

**Exhibit H: FINDINGS**  
**General Plan Amendment**  
**Land Use Element**  
**Urban Design Element (Replacing Scenic Routes Element)**  
**Application No. 1701-01**  
**October 17, 2019**

The Long Beach Municipal Code does not require specific findings for the adoption of a general plan amendment. The proposed Land Use Element (LUE) and Urban Design Element (UDE) however are consistent with state law and guidelines, consistent with other elements of the general plan, will not adversely affect the character, livability or appropriate development of the City and is in conformity with public necessity, convenience, general welfare and good planning practice. The City of Long Beach makes these findings in support of its adoption of the LUE and UDE.

**The LUE and UDE (collectively “the plan”) are consistent with California Government Code §65300 requirements for a local general plan.** The statute requires the city shall “adopt a comprehensive, long-term general plan for the physical development of the county or city, and of any land outside its boundaries which in the planning agency’s judgment bears relation to its planning. Chartered cities shall adopt general plans which contain the mandatory elements specified in Section 65302.” The proposed LUE is a required element and the proposed UDE is an optional element of the City of Long Beach general plan. Both the LUE and UDE, as well as the combined elements of the general plan (Land Use, Urban Design, Historic Preservation, Open Space, Housing, Air Quality, Mobility, Seismic Safety, Local Coastal Program, Noise, Public Safety, and Conservation) meet the requirements of the Government Code, including the mandatory provisions of Section 65302.

The plan is comprehensive and long-term in nature. Geographically the entire city is considered and the topic areas are also comprehensive ranging from physical development to economic factors, social interaction and overall quality of life. The 2040 horizon year of the plan is consistent with the adopted Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). The long-term horizon of the plan allows the City to plan public and private investments necessary to achieve its goals and accommodate its required allocation of housing and employment as contemplated in the RTP/SCS. This 2040 horizon is also sufficiently long-term in nature for the City to address the SB32 targets for greenhouse gases in 2030, the SB375 regional targets for 2020 and 2035, as well progress toward 2045 and 2050 goals found in relevant California Executive Orders and California Air Resources Board actions. As a mitigation measure of the LUE, the City is preparing a Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) that will help the City reach these greenhouse gas reduction goals.

The LUE includes the provisions outlined in California Government Code §65302(a) including the general location and distribution of public and private uses. PlaceTypes are distributed throughout the City as detailed within the LUE, that distribution was made in due regard to conservation of natural resources and the constraints of available land. These PlaceTypes, depicted on Map LU-7 within the LUE, are sufficient to accommodate the employment and population projections established in the RTP/SCS. A comprehensive program environmental impact report (PEIR) has been prepared which includes a land-use analysis chapter (4.4) verifying consistency between the LUE, UDE and other City and regional plans, including the RTP/SCS. Required flood hazard maps are included as Map LU-1 of the LUE.

Long Beach is a fully developed City and does not contain timberland areas. There are no active military bases within the City. Small portions of southeast Long Beach are within the air space influence area for Joint Forces Base Los Alamitos and proximate to the Naval Weapons Station in Seal Beach. Both installations were taken into consideration during the general plan development process. Additionally, the update to the southeast area planned development district (PD-1, with a replacement proposed as the Southeast Area Specific Plan [SEASP]), which is listed as an implementation to the General Plan but has been completed in the years the General Plan has been under development, involved additional consultation with the military installations.

The Urban Design Element amplifies and implements the goals of the LUE and other general plan elements through improvements in the physical environment, elevated design and greater integration between public and private development. **The UDE is an optional element of the general plan, adopted pursuant to Government Code §65303.**

**Pursuant to §65350.5 the City consulted the Urban Water Management Plan for consistency with the proposed LUE and UDE.** No groundwater management plan, water adjudication or state board action further confines the actions within the LUE and UDE. The EIR for the plan includes chapter 4.9 regarding utilities and availability of services for existing and new development.

