



# CITY OF LONG BEACH **R-19**

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

333 West Ocean Boulevard 6<sup>th</sup> Floor • Long Beach, CA 90802

March 22, 2011

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

City of Long Beach

California

## RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a Resolution approving the Annual and Five-Year Reports for the Transportation Improvement Fee, Park and Recreation Facilities Fee, the Fire Facilities Impact Fee, and the Police Facilities Impact Fee. (Citywide)

## DISCUSSION

New development affects the level of services provided through the City's facilities, infrastructure, land and equipment. To accommodate the needs of the new residents and businesses, the City imposes impact fees to expand these resources as follows:

- Transportation Improvement Fee
- Park and Recreation Facilities Fee
- Fire Facilities Impact Fee
- Police Facilities Impact Fee

In the past, each department that had an impact fee would request a separate City Council action to approve their annual and/or five-year reports. The purpose of these reports is to provide information to the City Council and the public and to fulfill State Government Code and Municipal Code mandated reporting and disclosure requirements. In order to improve transparency and to reduce the City's administrative costs, City Council is being requested to approve one Resolution that contains all the required reports. Additional discussion on this topic was included in a recent memorandum to the City Council.

## Annual Reports

The California Government Code requires that local agencies that impose fees in connection with the approval of development projects make certain information available to the public on an annual basis. The Long Beach Municipal Code also adds additional elements to these requirements, including those related to the annual automatic increase in the Parks and Recreation Facilities Fee.

### Five-Year Reports

Section 66001 of the Government Code mandates additional disclosure with respect to any fees collected and remaining unexpended in the fifth year after collection. In order to ensure that the City Council keeps fully informed of the status of unexpended funds, five-year reports will be submitted annually.

This matter was reviewed by Assistant City Attorney Heather A. Mahood on March 4, 2011 and Budget and Performance Management Bureau Manager Lou Palmer on February 28, 2011.

### TIMING CONSIDERATIONS

State Law requires the information contained in these reports to be made available to the public within 180 days after the last day of the Fiscal Year, or March 30, 2011.

### FISCAL IMPACT

The amount of impact fees collected each year is dependent on the amount and type of new development and its relationship to the purpose of the fee. The impact fee revenue for Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2010 (FY 10) was \$1,975,338 with expenditures of \$4,442,871. These fees can only be used on projects and expenses to offset the impact of the new development. The five-year reports provide information on revenue unexpended in the various impact fee funds during Fiscal Years 2006 through 2010.

### **Transportation Improvement Fee Report (Exhibit A to Resolution)**

The Traffic Mitigation Program Nineteenth Annual Report summarizes the status of implementation of the citywide Traffic Mitigation Program (TMP). The TMP is the City's program for implementing the transportation improvements needed to meet increased traffic resulting from economic growth using a combination of public funds, such as gas tax and federal grants, and impact fees from new development. The TMP was designed as the mechanism by which the City could implement its basic access strategy to support economic growth and maintain mobility.

In FY 10, a total of \$1,053,062 in transportation improvement fund fees was collected, with \$4,043,012 in expenditures and reimbursements, leaving a fund balance of \$9,322,952. These funds may only be used to provide transportation improvements needed to serve new development. The City issued permits for 384,224 gross square feet of residential units and 1,020,121 gross square feet of commercial development, which will generate an estimated 3,800 evening peak hour trips each weekday.

### **Parks and Recreation Facilities Fee Report (Exhibit B to Resolution)**

In February 1989, the Long Beach City Council established the Parks and Recreation Facilities Fee (PRFF), a fee on new development designed to maintain the existing level of service for existing and new residents. New residential development increases the population of the City by providing more places to live. This adversely impacts parks and recreational resources through crowding and overuse, lowering the quality of life for all existing and new residents.

During FY 10, \$605,880 was received from PRFF, and \$8,070 from interest. Additional revenue of \$3,571 is due to an unrealized gain recordation in accordance with the Government Accounting Standards Board requirements for a total of \$617,521. During FY 10, \$399,859 of PRFF collected from multiple years was expended in the Capital Improvement Budget. This figure includes \$243,084 in PRFF that pays approximately one-quarter of the Open Space Bond repayments. The other \$113,641 was expended on projects. Specific projects are listed in the Parks and Recreation Facilities Impact Fee Report.

### **Fire Facilities Fee Report (Exhibit C to Resolution)**

In April 2007, the Long Beach City Council established the Fire Facilities Impact Fee. The Fee is imposed on new development and is to mitigate the impact of new development on fire facilities. New development increases the demand for public safety facilities and impact fees help defray the costs for these facilities.

In FY 10, a total of \$117,151 in Fire Facilities Impact Fees was collected, with no expenditures or reimbursements, leaving a fund balance of \$141,006. The Fire Department has not spent any of these funds to date. However, it is anticipated that funds may be used to support a portion of the cost of equipment and furnishing of Fire Station 12. This new fire station, built with Redevelopment Agency funds, will include a disaster resource building and a community meeting room and will offer increased capacity to the North Long Beach community, as well as the entire City.

### **Police Facilities Fee Report (Exhibit D to Resolution)**

In April 2007, the City Council also established the Police Facilities Impact Fee to mitigate the impact of new development on police facilities. New development increases the demand for public safety facilities and impact fees help defray the costs for these facilities.

As of September 30, 2010, the Police Facilities Impact Fee fund had a balance of \$225,116. In FY 10, the fund had a beginning balance of \$37,462. During the Fiscal Year, \$186,916 was received from fees, \$736 was received from interest, and there were no expenses or refunds, for a total revenue of \$187,654, resulting in an ending balance of \$225,116.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL  
March 22, 2011  
Page 4

SUGGESTED ACTION:

Approve recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,



WILLIAM YEOMANS  
INTERIM DIRECTOR OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

WY:EB  
K:\REVENUE MANAGEMENT\Impact Fees\City Council Letter\FY10 Impact Fee Report ccl 3-22-11.doc

ATTACHMENTS

APPROVED:



---

PATRICK H. WEST  
CITY MANAGER

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1 RESOLUTION NO.

2  
3 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
4 CITY OF LONG BEACH ADOPTING THE CITY OF LONG  
5 BEACH TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT FEE, PARKS  
6 AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE, FIRE AND POLICE  
7 FACILITIES IMPACT FEE REPORTS FOR FISCAL YEAR  
8 2010; AND MAKE FINDINGS RELATIVE THERETO IN  
9 ACCORDANCE WITH LONG BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE  
10 CHAPTERS 18.17, 18.18, 18.22 and 18.23 AND  
11 GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 66001 AND 66006  
12

13 WHEREAS, on November 20, 1990, the City Council adopted Ordinance  
14 No. C-6824 (as amended by Ordinances C-6836 and C-6848), to establish and impose a  
15 Transportation Improvement Fee (TIF) on certain new residential and nonresidential  
16 development in the City for the purpose of assuring that the transportation Level of  
17 Service (LOS) goals of the City as set forth in the City's Traffic Mitigation Program are  
18 met with respect to the additional demands placed on the transportation system by traffic  
19 generated by such development; and

20 WHEREAS, on December 11, 1990, the City Council adopted Resolution  
21 No. C-24978 (as amended by Resolution No. C-25393) , establishing a Transportation  
22 Improvement Fee by land use type and, where relevant, by location, following  
23 consideration of the projected development in the City of Long Beach from the year 1990  
24 to the year 2010; and

25 WHEREAS, Long Beach Municipal Code Section 18.17.170 requires that at  
26 least once each year the Director of Public Works shall prepare a report to the City  
27 Council in order to evaluate progress in the implementation of the Transportation  
28 Improvement Plan and the Transportation Improvement Fee and in order to make any

