies	104:2	112:19
53:10	Castleberry	32:9	children	118:2, 10
capacity	26:3, 4, 6	certify 11:3	56:3 89:18,	120:8
2:15 4:19	111:13, 24	60:15	23	121:14
7:19 23:2	112:20	101:22	China 42:4	130:17
46:7 90:16	113:14 123:6	101:22	choose	130:17
139:25	catalogue	105:19	101:23	clarify
capital 99:2,	129:7	106:19	101:23	85: <i>19</i>
5 120:12	149./	100.10	103.13	03.13
2 170.17				
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	1

102:13	66:6 85:13,	127:23	14 103:2, 17,	commitment
114:9 141:20	14, 19	128:8 139:6	20 106:18,	72:6 110:6
clarifying	clothing	142:2	21 107:9, 10,	128:1
112:20	122:9	comes 9:4	18, 19	committed
class 62:15	Club 4:11, 21	28:5 126:6	110:10	9:20 94:1, 1
classes 62:11	CNG 80:4	138:19	112:22	Committee
Clean 8:10,	Coalition	comfortable	114:18	4:11 42:9
11 13:13	4:11 45:7	75:12 89:23	118:4	Committee's
31:14 50:21	89:2	122:19	133:22	4:22
53:24 54:1	Coast 5:7	126:18	135:10	committing
69:8 74:16	31:2 85:6	coming 65:24	136:19, 24	105:23
116:10 133:7	112:3, 5	67:25 91:15,	138:18	common 50:9
cleaner	133:9	17, 19, 22	142:22, 24	Commonly
34:18 79:25	Coastal 6:14	104:1	143:2	45:20, 21
80:3	39:18	115:14	Commerce	communicate
cleanest	co-benefits	120:1	49:17 57:10	78: <i>8</i>
132:15	25:8	127:14 132:6	77:16 90:12	communication
clear 2:21	Coca 65:4	command 44:11	commercial	42:10 63:4,
32:11 109:7	134:16	commended	80:16 100:19	8 95:16
115:9	Cola 65:4	51:5 88:7	commercialized	communities
clearance	134:16	comment 6:16	34:15	13:19
141:15	colleagues	32:1, 2	COMMISSION	Community
clearly 44:1	106:25	36:10, 14, 15,	1:1 2:7	4:12 9:13
92:22	107:18	20 39:22	3:12 40:23	24:18 25:17
Cliatt 77:1	109:7 141:14	43:3 45:19	43:2, 19	31:21 38:1
79:2, 3	College 7:1,	94:5 103:9,	60:12 69:23	40:25 41:6
client 85:14,	2 40:4, 5	10 108:10,	71:9 118:1	45:7 48:19
21 89:6	54:17 55:20	11 115:21,	137:14	55:25 56:3,
clients 66:2	56: <i>6</i> 57:18	24 116:20	Commissioner	6 57:9, 9
88:4	58:13 59:14	117:14, 15,	3:7, 8	60:6 62:1,
climate	62:1, 10, 15	16 128:15	74:18 107:2,	14 64:17
23: <i>25</i> 25: <i>6</i>	67:4, 18	131:11	7 118:5	66:13, 18
111:11	70:21 76:7	132:20	131:6, 17	67:5, 6, 9,
close 15:3	colleges	135:2, 25	135:16	17, 23 68:4
30:18 51:15	58:14, 18	138:3	136:21, 22	69:15 73:12
59:22 60:1,	Colliers	commentary	139:11	88:3 90:14
2 89:20	100:18	92:22	Commissioners	92:2, 23
96:14	Colonna 3:8	commentators	2:11 3:5	95:16 108:8,
101:19	107:2 118:5	93:16	7:12 10:12	9 116:20
113:12, 16,	131:6, 7	commented	11:3 26:5	117:6, 17, 20
<i>19</i> 142: <i>25</i>	133:14	87:21	37:9 43:8	123:23
closed 17:10	135:12, 24	comments	46:11 47:7	124:4, 12
20:9 21:23	136:21	3:21 4:5	48:24 49:16	126:3, 23
33:8	143:3, 17	6:13 11:1	54:14 55:12	127:3 128:8
closely 78:9	144:1	17:16 20:16	57:25 62:25	137:4 139:5
89:12	colored	32:2, 19	64:3 66:12	141:15, 17, 21
closeness	139:24	34:10 36:21,	72:23 74:19	community-type
65:1 <i>2</i>	combination	25 37:6	77:4 79:2	124:22
closest	118:9	40:11, 13, 14	81:1 82:21	commute 64:9
11:12 27:3	combined	43:17 45:13,	83:20 85:2	companies
closing	141:25	20 49:23	86:1 90:10	70:2, 3, 11,
64:10 88:1	come 2:8	57:2 64:7	91:6 94:19	19
closure 13:5	4:7 50:9	66:12, 22	99:10, 16	company 63:1
15:11 33:9	54:22 59:24	72:24 78:25	103:4 108:7	70:22 79:19
35:22 73:18	66:14 72:17	83:7 92:5,	116:4 143:6,	compared
85: <i>22</i>	82:13, 18	9, 10, 11	20 144:3	4:19 27:15
closures	86:11 87:20	94:11, 16, 23	commit 106:7	36:1, 5
17:1 20:6	108:25	97:18 99:11,	109:21	compensate
1711 2010			1	I a see
32:20, 24	126:17	13 101:12,	127:14	132:7
	126:17	13 101:12,	127:14	132:7

compensating	concerned	Congress	115:25	46:6 84:5
32:5	5: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> 56: <i>5</i>	43:14	116:2 143:14	91:13, 15, 18
competitive	64:14 85:15	congressional	considered	93:15
12:6 42:18	109:6	43:9	11:25 21:9	103:16
47:22 78:2	137:20	Congressman	31:25 72:20	116:11
84:1 92:19	138:16	7:3 42:22	112:3, 18	118:22
93:4, 21	concerns	43:1	considering	contemplated
complete	17:17 35:11,	conjunction	134:9	95:9 97:14
79: <i>20</i>	18 44:4	8:4	consistent	98:21 99:7
completed	50:6 56:2	connected	8:19 13:16	context 117:
39:11 59:13	64:3 78:24	7:20 122:4	33:10 38:20,	continual
140:14	89:5 114:19	connecting	23 39:6	125:24
completely	124:23	8:5 15:15	117:5, 18, 23	continually
126:14	127:20 137:8	connection	133:7, 8	42:1
completion	conclude	20:10 77:23	consistently	continue
98: <i>21</i>	30:6 50:20	connections	19:17	9:16 14:20
Complex 7:20	94:11	93:2	constraint	22:21 42:12
_	concludes	connects	96:18	17 50:3, 24
13:1, 22 14:7 61:2	39:19 144:5	11:17	constraints	52:23 57:23
	condemnation		14:16	52:23 57:23
compliance 10:6 39:12	130:10	consensus	construct	84:21 87:24
_ 0 0 0 _ 12				
93:24	condemning	Consequently	101:11	93:12 94:6
120:25	106:7	100:10	constructed	99:6 106:23
121:10	condition	conservative	16:7 17:4	110:23
complies 39:3	35:1 99:3	28:24 29:4	19:20 56:1	119:13
component	conditions	30:11	98:1	128:22, 24
72:9	99:9 106:12	consider	construction	139:9
components	conduct 6:1	29:18, 19	23:20, 22, 25	continued
15:6 26: <i>20</i>	24:21 35:2	37:7 56:20,	24:2, 6, 7, 8,	71:15
141:20	conducted	21 66:7	24 25:1, 13	continues
comprehensive	17:19 18:23	84:17	26:16 29:14,	90:23
99:23	19:9 20:17	104:20	15, 24 30:4,	132:24
compressed	24:14, 24	109:8 115:2	24 31:5, 9	142:12
15:1	25:1 33:24	122:12	36:7 51: <i>23</i>	continuing
comprise	35: <i>25</i> 114: <i>18</i>	124:2, 3	55:4 62:3	50:25 119:8
131:3	conducting	considerable	68:11 78:11	continuously
comprised	30:21	31:4, 8	83:1 91:1	42:7
97:24	conferring	101:5	98:15	Contract
computerized	62:22	Consideration	100:12	84:19
145:8	conflict	6:5 10:8	101:6 105:7,	115:18, 20
concentration	78:20, 22	31:24 37:3	10 111:11	contractors
26:22, 23	82:2 114:11,	51:18 57:11	112:14, 14	46:19 69:24
27:1, 2, 7,	19	70:6 75:23	120:24	121:1, 10
15, 17, 18, 21	conflicts	76:1 77:12	121:11	contracts
28:13 29:1,	81:23 114:25	81:21 82:3	123:17, 17,	97:9 98:15
20 111:18	confused	89:13 95:24	19 133:25	contractually
concentrations	107:22	97:6 98:12	consultant	109:21
26:13, 19	confusing	101:10	26:7	contribute
28:6 29:19,	107:11	108:15	consulting	31:5, 21
21, 23 30:3	congested	109:2	97:9	contribution
31:1, 7	4:19	121:22	contact 95:14	23:19 24:17
conception	congestion	125:10	Container	25:15 27:12
131:10	12:23 13:1,	Considerations	71:11, 25	123:23
concepts 70:7	10 42:15	39:13 82:9	71:11, 25	141:21, 23
=	43:13 51:16	88:15, 18	containers	contributions
concern 15:13 26:12	72:3 93:6			117: <i>17</i>
	congratulate	89:5, 22 90:9 104:21	7:24 12:16,	
		1 70.7 104.71	18 14:3	control
29:8 92:11	_		10.2 14	04.11 100:1
	77:8 83:9	110:2	42:3, 14 45:15, 23	24:11 109:1

controlled	86:5 116:25	critical	dark 59:24	delivery
109:16	117:8 131:13	15:14 47:18	darn 74:16	83:12 95:6,
convenes	Councilman	68:15 72:9	Daryl 60:10	19 96:12, 25
81:22	7:2	78:10 96:18	dash 103:18	125:9 131:24
conversations	Councilwoman	100:3	104:1, 9	demand 12:3,
128:17, 22	40:1 41:21	cross 95:6,	139:21	21 48:3
convinced	Counsel 10:1	11 135:17	data 113:12,	55:8 139:23
49:11	103:6	crossing	21	140:13
cool 53:16	128:13	13:6 15:4,	date 99:19	demands 101:4
coordinate	145:11	11 17:9	106:8	demo 91:8
9: <i>16</i> 78: <i>9</i>	count 19:3	20:8 21:22	Dave 32:16	democracy
coordinating	55: <i>8</i> 108: <i>12</i>	23:10 33:6	106:1	120:2
110:22, 25	136:14	35:22 73:18	128:12	demonstrate
coordination	country	96:15	129:1 136:9	34:21 134:22
9:13 110:20	69:20 80:18	crossings	David 45:5	demonstration
copied 22:6	82:14 86:15,	33:12 35:20	47:8 94:13	34:25
copies 40:13	20	48:4	99:11	demonstrations
copy 47:10	County 61:15,	CSR 145:4	Dawn 37:5	34:18
Cordero 2:11	18 62:4	CTA 60:16	39:9	depart 16:13
4:1 44:6	67:1, 1	cue 70:8	day 16:12	23:1
63:9 87:10	80:23 81:3,	cultural	20:3 21:15	departing
92:7	8	23:23 24:25	22: <i>25</i> 55: <i>16</i>	12:19 15:6
cordial 75:9	couple 4:25	cumulative	133:24	Department
corporation	76:17	38:15 89:24	145:18	43:24, 25
136:2, 13, 16	107:23	90:2 114:14	days 36:23	94:22
correct	114:18	Cumulatively	41:9 45:11	122:22
102:16, 24	131:16	31:4, 7	128:17	124:18
105:3 108:2,	133:22	current	dead 66:6	departure
3, 22, 22, 23	139:18	22:22 35:5	deal 44:11	14:9, 25
110:15	course 29:22	63:7 64:17	110:14	20:1 22:24
111:5	73:19 92:16	114:23	115:14	depending
112:20 113:12	96:3, <i>14</i> 113: <i>22</i>	Currently 11:14, 23	132:7 141:19 dear 57:17	100:21 Deputy 37:5
115:12	119:12	14:17 15:5	59:8	describe
121:19	140:14	19:13 21:24	deaths 74:13,	10:23
139:16	Court 37:10,	34:21 51:10	14 deaths 74.13,	described
145:10	10, 20 38:7,	79:23 80:1	December	18:4
corrected	10, 20 30.7,	84:10 124:24	36:14	description
22:4, 9	39:6 115:11	curriculum	decides 117:3	16:4
correctly	Court's	55:5, 6	decision 9:6	deserves
108:20	38:11, 23	customers	76:20 94:14	82:17
Corridor	39:3	57:3 73:2	decisions	design 19:3
4:18 5:2	Courtyard	93:3	57:14	20:23 33:3
7:21 8:6	88:11	cuts 14:11,	decline 132:3	68:8, 11
11:18 15:16	covered	13	decreasing	94:3 96:5
33:18 46:6	79:15 90:4	cycle 97:1,	48:3	97:10 98:10,
56:4	Craig 45:5	21	deferred 99:4	15 110:13,
corridors	48:25	Cynthia	definitely	24 114:22
17:5	create 84:9	145:4, 23	80:11, 11	125:3, 8
Cosgrove	92:23		135:16 141:9	127:20
32:16 106:1	created	< D >	delay 9:9	135:6, 9, 22
128:12 129:4	46:17 66:20,	D.C 80:18	delays 47:25	142:13
cost 42:13	20 70:22	Dad 53:13	delegation	designed
47:25 48:2	creating	daily 131:21	43:9	2:13 98:1
78:14 96:7	136:1 137:10	dais 87:4	deliver 95:9	111:5, 7
Council	credit 58:13	Damas 84:24	97:23	Desmond 53:7
40:20 41:19	109:10, 23	90:10, 11	deliveries	61:24
53:2 58:1	credits 59:14	Darick 66:9	134:17	deSousa 66:9
	crew 69:3			68:7, 7
	I	I	1	I