Preparation of the plan involved over one-hundred and fifty public meetings, surveys, study sessions, workshops and other forms of public outreach. **Public involvement in preparation of the plan exceeded the requirements of §65351.** This involvement included citizens of Long Beach and surrounding cities, California Native American tribes, other public agencies, utilities, civic and advocacy organizations, as well as other stakeholders. A comprehensive EIR was prepared that includes responses to all public comments received during the public review period. Additionally, the LUE and UDE itself include vision and context chapters that were developed as a direct result of the public engagement process. The plan is the culmination of a more than ten-year process conducted transparently in partnership with interested stakeholders.

**In addition to the public engagement process, the EIR was referred to all of the parties outlined in §65352.** This notice resulted in comments on both the Initial Study/Notice of Preparation (IS/NOP), the 2016 Draft EIR and the 2019 Recirculated Draft EIR. Copies of the comment letters received in response to the IS/NOP are contained within Appendix A of the Recirculated Draft EIR and copies of the comment letters on the Recirculated Draft EIR are contained throughout the Final EIR.

It should be noted that pursuant to Section 15105(a) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, the City is not required to respond to comments on the original 2016 EIR. However, it should be noted that a comment letter was received from the Long Beach Unified School District (LBUSD) on November 1, 2016 and a response is contained within the final EIR. **A meeting was held with LBUSD on January 9, 2016 fulfilling the City's obligations under §65352.2.** The City and LBUSD worked cooperatively on LBUSD's 2016 update to their facilities master plan and the City will continue to offer coordination and assistance with school planning, siting, safety, neighborhood revitalization and joint-use of facilities as contemplated in §65352.2(d). LBUSD was notified by phone and email when the recirculated draft EIR went out for public review and comment; no further comment was received.

**The City did not receive any requests for formal consultation with Native American Tribes pursuant to §65352.3.** In accordance with Senate Bill 18 (SB 18) requirements, the City contacted Native American

representatives in early 2014. The representatives that were contacted were identified on a list of contacts provided by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). Of the 10 Native American representatives that were contacted, responses were received from two individuals: John Tommy Rosas of the Tongva Ancestral Territorial Tribal Nation and Andrew Salas of the Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians. While both individuals noted that the City has several areas that are considered sensitive for cultural resources, approval of the project would not include any physical improvements that would result in the disturbance of such resources. In accordance with SB 18, Assembly Bill 52 (which went into effect in 2017 after the distribution of the Initial Study for the project), and requests by the two Native American representatives, the City will notify Native American representatives of future projects in the City that require a discretionary action.

The general plan, as amended by the LUE and UDE is internally consistent, within and across elements pursuant to §65300.5