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1 recommended changes to said Plan or Fee; and

2 WHEREAS, on August 28, 1990, the City Council adopted Ordinance No.  
3 C-6776, to establish a Long Beach Airport Study Area Traffic Fee for major intersection  
4 improvements, which fee is imposed on new residential and nonresidential development  
5 in the Long Beach Airport Traffic Study Area for the purpose of assuring that the  
6 transportation Level of Service (LOS) standards established by the City for said Area are  
7 and were met with respect to the additional demands on the transportation system  
8 generated by such development; and

9 WHEREAS, on August 21, 1990, the City Council adopted Resolution No.  
10 C-24921, establishing the Long Beach Airport Traffic Study Area Impact Fee Amounts;  
11 and

12 WHEREAS, Long Beach Municipal Code Section 18.19.180 requires that at  
13 least once each year the Director of Public Works shall prepare a report to the City  
14 Council in order to evaluate progress in the implementation of the Long Beach Airport  
15 Traffic Study Area Traffic Fee and mitigation requirements and to make any  
16 recommended changes to said Study Area or Fee; and

17 WHEREAS, on February 7, 1989, the City Council adopted Ordinance No.  
18 C-6567 (as amended by Ordinance No. ORD-07-0036), to establish and impose a Park  
19 and Recreation Facilities Fee ("Park Impact Fee") on certain new residential development  
20 in the City for the purpose of assuring that park land and recreational facility standards  
21 established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs created by such  
22 residential development; and

23 WHEREAS, on March 7, 1989, the City Council adopted Resolution No.  
24 C-24638 (amended by Resolution No. C-25040 and Resolution No. RES-07-0100)  
25 establishing a Park Impact Fee on new residential development; and

26 WHEREAS, Long Beach Municipal Code Section 18.18.160 requires that at  
27 least once each year the Director of Parks, Recreation and Marine shall prepare a report  
28 to the City Council to evaluate progress in the implementation of the Park and Recreation

1 Facilities Fee Program; recommend amendments, if appropriate, to said program;  
2 recommend amendments to resolutions establishing Park Impact Fee amounts and  
3 identify capital improvements to be funded by said impact fees; and

4 WHEREAS, on April 10, 2007, the City Council adopted Ordinance No.  
5 ORD-07-0017, to establish and impose a Fire Facilities Impact Fee ("Fire Impact Fee") on  
6 certain new development in the City for the purpose of assuring that fire facility standards  
7 established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs created by such  
8 development; and

9 WHEREAS, on April 3, 2007, the City Council adopted Resolution No.  
10 RES-07-0042 establishing a Fire Facilities Impact Fee on new development; and

11 WHEREAS, Long Beach Municipal Code Section 18.23.140 requires that at  
12 least once each year the Fire Chief shall prepare a report to the City Council to evaluate  
13 progress in the implementation of the Fire Facilities Impact Fee Program; recommend  
14 amendments, if appropriate, to said program; recommend amendments to resolutions  
15 establishing Fire Impact Fee amounts and identify capital improvements to be funded by  
16 said impact fees; and

17 WHEREAS, on April 10, 2007, the City Council adopted Ordinance No.  
18 ORD-07-0016, to establish and impose a Police Facilities Impact Fee ("Police Impact  
19 Fee") on certain new development in the City for the purpose of assuring that police  
20 facility standards established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs  
21 created by such development; and

22 WHEREAS, on April 3, 2007, the City Council adopted Resolution No.  
23 RES-07-0041 establishing a Police Facilities Fee on new development; and

24 WHEREAS, Long Beach Municipal Code Section 18.22.140 requires that at  
25 least once each year the Chief of Police shall prepare a report to the City Council to  
26 evaluate progress in the implementation of the Police Facilities Impact Fee Program;  
27 recommend amendments, if appropriate, to said program; recommend amendments to  
28 resolutions establishing Police Impact Fee amounts and identify capital improvements to

1 be funded by said impact fees; and

2 WHEREAS, Government Code Section 66001(d) requires the City to make  
3 certain findings for the fifth fiscal year following the first deposit into the account or fund,  
4 and every five years thereafter, with respect to that portion of the Traffic Mitigation  
5 Program, Parks, Fire and Police Impact Fee accounts, fund, or sub-funds ("the funds")  
6 remaining unexpended, whether committed or uncommitted.

7 NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Long Beach does  
8 hereby find, determine and declare:

9 Section 1. That the City Council does hereby adopt that certain City of  
10 Long Beach Traffic Mitigation Program Nineteenth Annual Report (October 1, 2009-  
11 September 30, 2010) ("the Traffic Mitigation Report"), a copy of which is attached hereto  
12 and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit "A".

13 Section 2. That the Report attached hereto as Exhibit "A" contains all of  
14 the information required pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 18.17.170 and  
15 18.19.180 as well as the information required by California Government Code Sections  
16 66001 and 66006.

17 Section 3. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(1), and  
18 with respect to only that portion of the Traffic Improvement Fee Fund and the Airport  
19 Study Area Traffic Fee Fund remaining unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year  
20 whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council finds that the purpose of the fees is  
21 to assure that the transportation level of service goals of the City of Long Beach as said  
22 goals are more fully set forth in the City's Traffic Mitigation Program, as well as in  
23 Ordinance Nos. C-6824, C-6836, C-6848 and C-6776, are met with respect to the  
24 additional demands placed on the City's transportation system by traffic generated from  
25 new residential and nonresidential development.

26 Section 4. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(2), and  
27 with respect to only that portion of the Transportation Improvement Fees Fund and the  
28 Airport Study Area Traffic Fee Fund remaining unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal



1 Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council finds that the findings and  
2 facts as set forth in the City's Traffic Mitigation Program and in Ordinance Nos. C-6824,  
3 C-6836, C-6848 and C-6776 which findings and facts are incorporated by reference  
4 herein, amply demonstrate that there is a reasonable relationship and nexus between the  
5 fees imposed and the purpose for which said fees are charged.

6 Section 5. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(3), and  
7 with respect to only that portion of the Transportation Improvement Fees Fund and the  
8 Airport Study Area Traffic Fee Fund remaining unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal  
9 Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council finds that all of the sources  
10 and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing for any incomplete  
11 improvements are identified and described in Exhibit "A".

12 Section 6. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(4), and  
13 with respect to only that portion of the Transportation Improvement Fees Fund and the  
14 Airport Study Area Traffic Fee Fund remaining unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal  
15 Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council finds that the approximate  
16 date on which the funding referred to in Section 5 is expected to be deposited into the  
17 appropriate fund is designated and described in Exhibit "A".

18 Section 7. That during the 2010 Fiscal year no refunds or allocations  
19 were made pursuant to subdivision (e) or (f) of Government Code Section 66001.

20 Section 8. That the City Council does hereby adopt that certain City of  
21 Long Beach Park and Recreation Facilities Impact Fee Report for Fiscal Year 2010 ("the  
22 Park Fee Report"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this  
23 reference as Exhibit "B".

24 Section 9. That the Report attached hereto as Exhibit "B" contains all of the  
25 information required pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 18.18.160 as well  
26 as the information required by California Government Code Sections 66001 and 66006.

27 Section 10. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(1), and  
28 with respect to only that portion of the Park Impact Fee Fund remaining unexpended at

1 the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council  
2 finds that the purpose of the fees is to assure that the park land and recreational facility  
3 standards established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs created by  
4 new residential development in the City.