desperately	difficult		dollars	64:8 97:4
82:17	29:13, 17	disenfranchised	25:16 71:1	113:9
despite	139:9	41:6	76:23 120:4	early 96:13
111:10	diluted	dislocated	122:2	128:17 135:
destination	89:16, 19	128:5	Dominguez	earn 58:12
12:22 14:12	diluting	Disney 65:23	16:21 21:20	59:14, 17
23:8	107:14	dispersion	double 4:22,	earnest
detail 26:18	dime 132:18	27:12 28:10	25 103:13	64:19 128:2
103:25	Dina 39:25	112:9	Doug 69:14	earning 58:2
123:8 135:4	40:2 41:18	displacement	142:18, 19, 20	east 11:13
detailed	Dines 107:7	130:13	downtown	eastern 17:1
16:3 103:20	dioxide 24:1	disregard	13:25 20:11	Eastside
113:24	26:12 31:1,	57: <i>9</i>	23:7 32:20	105:11
details	8 111:18	disregarding	33:22 34:5	echo 55:22
			1	
111:6 123:11	direct 23:5,	135:15	88:10 138:8	economic
determine	18	disrupted	Dr 4:8, 10,	49:8 50:24
18:10 27:16	directing	128:11	10 5:11	70:16 77:22
determined	124:4	distance	40:5, 6	90:24 99:3,
24:19 25:17	direction	126:9, 11	45:4, 6, 6	9 136:1, 12
128:7	3:1 42:13	distinguish	54:10, 13, 18	economically
develop 4:15	97:2	116:13	55: <i>20</i> 103: <i>9</i>	12:6 84:1
55: <i>5</i> 95: <i>19</i>	directly	distinguished	Draft 17:16,	economy
105:9	12:11	47:7	24 18:4, 10,	49:25 81:16
developed	118:14	distributed	18 20:16	20 82:8
70:10	125:17	22:10	21:1 36:13	edge 17:11
138:13, 14	Director 7:6,	distribution	37:1 49:2	78:2
developing	8 77:7	14:14	51:4 112:17	education
	• ' '			
110:24		District 5:8	142:6	58:7, 17
Development	90:11 92:5	11:8 31:2	dramatic 64:6	effect 18:16
6:8 8:24	94:21 99:13	33:23 37:25	dramatically	30:12, 14, 15
9:17 11:6	122:23	38:1 51:10	106:12	51:14 64:22
36:9 39:17	124:17	96:10 133:10	drawing 90:7	90:5 116:18
63:18 64:16	disabled	diver 53:12	drayage	effective
91:3 95:9	122:4	91:7	30:10 60:18,	42:13 96:7
97:2, 5, 13,	disappointed	division	22	effectively
23 98:8, 21	74:5	99:22	draymen 60:25	81:17 90:23
99:7 101:25	disassembled	divisional	dream 41:10	effects
128:9, 18	14:18	95:6, 11	DRIVE 1:1	89:24 90:2
133:24	disassembling	135:18	52:5	efficiencies
135:19	14:13	DNS 37:12, 15	driver 52:2	13:10 48:2
136:1, 12	_	1	1	
·	disaster	dock 57:20	•	60:21, 24
143:10, 23	75:14, 19	document	3, 4	efficiency
devices 121:2	discretion	9:24 10:6	drop 126:16	8:12, 18
diagrams	127:16	32:9 37:1	drove 137:15	25:11 84:17
114:5	discretionary	102:20	due 23:22	efficient
dialogue 94:7	73:3, 4	109:8 110:6	35:7 74:16	8:14 11:21
Diamond 51:10	discuss	111:2	112:13	12:5, 23
diesel $74:6$,	43:25 117:9	112:17 116:1	dumb 44:12	15:10, 18
12 104:15, 16	discussed	documentation	duplication	16:11 31:14
difference	26:17 133:20	6:1	40:11	43:6 68:13
34:4	discussing	documents	DuRee 2:8,	78:1 84:1
lifferent	64:6 94:1	39:2 93:24	10 43:17, 19,	95:16
9:3, 20	discussion	121:21	20 104:2	efficiently
34: <i>4</i> 53: <i>9</i>	70:13 73:20	doing 9:20	dust 24:11	8:17 72:16
86:7, 8	125:16, 19	50:9 57:1	duty 122:11	90:22
			ducy 122.11	
97:24 102:5,	127:18	1		effort 17:19
119:16	discussions	9 106:13	< E >	18:23 20:17
121:7 139:24	80:1 127:22	120:25	earlier	71:15
	1	129:21 131:8	41:12 50:15	

efforts 94:5,	elbow 134:11	73:20, 25	Engineers	45:22
6 117:6	135:21	74:7, 12	59:7	Ericson 63:10
Egoscue 3:5	elected	80:5 90:24	enhance	especially
106:19, 20	30:11 40:18	93:13	92:19 93:1,	30:17 51:15,
108:4, 24	electric	104:15, 16	17, 20	17 62:9
109:25	34:10 51:11	112:1, 6	enhancement	64:22 71:17
111:2, 8, 21	80:4 93:9	113:23	14:15 47:14	Espino 2:24
112:17	104:5	120:22	90:15	ESPINOZA 5:25
113:11	Electrical	emphasize	enhancing	essence
114:3 115:1,	46:13 65:2	9:17 92:13	81:18 84:3	68:22 79:8
6, 13, 21	10:13 03:2	93:3, 14	92:22	essential
117:13	electrification	employ 121:4	enormous 96:8	96:17
131:17	5:2	employed employed	ensure 50:18	established
143:4, 18, 25	Element	25:13	66:21 72:15	7:22 13:8
	13:16 31:19	employee	77:25 78:12	establishing
Egoscue's 118:13	45:14 46:2	25:14		98: <i>6</i>
			entering	
eight 49:19	82:19	employees	62:12	Estate 99:14,
70:25 75:2,	elements	64:23 65:14,	enterprise	22, 25
12, 16 120:4	4:13 120:21	15 79:13	68:9	100:11, 16,
eighteen 89:6	eleven 18:22	81:8 83:14	entertain	19 101:7
eighty 27:22	22:11 70:21	employers	143:1, 8, 21	133:25
eighty-five	103:18	81:7	entire 8:5	Esthetics
2:14 118:17	104:1, 9	empty 42:3	29:2 74:11	134:1
eighty-four	eliminate	enable 12:15	98:7 112:5	estimate
19:6	15: <i>12</i> 33: <i>9</i> ,	encountering	entities 62:8	28:24 29:5
EIR 8:24	21 35:23	23:24	envelope	estimated
10: <i>25</i> 15: <i>20</i>	38:14 78:18	encourage	98:22	13:21 22:25
17: <i>17, 24, 25</i>	93:13 109:15	61:1 78:21	environment	34:5
18:4, 10, 18	eliminated	119:12	49:10, 12	evaluate 25:3
19:4 20: <i>16</i>	137:24	encouraged	61:4 68:14	evaluated
21:1 26:1,	eliminates	6:17	138:5	15:20 32:22
8, 15 32: <i>22</i>	64:18	endeavor	Environmental	35: <i>15</i>
36: <i>9, 13, 22,</i>	eliminating	95: <i>12</i> 96: <i>8</i>	6:3, 11, 13	evening 2:4,
24 37:2	18:1 20:21	ends 66:6	7:7, 9 9:23,	5 3:3 4:10
47:4 50:3	elimination	energy 25:11	24 10:16, 19	7:11 26:4
51:4 52:10	73:14	78:7, 13	11:4 13:19	39:24 40:22
60:15 61:4	Elizabeth	enforce	18:14, 15	41:21 42:24
65: <i>8</i> 70: <i>6</i>	77:1, 6	120:23	32:23, 25	46:11 47:6
72:11 73:21	Elsa 124:16	enforced	34:1 35:14,	48:13, 24
78: <i>23</i> 79:1	Embassy 88:10	121:8	16 37:25	49:15 51:8,
85: <i>12</i> 87: <i>22</i>	emergency	engage 95:19	38:4, 17	19 52:12, 25
88:13, 19	34:8 64:12	96:1	39:11 42:8	54:13 55:11
89:3, 14, 25	103:22	engaged 67:7	56:2 71:15,	57:24 59:5
102:10, 18	emerging	141:9	18 72:13, 18	60:11 61:13
103:18	24:14	engagement	87:13 98:22	63:21 65:1
105:19	emission	96:4	101:23	66:11 67:15
106:10	24:14 25:9	engagements	102:19	68:20 69:1,
114:10, 24	26:1 34:11,	97:17	109:4, 8	22 72:21, 22,
115:2, 4	12, 13, 22, 24	engaging 42:8	110:16	24 73:7
116:21	35:9 74:3	engine 77:22	118:1 121:9	77:3, 5, 11,
117:4	115:9 123:14	Engineer	143:12	15, 17 79:2,
130:15	emissions	48:15	EPA 24:8	4 80:22
130:15	13:11 23:18,	engineering	equal 27:14	82:21, 23
140:6 143:9	19, 25 24:1,	10:1 17:19	equates 101:2	83:19 85:1
	16 25:23		equipment	
EIR, 10:19			1	
EIRs 49:21	26:3 29:16	51:21 82:24,	25:8 120:21	89:12 90:10
either	30:25 31:6,	25 94:21	equivalent	95:3 96:25
	8, 9 42:6	113:25	24:1 31:8	97:22 99:15
122:24		100.0 140.0		100.4
122:24 126:15 128:5	43:13 72:4	135:3 142:5		102:4
		135:3 142:5		102:4

106:10	76:4 100:10,	external	58:22, 23	fewer 20:1,
115:2 127:21	14	97:14	76:8	5 21:3, 25
events 9:3	exists 119:4	extra 113:9	family 48:20,	119:21
eventually	138:17		21 59:25	field 48:21
49:11 85:16	exit 2:20, 23	< F >	60:5 75:15	121:4
everybody	expand 21:13	Faavae 45:5	76:3 142:3	fifteen 20:3
9:19 10:3	70:17 71:4	46:11, 13	fantastic	29: <i>25</i>
94:23 131:1	expanded	facilitate	79:7 80:8	108:13 119:9
139:3	16:16	45:2	far 3:7, 8	fifty 13:23
evolving	expanding	facilitating	83:11 96:24	45:9 46:7
47:23	14:6 16:8	31:13	Farragut	48:6, 7
exactly	20:1 47:17	facilities	85:11, 12, 16,	65:16
85:14 87:15	expansion	8:1, 15	20 105:1, 11	103:11, 14,
examined	16:24 17:10	12:11, 12	fashion	16 108:25
93:23	90:15	16:17 20:3	130:23	140:22
example	expect 30:3	21:16 68:12	fast 74:4	fifty-one
30:18 50:12,	97:4, 8 98:9	facilities-base	faster 45:2	42:2
23 100:1	expected	d 4:15	Fastlane	fifty-three
106:7	19:19 70:21	FACILITY 1:1	85:4, 6, 15,	45:23
107:24	expenditures	6:4, 11 8:7	21 104:25	fifty-threes
110:18	99:5	10:14 11:7,	105:8, 12	45:24
112:22	expenses	10, 12, 14, 17,	faults 88:19	figure 129:6
113:1, 7	130:2, 2, 3	24, 24 12:14,	favor 40:8	139:21
exceed 28:6,	expensive	20 14:5, 10	49:3 57:10	141:16
18 31:1	75:3	15:14 16:2,	94:24	file 5:25
exceeded 2:15	experience	8, 13, 16, 25	131:12	102:17 143:1
exceedences	49:6	17:11 19:25	143:5, 19	fill 3:18
28:2 29:11,	experienced	21:13 22:20,	144:2	54:24
14	95:10 96:9	21 23:1, 3	Fe 66:6	filled 5:19
exceeding	experiencing	34:17 39:15	fear 67:21	filtration
28:22	85:13	42:12 43:4	feasible	127:7
excellence	expert 26:2	46:18 52:1	34:14	Final 6:3,
142:13	32:15 128:14	65:24 81:11	February	11 11:4
exceptions	expertise	91:1 93:20	36:16 145:18	17:25 19:4
19:24	61:10 95:11	105:8	federal 8:15	25:25 33:2
excess 108:13	explain 106:1	114:20	35:8, 19	36:22, 24
excited	explains 28:1	120:11	36:6 43:8,	37:2 39:11
54:21 55:10	explore	122:13	11 115:10	47:4 70:6
83:11	119: <i>13</i> 135: <i>9</i>	123:11, 12,	Federation	72:11, 18
excuse 81:7	exploring	21 126:4, 7,	80:24 81:4,	78:22 79:1
execution	141:11	8 127:5	24	91:4 94:3
98:20 142:13	exponentially	143:13	feed 55:9	97:18
Executive	122:17	Fact 39:12	67:3, 17, 18,	103:18
9:18 51:9	exports 42:2	49:11 56:14	18 86:15	116:20
52:13 61:14	76:11	62:18 74:5	feeds 86:19	128:4 135:9
77:7 87:9	express	87:4 92:18	feel 30:7	143:11
88:5 92:5	48:12 89:22	93:7, <i>25</i>	74:6 121:24	finalize
127:13	expressed	116:1	122:11, 18	47:4 49:20
exist 21:24	13:18 34:10	121:17	feels 126:18	finally
existed	63:8	131:2 132:16	feet 12:20	15:17 24:17
138:17	expressing	factored	14:13 16:13	30:6 31:21
existing	81:10	110:19	65:10, 12	34:7 35:11
11:7 13:4	extend 63:3	factors 73:14	74:25 75:1	93:25 96:20
	extended	fair 41:8	100:25 101:3	financially
14:5 16:2,		fairly 94:22	fell 119:12	75:17
	36:17			
8 17:7, 17	36:17 extensive	_	fellow 57:6	find 3:13
8 17:7, 17 18:15 22:19,	extensive	fall 100:13	fellow 57:6	find 3:13 46:9 49:8
8 17:7, 17 18:15 22:19, 21 23:3, 10	extensive 9:11 103:17	fall 100:13 falling 72:5	77:4 82:15	46:9 49:8
8 17:7, 17 18:15 22:19,	extensive	fall 100:13		

89:21 116:4	122:12	forty 45:24	29:14	133:21 141:6
122:10	134:15	82:13	Friday 37:20	
finding 84:15	follow 3:1,	133:21 141:1	friends 60:17	< G >
findings 6:4	12 90:13	forty-eight	front 47:3	game 52:6
18: <i>18</i> 39: <i>12</i>	117:16	16:9 21:5	53:22 70:7	127:15
104:22	followed 7:7	forty-five	83:5 117:8	Gamette
116:1, 7, 9	36:10	71:22	143:13	94:16, 19, 20
fine 63:2	Following	forty-seven	fruition	102:4
Fire 43:20,	11:2 16:4	141:22	137:14	120:19
24 44:10, 17	81:22	forty-six	frustrating	129:6
104:2	123:22	141:17	75:3	130:16, 16
Firefighters	128:23	forward 43:7,	fuel 14:24	135:16
44:12	129:4, 5	10 47:5	24:10 78:7	garnered 29:7
firm 51:24	follow-up	49:24 50:4	82:1	Gary 84:24
82:24 100:19	52:10	51:7 52:9,	fueling	88:10 104:19
firms 100:16	food 122:9	10 53:20	16:19 18:2	gas 25:9, 23
first 32:8	foot 45:10,	68:25 69:6,	20:22	141:24
42:5 44:13	23 131:20	19 70:14	fugitive	gate 8:15
45:4 51:4	football	72:12 74:2	24:11	37:13
55:19 65:17	48:21		fulfilling	
55:19 65:17 67:2 70:9		81:22 86:16,	31:11 72:13	gates 7:18, 25
73:10 77:7	footprint 17:18 18:5	23 87:18 91:24 97:8	116:8	
				Gateway
79:19 81:4	20:5 21:18	102:8 107:4	full 4:18,	37:14 73:5
83:9 92:8	32:4 100:9	110:23	22 5:1	140:16
95:5, 8, 18	114:24	114:16	48:1 112:18	General
98:3, 6	force 57:20	116:4 118:8	145:9	31:19 69:13,
129:5, 13	79:17	127:5 128:24	fully 20:14	24 90:23
136:14	forces 70:18	fought 54:4	32:22 53:25	104:10
137:1 139:20	Forecast	found 24:22	119:22	generally
fit 45:9	100:18	28:14 38:24	funding	100:8 101:5
55:6	118:23, 25	129:25	62:15	140:15
fits 50:21	119:1, 5	four 15:20	122:23	generate 91:2
five 22:25	120:12	23:17 25:16	124:6 136:8,	generating
24:9 28:17	139:19	34:5 37:17	10	26:11
35:3 44:25	140:12, 14	45:23 53:6	funds 96:21	generation
45:4 81:8	forecasting	65:18	124:4	53:11
113:8 120:22	100:16	102:23	further 16:1	generations
five-year	120:12	139:21	25:24 35:7,	48:20
24:13	foregoing	141:23	24 52:5	gentlemen 6:9
flag 107:19,	145:9	four-oh 104:9	100:5 109:4	George 47:11
21 114:13	foremost	fourteen	110:20	Gerald 61:23
fleet 79:20	44:13 95:5	21:15	112:19	getting 8:16
Flores 54:10	129:5	Fourth 6:14	130:17	9:9 10:4
59:5, 6	form 95:6	100:17, 23	145:11	46:2 73:21
flow 43:12	136:13	frame 95:24	Furthermore	79:25 83:10
45:3 47:17	formal 95:13	97:6	100:23	116:11
78:1 98:3,	former 40:3	Frank 3:8	future 12:2	118:17
17	89:1 107:6	84:23 86:4	30:9 35:25	137:19
flu 76:15	forms 42:9	Freeway 11:9	48:6, 8	gifts 59:20
fluffy 121:14	97:2 114:4	30:19 42:16	52:23 54:24	60:5 82:16
fluid 29:15	formula	48:22 132:18	77:7, 10	Ginny 6:25
focus 111:18	141:17	freeways	78:15 93:9	54:9, 12, 18
112:7	forth 15:10	51:16 71:16	102:6 107:3	Giovany
folks 9:23	95:17	72:3 78:19	118:25	84:25 91:4,
41:6, 14	103:17 145:7	freight 12:6	124:3	5
50:7, <i>18</i>	fortunate	13:17 31:20	125:16	girl 56:24
	t and a second s	71:21 116:15	128:6	give 2:9
80:14, 15, 19	83: <i>2</i>	11.21 110.13		_
	83: <i>2</i>	frequency	130:24	41:5, 8
80:14, 15, 19	83:2			

