

AB 2042 - Opposed

The undersigned organizations join PMSA in **opposition** to Assemblyman Lowenthal's AB 2042, which requires the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles to ensure a zero net air pollution increase for all future growth.

- The bill would lead to jurisdictional confusion on regulation of port emission sources. At
 worst, this measure could severely limit future growth at these ports. While we recognize the need
 to reduce emissions from port-related operations, such reductions should be managed in a manner
 that allows for future growth.
- Recent amendments offered by the author do little to address our concerns over this bill.
 Placing oversight authority on the South Coast AQMD still leaves ports and the city of Long Beach
 governments with responsibility and de-facto regulatory authority over emission sources statutorily
 designated to US EPA and CARB. It is not at all clear how these entities would enforce the
 proposed requirements on ships, locomotives and trucks that move in and out of the ports under the
 regulatory scheme outlined in the Clean Air Act.
- Limiting the port growth in the face of increasing population and consumer demand could have the effect of diverting trade to outlying ports. Ironically, this outcome could exacerbate Southern California's air quality problems, since these goods would have to be shipped into the South Coast basin in trucks and rail cars. The result could be a net emission increase in the basin.

Without further clarification in these areas and provision for alternatives beyond traditional technology-forcing regulatory approaches, the only conceivable means to achieve the no-net emission requirement in the bill is to impose restrictions on port expansion.

Such an outcome would be at odds with programs established at the state and federal level to promote aggressive investment in California's ports to respond to the demands of a rapidly growing population. The prospect of future port expansions holds the promise of more jobs and a growing source of tax revenue for the city of Long Beach and for the state.

For these reasons, we join together to oppose the current version of AB 2042.

Associated General Contractors of California California Association of Port Authorities California Business Properties Association California Chamber of Commerce California Chapters of the National Association of Industrial and Office Parks **California Cotton Ginners Association California Cotton Growers Association** California Independent Oil Marketers Association **California League of Food Processors** California Manufacturers and Technology Association **California Railroad Industry Chemical Industry Council of California International Council of Cruise Lines International Council of Shopping Centers Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce Long Beach Chamber of Commerce Pacific Merchant Shipping Association** Port of Long Beach **Retail Industry Leaders Association Western States Petroleum Association**



Pacific Merchant Shipping Association Membership 2004 Roster

Alaska Tanker Company

American President Lines

Bay & Delta Maritime

Chemoil Corporation

Crowley Maritime Services, Inc.

Evergreen America Corporation

Foss Maritime

General Steamship Corp.

Hamburg Sud

Hanjin Shipping Company

Hapag-Lloyd (America) Inc.

Horizon Lines

Hyundai American Shipping Company

K-Line America, Inc.

Long Beach Container Terminal

M.O.L. America Inc.

Maersk Lines

Marine Terminals Corp.

NYK Lines

Overseas Orient Container Lines

P & O Nedllovd

Pasha Stevedoring & Terminals

Polynesia Lines

Princess Cruises

Seaboard International Shipping

Shinwa Lines

Star Shipping Inc.

Stevedoring Services of America

Toko Lines

Trans-Tec Bunkering Services

USS-POSCO Shipping

Yang Ming Line

Yusen Terminals

Zim-American Israeli Shipping Co.

73

FILED
WITH CITY COUNCIL

MAY 0 4 2004

CITY CLERK