5 Section 11. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(2), and  
6 with respect to only that portion of the Park Impact Fee Fund remaining unexpended at  
7 the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council  
8 finds that the findings and facts as set forth in Ordinance No. C-6967 and Ordinance No.  
9 ORD-07-0036 and Resolution No. RES-07-0100 which findings and facts are  
10 incorporated by reference herein, amply demonstrate that there is a reasonable  
11 relationship and nexus between the fees imposed and the purpose for which said fees  
12 are charged.

13 Section 12. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(3), and  
14 with respect to only that portion of the Park Impact Fee Fund remaining unexpended at  
15 the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council  
16 finds that all of the sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing for  
17 any incomplete improvements are identified and described in Exhibit "B".

18 Section 13. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(4), and  
19 with respect to only that portion of the Park Impact Fees Fund remaining unexpended at  
20 the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the City Council  
21 finds that said funds have already been deposited in the City's Capital Projects Fund.

22 Section 14. That during the 2010 Fiscal Year no refunds or allocations  
23 were made pursuant to subdivision (e) or (f) of Government Code Section 66001.

24 Section 15. That the City Council does hereby adopt that certain City of  
25 Long Beach Fire Facilities Impact Fee Report for Fiscal Year 2010 ("the Fire Facilities  
26 Fee Report"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this  
27 reference as Exhibit "C".

28 Section 16. That the Report attached hereto as Exhibit "C" contains all of

1 the information required pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 18.23.140 as  
2 well as the information required by California Government Code Sections 66001 and  
3 66006.

4 Section 17. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(1), and  
5 with respect to only that portion of the Fire Facilities Impact Fee Fund remaining  
6 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year whether committed or uncommitted, the  
7 City Council finds that the purpose of the fees is to assure that the fire facility standards  
8 established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs created by new  
9 development in the City.

10 Section 18. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(2), and  
11 with respect to only that portion of the Fire Facilities Impact Fee Fund remaining  
12 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
13 City Council finds that the findings and facts as set forth in Ordinance No. ORD-07-0017  
14 and Resolution No. RES-07-0042 which findings and facts are incorporated by reference  
15 herein, amply demonstrate that there is a reasonable relationship and nexus between the  
16 fees imposed and the purpose for which said fees are charged.

17 Section 19. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(3), and  
18 with respect to only that portion of the Fire Facilities Impact Fees Fund remaining  
19 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
20 City Council finds that all of the sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete  
21 financing for any incomplete improvements are identified and described in Exhibit "C".

22 Section 20. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(4), and  
23 with respect to only that portion of the Fire Facilities Impact Fees Fund remaining  
24 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
25 City Council finds that said funds have already been deposited in the City's Capital  
26 Projects Fund.

27 Section 21. That during the 2010 Fiscal Year no refunds or allocations  
28 were made pursuant to subdivision (e) or (f) of Government Code Section 66001.

1           Section 22. That the City Council does hereby adopt that certain City of  
2 Long Beach Police Facilities Impact Fee Report for Fiscal Year 2010 ("the Police  
3 Facilities Fee Report"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this  
4 reference as Exhibit "D".

5           Section 23. That the Report attached hereto as Exhibit "D" contains all of  
6 the information required pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code Sections 18.22.140 as  
7 well as the information required by California Government Code Sections 66001 and  
8 66006.

9           Section 24. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(1), and  
10 with respect to only that portion of the Police Facilities Impact Fee Fund remaining  
11 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year whether committed or uncommitted, the  
12 City Council finds that the purpose of the fees is to assure that the police facility  
13 standards established by the City are met with respect to the additional needs created by  
14 new development in the City.

15           Section 25. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(2), and  
16 with respect to only that portion of the Police Facilities Impact Fee Fund remaining  
17 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
18 City Council finds that the findings and facts as set forth in Ordinance No. ORD-07-0016  
19 and Resolution No. RES-07-0041 which findings and facts are incorporated by reference  
20 herein, amply demonstrate that there is a reasonable relationship and nexus between the  
21 fees imposed and the purpose for which said fees are charged.

22           Section 26. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(3), and  
23 with respect to only that portion of the Police Facilities Impact Fees Fund remaining  
24 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
25 City Council finds that all of the sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete  
26 financing for any incomplete improvements are identified and described in Exhibit "D".

27           Section 27. In reference to Government Code Section 66001(d)(4), and  
28 with respect to only that portion of the Police Facilities Impact Fees Fund remaining

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1 unexpended at the end of the 2010 Fiscal Year, whether committed or uncommitted, the  
2 City Council finds that said funds have already been deposited in the City's Capital  
3 Projects Fund.

4 Section 28. That during the 2010 Fiscal Year no refunds or allocations  
5 were made pursuant to subdivision (e) or (f) of Government Code Section 66001.

6 Section 29. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption  
7 by the City Council, and the City Clerk shall certify to the vote adopting this resolution.

8 I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the City  
9 Council of the City of Long Beach at its meeting of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, by the  
10 following vote:

11 Ayes: Councilmembers:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

14 Noes: Councilmembers:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

16 Absent: Councilmembers:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

19 \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

18  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CITY OF LONG BEACH

# TRAFFIC MITIGATION PROGRAM

NINETEENTH ANNUAL REPORT

OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010



Prepared by:

Department of Public Works  
Engineering Bureau

## I. BACKGROUND

In December of 1990, after two years of technical analysis, financial evaluation, and public input, the City Council adopted the Traffic Mitigation Program (TMP). This created a comprehensive mechanism to implement the transportation improvements needed to meet the increased travel related to future growth. The TMP also established a practical and equitable way to fund these improvements, through public funds and impact fees on developments, based on the relationship between additional travel demands generated by development and the cost of improvements necessary to accommodate this growth.

Conceptually, the TMP is the second step in the City's ongoing three-step transportation planning process. The first step in this process, the policy component, is the Transportation Element of the General Plan. The Transportation Element establishes street right-of-way and other development-related policies and identifies a long-term set of capital improvements intended to ensure mobility throughout the City as land use changes occur. The TMP is the second step, or financial component, and identifies the financial resources to implement the improvements identified in the Transportation Element as well as an annual mechanism for reviewing progress and modifying the list of improvements (the "Transportation Improvement Plan") to be funded. The third step in this process, the project component, is the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The CIP identifies priority projects and near-term implementation budgets, based on current resources and anticipated needs.

In addition to existing funding sources, two primary sources have been established to fund the TMP. These include development impact fees, commonly referred to as transportation improvement fees (TIF) and the Airport Area Assessment District (AAAD). A citywide business license tax surcharge was initially a part of the TMP, but new revenues from this surcharge were eliminated in the FY 1998 TMP Report. Within the TIF fee component, special fee rates have been established for the airport and downtown areas, which are typically higher than other parts of the city to account for denser traffic in these areas. These funding sources complement public funds, such as City gasoline tax revenues and Federal, State, or County discretionary grants.

The TMP is the City's implementation of its basic access strategy to support economic growth while protecting its neighborhoods and maintaining mobility. To pursue this goal in a manner which is responsive to the actual pace and locations of growth, the TMP includes provisions for an annual review of the program and modification of the capital improvements list as may become appropriate. This report provides this annual review, pursuant to Long Beach Municipal Code sections 18.17.170 and 18.19.180 as well as California Government Code Sections 66001 and 66006. The reporting period for this report covers the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010.