5 95:4	goes 53:14	120:1	group 82:5	handle 12:17
112: <i>25</i>	93:21 110:23	126:15, 25	85:3 138:24	23:4 43:17
113:5 139:15	Going 7:14,	129:17	groups 9:13	44:22
given 5:15	25 40:19	130:9	13:19 38:1,	handled 7:24
20:5 21:18	44:21 49:2	134:20	3 42:8 81:5	72:16
25:24 55:15	54:11 58:5		grow 68:16	
	l .	136:5, 11, 19	_	handling
59:10, 20	61:21 62:17	142:7, 20	71:4 73:3	127:25
60:6 70:16	63:18, 22	goods 72:15	76:6 87:13,	handwritten
108:17	64:13, 21	78:1 81:18	16, 16	114:5
gives 59:12	65:6 66:16	82:13	132:24	happen 28:8
69:15	69:7, 9, 10	Governor	133:15, 15	74:4 80:9,
giving 55:14	86:16, 18, 18	116:15	grown 122:17	15, 20 120:18
106: <i>20</i>	88:14 89:9	graduate	growth 42:1	happened
112:19	90:6 91:14,	58: <i>16</i>	56:19 70:16	110:5
128:10	18, 18 92:15	grandfather	86:16 119:2	happening
glad 47:3	95:14, 15	53:11	140:15	106:3
glamor 56:21	99:11 102:2	grant 96:21	guess 120:15	happens 56:4
glamorize	105:15	123:23	124:1	125:13
56:22	106:22	granted 38:7	126:11 134:9	happy 79:21
glamorous	112:1	Grants 24:18	guessing	131:12
56: <i>25</i>	114:13	25:17 31:21	74:24	HARBOR 1:1
global 23:25	114:13	116:20	guests 55:13	2:7 6:8
25:6 47:23	120:23	117:7, 17, 20	guidance	11:3, 6, 8
111:11	124:23, 25	117:7, 17, 20	61:10 97:2	20:11 33:22
			1	
go 10:2	129:2	121:25	98:19	39:17 43:2
25:24 28:12	131:23	122:24	Guidelines	47:7 54:14
38:13 46:6	132:2, 4, 5,	124:4, 12	18:11 36:6,	55:12 60:12,
69:16 76:6	11 136:8, 24	127:3	11	17 64:2
82:14 85:25	137:23	141:17, 21	guys 5:17	71:9, 19
87: <i>18</i> 93: <i>16</i>	139:12 141:6	grateful	65:5	72:9, 14
104:22, 22	GOMET 120:10	70:12	Guzman 3:6	79:2, 3, 11,
107:17, 18	Good 2:4	grave 61:3	105:16, 17	12, 16 81:1
108:4 110:9	3:3 4:10	gray 140:2	106:9, 16	87: <i>25</i> 90: <i>10</i>
111:13	7:11 26:4	great 9:21	118:5, <i>6</i>	94:21 96:10
117:8 121:5	34:17 40:22	44:11 51:13,	119:6, 24	100:4, 20
124:24	41:8, 21	13, 17 52:20,	120:15, 20	101:1, 25
128:23	42:24 44:3	23 55:2	121:13, 20	143:10, 23
133:3, 5	46:11 47:6	58: <i>15</i> 59: <i>12</i>	124:14	hard 10:4
138:9	48:13, 24	60:4 62:18	126:1 128:3	50:2, 8, 17
140:11, 18	49:15 51:8,	71:14 73:11	130:14, 16	52:21 54:5
goal 7:22,	19 52:12, 17,	76:18 120:2	131:4, 5	hard-working
23 8:8	18, 25 54:13	131:8	137:9, 21	55:1 61:17
12:17 13:12	55:11 57:8,	135:24	137.77, 21	Harris 49:13,
16:15 20:15	8, 23, 24	141:13	< H >	15, 16
22:16 31:15	58:8, 24		habitat 23:21	hat 55:19,
	l .			
52:5 60: <i>20</i>	59:5 60:11	133:12	Habitats	24 56:7
71:21, 23	61:13 62:7	greatest	24:20	hats 55:16
109:4	65:1 66:11	67:21	Hacegaba 88:6	haul 114:1
118:16	68:20 69:1,	green 17:24	Hahn 7:4	hauls 73:24
119:18, 21,	11, 11, 22	56:19 87:16	half 75:2,	head 88:10
23 121:14	72:22 75:5,	133:16	13, 16 141:25	123:7 124:15
133: <i>6</i>	21 77:3	134:13 140:4	Hall 53:12	Headquarters
	79:2, 4	greenest 51:1	halls 42:9	44:10
goals 8:18,		I	halt 25:2	health 23:18
<pre>goals 8:18, 20 12:7</pre>	80:12, 22	greenhouse		1
goals 8:18, 20 12:7 13:18 33:11	82:21 83:19	25:9, 23	hand 10:9	58:24
goals 8:18, 20 12:7 13:18 33:11 48:6 54:4				1
goals 8:18, 20 12:7 13:18 33:11 48:6 54:4 62:7 71:18	82:21 83:19	25:9, 23	hand 10:9	58:24
goals 8:18, 20 12:7 13:18 33:11 48:6 54:4	82: <i>21</i> 83: <i>19</i> 85:1 86: <i>24</i>	25:9, 23 141:24	hand 10:9 37:5 40:15	58: <i>24</i> 122: <i>22</i>
goals 8:18, 20 12:7 13:18 33:11 48:6 54:4 62:7 71:18	82:21 83:19 85:1 86:24 87:24 88:9	25:9, 23 141:24 gritty 134:6	hand 10:9 37:5 40:15 42:11 135:2	58:24 122:22 124:17

healthy	higher 72:3	hopefully	35:4 60:20	49:12 66:4
42:19 70:16	119:2	65:5 127:1	114:24	104:17
hear 64:13	highest 29:1,	132:17, 23	123:22	105:7
90:8	2, 24 72:20	142:8	128:9, 20	110:16
			1	I I
heard 69:4	74:13	horns 35:19,	identifying	111:9, 10, 24
92:21 93:5,	highly 11:10	19, 23 73:15	7:15, 16, 18	112:8, 12
<i>22</i> 96:14	high-pressure	host 37:25	129: <i>23</i> 135: <i>8</i>	113:2, 4
101: <i>17</i>	24:10	hosted 70:20	idling 24:9	123:12, 14,
102:4 104:2	high-profile	hour 29:4	120:22 121:1	21 124:10
116: <i>16</i>	65:22	113:2	III 6:8	125:11
140:21, 22	Highway 85:7	hourly 29:3	11:6 39:16	Impacts,
141:16	highways 13:2	hours 28:11,	79:22 143:23	112:8
HEARING 1:1	hint 114:11	18, 22 59:22	illustrate	implement
2:8 5:24	115:14	91:23 113:8,	97:16, 21	24:11 31:17
6:2, 10	hire 51:14	9	illustrated	35:6
			1	1
36:23 44:17	62:5 70:23	house 97:13	98:16	implementation
58: <i>8</i> 101: <i>19</i>	hired 24:21	huge 72:12	ILWU 56: <i>9</i>	116:10
108:19	hires 57:15	107:8	57:5, 20	implemented
110:9 143:1	Hispanic	Human 122:22	immediately	24:15 25:5,
144:5, 6	90:12, 13	124:17, 17	44:9 75:24	12
hearings	history 86:14	hundred 2:13	96:1	implementing
102:7	hit 88:11	13:23 19:6	immensely	31:14
heart 57:17	124:15 134:8	21:5 45:9	73:12	importance
59:8 121:24	hits 72:1	49:19 61:16	Impact 6:3,	25:24 49:24
Heather 7:8	hitting 71:1,	63:14 65:9,	11 10:19	71:14 92:14
10:9 26:4	1	10, 11, 14	11:4 18:18	important
39:7, 21	hoc 106:25	70:21, 25	26:16, 23	7:13 30:7
61:9 77:8	127:16	74:13 81:5,	28:7 36:7	32:7 43:5
		1		
96:14 97:19		6, 7 90:18	1	46:3 48:22
99:19	5:21 66:22	91:2 100:24,	41:3 42:4	55:15 56:20
104:25	103:11	25 101:3	49:9, 23	58:20 59:16
117:14	holders 42:8	103:11, 14,	56:2 64:6,	62:6 64:8,
120:21	92:2 94:8	16 108:25	11 65:6	17 68:13
124:14, 20	96:1, 5	120:4 122:1	89:8, 16, 18,	69:4, 5
132:20 141:7	125:2, 18, 22	141:16, 22	23 98:22	71:17 78:17
heavy 104:11	127:17	hundreds 78:5	101:23	80:20 82:19
held 36:19	138:24	Huntington	107:25	83:15, 16, 17
Hello 73:9	holistic	7:3 40:20	108:16	92:10, 13
help 12:17	124:21	41:14, 15	109:9 111:3	93:10, 12
42:12 55:9	Holland 45:5	11 11, 13	112:4 113:3	94:7 95:15
64:21 67:17,	48:24, 25	< I >	122:12, 20	96:17 108:5
	home 51:15	I-710 8:1	123:16	110:1, 1, 8
18, 18 83:2 97:16			1	
	59:22, 24	11:9 33:18	125:25, 25	111:14
107:24	60:1, 3	125:13	126:22	113:11
122:18	Homeless	IBEW 46:13	137:25	114:7 115:7
123:4 133:4	89:2 122:15	51:12	143:12	126:1, 4
136: <i>16</i>	137:12, 13	identified	impacted	133:19, 23
helpful	Honorable	8:2, 8, 20	50:13 78:9	134:1, 2
105:14	51:20 55:11	11:20 23:17	impacts	135:5, 12
helping 53:7	81:1	25:2, 21	10:20, 23	136:18
71:4 122:15	hope 41:5, 8	26:3 30:22	18:14 23:16,	137:10
126:21	48:10 49:4	32:25 35:17	19, 21 24:4,	138:21
128:20	54:7 57:18,	36:3, 7	5 25:19, 20,	importantly
helps 117:25	19 62:20, 24	121:5	22 26:11, 15	48:5 57:3,
hereunto	64:19 68:18	123:25	30:22 31:4	15 137:4
145:17	74:4 76:19	124:9 129:9	32:23, 25	imports 42:2
hey 136:6	80:21 87:19,	133:8	34:1, 8, 9	76:11
high 42:3	23 138:14, 23	identify	35:12, 14, 16	impose 35:9
100:20	hopeful 34:24	24:14 33:25	36:2, 4	
	l	I	I	

<pre>improve 13:6,</pre>	Incomplete	in-ground	inventory	Jareed 77:2
9 43:12	45:11 46:9	16:17	100:25	82:22
93:6	incorrect	initial	invest 44:11	Jeanne 41:20
improved	104:15	128:16	81:13	Jeannine 40:1
23:12	increase	initially	investigation	Jelenic 71:8
improvement	12:1 18:15	97:3	99:23 100:5	72:22, 23
8:8 33:12	48:2	initiate	investment	Jenny 7:1
49:8 77:19	increased	32:12	117:18	JENSEN 103:5,
improvements	14:2	injectors	invite 26:1	6
15:18 22:19	increasing	24:10	involved	JENSON
31:18 33:19	7:16, 19	inside 7:25	34:21 37:11	105:22
77:25	incredible	137:19 138:6	38:2 76:7	106:15
improving	63:1 122:13	inspection	involvement	108:3, 23
84:17	130:15 137:3	14:25 121:11	3:16	109:10
inadequacies	incredibly	inspectors	Irantzu 7:3	110:16
88:19	64:11 126:4	121:5	42:22	115:12, 17
inadequate	increment	install 121:1	issue 5:3	Jerard 77:2
45:11 46:9	27:11, 24	instance	73:19, 19, 21	80:23
inadvertently	incremental	125:5	104:10	Jeremy 49:13,
22:6	26:23 133:19		115:7, 10	16
inaudible	indicated	instrumentation	117:10	Jessica 77:2
6:6 57:14	17:22 104:2,	27:3	121:22 141:4	83:20
75:7 77:22	14	intact 125:21	issued 37:21	Jessie 53:6
78:6 79:14,	indicating	Integrated	issues 38:12	Jim 71:8
24 81:4	3:19 17:24	51:21	45:15 93:23	73:9 104:13
84:8 89:25	individual	intend 128:22	108:19	Jiminez
90:17 93:7	33:5 58:21	intended	114:9	54:10 57:24,
102:11	98:12	104:12	115:14	25
122:2	138:11, 15	intents 63:24	126:6 132:8	job 5:15, 15
127:21	individuals	interaction	137:22	9:21 41:8
136:4 138:15	62:12 97:25	15:12	141:11, 15	52:18, 20
incentivized	industrial	interactions	item 3:14,	55:7, 9
46:19	11:11	33:9	19 4:4	56:16 61:23
include 12:4	100:11, 25	interchange	5:25 109:23	62:7 65:17
16:16 96:2	101:5	90:3	items 5:24	67:13 79:7
120:6 130:1,	104:10, 11	interest	50:1 81:22	88:2, 6, 7
25	126:5	44:25	101:22	107:12
included	industry	interested	its 4:18	122:3
8:10, 11	38:3 61:3,	145:13	11:25 16:8	130:15
13:13 17:23 18:1 19:4	11 111:1	interesting	23:4 29:1,	131:8
	influence 98:20	5:3 46:8 interfere	3 30:18 46:7 64:17	136:19 137:2
20:21 21:16 22:9 31:24	information	78:13	46:7 64:17 71:20 72:13	jobs 42:20 46:17 48:8
36:25 99:1	26:2 100:7	intermodal	78:2 87:12,	
114:14	101:9	23:5 72:6	12 121:23	52:17 54:22, 24 55:4, 8
120:5, 16	113:24	International	125:19 130:7	57:15 58:8
130:19, 20	113:24	37:14 92:24	IV 24:11	66:19, 23
includes	140:5	100:18	79:24	67:12, 12, 19
31:12, 15	informative	inter-rail	13.44	69:11, 11
49:6	94:25	68:12	< J >	70:21 81:15,
including	infrastructiona	intersection	Jacobs 82:23	18 90:18, 23
9:12 10:22	1 77:19	15:4, 7 33:7	83:14	91:2 92:23
16:17 17:2	infrastructure	intersections	Janice 7:4	136:19
24:7 31:11	7:17 13:4	34:1	Janocha	Joe 91:10
32:24 37:23	14:7 15:19	Interstate	60:10 65:1,	John 26:3, 6
78:5	54:2 69:9	132:17	2	30:20 49:14
inclusive	78:17 81:13,	introduce	JANUARY 1:1	52:25
57:1, 2	15 132:24	7:7 40:3	36:24 37:20	111:20
3,-1, 2	15 152.21	10.5	30-21 37-20	123:6 128:19
				123.0 120.19
	1	1	1	'