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<b>Historic Preservation Element (2010)</b>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The proposed project would focus areas of change and growth outside of established historic districts in the planning area. In addition, the project would encourage the retention of historic structures and landmarks (refer to Land Use Element [LUE] Goal 4; Implementation Strategies LU-M-3, and LU-M-43; Midshore Strategies 3, 7, and 8; and Southeast Strategy 3, as well as Urban Design Element (UDE) Strategies 9 and 10; Policies UD 2-1, UD 9-1 through 9-3; UD 10-1, UD 10-3, UD 14-8, UD 19-1, UD 19-4, UD 20-2, and UD 20-5, and Implementation Strategy 45). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the overall intention of the City’s General Plan Historic Preservation Element.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Maintain and support a comprehensive, citywide historic preservation program to identify and protect Long Beach’s historic, cultural, and archaeological resources.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses areas of change and growth outside of established historic districts. In addition, the project would encourage the retention of historic structures and landmarks (refer to LUE Goal 4; Implementation Strategies LU-M-3 and LU-M-43; Neighborhood Strategies Midshore Strategies 3, 7, and 8; and Southeast Strategy 3, as well as and UDE Strategies 9 and 10; Policies UD 2-1, UD 9-1 through 9-3; UD 10-1, UD 10-3, UD 14-8, UD 19-1, UD 19-4, UD 20-2, and UD 20-5, and Implementation Strategy 45). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Historic Preservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> Protect historic resources from demolition and inappropriate alterations through the use of the City’s regulatory framework, technical assistance, and incentives.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Demolition policies would be unchanged by the proposed project. In addition, the project would encourage the retention of historic structures and landmarks (refer to LUE Goal 4; Implementation Strategies LU-M-3 and LU-M-43; Midshore Strategies 3, 7, and 8; and Southeast Strategy 3, as well as and UDE Strategies 9 and 10; Policies UD 2-1, UD 9-1 through 9-3; UD 10-1, UD 10-3, UD 14-8, UD 19-1, UD 19-4, UD 20-2, and UD 20-5, and Implementation Strategy 45). Furthermore, the City’s historic preservation program includes the Mills Act, façade improvement rebates, and other preservation incentives. Additionally, new development with discretionary actions would be required to undergo review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and/or the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which would prevent the loss of historic structures through demolition. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Historic Preservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 3:</b> Maintain and expand the inventory of historic resources in Long Beach.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Specific neighborhood strategies are included in the proposed LUE to include future surveys of historic structures in the Midshore and Southeast areas, and to encourage the protection of existing historic structures and landmarks in the City landmarks (refer to LUE Goal 4; Implementation Strategies LU-M-3 and LU-M-43;</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	Midshore Strategies 3, 7, and 8; and Southeast Strategy 3, as well as and UDE Strategies 9 and 10; Policies UD 2-1, UD 9-1 through 9-3; UD 10-1, UD 10-3, UD 14-8, UD 19-1, UD 19-4, UD 20-2, and UD 20-5, and Implementation Strategy 45). Furthermore, the City's existing Historic Preservation Program continues to add new landmarks each year to protect the City's architectural and cultural history. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Historic Preservation Element.
<b>Goal No. 4:</b> Increase public awareness and appreciation of the City's history and historic, cultural, and archaeological resources.	<b>Consistent.</b> The City's existing preservation program would be complemented by the proposed project, which strives to better educate and orient residents and visitors to amenities within the City, including historic resources (refer to LUE Bold Move 4, Policy 3-5, Implementation Strategy LU-M-39, as well as Policy UD 12-2). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Historic Preservation Element.
<b>Goal No. 5:</b> Integrate historic preservation policies into City's community development, economic development, and sustainable-city strategies.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses areas of change and growth outside of established historic districts and would maintain existing protections of historic landmarks (refer to LUE Goal 4; Implementation Strategies LU-M-3 and LU-M-43; Neighborhood Strategies Midshore Strategies 3, 7, and 8; and Southeast Strategy 3, as well as and UDE Strategies 9 and 10; Policies UD 2-1, UD 9-1 through 9-3; UD 10-1, UD 10-3, UD 14-8, UD 19-1, UD 19-4, UD 20-2, and UD 20-5, and Implementation Strategy 45). In addition, the City is currently preparing the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP), which includes implementation strategies to weatherize and improve energy efficiency within historic structures while still preserving the historic resource, consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Historic Preservation Element.
<b><i>Open Space and Recreation Element (2002)</i></b>	
<b>Overview.</b> The proposed project would establish the Open Space PlaceType, which would preserve existing open space and recreational facilities throughout the City. In addition, the proposed project establishes More Open Space as a Major Area of Change, which focuses on acquisition of open space for multiple uses, including as buffer and habitat or natural areas (refer to LUE Major Area of Change No. 1, Goal No. 9; Policies 16-6, 18-1, 18-5, 19-1, and 20-1; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-37 and LU-M-88; as well as Policies UD 3-1, 19-3, and 30-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the overall intention of the City's General Plan Open Space and Recreation Element.	