## II. PROGRAM PROGRESS

### Capital Improvements Completed

Capital improvements completed during this reporting period ending on September 30, 2010, include:

- R-6740 Various Traffic Signals, including:
  - Atlantic Avenue and 11<sup>th</sup> Street- new traffic signal installed;
  - Clark Avenue and Arbor Road – modernization completed, including countdown pedestrian timers, new poles, new controllers, and loops and communications;
  - Clark Avenue and Centralia Street- modernization completed;
  - Long Beach Boulevard and 67<sup>th</sup> Street- new traffic signal installed;
  - Pacific Avenue and Spring Street – modernization completed;
  - Palo Verde Avenue and Metz Avenue – new traffic signal installed;
  - Studebaker Road and Los Altos Avenue – new traffic signal installed;
  - Studebaker Road and Spring Street – left turn arrows installed; and
  - Willow Street and Woodruff Avenue left turn arrows – modernization and left turn arrows installed.
- ATCS expansion and safety upgrades on Clark Avenue from Willow Avenue to Del Amo Boulevard.
- Improvements to Aqualink docks at Belmont Pier Landing.

### Capital Improvements Underway

Capital improvements underway during this reporting period ending on September 30, 2010, include:

- Anaheim/Redondo Intersection Widening: Design in progress to widen the southbound approach to the intersection to install a dedicated right-turn lane.
- Atlantic & 15<sup>th</sup> Street: New traffic signal construction in progress.
- Atlantic Avenue Corridor Improvements from Ocean Boulevard north to Wardlow: Design in progress.
- Feasibility Study for Bicycle Boxes: Study in progress.
- Broadway and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street from Golden Avenue to Alamitos Avenue: Construction in progress for separated bikeways.
- Feasibility Study for Bicycle Facility on Del Amo Boulevard between Atlantic Avenue and the Del Amo Metro Blue Line Station: Study in progress.
- Long Beach ITS: Construction in progress for the integration of various intelligent transportation system projects to improve mobility and reduce traffic congestion throughout the City.
- Ocean Boulevard and Second Street Corridor: Design in progress. Project includes traffic signal synchronization and communication upgrades from Alamitos to Belmont Shore.



Traffic Mitigation Program  
2010 Annual Report

- Pacific Coast Highway/2<sup>nd</sup> Street intersection: Construction in progress to widen Pacific Coast Highway at 2<sup>nd</sup> Street.
- Pine Avenue Corridor Improvements: Design in progress to modify the street geometric design and traffic signals for improved traffic mobility and pedestrian safety.
- Signal System Expansion/Upgrades: Design in progress. Project includes the relocation of the traffic management center, conversion to adaptive traffic control, and communications upgrades.
- Walnut Avenue/Alamitos/20th Street Intersection Reconfiguration Project: Design in progress to reconfigure and consolidate two closely spaced intersections into one single signalized intersection to provide enhanced bicycle and pedestrian crossings and improve traffic flow.
- Planning for improvements within the I-710 Corridor: Continued efforts to secure funding to design and construct improvements on the I-710 Freeway. Environmental study is underway.
- Design of the realignment of the Shoemaker Bridge and off-ramp leading into downtown to double the size of Chavez Park.

**Anticipated Near-Term Activities**

In addition to the projects currently underway, the following projects are planned for the three-year period from FY 2010 to FY 2013:

- Safety and communication upgrades and expansion of the ATCS on Artesia Boulevard from Downey to Long Beach Blvd.
- Traffic flow, communication and safety improvements on Wardlow Road from west city limits to Cherry Avenue.
- Improvements to Aqualink docks at Alamitos Bay Landing.
- I-710 corridor improvements, rehabilitation and landscaping between Ocean Blvd. and Pacific Coast Highway. Rehabilitation completed and landscaping planned to be completed by Caltrans in FY 2012.

**III. PROGRAM FINANCIAL ACTIVITY**

The TMP relies on several sources to fund needed transportation improvements based on a quantitative analysis of the traffic contributed by various generators. The composition of those sources at the inception of the program is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1  
1990 TMP Financial Plan**

Source	Revenue (\$millions)*	%Share
Public (City) funds	96.9	47
Transportation improvement fees (TIF) and assessments	101.2	49
Business license tax surcharge (16%)**	9.0	4
Total	\$ 207.1	100

\* Expected over a 20-year period

\*\* New revenues from the business license tax surcharge were eliminated in FY 1998, and are no longer included in the TMP financial plan.

### New Approved Developments

Table 2 reflects an estimate of the developments approved citywide and within the airport area district between October 1, 2009 and September 30, 2010. These figures are based on building permit records and zoning classifications.

**Table 2**  
**Building Permits Issued (Fiscal Year 2010)**

Land Use	Citywide Approvals	Airport Area Dist. Approvals
Residential	384,224 gross square feet	3,779 gross square feet
Commercial	1,020,121 gross square feet	722,800 gross square feet

Based on typical traffic generation rates, the developments listed above generate an estimated 3,800 P.M. peak hour vehicle trips on a typical weekday. While there is not sufficient data to confirm the reduction in trips due to required transportation demand management measures, the established goal of these measures is to achieve a 20 percent reduction in this traffic generation.

### Fund Revenues and Expenditures

The following sections describe activity within each major TMP-related funding source.

- **Transportation Improvement Fees (TIF):** Citywide TIF fees are charged as shown in Table 3 below:

**Table 3**  
**Transportation Improvement Fees Fee Schedule**

Land Use	Citywide (exc. Downtown and Airport)	Downtown
Non-Residential		
Office	\$2.00 per square foot (sq. ft.)	\$3.00 per sq. ft.
Retail	\$3.00 per sq. ft.	\$4.50 per sq. ft.
Hotel	\$750 per guest room	\$1,125 per guest room
Movie Theater	\$140 per seat	\$90 per seat
Industrial	\$1.10 per sq. ft.	\$1.10 per sq. ft.
Residential	\$1,125 per unit	
Senior Residential	\$663.75 per unit	
Airport Area	Fees for all land uses determined on individual site basis, per ordinance	

Alternative funding sources have also been pursued whenever possible, resulting in a higher than projected proportion of public funds, such as federal, state and regional funds and project specific grant funding. Table 4 summarizes receipts and expenditures of Transportation Improvement Fees:

**Table 4**  
**TIF Revenues and Expenditures (FY 2010)**

Beginning Balance (10/1/2009)	\$12,312,902
TIF Fees Collected	985,011
Interest & other income	68,051
Expenditures & reimbursements	(4,043,012)
Ending Balance (9/30/2010)	<b>\$9,322,952</b>

Table 5 provides a detailed breakdown of expenditures and reimbursements to the fund.

**Table 5**  
**TIF Expenditures & Reimbursements (FY 2010)**

	TIF Contribution		Total Cost to Date		% Total Project Cost Funded Using TIF
	FY 10	Since Inception	FY 10	Since Inception	
Orange at Alamitos from 15th to 17 <sup>th</sup>	46,255	706,174	124,408	883,528	80%
2nd: Westminster at PCH Improvement Plan	741,335	1,400,545	741,335	1,400,545	100%
Bike Facility Broadway & 3 <sup>rd</sup>	329,168	540,878	329,168	540,878	100%
Del Amo Bikeway Feasibility Study	16,531	16,531	16,824	16,824	98%
Bicycle Boxes	12,955	12,955	12,955	12,955	100%
Belmont Pier Landing for Aqualink	27,746	131,091	1,530,549	1,886,384	7%
Atlantic @PCH Right Turn Improvement	121,887	650,028	121,887	650,028	100%
Ocean Blvd Corridor Traffic	157,382	183,883	157,382	183,883	100%
Atlantic Ave Corridor Traffic Improvements	166,689	187,528	166,689	187,528	100%
SR-91/I-605 Needs Assessment Study	29,357	100,332	29,357	100,332	100%
I-710 Southern Terminus	523,312	1,010,524	523,312	1,010,524	100%
Walnut Ave/Alamitos/20th Street	119,830	119,830	119,830	119,830	100%
R-6740 Various Traffic Signals	1,373,739	1,390,175	1,373,739	1,390,175	100%
Adaptive Traffic Management System	216,667	524,966	216,667	528,649	99%
Relocate TMC	95,074	116,848	95,074	116,848	100%
I-710 Plan Review	23,120	179,766	21,740	179,766	100%
Miscellaneous Accounting*	41,965	690,321	1,093,278	2,310,623	30%
<b>Total FY 2010 Projects</b>	<b>\$4,043,012</b>	<b>\$7,962,375</b>	<b>6,674,194</b>	<b>11,519,300</b>	<b>69%</b>

\* Includes expenditures & reimbursements under \$5,000 and/or negative charges.