	i	i	1	1
join 40:21	114:13	80:23 81:3,	Lee 4:9	98:3, 6
41:19, 22	126:9 128:9	8 100:20	5:18 71:8	109:23
<pre>joined 38:4</pre>	129:16	101:1	74:19	114:1 119:5
39:23 53:11	135:21	Labor 51:11	LEED 25:10	140:2, 3, 4, 4
54:17	kinds 134:7	54:24 57:6,	left 3:8	lines 17:4
Joining 3:4	know 3:22	21 62:2	139:4	98:16 119:2
40:6	5:20 7:12		legal 18:24	139:24
Jones 49:13	9:2, 18	119:25 120:3		list 46:1
51: <i>8, 9</i>	30:8 40:1		115:16	126:24
Jordan 44:22,		Ladies 6:9	116:3 128:13	130:20
24 49:13	46:8, 22, 24	laid 91:9	length 76:8	listed 96:20
54:9 60:9	50:2, 8, 12,	Lamb 145:4,	lengthy 75:3	130:23
66:9 68:5	17, 22 53:18	23	lessening	listen 64:3
71:7 76:12	55:3, 18	landlocked	116:14	86:3
77:1 84:23	58:8 59:12,	85:16, 25	lessons	listening
91:4	15, 21, 23, 24	language	117:10	94:10
Joshua 4:8	60:16 61:3	6:20, 20	letter 47:11	litigating
5:12, 13	63:6, 17		77:13 79:7,	122:20
journey 83:10		_	9 82:19	little 9:8
journeyman	65:19, 20	116:24 133:5	1	27:24 49:9
58:16	67:20, 21, 22	largest 15:22	122:21 127:6	
journeymen		lastly 13:5	letters	76:13 89:12
86:10	15 81:15	69:10 83:13	108:10, 11	107:11
juncture	86:5, 9, 12,	98:24	Leue 45:5	112:25
15: <i>15</i>	17, 25 88:23	late 137:11	48:13, 13	113:6
	91:11 96:8	launching	Level 6:8	130:17
< K >	97:20 99:11,	141:10	11:6 29:1,	133:23
Karl 99:14	19 100:1, 8	Law 85:3	2 39:16	134:14
101:13 Kate 66:10	101: <i>20</i> 104: <i>20</i>	107:20 124:21	48:4 55:7 143:23	140:25
				livable 67:13
69:23	106:6	126:12 laws 19:18	levels 9:20	live 3:14 41:14 46:16
Kathy 103:6 keep 47:16	107:13 109:1	Lawson 49:14	112:9, 13, 15,	51:9 55:25
48:2 49:23	111:12	52:12, 13	life 5:16	61:18 67:11
69:10 72:24	113:1, 25	lay 134:4	59:11 81:19	84:20 88:10
74:24 75:18	113:1, 25	Layover 18:2	89:4 97:1,	108:7 134:23
76:9 83:7	121:24	20:22	21 137:11	lives 48:21
125:21	122:21	LBCT 71:17,	life-long	living 122:10
136:19	124:20, 21	20 72:5	87:6	load 71:25
139:12	126:10, 17	lead 10:16,	lift 134:14	loaded 14:24
Keeper 69:12	129:18	18 55:3, 4	lighting	23:7
keeping	130:22	107:15 108:7	25:11	loading
42:18 51:15	134:5, 6, 14,	leader 50:24	limit 23:3	12:16 13:25
72:12 73:16	16, 21, 25	95:11, 13	24:9 84:4	14:20
key 4:13	135:13, 13	leaders 68:3	120:22	Local 5:13
8:17 15:22	136:6, 10	leadership	limitation	8:19 12:8
19:24 32:11	137:23	43:6 49:18	121:2	13:1, 19
46:3 53:23	138:7 139:1	leading 50:7	limitations	26:12 31:17
54:3 93:17	140:25	learned	47:25	46:13 49:25
95:7 96:4,	142:5, 19	117:10	limited	50:16 51:12,
13, 22	Kyle 66:10	learning	11:24 84:10	14 52:14
kick 130:1	69:2	59:13	limits 15:8	53:1 57:15,
kicked		lease 19:18	line 12:22	15, 16 59:7
140:21, 22	< L >	leased 19:15	14:16 17:23,	62:5 63:12
kid 134:17	LA 4:24	leaves 13:21	24 45:1	64:3, 11
kids 76:6	5:6 18:7	27:23	63:4 74:22,	66:23, 25, 25
kind 47:2	19:12 37:15,	led 4:1	23, 23 79:3,	68:21 71:3
49:8 89:13	18 61:14, 17	9:24 25:11	12, 13, 16	72:2 78:18
98:18	62:4 67:1		84:10 90:6	79:14 82:6

91:6, 7	56:14 57:18	127:23	72:22 74:18	marine
103:25	59:7 60:19	130:2, 13	85:1 86:1	79:20
1				
112:7	61:18 62:8,	132:1 133:20	91:5 94:11,	Mario 4:1
131:24	10, 19 63:16,	Lori 3:6	15, 19 99:16	9:18 63:9
133:11	19 64:2	Los 4:14	101:16	75:6 79:6
locally	65: <i>12</i> 66: <i>25</i>	8:4 17:3	118:6	87:10 94:12,
70:23 82:11	67:1, 4, 6,	37:12, 15, 18	127:11	20 119:14
located 6:18	11 68:9	38:8 51:16	139:17	127:12
11:7, 10	69:5, 19	60:19 77:16	magnitude	128:1 137:1
15:4 19:12	70:20 71:10	lose 85:15	95:9 97:14	Mark 63:10
23:10 63:12	72:13 73:10,	137:5	116:24 134:3	marked 17:22
65:3 85:6	14, 24 78:18	losing 85:17	main 14:16	market
123:10	79:4 80:2,	138:16	mainline	100:11 101:4
126:5, 9	7 81:1	loss 130:8, 9	22:23	marking 17:23
location	82:11 83:10	lot 9:3	maintain	Marquez 53:6
15:13 28:19,	84:14 86:13	26:11 46:17	20:10 78:1	Marysol
23 29:24	87:3, 6, 14,	53: <i>9</i> 54: <i>5</i>	maintained	54:10 59:6
30:18 76:1	15, 23 89:1	59:21, 22, 25	2:21 24:9	mass 75:22
104:11	90:16, 17, 20	61:20 65:21,	105:13	Master 6:15
105:2, 12	91:20, 20	22 70:16	maintenance	material
125:21	92:18 93:21	79:9 83:6	17:7	25:13
127:22	99:19		major 69:20	materials
130:3, 4, 7	100:20	96:9 107:13	100:16, 18	119:8
locations	100:20	118:13	116:21	math 117:4
29:16 123:9	131:10	119:24	majority	matter 91:13,
locomotive	134:20	126:6	79:13	16 93:6
11:15 12:13	136:18	134:18	making 42:19	131:2
14:23 16:18,		137:18, 24	44:11 80:8	matters 32:16
19 34:22, 23	longer 11:21	139:4, 12	93:21 94:6	Matthew 63:10
35:9 79:24	12:21 14:12,	142:19	102:22	maximize
80:4, 4	17 15:8	Lou 2:6	121:9 127:8	7:22 92:15
locomotives	21:23 48:3	84:24 86:25	Malalulu 7:1	maximizing
13:7 34:11,	71:23 84:9	loud 88:17	Malauulu	48:17
13 73:22	Longshore	love 67:13	54:9, 19	maximum
74:3, 16	56:14	low 73:25	55:11	11:25 28:19,
79:20, 22	long-term	Lowenthal	man 59:17	23 91:12
114:1 115:9	118:22	3:7 7:4	manage 12:16	Mayor 135:25
lofty 71:18	long-time	42:23 43:14	99:1	136:13
logistics	78:16 134:18	136:22, 23	managed 78:7	137:12
78:14	look 29:19	139:11		
1			Management	McCannon
LONG 1:1	41:13 43:10	lower 38:10,	5:8 9:7	69:14
4:24 5:6	52:9 65:21,	11 98:16	24:6 31:2	McDonald's
6:25 7:1,	25 74:2	99:4 140:15	37:25 51:22,	65:23
13 8:18	80:12, 12	Luis 84:24	24 83:1	McIntosh
10:15 12:20	88:18 89:11	90:11	105:9 121:3	37:5, 8
16:13 17:3	91:25 93:11	Lytle 46:22	125:3 133:9	mean 56:23,
18:8 31:18	107:4		Manager	23 76:7
33:19 37:11,	113:10	< M >	68:21 80:23	119:20
24 38:1	123:1	Madam 2:10	Managing 7:6	135:13
40:4, 5	130:25	3:2 7:11	94:21	137:24
43:20, 24, 24	135: <i>15</i> , <i>19</i>	10:11 26:5	mandate	meaning 12:1
44:3, 18	137:18, 21	37:8 40:22	31:12 38:7	meaningful
46:16, 16	140:12	43:19 44:24	116:8	125:19
48:9, 14, 20,	looked 8:4	47:6 49:15	mandated	127:18
25 49:17	29:6 100:15	51:8, 19	35:19	means 4:25
51:10 52:2,	looking 4:22	52:12 57:24	manner 41:4	79:24 81:15,
14, 19, 20, 22,	5:1 8:12	60:11 61:13	March 36:17	16 122:4
23 54:16, 22	52:10 69:7	62:25 66:11	62:17	measure
55:4, 25	86:13 93:11	69:22 71:9	02.1/	81:14 143:13
33.4, 23	00.13)3.11	07.22 /1.9		01.14 143.13
	1	1	1	1

measured	138:23	misleading	monoxide	multiple
27:3 29: <i>2</i>	142:10	132:10	30: <i>25</i>	15: <i>9</i> 31: <i>6</i>
measures	Merchant	mission 90:13	months 44:9	55: <i>16</i>
24:4, 12	83:21	mitigate	Moore 77:2	Multi-Service
25:4, 7, 11	merely 29:21	50:10 64:21	82:21, 22	29:7, 11
30:22	Mergen 66:10	mitigated	morally	30:17 63:13
mechanism	69:22, 23	25:20 50:19	122:12	88:25 89:4,
122:25	message 64:24	mitigating	morning	7, 15 90:7
mechanisms	met 62:7	120:21	59:23 137:15	112:24
136:10	75:6, 6	Mitigation	motion	122:14 137:9
meet 8:8	124:16	6:6 24:4	102:18	mutually
12:2, 17	meteorological	25:7, 22	115:15	87: <i>20</i>
14:10 20:14	113:21	30:21, 23	126:14	07.20
22:15 24:7,	meteorology	33:1 35:17	143:1, 7, 9,	< N >
	113:14	39:13	1	
10 119:18,			10, 11, 21, 24	nail 124:15
21 132:14	methodology	111:10	144:4	Nam 65:18
135:20	24:19 25:18	117:2	motions 143:8	name 5:13
meeting 3:9,	36:5 117:16,	121:25	move 14:18	26:6 46:12
13, 15 5:4	24 123:23	124:10	42:12 68:24	47:8 48:13,
49:2 56:11	Metro 132:17	127:3 143:14	69:19 73:4	24 49:16
62: <i>22</i> 75:7	mid 76:4	Mitsubishi	81:17, 18	51:9, 20
89:3 122:6	Middle 71:18	117:1	86:23 90:22	52:13 57:25
125: <i>12</i>	72:9, 14	mixing 45:22	91:24 102:7	59:5 60:12
138: <i>25</i>	migratory	Mobility	103:15	65:1 68:6,
meetings	23:22	8:20 13:16	114:16	20 69:1, 23
3:17 9:12	Mike 43:18,	31:18	116:4	71:10 72:23
36:19 42:9	18, 20 45:5	mode 12:9	132:25	74:19 77:6
67: <i>25</i> 75: <i>8</i>	48:13 104:2	31:16	142:22	79:3 80:22
80:17	mile 11:13	model 27:12,	143:25	82:22 83:20
meets 15:22	miles 4:23	24 28:2, 10	moved 14:3	85:2 86:4,
119:22	34:24 37:18	29:10, 17	20:15 22:16	24 88:9
member 6:20	60:3 78:18	74:6 104:14	31:16, 17	90:11 91:4
40:20 41:19	Miller 60:9	112:9	143:3, 17	94:20 145:18
43:14 48:19	61:13, 14	113:15, 23	movement	named 53:5
56:8 70:19	million	modeled	12:10, 11	Nathan 66:9
137:13	25:16 70:25	27:11 29:20	23:4 31:13	68:7
members	74:14 81:8	36:1 74:7	43:6 61:1	nation 82:12
40:23 43:2,	100:24	104:16	68:14 72:15	natural 113:4
19 46:14, 19	118:21	modernization	movements	nature 29:15
49:19 51:20	119:10	117:19	15:10 113:25	93:4
52:13, 21	120:4	modernize	moves 43:7	Navy 65:18
53:10, 17	141:24, 25	13:3	81:16 82:2,	near 11:9,
60:12 61:14	millions	modification	8 139:2	25 56:1
69:22 70:1,	76: <i>22</i> 81: <i>19</i>		moving 29:16	57:16 59:8
•	mind 82:9	18:1, 21 19:3 20:21,	_	84:20
		1	<u> </u>	
memo 111:17	106:2 116:22	23	24 50:3	nearby 56:5
memorandum	mine 68:15	modifications	51:7 69:6	near-dock
111:21	minimally	18:5, 9, 13,	70:14 80:5,	12:10 13:24
men 40:25	126:11	17 106:6	6 90:17	23:7
57:11 61:17	minimize	modified	130:2 135:9	nearly 60:18
69:10 80:13	17:20 20:18	16:22	MSC 56:15	141:18
mention 46:21	93:5	modify 14:4	121:20	near-term
mentioned	minority	moment 41:24	123:2, 10, 25	71:21
26:25 41:12	59:18	101:18 103:1	124:16	near-zero
46:25 79:10	minute 44:5	money 127:2	125: <i>12</i>	34:21
97:4 99:19	minutes	monitoring	137:25 138:1	necessarily
120:20	24:10 34:6	24:23, 25	much-needed	110:14
127:6	40:10 95:1	27:4 35:24	8:8	necessary
127.0				
127.0	120:22	39:14 143:15		15:1 18:11

20:7 21:3	new 16:22	Notice 8:24	Office 7:3,	133:13, 21
38:24 39:5	17:24 18:14	53:5	4 9:25	139:23, 23
65:20 73:1,	25:10 34:18	notified	42:22 94:13	140:18
2 99:9	35:4 53:5,	129:16	official	141:2 143:12
117:7 138:19	10 61:25	noting 109:3	138:24	one-eighty-thre
need 2:20	68:8 74:13	NOX 75:13	officially	e 104:1
3:21 7:15	100:11	141:22	81:17	one-hour
11:20 13:22	119:4	number 3:19	officials	28:6, 12, 18
14:11 16:23	120:11	18:24 19:2	40:19	29:18, 21, 25
19:7, 12	130:7	20:12 21:3	offramp 90:6	30:3, 5
21:7, 20	132:18	22:5, 8, 13	off-road	113:4
22:12 32:3	136:1	28:21 37:23	24:8 25:8	one-quarter
40:3 51:5	140:12, 14	38:6, 22	offsite 14:24	11:13
53:24 67:12	141:10	54:21 63:7	off-site	ones 113:3
80:11, 11	new-bee 126:6	66:19 74:13	28:19, 23	ongoing
84:4 89:11	newly 17:4	78:6 97:16	29:24	24:16, 23, 25
91:17 92:15,	nice 73:20	100:15	okay 2:16	70:5, 12
19 95:10	night 73:16	101:17	5:21, 22	On-Rail
101:11	nine 21:13	102:5, 6	76:19 90:3	139:14
119:3 120:5	100:24	103:10	99:12	on-road 24:7
123:3, 7	ninety 27:22	108:10 118:7	102:13, 17,	25:7
126:13, 17	81:6	Numbers	21, 25	on-site
128:20	ninety-six	18:25 22:9	106:16	113:12
130:24	22:10	27:14 140:22	108:4, 22, 24	op-ed 87:10
130:24	Ninth 51:10	numerous	108:4, 22, 24	open 6:10
needed 39:1	nitrogen	104:22	110:8 111:2	23:11 40:16
47:16 95:8,	26:12 30:25	104.22	110.8 111.2	70:12 91:14
11 97:9	31:1 111:18	< 0 >		
	NO2 26:2, 19	-	115:6, 13, 21 120:20	105:2, 12
neediest	1	objective 8:2		opened 63:4 91:19
	27:1, 6, 14,	objectives	128:1, 3	
needing	23 28:2, 2,	10:22 12:4	142:1, 24	openness 64:5
91:17	6, 12, 18	15:23 141:5	old 65:4	operate
131:23 138:4	29:11, 20, 23	obligation	Oliva 84:25	22:22 129:15
needs 55:6	30:3 113:2	110:6 122:11	91:4, 5, 5	operating
91:20 99:25	no-build	obligations	ombudsman	11:25 59:6
129:7, 7	140:2	132:14	67:17	85:5 99:24
131:21	Noel 88:6	obtain 67:24	Once 23:3	operation
needy 126:21	noise 35:12,	87:12	ON-DOCK 1:1	15:10 23:20
negates 97:1	15, 24, 25	obviously	6:4, 10	24:2 26:17,
negotiated	114:6 125:5	137:3	7:12, 15, 16,	17 29:12
62:2	noises 89:17	occupants	23, 24 8:7,	30:25 31:5,
neighbor	nonprofit	129:15	10, 15 10:14	9 36:8
75:21 87:24	67:5	occupied	11:16 12:2,	68:12
neighborhoods	No-Project	100:8	12, 15, 18	111:11
8:1 11:11	16:1	occupy 65:4	13:8, 15, 22	112:16
42:19	north 27:5	occur 17:1	14:1, 3, 8,	123:13
112:10	29:9 33:7,	109:11	19 15:19	operational
126:10	22 74:23	110:20 113:5	16:14 20:15	13:9 24:16
neighbors	75:24 85:9,	occurred	22:17 23:6	87:12 90:11
75:23 135:15	17	13:24	31:16 39:15	operations
neither	northern	ocean 72:6	42:11 43:4	7:23 10:2
145:11	11:8 18:7	O'Connell	48:6 51:25	11:15, 22
nesting	note 22:2	7:2 40:20,	68:14 71:21	23:1 28:10
23:22 24:22	98:24	22 71:7	72:1 81:11	29:10 31:14
network	107:23	off-dock	83:23, 25	34:14 47:19,
12:23 14:15	116:7 117:3	12:11	84:19 90:15	21, 25 48:7
15:15 47:23	126:13 135:5	offer 92:9	93:16, 17	113:7 131:24
69:17 122:5	notes 114:5	125:23	118:20	operator
never 71:20	145:10	129:14, 17	120:10	34:16 35:5
California Denosition Ren				Page: 19