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Open space for the preservation of natural resources.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on acquisition of open space for multiple uses, including as buffer and habitat or natural areas (refer to LUE Major Area of Change No. 1, Goal No. 9; Policies 16-6, 18-1, 18-5, 19-1, and 20-1; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-37 and LU-M-88; as well as Policies UD 3-1, 19-3, and 30-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Open Space and Recreation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> Open space for the managed production of resources.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project applies sustainability standards to protect and enhance water and other natural resources (refer to LUE Major Area of Change No. 1, Goal No. 9; Policies 16-6, 18-1, 18-5, 19-1, and 20-1; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-37 and LU-M-88, as well as Policies UD 3-1, 19-3, and 30-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Open Space and Recreation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 3:</b> Open space for public health and safety.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to increase the amount of open space, including as a buffer between noxious and sensitive uses. In addition, the project supports farmers markets to increase access to healthy food options (refer to LUE Goal 1, North Long Beach Strategy 3, Westside and Wrigley Strategies 5, and 6, as well as UDE Strategies 14 and 17, and Policies 24-3, 24-8, and 24-9). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Open Space and Recreation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 4:</b> Open space for recreation and recreational facilities.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to increase open space through park fees, the reuse of underutilized land, and innovative use of small spaces such as parklets. With this approach, parks of all sizes would be created as resources become available (refer to LUE Goals No. 3 and 8; Major Area of Change No. 1; Strategy No. 18, Policies 9-1, 10-5; 18-1, 18-5, 18-6; 19-1, and Implementation Strategies LU-M-37 and LU-M-84-96, as well as UDE Strategies 14, 17, 17, and 30-33; Policies 5-3, 21-7, 24-8, 34-1, and 34-8; and Implementation Strategy 63). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Open Space and Recreation Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<b><i>Housing Element (2014)</i></b>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The proposed project would allow for the development of new housing units and would encourage improvement of existing residential uses in an effort to provide a variety of housing options at varying income levels to meet the needs of all residents in the planning area. Refer to LUE Goals 4 and 6; Policy 16-5; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-3, 27, 47, as well as UDE Policy 16-1. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the overall intention of the City’s General Plan Housing Element.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Provide housing assistance and preserve publicly assisted units.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on creating new housing units and improving services and the built environment in existing disadvantaged communities (refer to LUE Goals 4 and 6; Policy 16-5; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-3, 27, and 47, as well as UDE Policy 16-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> Address the unique housing needs of special needs residents.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on creating new housing units, including units for those individuals with special needs. Through the implementation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), concepts of universal design and a focus on creating diverse housing for a diverse population, the proposed project would improve the availability of housing for those with special needs (refer to LUE Goals 4 and 6; Goals 4-6, and Policies 12-1 through 12-7, as well as UDE Policy 1-8). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 3:</b> Retain and improve the quality of existing housing and neighborhoods.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses growth along transit corridors and other major areas of change, not within existing neighborhoods. The proposed project also includes policies aimed at protecting existing neighborhoods and existing programs, such as the façade improvement program (refer to LUE Goals 1, 4, 5, and 6; Policies 16-7, 16-2, and 16-5; and Implementation Strategies LU-M-29, 35-38, and 43, as well as UDE Strategies 1-3, 13, 19-20). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 4:</b> Provide increased opportunities for the construction of high quality housing.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for new housing consistent with the production goals found in the Housing Element and RTP/SCS. Housing production is targeted in Downtown, Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) corridors, major bus-route mixed-use corridors, within existing multifamily areas such as Alamitos Beach, within regional opportunity sites, such as PD-1 (Southeast Long Beach), and near the traffic circle. Appropriate modest increases in height and intensity are included in these areas (refer to LUE Goals 1-5, as well as UDE Strategies 3, 16, and 20-22). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Housing Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 5:</b> Mitigate government constraints to housing investment and affordability.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes a map of PlaceTypes and heights, as well as an implementation program for those changes. Implementation of the proposed project, as well as certification of this Recirculated Draft Program EIR, provides opportunities to streamline the future development of housing. Improving certainty, reducing the timeline of the development process, and including modest increases in height and intensity would all contribute to reducing governmental constraints and improving the ability to create housing of all types and for all income levels (refer to LUE Goals 1-6, and Implementation Strategies LU-M-3, 26, 27, 45, as well as UDE Strategies 5, 16, and 20-22). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 6:</b> Provide increased opportunities for home ownership.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Through promotion of creating all types of housing for all types of families, the proposed project would increase the opportunity for home ownership. Home ownership is a function of availability of housing for sale, but also affordability and economic opportunity. The proposed project promotes both housing and job growth, which is anticipated to improve home ownership in the planning area (refer to LUE Goals 1-5, as well as UDE Strategies 5, 16, and 20-22). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 6 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 7:</b> Fair and equal housing opportunity.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Existing programs to ensure fair housing would continue under the proposed project. Through promotion of creating all types of housing for all types of families, the proposed project would increase the overall access to, affordability, and accessibility of housing to all persons. The proposed project promotes both housing and job growth, which should provide the foundation for improved home ownership (refer to LUE Goals 1-5, as well as UDE Strategies 5, 16, and 20-22). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 7 of the Housing Element.</p>
<p><b><i>Air Quality Element (1996)</i></b></p>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The LUE implementation program includes creation of a CAAP in the immediate short term, which is already underway. Once completed, this CAAP would be a starting point for updating the City’s optional Air Quality Element. In the interim, the proposed LUE and UDE are consistent with the Air Quality Element adopted in 1996. Four goals guide the Air Quality Element: achieve air quality improvements in such a manner that sustains current economic development while encouraging future growth; improve the quality of life for our citizens by providing greater opportunities, convenience, and choices; reinforce local mobility goals by reducing peak-hour traffic congestion; and foster behavior change through public information and education, incentives and pricing that reflects total societal costs for administration and enforcement.</p>	