Airport Area Assessment District: Nineteen intersections were identified for inclusion in the Airport Area Assessment District at the inception of the TMP Program. Table 6 summarizes Airport Area Assessment District (AAAD) fund activities during the reporting period and as of September 30, 2010.

**Table 6**  
**Airport Area Assessment District Revenues and Expenditures**  
**FY 2010**

Beginning Balance (10/1/2009)	\$1,627,357
Interest	16,137
Expenditures*	(73,337)
Ending Balance (9/30/2010)	\$1,570,157

\*Interest applied to debt service

Nineteen intersection improvements were to be funded from Airport Area Assessment funding, all of which have been completed with the exception of Cherry/Wardlow. This project, whose total cost is listed at \$2,745,262, has been replaced with the Spring Street Project, which will include resurfacing and re-striping of Spring Street from Lakewood Boulevard to Cherry Avenue to add additional capacity within the AAAD area.

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT FEE  
FIVE YEAR IMPACT FEE REPORT  
Fiscal Year 2006 - Fiscal Year 2010**

Ongoing Projects	Funding Source	Remaining Amount	Anticipated Fiscal Year Completion
PCH & 2nd St. Intersection Widening	Traffic Impact Fees	\$75,000	FY12
Broadway & 3rd St. Bike Facility	Traffic Impact Fees	\$511,000	FY11
Bicycle Facility on Del Amo Blvd.	Traffic Impact Fees	\$145,000	FY12
Bicycle Boxes Implementation	Traffic Impact Fees	\$90,000	FY11
Ocean Blvd Corridor Transportation Enhancement	Traffic Impact Fees	\$1,801,000	FY12
Atlantic Ave. Corridor Traffic Improvements	Traffic Impact Fees	\$612,000	FY12
SR-91/I-605 Needs Assessment Study	Traffic Impact Fees	\$58,000	FY15
I-710 Southern Terminus	Traffic Impact Fees	\$1,241,000	FY15
Various Traffic Signals	Traffic Impact Fees	\$328,000	FY12
Walnut Ave./Alamitos/20th St. Intersection Modification	Traffic Impact Fees	\$128,000	FY12
Downtown Shoreline Adaptive Traffic Mgmt System	Traffic Impact Fees	\$2,324,000	FY12
Traffic Management Center Relocation	Traffic Impact Fees	\$519,000	FY12
I-710 Freeway Improvements	Traffic Impact Fees	\$470,000	FY15
I-710 PCH to Shoemaker Bridge	Traffic Impact Fees	\$188,000	FY12
Shoemaker Bridge/Downtown Access Improvements	Traffic Impact Fees	\$1,000,000	FY15
<b>Total Traffic Impact Fees</b>		<b>\$9,490,000</b>	

# PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

## I. Background

New residential development increases the population of the City by providing more places to live. The increased population resulting from the additional places to live adversely impacts parks and recreational resources through crowding and overuse. Such impacts include:

- Worn turf due to too many field sports games to allow the turf to recover,
- The inability to register for a class or sports facility because all available times are full, or
- The inability to enjoy a sense of nature and open space because of the crowds attempting the same enjoyment.

Overuse and overcrowding of parks, recreational facilities and open spaces lowers the quality of life for all existing and new residents. Only providing additional parkland and additional recreational facilities can mitigate the negative impacts of residential growth. To fully mitigate the impacts of residential growth, a fee on new development must maintain the current level of service. Thus, it must be based on the current inventory of parkland and facilities. The current level is documented in the 2002 Open Space and Recreation Element of the General Plan and the Department of Parks, Recreation and Marine Strategic Plan of 2003 and the annual implementation reports for those documents.

The fee is calculated on the existing ratios of parkland and facilities to the population, not goals developed to improve the existing quality of life. Overall improvements to the level of parkland and recreational facilities must come from other funding sources such as grants, the General Purpose Fund or other additional fees or taxes.

In February 1989, the Long Beach City Council established the Park and Recreation Facilities Fee (PRFF) for parkland acquisition and improvements. The PRFF was originally imposed on all new residential development to mitigate the impact of increased population from those new developments on parks and park facilities. The fees were based on a citywide recreation standard, the projected growth in population, and the estimated cost to provide the new and expanded recreational facilities needed to serve the increased population at the citywide standard.<sup>1</sup> In 2007, the City Council amended the PRFF ordinance to exempt replacement residential development.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> A service level standard of 1.35 acres of park space per 1,000 persons was adopted in 1989. This ratio was one-half the citywide average service level of 2.7 acres per 1,000 persons that was estimated to exist at the time. The standard for the Park and Recreation Facilities Fee was set at half the cost of providing the then existing service level because it was believed the same level of service could be achieved more efficiently by utilizing school land for public recreation and by providing recreational equipment to allow more intense park use. The current level of service is 3.1 acres per 1,000 residents, as determined from data in the Open Space and Recreation Element of the General Plan, October 2002.

<sup>2</sup> The fee was originally applied to all units being constructed under a building permit, with the exception of a single-family dwelling replacing an existing single-family dwelling. The 2007 amendment allowed the number of dwelling units that had previously existed on the site of the new construction to be deducted from the total on which the fee would be charged.

**PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE ANNUAL REPORT  
OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010**

**II. Amount of the Fee**

Dwelling Unit	Amount
Single Family Residential:	\$4,496.88
Multi Family Residential:	\$3,473.07
Mobile Home or Manufactured Housing:	\$2,553.66
Loft/Studio:	\$1,736.53

**III. Beginning and Ending Fund Balance**

Fund Balance	Amount
Beginning Fund Balance	\$1,382,140.19
Ending Fund Balance	\$1,599,802.69

**IV. FY 10 Expenditures**

During FY 10, \$605,880 was received from PRFF and \$8,070 from interest. Additional revenue of \$3,571 is due to an unrealized gain recordation in accordance with the Government Accounting Standards Board requirements for a total of \$617,521. During FY 10, \$399,859 of PRFF collected from multiple years was expended in the Capital Improvement Budget. This figure includes \$243,084 in PRFF that paid approximately one-quarter of the Open Space Bond repayments. The other \$113,641 was expended as indicated in the following table. Some projects have received very small amounts of PRFF.