operators	outcome	P.M 2:1	53:4 61:8,	20, 23, 25
35:10 109:17	38: <i>20</i> 58: <i>15</i>	144:6	9 64:5	69:15 74:6,
opinion	145:13	pace 80:6	106:4 107:5,	14 89:15
37:10, 21	outlined	Pacific 79:3,	7, 21 116:3	122:6
76:20 132:2	13:12 77:13	11, 12, 16	119:18 131:9	129:11
Opinion,	outlining	83:21 85:6	particularly	131:12, 14
37:13	103:25	<pre>packet 122:21</pre>	54:21 80:18	137:5, 16, 18
opponents	outnumbered	page 103:18	113:11 114:7	138:1, 25
137:5	63:1	104:1, 9, 21,	parties 9:16	139:4
opportunities	outreach	23 109:2	37:23	percent 7:24
70:23 93:2	9:11 61:8	116:1 118:11	partner	12:18 14:2
101:9 119:8,	outset 107:17	paid 130:5	34:17 43:9	16:14 20:15
13 135:10	outside 7:18	pain 123:3	53:1 79:12	22:16 27:22
opportunity	10:1 80:13	126:2	80:8 96:11	28:11, 17, 21
5:16 41:9	117:3 124:6		105:25	29:25 42:2,
42: <i>25</i> 43:3 52:4 55:14	125:8 128: <i>13</i>	paleontological 23:24	136:11	2, 3, 4 46:5, 7 48:6, 7
57:22 59:19	137:16 138:6	paleontologist	partnered 62:14	60:18 67:10
60:4 68:16	outstanding	25:3	partners	71:21, 23
70:3, 17	131:15	parallel	50:13, 16	74:16 79:17
77:5 82:10	overall 7:19	18:23	68:8 72:7	82:13 93:15
83:2 90:24	8:9 15:17	paraphrase	92:3 111:1	100:21
92:23 93:19	98:22 127:3	63:22	136:7	101:2 113:8,
101:18	140:15	Parcel 18:25	partnership	8 118:17, 20
106:21	overlaps 75:2	19:3	62:8, 9, 19	119:12, 19
115:20	override	parcels	67:6 70:4,	133:6, 21
129:16	89:13	18:24 19:2,	10 136:12	139:16, 22
135: <i>18</i> 136: <i>6</i>	Overriding	6, 7, 11, 15	parts 83:17	140:7, 10, 13,
oppose 38:4	6:5 31:23	21:5, 6	party 145:12	18, 20, 22, 25
opposed	39:13 88:15,	22:5, 11, 12	pass 82:19	141:1
63:18, 19	17 89:21	pardon 76:11	passed 42:5	percentage
opposite	90:9 104:20	parking	63:20 87:4	139:14
131:13 138:4	109:2 110:2	137:18	passes 143:7	performed
opposition 40:8 63:6	115:25	part 4:21	passing 47:10	124:8
40:8 63:6 Option 47:14	116:2 143:14 overstated	9:8, 19 11:8 18:2	passion 53:18 path 127:5	perimeter 135:22
48:1 66:4,	22:7	33:14, 18, 19	Patterson	period 32:2
4, 8 71:13	overview	53:14, 16, 19	66:10 69:1,	36:15, 18, 20
72:19 83:24	94:14	74:15 86:19,	2	112:14
92:17 140:3	owned 76:3	19 96:11	pay 41:9	123:20
options 94:3	78:6	111:23	67:12	periodic
102:10	owner 65:2	115:15	paying 52:18	24:13 35:2
Orange 61:15,	74:20 75:11	117:19	69:11	periphery
17 62:4	96:2	120:24	payment 117:1	138:13
67:1	owners 9:13	133:19	PCH 85:10, 18	permanent
order 53:25	17:18 32:6	137:10	peak 29:3	85:13 106:3
70:13 87:13	33:4 41:1	partial 20:6	Pearce 40:1	Permit 6:8
116:4	63:15 84:16	participate	41:20	11:6 39:17
organization	95:17 96:2	41:9 52:7	Pedro 51:24	101:25
70:1 organizations	105:4 108:9	68: <i>17</i> 122: <i>6</i> 136: <i>15</i>	77:21 78:17 140:16	102:11
67:5	110:22 129:14, 23	participated	pension 58:23	143:10 person 2:18
original	130:12	70:19	people 2:14,	personally
36:15 37:22	134:19	participating	21 4:6	48:22
130:20	135:21 142:3	34:18 142:18	6:23 39:23	persons 40:7
Otis 77:1	oxides 31:1	participation	40:18 41:7	perspective
79:3		52:3	53:17 54:5	26:10 66:14,
Otto 71:7, 9,	< P >	particular	63:4 64:13	18 92:12
10 141:1		26:11 38:18	65:24 67:12,	102:12
	orters Inc			

110.05	120.10	1 7	122.16	9 141:9
112:25	130:19	pleasure	122:16 126:20 127:9	portion 19:14
113:6 123:6, 13 140:1	143:12 Pile 52:25	40:23, 24 99:16	Port 7:13,	-
Peter 66:10	53:3, 4	99.16 Pledge 3:25	20, 22 8:3,	portions 17:2 Ports 4:13,
68: <i>21</i>	pipeline 67:3	4:2	3, 4 9:19	23 5:6
Peterson 85:3		plenty 64:13	10:15 11:21	11:19 12:24
petroleum	50:15 78:6	PLEZIA	12:12, 25	34:20 35:5
81:25	81:25	139:17	13:8, 22	60:19 77:7,
phase 98:2		140:11	14:7 15:16	10, 24 78:15
phases 16:7	130:18, 21	Plus 79:22	19:15 26:7	82:14
19:20 21:10	131:2	142:9	28:3 30:11	Port's 9:22
52:10 78:11	place 74:3	PMSA 72:24,	32:12, 21	11:16 12:17
123:17	82:7 132: <i>25</i>	25	33:3 34:5,	13:12 14:1,
Philip 103:22	1	PNSF 38:9	14, 17 35:2,	15 15:15
Phillips	Plan 6:15	podium 45:2	8 36:9, 13	16:14 17:19
60:10	8:11, 12, 20,	point 25:16	37:12, 18	31:12, 21
PHL 34:16,	21, 22 13:14,		41:25 42:1,	43:6 48:5
20 35:5	17, 18 31:15,		18 43:10, 12	72:20
93:7 115: <i>18</i>	19, 20, 20	44:21 50:1		portwide
physical 47:24	50:22 53:24 54:1 98:25,		47:7, 9, 17, 20 48:9	130: <i>18</i> , <i>21</i> 131: <i>2</i>
physically	25 99:6		49:6 50:24	1
109:20	105:9	10, 13 95:14	51:1, 20	64:19, 20
Pico 13:6	110:25	100:1, 21	52:2, 23	75:12
15:5 16:23	116:10, 15	101:2, 14	55:12 56:13,	positive
23:10 33:7	117:18	103:12	18, 19, 19, 21,	41:4 49:12
96:15	127:15 133:8	104:6, 8, 24	22, 25 57:4,	possible
picture 138:8	Planner 48:15	105:15, 20	8, 11 61:23,	8:17 33:17
pictures	Planning 7:6,	115:19	25 62:24	50:11, 19
86:13 134:6	9 9:23	118:13	63:2, 8, 14,	67:16 138:20
piece 79:14	32:8 47:15	119:6, 9	15 64:2	possibly
89:25 124:21	1	123:3	68:8 70:4,	56:21 67:24
PIER 1:1	78:11 98:2	124:22	15 72:12, 16	113:17
5:19, 21	99:20	126:2	74:14 75:6,	potential
6:4, 10 8:7	133:11	129:22	12, 20, 25	10:20, 23
10: <i>13</i> 11:5, 7, 8, 24	142:13 plans 96:6	139: <i>21</i> 140: <i>23</i>	76:19, 24 77:21 78:1,	18:24 21:2 22:3 23:21
7, 8, 24 12:4 14:5	104:3	141:23	8, 10, 18, 22	25:19 32:22
15:14 16:22	planting	pointed 4:17	79:4, 12	34:22 35:12,
17:11, 13	25:14	46:2	80:2, 7, 13	14, 25 38:20
22:19 23:11	PLAs 51:17	points 50:14	81:1 82:2,	50:6 93:9
33:8 37:19	PLAZA 1:1	77:13 83:7	22 83:3, 16	114:19
39:15 42:11	please 3:18,	85:7 102:6	84:4, 14, 17,	125:11, 16
43:4 46:18	22 4:1	127:6	20, 21 85:5	132:10
47:14, 24	5:24 40:8,	POLB.com 3:11	87:1, 12, 14,	Potentially
51:11, 25	10, 11, 21	Police 43:24	15, 23 90:17,	23:16, 23
52:17 53: <i>2</i> 1	41:19 45:1	Policy 80:23	21, 22 91:16	24:3 31:10
56:15 60:23	56:10 57:23	95:22	92:19 93:8,	78:5 124:5
71:13 72:8,	60:7, 15	polluting	12 99:20	poverty 67:11
19 73:1, 4	68:5 82:2	12:6	105:3 107:4	power 82:2
77:18 78:21	84:17 89:21	pollution	109:5, 17	practical
81:11 83:23, 25 84:9, 19	94:14 103:2	42:15 64:14 84:7, 22	113:19	121:16
25 84:9, 19 90:15, 16, 21	122:18 143:24	112:1, 9, 13,	115: <i>22</i> , <i>23</i> 125: <i>18</i>	practices 24:6 25:12
91:1, 9, 10,	pleased 10:7,	15, 22 127:9	131:24	121:4
11, 14, 19	13 44:16	132:7	133:15	pre-apprentices
93:8, 20	73:10 78:25	population	135:14	hip 54:25
99:20	107:5	67:11	136:6 139:2,	70:20 86:9
120:10				precedent 9:3

				1
preceding	15, 19 99:16	13, 18 36:10	21:4, 9, 17	86:17, 22
40:12	101:16	43:17 44:13,	22:15, 18	87:11 88:8,
preclude	106:18	23 75:4	23:9, 13, 17,	11, 20 89:9,
106:14	117:13	84:13 98:18	21, 23 24:2,	20 90:1, 6,
<pre>predict 28:7,</pre>	118:6, 12	100:6 107:3	5 25:20, 25	21 92:10, 13,
10 29:13	139:17	108:18	26:3, 14, 17,	15, 16, 22, 25
predicted	Press 87:10	110:24	20, 24 27:4,	93:11, 19
26:19 28:17	pretty 91:19	121:12	5, 7, 8, 10,	94:17, 24
29:23 112:8,	104:6, 12	124:7 127:4	13, 19, 20, 24	95:3, 21, 25
13, 15	115:10, 22,	128:21, 25	28:9 29:8,	96:25 98:10
preemption	23 138:4	129:2, 12	9, 10, 12, 14	99:21, 25
35:8 115:10	previous	135:8	30:9, 16	100:9, 14
preference	43:21 63:8	138:23	31:11 32:4,	102:8, 22
62:5 88:22	89:4 136:3	141:10 142:5	10 33:2, 14,	103:7 105:6,
	pride 53:14			1
preliminary	_	professional	16, 19 34:2,	10, 21 106:4,
106:24 107:9	59:13	44:14 48:14	7, 11, 20	14 107:14
Preparation	primarily	program 4:22	35:2, 3, 13	109:4, 14, 18,
8:25	4:16 7:15	6:6 24:18	36:8 37:14,	19 110:13,
prepare 3:23	primary 30:8	25:17 31:22	19, 19, 23	21, 23
prepared	104:10	39:14 54:23,	38:4, 13, 14,	112:11, 16
10:5, 18	principal	<i>25</i> 58:16	18 39:7, 15	113:7, 13, 17,
preparing	77:23	59:10, 15	40:8 41:3,	18 114:9, 22,
62:11 93:23	prior 36:23	69:12 70:20,	13, 16, 16, 23	23 116:5
prerogative	46:22 78:22	23 71:2	42:17 43:5,	118:20
124:11	115:2	83:4, 11	7, 22 44:18	119:4 120:3,
present 10:8,	priorities	86:10, 10	46:18, 23	5 121:11
13 37:2	9:4 99:1	95:20, 21	47:5, 14, 15	123:10, 18
48:3 66:1	priority	97:7, 21, 23,	48:1, 11, 22	125:9, 17, 20,
82:24 95:20	13:9 72:20	24 98:6	49:4, 8, 20,	20 126:22
presentation	99:4	99:2 116:20	24 50:5, 14,	128:11, 24
2:9 7:8	private 22:5	117:7, 18, 20	21, 23 51:7,	131:9, 13
10:9, 10, 21	99:24	121:25	11, 13, 23	132:11, 23
11:2 39:20		123:24	52:3, 4, 8,	133:2, 24
43:23 131:15	privately-owned	124:1, 4, 6,	11, 17, 17, 24	134:1, 3, 8,
presentations	19:7, 11	12 127:3	53:8, 21, 22	10, 11, 15
40:9	21:6 22:11	135:7	54:6, 17	135:1, 6, 17,
presented	privilege	141:15	55:15 56:1,	19, 22 139:7,
17:25 20:25	56:13	143:15, 24	20 57:12	8 140:24
95:23 101:24	1			142:25
1	probably	programming	58:3, 10, 12,	
President	45:12 65:11	82:25	25 59:8	143:9, 13, 22
2:6, 10 3:3,	88:11	programs	60:2, 8, 15	Project,
5 7:11	121:21	41:7 51:14	61:5, 6, 8	15:22
10:11 26:5	137:16	58:5 62:13	62:2, 23	projected
37:8 40:5,	problem 5:7	69:12	63:5, 7	64:9 140:16
22 43:19	problems	project 6:2,	64:6 68:10,	
44:24 47:6,	50:10 64:8	7, 11, 17	13, 17, 24, 24	project-related
11 49:15, 17	112:5	7:7, 9 8:24	69:8 70:14,	30:24 31:7
51:8, 19, 21	procedural	9:11, 15, 19	22 71:5, 13,	projects 9:5
54:11, 13	105:17	10:14, 15, 20,	14, 19 72:10,	28:3 43:11
57:24 60:11	proceed	22, 24 11:5,	19 73:1, 1,	46:20 53:19,
61:13 62:25	38:13 100:6	13 12:4, 9	2, 11 74:21	19 54:2, 3
66:11 67:4	proceeding	13:3 14:4,	75:19 76:1	70:5, 15
68:7 69:14,	38:17	22 15:3, 17,	77:18, 23	81:17 89:19
22 71:9, 10	130:10 145:6	22 16:6	78:4, 12, 16	97:25 98:11,
72:22 74:18	proceeds	17:19, 20	80:2, 8, 10,	12, 14 99:1,
77:3 80:25	105:6	18:3, 6, 8,	20 81:23	4 100:12
83:19 85:1	process 9:17	21 19:1, 5,	83:4, 11, 16,	113:20
1	A Company of the Comp			1111-15
86:1 91:5	10:25 19:8	8, 17, 23	23, 25 84:9,	114:15
86:1 91:5 92:7 94:11,	10:25 19:8 32:4, 8, 8,	8, 17, 23 20:4, 14, 18	23, 25 84:9, 12, 13 85:18	117:5
	32:4, 8, 8,			