Project	PRFF FY10	Total FY10	PRFF %	District
Drake/Chavez Greenbelt Project	\$37,139.43	\$37,139.43	100%	1
Cal Rec Teen Center	\$26,090.35	\$605,302.41	4%	6
Seaside Park Development	\$20,781.04	\$811,397.27	3%	1
Rancho Los Cerritos Arroyo Restoration	\$16,212.17	\$289,002.12	6%	8
Skate Park At Silverado Park	\$7,976.25	\$7,976.25	100%	7
PE ROW Bike Path: Willow/Walnut	\$2,732.65	\$331,030.26	1%	6
Molina Park	\$2,018.62	\$7,257.93	28%	7
West San Gabriel River Parkway	\$582.92	\$16,046.78	4%	4
Scherer Park Renovation	\$107.94	\$107.94	100%	8
Subtotal Project Costs	<b>\$113,641</b>	<b>\$2,105,260</b>	<b>5%</b>	
Open Space Bond Repayment	\$243,084			N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$356,725</b>			

# PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

## V. Collections to Date

Since inception and through September 30, 2010, \$14,812,171 in fees, interest, and "in lieu" contributions have been received. The fees have purchased 15.24 acres of new or expanded parks, built 9,382 square feet of community recreation center buildings, developed a one-third-acre nature reserve, and provided the equivalent of one new soccer field through lighting night play. Two new projects received PRFF funding during FY 10: the Skate Park at Silverado Park and the West San Gabriel River Parkway. The Summary of Collections and Expenditures chart summarizes the total PRFF revenues and expenditures. The Development Impact Fee Program Progress chart includes the list of projects and funding in the original plan and modifications to the plan since its inception.

### SUMMARY OF COLLECTIONS AND EXPENDITURES

February 1989 – September 2009

#### Resources

Fees Collected and Interest	\$13,214,067
Value of in lieu Projects*	\$988,000
Total Value of Receipts	\$14,202,067
Loan**	\$117,519
Total Resources	\$14,319,586

#### Expenditures

Projects	Impact Fee Allocations	Total Project Cost	PRFF % of Project Cost	Council District
Burnett School/Park Master Plan	\$10,270	\$10,270	100.0%	6
Ramona Park Community Center	\$170,771	\$683,084	25.0%	9
Cesar E. Chavez Land Acquisition	\$4,307,944	\$15,902,597	27.1%	1
Cesar E. Chavez Park Development	\$224,594	\$4,534,600	5.0%	1
Cesar E. Chavez Community Center	\$540,112	\$3,636,006	14.9%	1
Mary Butler School Play Fields	\$90,000	\$180,000	50.0%	6
Orizaba Park Improvements	\$88,412	\$88,412	100.0%	4
Jack Dunster Reserve***	\$174,428	\$2,294,314	7.6%	3
East Village Arts Park	\$300,000	\$400,000	75.0%	2
Rotary Centennial Park	\$1,574,000	\$2,270,277	69.3%	4
Homeland @ MacArthur Park	\$252,415	\$704,348	35.8%	6
Admiral Kidd Park Expansion 2004	\$295,429	\$1,477,145	20.0%	7
Admiral Kidd Teen Center	\$329,905	\$2,710,640	12.2%	7
Miracle on 4 <sup>th</sup> Street Mini-park	\$168,343	\$168,343	100.0%	2
Grace (Plymouth and Elm) Mini-park	\$89,382	\$1,176,625	7.6%	8
Davenport (55 <sup>th</sup> Way) Park Site	\$217,736	\$1,584,835	13.7%	9
Houghton Skate Park	\$268,654	\$1,118,459	24.0%	9
MLK Pool	\$340,170	\$2,269,914	15.0%	6
Silverado Pool Classrooms	\$40,505	\$2,949,867	1.4%	7
Cal Rec Teen Center	\$500,000	\$2,983,941	16.8%	6



**PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE ANNUAL REPORT  
OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010**

<b>Projects</b>	<b>Impact Fee Allocations</b>	<b>Total Project Cost</b>	<b>PRFF % of Project Cost</b>	<b>Council District</b>
Chittick Field Land Purchase	\$40,000	\$500,000	8.0%	6
Rancho Los Cerritos Visitor Center	\$200,000	\$2,800,000	7.1%	8
Seaside Park	\$537,655	\$958,532	56.1%	1
Drake/Chavez Greenbelt	\$30,763	\$8,425,493	0.4%	1
Stearns Restroom	\$10,000	\$142,432	7.0%	4
Molina Park	\$150,000	\$500,000	30.0%	7
Golden Shore	\$100,000	\$200,000	50.0%	2
Bixby Bandshell	\$75,500	\$291,716	25.9%	3
Livingston Tot Lot	\$150,000	\$250,000	60.0%	3
Rose Park	\$250,000	\$435,993	57.3%	2
Rosie the Riveter Park	\$16,486	\$338,400	4.8%	5
Scherer Park	\$85,500	\$2,500,000	3.4%	8
PE ROW Bike Path	\$10,700	\$2,416,000	0.4%	6
West San Gabriel River Parkway	\$4,832	\$111,876	4.3%	4
Silverado Game Court Improvements	\$98,022	\$98,023	100.0%	7
14th Street Master Plan	\$10,635	\$10,635	100.0%	1
Skate Park at Silverado Park	\$16,185	\$16,185	100.0%	7
Orizaba Park Community Center	\$34,614	\$2,200,000	2%	4
Open Space Bond Repayment	\$728,238	NA	NA	NA
Loans or Transfers from the Fund	\$0	NA	NA	NA
Refunds	\$0	NA	NA	NA
<b>Project Expenditures</b>	<b>\$13,214,067</b>	<b>\$0</b>		

\* Projects constructed by the housing developer instead of paying the fee.

\*\* From Traffic Impact Fee

\*\*\*PRFF from one housing tract is dedicated to the Jack Dunster Marine Biological Reserve per agreement between City and State Lands Commission.

<b>"In Lieu" Projects *</b>	<b>"In Lieu" Project Value</b>	<b>% of Project Cost</b>	<b>Council District</b>
Chittick Soccer Field Lighting	\$188,000	100%	6
Orizaba Park Acquisition	\$800,000	100%	4
<b>Project Value Received</b>	<b>\$988,000</b>		

\* Projects constructed by the housing developer instead of paying the fee.

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE PROGRAM PROGRESS

ORIGINAL PLAN	COST	NUMBERS	UNITS	REVISED PLAN	Changed from Original?	NUMBERS	UNITS	COST	COMPLETION
<b>Park Acquisition and Development Program</b>									
Downtown Park	\$7,500,000	7.00		Cesar E. Chavez Park	Y	1.75	acres	\$4,732,538	Nov-99
Downtown Park				East Village Arts Park	Y	0.06	acres	\$300,000	Mar-04
Downtown Park				Cesar E. Chavez Park	Y	1	acres	\$1,000,000	Future
Downtown Park				East Village Park	Y	3.62	acres	\$1,010,000	Future
Orizaba Park Expansion	\$3,473,000	2.00	acres	Orizaba Park Expansion	N	1	acre	\$88,412	1998
Orizaba Park Expansion				Orizaba Park Expansion	N	1	acre	\$3,384,588	Future
M.L. King, Jr. Park Expansion	\$1,686,000	1.00	acres	M.L. King, Jr. Park Expansion	N	1	acre	\$1,686,000	Future
14th Street Park Expansion	\$4,016,000	2.00	acres	14th Street Park Expansion (Seaside)	N	2	acres	\$4,016,000	Future
Admiral Kidd Park Expansion	\$9,800,000	7.00	acres	Admiral Kidd Park Expansion	N	3.6	acres	\$295,429	Jan-04
Admiral Kidd Park Expansion				Admiral Kidd Park Expansion	N	2.28	acres	\$7,900,000	Future
Admiral Kidd Park Expansion				Rotary Centennial Park (A)	Y	0.71	acres	\$750,000	Jan-02
Admiral Kidd Park Expansion				Rotary Centennial Park (D)	Y	0.41	acres	\$824,000	Feb-05
Drake Park Expansion	\$3,828,000	2.00	acres	Drake Park Expansion	N	1.9	acres	\$3,750,000	Future
Drake Park Expansion				Drake/Chavez Greenbelt	Y	0.1	acres	\$50,000	2005
Channel View Park	\$481,000	1.00	acres	Dunster Marine Reserve	Y	0.36	acres	\$174,428	Nov-02
Channel View Park				Chittick Field Expansion	Y	0.62	acres	\$40,000	Jan-06
Channel View Park				Chittick Field Development	Y			\$265,000	Future
Funds from Regional Park Sports Program				Miracle on 4th St Mini-Park	Y	0.14	acres	\$168,343	Jul-04
Funds from Regional Park Sports Program				Houghton Skate Park	Y	0.35	acres	\$268,654	Sep-04