100.10	100.00	120.12	120.12	1 06:15 10
120:13	102:22	132:10	130:18	96:15, 19
122:3	112:10	142:25	134:9	99:21 104:6
125:11	120:10	143:2 144:5	139:13 141:2	107:1, 3
127:24 131:3	143:22	published	questions	109:20
project's	prosper 81:12	75:13	32:17 39:4,	112:10, 23
91:3	prosperous	Pujadas 7:3	8 44:9, 14	116:12
promising	55:4	42:22, 24	51:3 94:2	118:20
34:13 35:4	protections	pulled 40:4	107:19	120:10
promote 12:9	130:12	pump 17:7	118:2, 7, 10	132:25
31:15 60:20,	proud 41:25	purely 73:4	139:18	133:5, 13, 21
24	53:1 56:8	Purpose	142:25	134:5 138:8
Promotion	62:9, 18	107:1, 15	quick 2:12	139:23, 25
13:15	79:21	purposes	quickly 79:6	141:5, 11
proper_ 38:14	135:23	63:24	110:9	143:12
properly	142:14	pursue 119:8	quite 5:5, 8	Railroad
63:19	proudly 56:8	pursuit 96:21	46:15 59:1	35:19 65:6
property	proven 34:14	purview	70:10	79:19, 23
9:12 17:18,	93:17	108:20		93:8 134:7
21 19:15	provide 7:10	put 45:12	< R >	railroads
20:18 21:2,	10:10, 21	69:14	RAIL 1:1	77:24
25 22:3	14:6, 22	138:24	4:23 6:4,	rails 91:9
23:14 28:20	16:24 25:8	142:12	11 7:12, 15,	Railway
32:3, 5, 12	26:2, 9	putting 88:7	16, 19 8:3,	37:12, 15
33:3 63:15,	33:4, 12	91:12 112:6	7, 17 9:4	raised 32:1,
15 74:19, 21,	40:13 42:19		10:14 11:7,	2, 19 34:10
23 75:11	55:6 57:18	< Q >	14, 16, 19, 21	35:11, 18
76:3 85:8,	69:8 78:10,	qualified	12:3, 11, 12,	ramps 17:14
9, 10, 23	10 81:18	24:20 25:3	13, 15, 16, 18,	20:9 21:24
87:19 94:2	94:13 105:5	quality 4:14	22, 23 13:9,	33:13, 17, 21
96:2 99:24	111:20	5:7, 8 6:14	12, 15, 22, 25	ran 28:10
101:5 105:4,	123:7 129:1	10:17 23:18,	14:1, 3, 5, 6,	range 139:22
22, 23 106:7	130:17	19 24:5	8, 11, 13, 15,	rapid 80:6, 6
107:24, 25	131:21	25:6, 22, 25	18, 20, 21	rapidly 47:22
130:5 135:20	provided	26:8, 10	15:2, 14, 15,	rare 49:7
proponent	65:5 94:23	27:4, 11	15, 19 16:8,	rate 29:4
107:8	123:2	30:12 31:2,	14, 21, 24	80:6
proportion	providers	6, 6 34:9	17:10 20:12,	rates 100:11,
133:5	78:7	37:24 38:12,	15 22:14, 17,	19 119:2
proposal	provides	15, 16 45:8	19 23:1, 6,	140:15
43:3 60:23	11:14 48:9	46:4 74:6,	7 29:3	Ray 49:14
proposed	providing	11 81:18	31:14, 16, 17	52:13
14:4 15:17,	42:25 82:16,	89:8, 17	33:10 35:10,	reach 7:23
21 16:6	16 84:14	93:6 104:14	20 37:14, 17	54:4 63:11
17:18 18:3	93:19	110:18	39:15 43:4	71:20, 22, 22
19:1, 5, 23	129:19, 23	111:10	45:14, 15	140:20 141:5
20:4, 13	132:15	112:5	46:2, 6, 18	reached 51:3
21:4, 17	PUBLIC 1:1	113:15, 23	47:14, 18, 21,	71:19
22:15 23:17,	3:16 4:5	123:6, 14	24, 25 48:2,	reaches 23:3
20 24:2	6:2, 10, 12	133:9 142:15	7, 18, 18	69:15
25:20 27:8,	32:2 36:10,	quarter	51:25 65:9,	reaching 88:3
13 28:9	14, 18, 19	100:17, 23	9, 12, 19, 25	read 5:24
30:9 31:11	39:22 44:4	quarterly	66:6 68:14	41:23 42:25
32:20 33:14,	45:16, 18	99:7 100:15	71:13 72:1,	108:10, 14
20 34:17	46:11, 12	question	8 74:25	readiness
35:13 37:15,	74:24 89:23	28:5 105:18,	78:17, 21	62:11
17, 19 71:13	94:23 98:14	24 113:4, 10	83:23, 25	reading
83:23 84:13,	100:7	114:11	84:3, 6, 10,	121:21
18 92:25	101:18, 19	115:24	19 90:16	readings
94:24 97:4	102:7	120:15	92:19 93:2	142:6
	I	1	1	I
California Denosition Ren	outous Inc			Page: 23

ready 3:23	recognize	referenced	regulations	remove 33:11
4:7 54:23	3:17 39:23	93:1	19:10, 18	71:15 110:7
55: <i>2</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>9</i>	40:17 46:14	references	32:14	removed
58: <i>9</i> 98: <i>4</i>	recognized	45:16	related 9:11	17:15 33:14,
Reagan 40:5	4:14 61:11	referencing	17:17 32:23	18
54:10	79:18	92:16	117:15	removing
Real 2:12	recommendation	referrals	118:14	30:12 96:18
99:13, 22, 25	37:4 127:12	129:24	145:12	rendering
100:11, 16,	137: <i>12</i>	referred	relating	65:6
19 101:6		37:12 93:16	81:25	renegotiate
130:5 133:25	recommendations	113:9	relationship	115:20
realigned	49:20	referring	58:14 76:4	repair 15:2
16:23 33:15	reconfigured	37:13	released	17:6
realignments	20:9	refine 17:20	8:25 36:13,	repeat 55:20
20:6	reconfiguring	20:17	24 70:15	79:8 111:16
realize 48:7	14:5	refinement	relieve 13:10	repeatedly
60:24	record 6:1	9:2 19:3	relocate	80:19
really 7:14	63:23	refinery	32:3 129:10	replace
9:17, 22	111:23	50:16	136:17	103:14
10:3 53:16	115:17	reflects 23:1	138:20	replacement
54:7 59:10	126:12	refueling	relocated	30:9 33:20
60:4 63:24	recorded	14:23, 24	17:5, 8	61:24
64:25 73:17	40:14	16:19	130:6	101:11 130:3
74:2 80:12	recount 18:24	regard 92:10	relocating	replaces
104:12	recount 18.24 recycling	93:11 103:9	32:5	103:13
104:12	25:13 47:9,	104:5, 19, 24	relocation	replacing
111:14	25·13 47·9, 12	104.5, 19, 24	32:13 78:4	30:15
	==			
121:23	Recycling's	regarded 74:8	81:25 84:12,	Report 6:3,
126:1 131:8	47:18	regarding	15 87:19	7, 12, 12
134:2, 8, 10	red 140:3	5:5 35:11	94:3 96:6	7:10 10:19
136:5 139:5	redevelopment	105:18	101:10	11:4, 5
reason 41:2	63:19 71:19	110:10	110:10, 17,	38:5 39:11,
109:22	72:10 128:18	115:24	19, 25 111:3,	16 72:18
reasons 51:6	reduce 13:10	116:9	4, 6 125:17	74:8 87:17
63:7 73: <i>6</i>	20:24 24:16	121:20	127:23	98:23
78: <i>24</i> 95:7	42:15 43:13	130:18	128:21	100:18
reassure	48:2 93:12	131:17	129:19, 19,	101:17, 23
131:1	99:5	139:13	23 130:1	143:2, 12, 16
reassured	reduced 13:2	142:25 143:8	136:9 138:19	reported
139:8	15:25 16:1	regardless	relocations	26:15
receive 5:25	18:21 19:2,	110:5	23:15 96:10	Reporter
6:12 41:12	23 20:5	region 7:13	rely 135:17	145:5, 23
47:22	21:18 42:6	49:9 50:25	remain 21:24	REPORTER'S
102:17 143:1	145:8	51:1 77:20,	23:11 29:1	145:1
received	reduces 28:21	22 81:12	47:22 92:19	Reporting
11:1 17:16	reducing	82:12 90:19	99:8 105:1,	6:6 39:14
108:14	90:24	122:15	12 111:9	56:16 143:15
119:9 122:1	reduction	regional	136:17	reports 3:13
recently-adopte	24:15	8:19, 21	138:12	100:15
d 13:13	109:11, 21	9:14 12:8	remaining	represent
recently-approv	reductions	13:16 31:2,	23:4 94:2	5:6 41:25
ed 50:21	25:9	19 53:2	remarks	46:13 52:14,
		58:1 81:20	41:23 118:7	17, 18, 21
	re-establishing	86:5 112:4	remember	54:16 56:6
— ·	re_ebraniisiiiii	1	114:4	60:17 61:16,
receptor 123:9			1 114.4	1 00.1/ 01.10'
123:9 recheck	130:4	133:10, 11		
123:9 recheck 126: <i>24</i>	130:4 reference	region's	remind 120:5	22 67:6
123:9 recheck 126: <i>24</i> recirculation	130:4 reference 92:14 93:25	region's 71:16 78:13	remind 120:5 reminder 68:5	22 67:6 82:13, 22
123:9 recheck 126: <i>24</i>	130:4 reference	region's	remind 120:5	22 67:6

Representative	residential	resulting	109:1, 9	Santillan
58:1 86: <i>25</i>	11:11 126:10	12:23 15:10	132:6, 12	66:10 68:20,
93:7 111:15	residents	72:3	roads 17:2	21
113:22	42:20 81:19	results 42:13	84:22	Sarah 49:13
113 22	resiliency	retain 73:3	roadway	51:9
representatives	98:25, 25	87:13	21:17 31:17	satisfied
119:25	resolution	retired 46:23	34:2	104:4
represented	6:2 31:24	return 105:20	roadways	saw 53:25
80:14	39:10	reversed	124:24	137:14
representing	resolve 78:22	38:10	robust 100:16	saying 50:20
40:18 42:22	resolving	review 10:25	rock 53:13	59:9 86:17
47:8 60:13	81:22	24:14 35:3	88:11	116:13
67: <i>8</i> 72: <i>23</i>	resource	36:14, 15, 18	Rogers 60:9	126:13 129:5
81:6 83:14	69:16	38:17, 18	62:25	says 54:1
87:4 140:2,	resources	43:25 45:12,	Romali 40:5,	111:17
3	23:23, 24	18 64:16	6 54:10, 11,	SB-1 81:14
represents	24:25 25:2,	97:6 101:22	13 55:20	scaling
27:6 73:4	4 78:10	reviewed	Ron 60:9	106:14
reputation	133:10	18:9 104:3	61:14	scenario
56:18 134:23	respect	reviewing 5:9	room 2:12,	138:7
request 11:3	85: <i>20</i>	Richard 44:22	13, 25 3:10	Schafer
73:6	129:19 139:6	Rick 7:6	6:18 27:24	49:14 52:25,
requests	respond	43:17 44:7	41:13 65:11	25
81:21 97:8	103:1 104:25	46:25 61:9	68:1 80:13	schedule
require	responded	63: <i>9</i> 75: <i>6</i>	86:12	95:20 97:5
33:23 47:21	36:21	77:8 88:5	134:11, 25	schedules
73:25 78:4	103:24 104:8	134:25 137:2	135:21	86:3
84:12 115:8,	responding	ride-away	roosting	School 38:1
16	103:25	97:10	24:22	89:19
required	response	rider 126:14	rounded	schools 56:3
17: <i>21</i> 20:18 33:1 35:17	17:16 20:16 45:19 64:7	right 2:15	141:24	89:20 SCIG 50:14
36:19, 23	45: <i>19</i> 64:7 101: <i>4</i>	3:6, 7, 22, 23 35:21	routes 34:4 ruled 38:12	78:16, 16, 21,
100:22	responses	42:12 45:18	ruling 38:11,	22 81:23
100:22	36:22, 25	54:8 58:10	23 39:3, 6	85:18 89:19
114:12	103:17, 23	65:25 68:4	run 8:18	114:14, 20
126:12	responsibilitie	69:4 71:2	17:6	scope 19:23
requirement	s 133:15	80:15, 15	running	21:13 50:6
35: <i>9</i> 107: <i>20</i>	responsibility	82:14, 20	115:18	scoping 9:1
requirements	132:13	85:7 86:13,	runs 124:16	Sean 94:16,
4:16 36:11	responsible	14, 21 88:24	Rutan 103:6	18, 20 99:15
100:2, 3	26:7	90:6 91:12		102:4 119:7
requires	responsive	98:5 102:3	< S >	120:9 129:6
15: <i>5</i> , <i>9</i>	44:9, 15	110:21	SA 47:8, 12,	seat 2:18
requiring	108:8 137:3,	111:4, 8	18	second 39:14
24:7, 8	4, 7	112:10, 23	Safe 4:12	55:24 93:2
rerouting	rest 69:3	113:14, 15	45:7	114:10
33:23	82:11, 12, 14	114:13	safely 59:14	143:4, 18
Research	86:20, 21	115:6 118:24	safest 125:21	144:1
100:17	result 18:13,	rigorous	safety 2:9	Secretary
residence	17 20:23	38:20 39:1	13:6 15:13	3:6 61:14
11:12	23:14 31:10	rise 43:20	31:17 33:12	105:16
resident	34:2 72:5	44:17	44:4 64:11	118:5
48:25 49:1	85:18	risk 23:18	116:11, 11	124:14
55: <i>25</i> 59:7	109:11	risks 127:10	San 51:24 77:21 78:17	127:11
73:9 87:3, 7 104:13	130:10 133:17	road 17:1 20:6 32:24	77:21 78:17 140:16	130: <i>16</i> 131:5 137:9,
134:18	resulted 34:8	59:22 71:16	140.16 Santa 66:6	21
T74.T0	Teburced 34.0	33.22 /1.10	Danica 00.0	
	1	1	1	1

				1
sector 60:22	set 36:15	Shorthand	single 2:18	soliciting
secure 43:11	71:23 72:6	145:4, 7, 10	95:13 103:13	69:17
see 28:2	103:17	short-haul	sisters	solid 56:18
41:13 54:8	126:17 145:7	42:15	52: <i>15</i> 57: <i>6</i> ,	81:18
55:1, 6, 8, 8	settle 9:7	short-line	21 86:22	solution
59:1 62:6	seven 13:23	79:23	sit 44:10	87:21
64:9 82:6	16:8 19:21	show 22:3,	91:23	solving 50:9
98:5 100:19	20:25 22:25	10 139:24	site 19:13	somewhat
107:5 120:2	45:9 100:21	showed 113:1	26:14, 20	19:23 126:10
131:12	103:11, 14,	showing	27:4 29:6,	Sonny 54:19
132:1 134:6,	16 108:25	50:23 140:6	9 35:25	63:9
24 138:10,	118:21	shown 26:13	37:19 117:7	soon 74:3
11, 13	seventeen	42:1	121:6 126:6	91:19
142:18, 20	16:12	shows 97:20	129:25	Sorry 43:18
seeing 84:21	seventy 4:23	119:1 139:22	sites 128:9	45:10 71:1
112:21	42:4 81:5	Shrea 56:16	129:23	sort 85:22
132:3, 4, 5		side 18:6, 7	sitting 86:12	89:1 109:3
l .	seventy-one		_	
seek 98:13	104:23	66:5 98:5	situation	114:11
seeking	seventy-seven	104:13	108:6	130:23
98:19 122:23	103:19	134:17 139:1	situations	sought 42:7
seen 122:16	seventy-three	sides 75:10	84:15	sound 134:13
segment	116:1	Sierra 4:11,	six 48:20	Sounds 84:7
131:20 139:5	seven-year	21	100:24	sources 27:7
segments	123:19	sighing 110:9	sixty 60:18	36:1
34:2 60:25	severity	sign 6:17, 20	sixty-day	South 5:7
select	18:15	significance	36:15	31:2 85:10
102:10, 14	shade 25:14	27:16 31:3	sixty-nine	112:3, 5
selection	Shana 5:24	116:3	104:21 109:2	133:9
102:22	share 56:1	significant	size 18:21	southern
send 41:22	83:22 91:23	18:14 23:16	20:24 50:6	18:6 37:13,
Senior 49:16	shared 12:7	24:3 25:21	64:17 100:21	24 74:11
95:10	Shawn 125:8	26:13 27:23	skill 61:17	81:19 83:15,
sense 50:9	130:16	28:2, 7	skilled 54:24	16 86:20
110:4	Shelton	30:22 31:10	skills 69:16	90:14, 19
sensitive	84:24 88:9,	32:24 33:25	slash 115:24	122:17
57: <i>2</i>	10 104:19	34:8 35:16	slide 45:8,	Southwest
sent 47:12	shepherding	36:2, 2, 7	20 95:4	53: <i>2</i> 57: <i>25</i>
separate	107:3	73:13 74:9,	96:21 97:18,	86:5
126:15	shift 12:10	15 78:6	20 98:3, 17	space 100:2,
132:19	31:16	104:17	103:10, 12	8, 25 138:16
serve 41:7	shifted	111:10	139:20	Spanish 6:19
67:17, 24	17:12 119:3,	116:21	140:11	speak 4:7
93:3	5	123:14, 20	slides 16:5	40:7, 19
served 122:13	Shipping	124:9 132:12	103:16	48:16 49:3
service 6:21	83:21	significantly	139:18	51:25 54:12,
77:21	ships 91:19	42:6	slightly	15 55:15
services	Shoemaker	signs 65:22	15:25 140:18	66:13, 14
34:8 64:12	17:14 20:9	similar	slinger 53:13	77:5, 11
122:23	21:24 33:13,	19:22 20:4	small 51:23	79:25 81:2
124:17, 17	17, 20 64:10	21:16	52:3, 7	99:12 102:4
128:18	125:14	116:20 137:9	68:8, 15	119:14
137:17, 19	shore 69:9	simplistic	71:2 123:2	129:6
servicing	short 2:9	130:23	142:3	131:12 139:7
12:14	72:5 82:4	simply 39:2	smaller	speaker 5:11
serving	127:18	111:17	21:12, 18	43:16 82:15
65:18 78:17	139:12	Simpson 66:9,	97:25	92:14
l .		Simpson 66:9,		
106:25	Shortand		smallest 21:8	speakers
session 5:24	145:23	sincerely	solicitations	40:12, 12
	shorter 15:6	43:13	124:5	43:21 44:23,
	I	I	I	I
·				

<i>25</i> 68: <i>5</i>	7, 8, 11, 22	17 104:21	steps 32:11	streets 13:1
92: <i>9</i> 94:5	46:12 47:7	108:24	39:5 94:16	56: <i>5</i> 71: <i>2</i>
101:17	49:16 50:2,	125:19	95: <i>2</i> , <i>5</i>	72:2
speaker's	8, 17 51:2,	131:14	96:13, 22	strengthening
3:18 6:17	9, 20 55:12	135:2, 8	105: <i>25</i>	81:20
speaking	61:7 62:22	started 2:7	127:15 128:4	stretch 89:10
6:24 48:19	70:9 77:4	6:23 39:22	Stewart 71:8	strive 42:17
68:1 76:14	79:5, 6	53:12	73:9, 9	strong 10:5
77:15 81:3,	81:2 82:22	starting	104:13	43:8 77:21
10 89:1	83:10 86:2	103:18 109:2	stood 67:14	90:5 93:23
speaks 71:2	87:17 88:2,	State 8:21	storage	94:6
special 6:23	6 93:22	33:11 59:16	11:15, 20	stronger 39:2
35:1 63:3	94:1, 5	68: <i>6</i> 69: <i>20</i>	12:14	strongly
107:1	101:14, 17	70:2 79:18		41:16 60:7
specialists	102:7	116:17	straightforward	93:22
24:20	107:11	132:5	115:10	structural
specific	114:6 118:8	135: <i>25</i>	strategies	130:11
98:10 100:2	126:18	136:25 145:5	8:13	structure
108:18	127:4, 17	stated 66:13,	stream 55:9	129:12, 18
111:6	128:19	17, 23 78:25	streamline	structured
113:10	131:8 137:1	133:14	61:1 70:14	129:2
116:22	142:4, 12	135: <i>25</i>	streams 3:14	structures
123:12	143:2	136:24	Street 11:9	101:12
specifically	staff's 49:20	Statement	13:6 15:4,	struggling
24:5 44:6	stage 87:19	6:5 31: <i>23</i>	21, 24, 25	121:23
83: <i>23</i>	135: <i>6</i>	39:13 43:1	16:6, 22	stuck 134:20
103:24	stages 47:15	88:15	17:9, 12, 13	students
127:5 141: <i>12</i>	99:20	104:19	18:20 19:19	55:1, 2, 7
specify	staging	106:24	20:8, 10, 19,	Study 8:3
119: <i>17</i>	11:15 12:14	109:1 110:2	24 21:3, 8,	11:19 13:13
spectrum 54:5	93:20	115: <i>25</i>	22 22:1, 6,	117:19
spend 59:22	stake 42:8	116:2 143:14	7 23:10, 11	141:11
60:4 76:1 <i>6</i>	92:2 94:7	statements	32:20 33:6,	stuff 79:8
95: <i>1</i> 141: <i>6</i>	96:1, 5	76:17 110:3	7, 8, 15, 16	Subcommittee
spending	125:2, 18, 22	States 83:18	35:23 47:13	107:1
76: <i>22</i> 97: <i>9</i>	127:17	State's	48:4, 17	126:18
spent 76:23	138:24	13:17 31:20	49:5 62:21	127:16
split 15:6	Stan 60:10	statewide	65:3 66:4,	137:21
spoke 49:2	65:2	8:20	5, 8 71:12	subject 5:3
67:4, 14, 19	stand 2:18	state-wide	72:2, 19, 25	subjugated
86:8 139:8	3:25 52:15	133:12	73:7 74:20,	127:9
spoken 53:9	53:4 54:23	station 17:7	21, 22 75:18	submitted
57:7 67:20	55:2, 5, 10,	27:5 113:16,	76:21 77:11	37:1 77:14
87:22	17 56:10	19	80:10 81:11	79:7
spot 122:10	58:2 61:21	stations 27:4	83:24 84:18	subscribed
square	63:24 68:22	Statutes	87:8 88:22,	145:17
100:25 101:3	86:21	18:12 19:9	24, 25 90:3,	subsequent
stable 42:20	standard	32:14 36:12	5 92:17	120:6, 17, 19
stacked	27:16	stay 82:7	96:15	subsidize
103:13	standards	86:18 136:18	105:11	75:17
Stacy 84:23	24:8, 11	staying 27:25	106:10	substantial
85: <i>2</i>	standing	steel 102:2	119:11, 17,	18:14
staff 2:11	2:17 56:10	103:22	20, 23	substantially
3:13, 22	standpoint	Stenke 46:23	122:10	12:2 106:12
		1 at on 200	134:10	subsurface
7:10 10:10	120:12	step 32:8	100.00	1
7:10 10:10 11:2 39:20,	Starbucks	43:5 67:7	137:23, 25	17:5
7:10 10:10 11:2 39:20, 25 40:15	Starbucks 65:23	43:5 67:7 72:12 96:18	139:15	succeed
7:10 10:10 11:2 39:20, 25 40:15 41:19 42:8	Starbucks 65:23 start 8:13	43:5 67:7 72:12 96:18 98:7, 9	139: <i>15</i> 140: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>4</i> ,	
7:10 10:10 11:2 39:20, 25 40:15	Starbucks 65:23	43:5 67:7 72:12 96:18	139:15	succeed

succeeding	59:4 60:15	surrounding	135:21	100:24
132:15	61: <i>6</i> 62: <i>20</i> ,	41:4, 11	139:12	131:20
success 72:9	24 63:24	50:7 61:19	talked 43:23	tenant 75:18
successful	64:5 66:3,	surveys 24:21	102:5	76:2, 5, 14
34:25 95:12	<i>15</i> 68:2, 10,	sustain	119:17	tenants
136:17	18, 23 69:21	86:18 90:23	125: <i>15</i>	19:15, 16
suffers 130:7	70:12, 16	Sustainable	133:23	47:19 63:15
sufficient	71: <i>5</i> , <i>12</i>	8:22 13:17	136:8 141:1	73:2 95:17
16:24 84:14	73:1 77:11	25: <i>12</i> 31: <i>20</i>	talking 47:1	96:3
suggest 89:7	78: <i>11, 25</i>	42:13 58:21	88:13 95:2	ten-yard
suggestion	81: <i>10</i> 83: <i>22</i>	116:15	118:21, 22	22:23
124:2	86: <i>22</i> 87:7,	135:19	141:7 143:23	ten-year
suit 87:5	24 90:15	sustaining	tanker 14:23	81:9 120:12
suitable	92:11, 24	48:8	16:19	term 71:24
129:23	97:10, 15	sustains	tap 70:23	116:23
suited 62:23	107:14	90:18	target 140:8,	127:18
summarize	120:11	switcher	13	Terminal
7:9 11:1	133:12	34:13 35:5	teach 69:16	71:11 109:16
summarizing	134:10	73:21	team 8:23	terminals
10:22	143:13	switchers	9:18, 21, 22	7:17 8:16
<pre>summary 6:7,</pre>	supported	114:1	10:1 17:19	11:16 12:15
12 39:16	82 <i>:6</i>	switching	20:17 33:3	14:8, 12, 14,
143:15	supporter	34:22	44:10 77:9	19 15:19
Sunny 88:6	78:16	system 7:19	95:6, 8, 10,	terms 19:17
Super 75:24	supporting	12:7 16:18	13, 19 96:12,	56:25 66:25
91:19 113:16	5:17 6:1	66:3 84:2,	25 97:8, 12,	67:1 105:20
superblock	12:5 16:13	8 96:19	23 98:10	106:1
27:5	31:13 81:14,	121:15 127:7	103:25	109:23
Superior	14	systems 8:14	110:21	110:10
65:2 104:5	supportive		125:8	111:17
134:15	44:1	< T >	127:13, 13,	116:22
Supervisor	supports	tab 3:12	20 128:19	118:16
7:4	72:25 81:24	Table 19:4	135:7, 18	119:16
suppliers	83:25	22:2, 2	team, 9:22	124:15
63:16 78:7 supply 16:17	Supreme 115:11	142:17	teams 100:17	131:20
supply 16:17 47:23 60:21,		tables 22:10 take 44:5	technical	testing 14:25 16:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sure 2:20 38:19 42:19	52:22 59:13		
25 78:13 101:6 131:25	38:19 42:19 44:12 66:24	64:19 75:15	10:5 26:1, 8 55:7	Thank 2:4, 10 3:2, 4
⊥∪⊥•∪ ⊥) ⊥•∠ ⊃			93:23	
support 5.14	74:10 92:21	1 80:19 41:97		1 4:4 9 5:171
support 5:14,	74:10 93:21	80:12 91:24		4:4, 9 5:10, 11 16 17 18
14 6:4, 11	105: <i>5</i>	99:18	technologies	11, 16, 17, 18,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10	105: <i>5</i> 108: <i>21</i>	99:18 109:10, 23	technologies 24:15 34:12,	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15,	105:5 108: <i>21</i> 109:6 110:5	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8	105:5 108: <i>21</i> 109:6 110:5 111: <i>22</i> , <i>24</i>	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2,	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10 48:5, 10, 16	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17 137:16	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6 talk 8:14	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16 108:12	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19, 20, 20, 24
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10 48:5, 10, 16 49:19 51:6, 13, 25 52:16,	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17 137:16 surround	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6 talk 8:14 51:25 58:7	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16 108:12 tells 30:2	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19, 20, 20, 24 46:10 47:5
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10 48:5, 10, 16 49:19 51:6, 13, 25 52:16, 24 54:7, 17,	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17 137:16 surround 75:20	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6 talk 8:14 51:25 58:7 64:14 80:16	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16 108:12 tells 30:2 ten 12:20	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19, 20, 20, 24 46:10 47:5 48:11, 23 49:12 51:2,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10 48:5, 10, 16 49:19 51:6,	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17 137:16 surround 75:20 surrounded	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6 talk 8:14 51:25 58:7 64:14 80:16 88:16 109:3	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16 108:12 tells 30:2 ten 12:20 14:12 16:12	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19, 20, 20, 24 46:10 47:5 48:11, 23 49:12 51:2,
14 6:4, 11 8:7, 10 10:14 11:15, 21 13:8 14:7 16:17 20:3 21:16 31:18 39:15 41:3, 16, 23 42:11, 17 43:4, 11, 21 44:18 46:2 47:5, 10 48:5, 10, 16 49:19 51:6, 13, 25 52:16, 24 54:7, 17, 23 55:10, 22	105:5 108:21 109:6 110:5 111:22, 24 117:9 118:11 121:9, 18 127:8 130:25 132:14 133:18 136:15, 17 137:16 surround 75:20 surrounded	99:18 109:10, 23 120:6, 18 130:24 140:12 taken 9:3 32:10 120:16 139:20 145:6, 7, 10 takes 27:22 74:21 108:6 talk 8:14 51:25 58:7 64:14 80:16 88:16 109:3 111:25	technologies 24:15 34:12, 19 35:4 technology 24:13 35:2, 6 Ted 54:10 57:25 Telegram 87:10 tell 49:7 53:17 75:16 108:12 tells 30:2 ten 12:20 14:12 16:12 36:23 45:9	11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 6:9 7:1, 10 10:3, 10, 11 26:4 30:19, 20 37:8 39:9, 19, 21 40:2, 6, 21 41:17 42:20, 21, 24 43:2, 13, 14, 15 44:6, 6, 19, 20, 20, 24 46:10 47:5 48:11, 23 49:12 51:2, 7, 18 52:11,