ORIGINAL PLAN	COST	NUMBERS	UNITS	REVISED PLAN	Changed from Original?	NUMBERS	UNITS	COST	COMPLETION
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Davenport Park (55th Way)	Y	0.51	acres	\$217,736	Sep-06
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Grace (Plymouth and Elm) (D)	Y	1.12	acres	\$89,382	Jul-05
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Bixby Bandshell	Y			\$75,500	Sep-06
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Seaside Park (D)	Y	2.16	acres	\$537,655	Jan-11
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Seaside Park	Y	1 soccer field		\$500,000	Jan-11
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Drake/Chavez Greenbelt (A)	Y	1.2	acres	\$30,763	Future
				West San Gabriel River Parkway	Y	21.00	acres	\$2,800,000	Future
<b>School Site Development Program</b>									
20th and Orange Elementary	\$324,000	3.30	acres	Mary Butler	N	3.3	acres	\$90,000	Oct-94
Vets (Robinson) Elementary	\$354,000	2.00	acres	Robinson Elementary	N	2	acres	\$354,000	Future
Burnett Elementary	\$286,000	2.40	acres	Burnett Elementary	N	0	acres	\$10,270	Oct-92
Burnett Elementary				Burnett Elementary	N	2.4	acres	\$387,337	Future
Sutter Elementary	\$794,000	3.50	acres	Sutter Elementary	N	3.5	acres	\$794,000	Future
Roosevelt Elementary	\$1,051,000	2.00	acres	Roosevelt Elementary	N	1	acres	\$884,514	Future
Bimey Elementary	\$272,000	2.30	acres	Bimey Elementary	N	2.3	acres	\$272,000	Future
McKinley Elementary	\$322,000	2.00	acres	McKinley Elementary	N	2	acres	\$322,000	Future
Stevenson Elementary	\$438,000	2.00	acres	Stevenson Elementary	N	2	acres	\$438,000	Future
Lincoln Elementary	\$353,000	1.50	acres	Lincoln Elementary	N	1.5	acres	\$353,000	Future
Webster Elementary	\$803,000	4.00	acres	Webster Elementary	N	3.95	acres	\$653,000	Future

ORIGINAL PLAN	COST	NUMBERS	UNITS	REVISED PLAN	Changed from Original?	NUMBERS	UNITS	COST	COMPLETION
<b>School Site Development: School Facility Lighting Program</b>									
Hamilton Middle School	\$660,000	2 soccer, 1 restroom		Hamilton Middle School	N	3 basketball, 1 softball, 1 soccer		\$660,000	Future
Washington Middle School	\$263,000	6 basketball, 1 soccer		Washington Middle School	N	6 basketball, 1 soccer		\$263,000	Future
Poly High School	\$114,000	6 tennis courts		Poly High School	N	Six tennis courts		\$114,000	Future
Franklin Middle School	\$114,000	6 multipurpose courts		Franklin Middle School	N	6 basketball courts		\$114,000	Future
Lindbergh Middle School	\$376,000	1 soccer/softball		Lindbergh Middle School	N	1 soccer/softball		\$376,000	Future
<b>Regional Park Development Program</b>									
Sports Park	\$1,500,000	2 soccer and 2 softball fields		Transferred to Park Acquisition & Development Program	Y				
Bicycle/Hiking Path	\$1,200,000	3.20	miles	Bicycle/Hiking Path	Y	3.2	miles	\$1,200,000	Future
Bicycle/Hiking Path				PE ROW	Y	0.01	acres	\$2,416,000	Aug-08
<b>Local Park Development Program: Park Lighting</b>									
Chittick Field	\$188,000	1 soccer field		Chittick Field	N	1 soccer field		\$188,000	Dec-91
Heartwell Park	\$376,000	2 soccer fields		Heartwell Park	N	2 soccer fields		\$376,000	Future
M.L. King, Jr. Park	\$188,000	1 softball field		M.L. King, Jr. Park	N	pool locker room		\$188,000	Jul-08
Cherry Park	\$188,000	1 softball field		Wardlow Park	Y	1 soccer field		\$188,000	Future
Marina Vista Park	\$38,000	2 tennis courts		Replaced by Silverado	Y				
DeForest Park	\$188,000	1 multipurpose field		Silverado Park	Y	pool rehabilitation		\$226,000	May-07
Houghton Park	\$376,000	2 multipurpose fields		Houghton Park	N	1 multipurpose field		\$376,000	Future
Veterans Park	\$188,000	1 multipurpose field		Veterans Park	N	1 multipurpose field		\$188,000	Future
Hudson Park	\$188,000	1 multipurpose		Hudson Park	N	1		\$188,000	Future

ORIGINAL PLAN	COST	NUMBERS field	UNITS	REVISED PLAN	Changed from Original?	NUMBERS multipurpose field	UNITS	COST	COMPLETION
<b>Local Park Development Program: Park Buildings and Structures</b>									
M.L. King, Jr. Park	\$650,000	5,000.00	sq. ft.	M.L. King, Jr. Pool	N	3,854	sq. ft.	\$340,170	Jan-07
M.L. King, Jr. Park				M.L. King, Jr. Park	N	1,146	sq. ft.	\$300,000	Future
Silverado Park	\$650,000	5,000.00	sq. ft.	Silverado Pool	N	303	sq. ft.	\$40,505	Oct-06
Silverado Park				Silverado Park	N	4,697	sq. ft.	\$600,000	Future
Ramona Park	\$390,000	3,000.00	sq. ft.	Ramona Park	N	1,125	sq. ft.	\$170,771	Jan-95
Ramona Park				Heartwell Park	Y	1,875	sq. ft.	\$200,000	Future
El Dorado Park West	\$650,000	5,000.00	sq. ft.	Admiral Kidd Teen/Comm. Ctr	Y	2,700	sq. ft.	\$329,905	Jan-07
El Dorado Park West				Heartwell Park	Y	2,300	sq. ft.	\$75,000	Future
Coolidge Park	\$260,000	2,000.00	sq. ft.	MacArthur Park (Homeland)	Y	1,406	sq. ft.	\$252,415	Mar-11
Coolidge Park				California Recreation Center	Y				
California Recreation Center	\$130,000	1,000.00	sq. ft.	California Recreation Center	N	1244	sq. ft.	\$5,480,238	Jun-11
Neito Building	\$750,000	5,000.00	sq. ft.	Scherer Park	Y	3,000	sq. ft.	\$85,500	Future
Neito Building	\$750,001			Rancho Los Cerritos Visitor Center	Y	3,700	sq. ft.	\$200,000	Jul-11
Downtown Park				Rose Park	Y	0.57	acres	\$450,000	Mar-09
Webster Elementary				Molina Park	Y	0.05	acres	\$150,000	Oct-11
				Matching Funds - Livingston Park	Y	0.34	acres	\$150,000	Jan-09
				Matching Funds - Rosie the Riveter Park	Y	0.05	acres	\$16,486	Oct-10
Transferred from Project Operational Cost Program				Cesar E. Chavez Community Center	Y	1,400	sq. ft.	\$540,112	Aug-01
Transferred from Project Operational Cost Program				California Recreation Center	Y	350	sq. ft.	\$919,868	Oct-10