56:12 57:22	121:16	thirty-five	thresholds	Tom 4:8, 10
59:3 60:8	128:4, 6	7:24 12:18	28:3, 7, 12	45: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i>
61:11 62:24	141:13	16:14 20:15	30:1, 5	Tomley 7:8
63:3, 9	things 5:6	22:16 46:5	31:3 36:2	10:11 30:20
64:24 66:8	9:7 45: <i>25</i>	48:6 71:21	threw 88:12	39:9 61:9
68:4, 6, 19,	69:5 82:10	118:20	thrilled	77:8 105:3
25 69:21	88:14 93:1	119:19	73:17	110:21
71:6 72:21	102:10	133:6, 21	thrive 84:21	111:6, 20
73:8 76:10,	125:4, 7, 15	139:15, 22	throwing	114:14
12, 24 77:4	127:7, 19	140:7, 13, 25	131:14	115:4
79:1 80:21,	131:14, 16	thirty-four	Tidelands	117:15
25 82:20	132:1 134:7,	20:2 100:24	31:12 116:9	118:24
83:18 84:22	19 142:9	thirty-nine	tied 8:13	119:22
85:25 86:2,	think 44:3	19:7	Tier 24:10	120:9, 24
23 88:1, 8	62:7, 18, 21,	Thomas 71:8	79:22, 24	121:19
90:9 91:3,	23 66:21, 24,	72:23	time 3:18,	123:5
21, 22 92:3,	25 75:9	Thornburg	24 7:5	128:12
7, 9 94:4,		45:5 47:6, 8		
				129:4
10, 10, 12, 18,		thorough	29:17 30:3	
1	1	130:15	34:4, 6	135:2 141:8,
97:19 99:15		thoughts	36:19 43:25	20
101:12, 13,		91:24	44:11, 25	Tommy 45:5
14, 16		thousand	45:1 46:24	46:13
102:12, 13,	107:11, 14,	12:20 14:13	48:11 50:25	Tomsen 84:23
25 105:14,	23, 24 108:5	16:12 45:10	51:18 58:10,	1
17 106:16,	110:5	48:8 61:16	15 59:3, 25	tonight 2:13
17, 20, 20	111:13	66:23 70:2	60:4 64:24	3:4, 22
108:4, 24	113:18	81:6 83:14	65:25 68:22	6:23 9:9,
109:3, 25	119:7	89:6 90:18,	71:6 76:8,	15 10:4, 8,
111:8 114:3	121:20	20 91:2	12, 14 79:8	14 22:10
115:6, 13	124:14, 19	101:3 122:1	83:18 84:14	31:25 32:15
117:11, 13,	125:1 129:5	131:20	85: <i>25</i> 86: <i>2</i>	
25 118:1, 6,	131:15	141:17, 22	89:5 90:4	
8 119:6	132:4, 5, 13,	thousands	91:23 92:4,	
120:1, 20	19 133:17,	61:18, 22	6 95:24	45:12 46:16
121:13, 20	23 134:2	three 4:6, 6	97:6 99:3	47:4 49:3,
128:3	135:5, 7, 12	16:7 19:20	107:1	18, 22 50:15
130:14	136:5, 9, 11,	21:11 28:15	108:16	52:10 53:3
131:4, 5, 7,	23 137:17	36:19 45:8,	115:19	54:15 56:15,
8 133:14	138:2, 4, 4,	20 53:6	116:25	17, 19 57:14
135:24	7, 22 139:7	55:17 63:14	117:12	58:5 60:13
136:20, 21,	141:16, 18	69:5 81:6,	119:2, 5	61:20 63:1
23 137:7	thinking	7, 7 83:14	120:6	66:16 68:2,
139:3, 10, 11,	132:11	90:18 98:16	123:21	10 70:7
17 141:13	third 53:11	100:20	138:17, 19	72:12 76:15
142:11, 16,	56:7	101:2, 22, 24	139:6 141:3,	81:2 82:25
20, 22 144:4	thirty 7:23	102:9	6 145:6	87:2, 5, 22
thankful	12:17 16:14	103:10	times 2:21	88:13 89:22
54:8 142:14	20:14 22:16	106:11	4:17 59:21	91:22, 25
thanks 130:14	29:25 45:11	119:9	139:10	94:24 95:25
themes 32:1	46:5 48:8	123:17	today 22:22	96:14
thereof	58:17 65:14,	139:21	46:12, 15	101:21
145:13	15 85:5	three-minute	51:24 55:17	102:1, 9, 15
Thiessen	90:19	64:9	69:3 75:12	105:18
142:18	137:16	threshold	79:10 87:17	106:14
thing 9:9	139:22	27:23, 25	94:14 98:2	107:6, 12
44:3 65:21	140:7, 9, 13,	28:18, 22	99:17 110:15	108:12, 14,
66:1 68:4	18, 20	123:15 140:9	told 75:14	19 111:25
89:24 95:14	,			114:18
33 21 33 11				
	1	1	1	1
Colifornia Danasitian Ban	. T			Daga: 20

115:15	103:10, 13,	trips	105:15	unanimously
118:12, 14	14, 15	30:10, 10	126:23	143:7 144:4
119:25	109:21	71:15	turnout 2:5	unavoidable
120:7 122:6,	113:25	109:14, 22,	120:2	25:21 30:23
24 133:8	131:20	22 131:18	twelve 16:9	unchanged
139:4	trained 61:17	132:3	74:13	33:16
140:25	training	truck 12:10	twenties	unclear 85:13
141:4	59:12 79:14	13:23 14:24	45:25	understand
142:10, 20	trains 12:20,	16:19 23:6	twenty 47:9,	4:5 78:20
tonight's	22 13:1, 25	30:10 31:16	16 68:12	83:4, 4, 15
3: <i>9</i> 5: <i>4</i>	14:12, 17, 25	34:24 71:15	70:25 74:16	88:17 89:12
top 13:9	15:6, 9, 12	78:18 84:6	87:1	111:23
74:22 81:5	16:11, 12	109:11, 13,	twenty-five	131:19
total 16:9	20:3, 12	22 116:14	65:10 76:5	134:2
20:2 21:14	21:15, 23	131:17, 24	93:15	135:13 142:2
27:1 36:18	22:14, 25	132:3, 15	twenty-foot	understanding
totally	30:15 42:14	133:3	45:22	64:20
104:3 141: <i>14</i>		trucked 72:2	twenty-four	102:14
touch 102:6	84:10 93:5,	trucking	14:2	105:1 134:23
touching	8, 9 103:14	_	twenty-one	understood
107:23	119:21	60:14, 17	21:14 67:10	44:12 45:20,
tours 135:14	133:18		twenty-seven	21
town 42:9	transcript	7:25 24:7	79:16	undertake
Track 11:17,	145:10	25:7 30:10,	twenty-six	96:9
23 16:10, 22	transcription	13, 15 45:9,	21:6 42:3	undertaken
21:19 72:13	145:8	14, 24 48:18	twenty-three	99:23
tracking	Transit 36:6	84:5, 7, 22	42:1	undertaking
4:23, 25	transition	103:11	twice 36:18	129:3
47:2	12:5	108:25	Two 6:24	underwriting
tracks 11:20,	~	109:9, 13, 16	18:6 20:19	130:2
21 14:6, 9,	3:21	110:7	21:10 22:22	unfortunately
10, 16, 19, 20	translators	124:23	26:20, 25	41:22 59:21
16:9, 9	6:19	132:12, 19	27:14 28:21	Unified 38:1
17:6 20:1,	transport	true 66:22	34:20 38:12	union 5:14,
2 21:13, 14	12:7 13:24	145:9	39:23 40:9	17 52:14, 18
22:23, 23, 24	48:18	truly 64:18	46:22 77:13	55:2 56:9
84:11	109:20	Trust 31:12	83:7 85:7	59:20 60:6
Tracy 3:5	116:14	116:9 142:4	91:23 92:25	63:2 68:23
trade 87:13	Transportation	Trustee 6:25	101:2, 3	69:2 79:14
92:24	4:11, 21	7:1 55:20	106:11	80:14
trades 59:1	8:21 12:8	Trustees	111:9 113:8	unions 55:1
61:15, 22	13:17 31:19	54:18, 19	114:8	67:14, 18
62:3, 3, 7	32:24 48:15	try 106:22	118:18	86:8
tradesmen	77:23 84:2,	110:9 129:7	119:12, 20	unique 18:25
86:7	8 85:4	138:19	125:11	82:10
trading 42:3	122:8 133:11	trying 4:15	141:20 143:8	unit 47:22
traffic	transported	56:24 68:16	two-oh-four	United 83:18
13:10 33:10,	12:25 23:6	106:21	104:9	units 45:22
24 56:4	travel 34:4,	107:14	type 46:20	58:17
90:25 93:6	6	113:5 138:18	50:5, 10	unloading
96: <i>16</i> 105: <i>9</i>	treated 19:17	TTI 56:15	95:8 100:5	14:21
125:3	treatment	Tucker 103:6	134:12	unprecedented
132:16, 19	25:4	tugging		106:13
137:24	tree 25:14	121:23	< U >	unquantified
train 13:21	tremendous	tunnels 5:1	ugly 138:9	30:16
16:18 23:4,	52:4	turn 5:16	ultimately	unused 46:7
8 30:10	trial 38:7,	39:7 43:16	88:14	unusual 28:1
35:18 45:10,	23	44:21 103:4	unable 71:25	108:6
22 73:15			1	
	1	1	1	1
California Deposition Rep	T			Page: 30

upcoming 96:24 97:16	values 26:25	wage 58:22 59:17 67:13	warranted 18:19	well-trained 58:9
124:5	variety 87:21	wages 55:2	Warren 77:1,	went 63:11
pdate 8:3	various 42:9	86:11	3, 6	103:20
_	83:3 87:1		1	
		wait 73:17	Washington	113:23
55: <i>6</i> 118: <i>18</i>	97:2	waiting	80:18	116:25
119:1 141:10	vehicle 33:10	137:17, 18	watched 76:6	West 18:2
updated 8:11	vehicles	walk 16:3	way 3:6	20:22 37:19
99:6	13:7 14:24	111:12, 15	17:13 29:18	55:24 65:3
ipgrade 13:3	15:12 16:20	walked 124:19	35:21 44:15	66:5 73:10,
34:23	24:9 132:6	walking 138:1	59:24 62:1	14 74:20
ipheld 38:11	vehicular	wall 45:1	64:18 67:7,	104:13
125:2	82:4 96:15	134:13	16 90:5	134:17 139:
urge 47:4,	132:16, 19	walls 2:17,	93:20, 21	western 17:1.
13 60:7	vendor 80:3	19	106:13	WHEREOF
				-
71:5 72:20	verify 18:24	want 9:17	109:19	145:17
urgently	version 88:22	10:3 39:22	124:5	whittle
47:16	vertebrate	40:17 43:7	126:15	106:22
ise 24:10	25:3	44:5 50:1	127: <i>25</i>	wholehearted
31:15 35:19	veterans	51: <i>2</i> 53: <i>5</i>	130:23	87:7
48:17 73:5	62:6 79:17,	59:9 61:7	137:11	wholeheartedly
97:14	18	63:3 66:21,	145:12	68:2
113:15	viable 76:9	24 74:1	ways 121:7	wide 8:3
116:23	vibration	75:22, 25	142:8	70:2 74:25
117:4 134:5	35:12 36:4	78:3 80:25	wear 55:16	widened
useful 118:15	vibrations	82:6 88:16	56: <i>8</i> 87: <i>5</i>	16:23 21:21
usual 55: <i>18</i>	35:15 89:17	90:8 92:12	wearing	wife's 48:21
usually	Vice 3:5	93:3, 14	55:17, 19, 24	Williams 4:8
27:18 131:13	49:17	97:21	56:7	10, 10 5:11
utilities	106:18	108:21	web 3:14	45:4, 6, 6
78:5, 9, 12	117:13	109:6 110:4	website 3:10	103:9
82:1 96:3,				
	118:12	111:12, 22	week 47:12	willing 59:2
6 110:11	vicinity 17:2	114:9 116:7	87:11 124:16	willingness
Utility 17:4,	Viet 65:18	117:2 124:3	welcome 3:16	64:2
5 21: <i>17</i>	view 126:13	125: <i>23</i>	well 2:24	Wilmore 75:7
95: <i>17</i> 96: <i>9</i>	132:9	126:12, 16	7:18 24:9	Wilson 4:9
100:2	views 34:10	131:1, 7, 19	27:20 36:20	5:18, 19
110:22, 25	48:12	132:9, 20	42:18 45:20	71:8 74:18,
utilization	virtue 7:16	133:17	54:20 55:12	19 76:10, 11
8:15 13:15	112:6	134:5, 21, 23,		12, 13, 16, 19
			56:3 57:21	
14:1, 8	vision 72:14	24 136:15,	58:6, 22	win-win 84:7
15:18 133:12	visit 121:5	16 138:9, 11,	61:7, 10	87:14
utilize 6:21	visitors	12 139:3	66:17 76:13	wish 58:19
34:16	128:7	141:14	77:9 88:7	wishing 6:16
	visits 89:6	142:16	90:19 96:11,	40:7
< V >	vital 77:18	wanted 37:9	23 97:15	WITNESS
vacancies	Vivian 7:1	46:14 63:20	110:16	145:17
129:10	54:9, 18	101:20	111:24	woman 59:18
		104:20		
vacancy			113:4, 14	women 40:25
100:11	volunteering	106:24	120:1	57:11 61:17
vacant 19:13	117:6	107:8, 10	121:13	69:10 80:13
value 64:5	vote 60:7	108:12, 24	124:16	women-owned
107:24, 25	72:21	112:25	125:13, 23	71:3
108:16	votes 52:18	119:25	128:17, 19	wonderful
130:5, 8, 8	vulnerable	120:7	133:14	55:1, 3
131:19 142:5	126:20 127:8	124:11	134:8, 22	59:10, 20
	120.20 127.8			
value-added		142:11	135:13	60:5 79:12
76: <i>20</i>	< W >	wants 111:13	136:23	88:2, 6
70.20		136:13	138:6 142:17	· ·

116:17	worst 74:11	118:9 119:3,
wonders 82:18	138:7	5 137:6
		yellow 17:23
word 116:23	worthwhile	
134:5	43:11	140:3
words 63:20	wrap 76:17	yeoman's
work 5:14,	Wright 77:2	134:19
17 10:4	80:22, 23	young 53:17
21:17 25:2	writ 38:7	62:11
33:3 35:4	writing 36:21	
	written	
46:16 48:14	1	< Z >
50:2, 8, 17,	36:25 40:13	Zambrano
17 51:10	47:11 63:23	84:23 86:1,
53:12 56:13		4
57:11, 12, 19,	< X >	Zanhani
23 59:13, 14,	Xavier 2:24	49:14 51:19,
21 64:25	xeriscaping	21
67:8 69:17	25:14	zero 34:10,
	23.14	1
70:17 71:1,		12, 13, 23
1 79:17	< Y >	35:9 74:3
84:20 87:20	Yard 12:15	80:5 115:8
96:5 97:13	14:10, 23	zero-emission
105:4, 8	18:2 20:1,	73:21
107:7	22 22:22	Zia 63:10
110:23	23:7 29:3	88:6
118:9 120:2,	37:14, 17	zone 134:12
	46:18 47:24	140:8, 13
25 121:17	53:22 65:9,	
123:4 125:7,	1	zoning 100:14
18 127:1, 4,	9, 19, 25	
12, 20 128:5,	66:6 71:13	
21 134:19	72:8, 19	
139:9 142:4,	74:25 90:16	
14, 15	99:21 104:6	
worked 53:6,	112:10, 23	
13 113:18	114:2	
Worker 56:14	yards 11:16	
workers	13:25 23:6	
35:21 46:13	134:7 138:8	
55:9 57:3,	year 28:14	
16, 19 61:23	29:2, 4, 22	
	48:9 51:3	
63:2, 2		
working 8:23	81:9 89:7	
14:19 40:25	91:13 97:11	
43:10 51:15	142:6	
52:21 53:17	years 9:1,	
56: <i>15</i> 58: <i>12</i>	10 10:7	
59:18, 25	13:20 14:2	
67:25 69:11	16:8 19:21	
80:3 96:6	21:11 28:11,	
103:7	15 35:3	
110:12, 24	1	
	46:24, 25	
120:25	47:3, 9, 16	
121:10	53:7 63:14	
125:1, <i>22</i>	64:4 65:16,	
127:17	17, 18 68:12	
128: <i>8, 16</i>	70:4 72:17	
134: <i>17</i> 135: <i>8</i>	75:2, 13, 17	
works 126:8	76:5 83:3	
worsen 99:4	85:5 87:1	
	106:11	
	100-11	
	I	1