ORIGINAL PLAN	COST	NUMBERS	UNITS	REVISED PLAN	Changed from Original?	NUMBERS	UNITS	COST	COMPLETION
Funds from Regional Park Sports Park Program				Stearns Restrooms (D)	Y			\$10,000	Jul-07
<b>Project Costs</b>									
	\$1,460,000			Reallocated to Park Buildings and Structures	Y				
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$47,616,001</b>							<b>\$56,884,539</b>	

**PARK AND RECREATION FACILITIES FEE  
FIVE YEAR IMPACT FEE REPORT  
2006 -2010**

Incomplete projects	Funding Source	Amount	Date Funding Anticipated
PR3070-37 Molina Park: project will provide new community garden on recently donated land; project is in final design phase	PRFF	\$126,745.07	funding is in place
expand availability of game courts through lighting for night play	PRFF	\$98,021.55	funding is in place
PW3290-06 Skate Park at Silverado Park: construct new skate park; project is in construction	PRFF	\$8,208.75	funding is in place
<b>Total:</b>		<b>\$232,975.37</b>	

## FIRE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

In April 2007, the Long Beach City Council established the Fire Facilities Impact Fee. The Fee is imposed on new development and is to mitigate the impact of new development on Fire facilities. New development increases the demand for public safety facilities and impact fees help defray the costs for these facilities. In the August 18, 2006 Public Safety Impact Fee Study (which was presented to City Council on September 12, 2006) the fee was determined by: (1) using demographic information to prepare growth projections; (2) identifying facility deficiencies by taking inventory of existing facilities and identifying specific planned facilities; (3) determining the amount and cost of facilities required to accommodate new development based on the facility deficiencies and growth projections; and (4) calculating the public facilities fee by allocating the total cost of facilities per unit of development. The Director of Development Services sets this fee and would recommend any needed changes to the fee.

Funds collected from the Fire Facilities Impact Fee shall be used to fund the costs of providing additional Fire services attributable to new residential and non-residential construction and shall include: (1) the acquisition of additional property for Fire Department facilities; (2) the construction of new buildings for Fire Department services; (3) the furnishing of new buildings or facilities for Fire Department services; and (4) the purchasing of equipment, apparatus, and vehicles for Fire Department services.

The Fire Facilities Impact Fees are as follows:

Description	Fee	Per
Residential - Single Family Unit	\$496.00	Dwelling Unit
Residential – Multi-Family Unit (two or more dwelling units)	\$378.00	Dwelling Unit
Nonresidential - Commercial	\$267.00	1,000 Sq Ft
Nonresidential - Office	\$325.00	1,000 Sq Ft
Nonresidential - Industrial	\$132.00	1,000 Sq Ft



**FIRE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE ANNUAL REPORT  
OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010**

The Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (FY 10) beginning fund balance as of October 1, 2009 was \$23,855.60. During the fiscal year, \$116,693.27 was received from fees and \$457.27 was received from interest for total revenue of \$117,150.54. There were no expenses or refunds in FY 10. The ending FY 10 fund balance as of September 30, 2010 was \$141,006.14. The following chart summarizes the fund's FY 10 activity:

Beginning Balance 10/01/09		\$23,855.60
<b>FY 2010 Revenue</b>		
Fees Collected	\$116,693.27	
Interest	457.27	117,150.54
Ending Balance 09/30/10		\$141,006.14

**FIRE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE  
FIVE YEAR IMPACT FEE REPORT  
Fiscal Year 2006 - Fiscal Year 2010**

**FIRE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE:**

To furnish and purchase equipment for new, expanded North Long Beach fire station. Expanded facilities include a community meeting room as well as a Disaster Resource Center.

The new Fire Station is a 292% increase in available square footage from the currently occupied Fire Station #12. The adjacent 5,300 square foot Disaster Resource Center is a new facility, giving the Department additional emergency response capacity.

Incomplete projects	Funding Source	Amount	Date Funding Anticipated	Projected Date of Construction	Explanation
Furnish and purchase equipment for Fire Station 12 and adjacent Disaster Resource Center	Fire Facilities Impact Fee	\$30,000	10/1/2011	Construction commenced in March, 2010	Funds for furnishing and equipment will be expended when construction is completed, currently estimated to be October 2011

## POLICE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

In April 2007, the Long Beach City Council established the Police Facilities Impact Fee. The Fee is imposed on new development and is to mitigate the impact of new development on Police facilities. New development increases the demand for public safety facilities and impact fees help defray the costs for these facilities. In the August 18, 2006 Public Safety Impact Fee Study (which was presented to City Council on September 12, 2006) the fee was determined by: (1) using demographic information to prepare growth projections; (2) identifying facility deficiencies by taking inventory of existing facilities and identifying specific planned facilities; (3) determining the amount and cost of facilities required to accommodate new development based on the facility deficiencies and growth projections; and (4) calculating the public facilities fee by allocating the total cost of facilities per unit of development. The Director of Development Services sets this fee and would recommend any needed changes to the fee.

Funds collected from the Police Facilities Impact Fee shall be used to fund the costs of providing additional Police services attributable to new residential and non-residential construction and shall include: The acquisition of additional property for law enforcement facilities, the construction of new buildings for law enforcement services, the furnishing of new buildings or facilities for law enforcement services, and the purchasing of equipment and vehicles for law enforcement services.

The Police Facilities Impact Fees are as follows:

Description	Fee	Per
Residential - Single Family Unit	\$703.00	Dwelling Unit
Residential – Multi-Family Unit (two or more dwelling units)	\$537.00	Dwelling Unit
Nonresidential - Commercial	\$0.442	Square Ft
Nonresidential - Office	\$0.538	Square Ft
Nonresidential - Industrial	\$0.218	Square Ft

As of September 30, 2010, the Police Facilities Impact Fee fund had a balance of \$225,115.76

FY 2010 had a beginning balance of \$37,462.26. During the fiscal year \$186,917.56 was received from fees, \$735.94 was received from interest, there were no expenses or refunds, for a total revenue of \$187,653.50 resulting in an ending balance of \$225,115.76. The following chart summarizes the fund's activity:

**POLICE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE ANNUAL REPORT  
OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010**

Beginning Balance 10/01/09		\$37,462.26
<b>FY 2010 Cash Flow</b>		
Fees Collected	\$186,917.56	
Interest	735.94	187,653.50
Ending Balance 09/30/10		\$225,115.76

**POLICE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE  
FIVE YEAR IMPACT FEE REPORT  
2006 - 2010**

**POLICE FACILITIES IMPACT FEE:**

To provide new expanded facilities for the East Patrol Division and the Youth Services Section

The new East Division/Youth Services Facility at Schroeder Hall is a 137% increase in available square footage from the two currently occupied leased facilities.

Incomplete projects	Funding Source	Amount	Date Funding Anticipated
Facility Improvements to Schroeder Hall to accommodate the relocation of the East Patrol Division Sub-Station and the Youth Services Section.	Police Facilities Impact Fee	\$225,000	August 2011