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p>The LUE starts with Goal No. 1, which aims to implement sustainable planning and development practices. The LUE and UDE address sustainability throughout each element, and the creation of the CAAP would further the Air Quality Element’s intent. Land Use policies related to climate change and sustainability are summarized in the appendix, Chapter 7, of the LUE.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Effective coordination of air quality improvement efforts in the South Coast Air Basin, the Southeast Los Angeles County (SELAC) subregion of SCAG, and other agencies.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The 2016 EIR for the proposed project was provided for comment to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), as well as the local Council of Governments (COG) (now Gateway Cities COG) and SCAG. This Recirculated Draft EIR was also distributed to the SCAQMD, the Gateway Cities COG, and SCAG. Additionally, the project proposes the creation and implementation of the CAAP that would be drafted in consultation with all stakeholders, including the SCAQMD, the Gateway Cities, and SCAG (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, 4, 7, and 9, and Implementation Strategies 50-53, and 72, as well as UDE Strategy 70). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> A diverse and efficient ground transportation system that minimizes air pollutant emissions.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The transportation system is primarily addressed in the City’s General Plan Mobility Element; however, there are supporting policies in the proposed LUE and UDE that promote complete streets and focus on land use and design features that promote walking, biking, and transit use (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 6; North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; and Implementation Strategy LU-M-11, as well as Strategies 42 and 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, and 40-8, and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 3:</b> Minimize feasible emissions from Long Beach Airport.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The Long Beach Airport is primarily regulated by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and an adopted Airport Land Use Plan. However, the Airport is also regulated by the City’s General Plan Mobility Element and associated rules and regulations. The proposed project supports regional uses including the sustainable use of the Airport (refer to LUE Goals No. 1, 3 (Major Area of Change No. 3), and 6, as well as UDE Implementation Strategies 46 and 47). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 4:</b> Minimum feasible emissions from the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Emissions from the Port are primarily regulated through the City’s General Plan Mobility Element, the Green Port Plan, the Port’s Clean Air Action Plan, the CAAP, and related regulations. In addition, the proposed project supports the sustainable use of regional facilities and industrial areas including the Port (refer to LUE Goals No. 1, Major</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	Area of Change No. 3 and 6; LUE Implementation Strategies LU-M-7, and 73-76, as well as UDE Strategies 24 and 26). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Air Quality Element.
<p><b>Goal No. 5:</b> A pattern of land uses that can be efficiently served by a diversified transportation system and that directly and indirectly minimizes air pollutants.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to complement the City’s General Plan Mobility Element by concentrating new development in Downtown and along bus and rail corridors. Under the design provisions of the proposed project, all new development would include features to encourage biking, walking, and transit use (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 6; North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; and Implementation Strategy LU-M-11, as well as Strategies 42, 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, 40-8, and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 6:</b> Minimize particulate emissions from the construction and operation of roads and buildings, from mobile sources, and from the transportation, handling, and storage of materials.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Sustainability is a foundation for all goals and policies in the proposed project (refer to LUE Goals No. 1, 3, and 6-9; Strategy No. 2, Policy 16-4, and Implementation Strategies 72, and 74-79, as well as UDE Strategy 70). While the emissions factors of vehicles are outside the jurisdiction of the City of Long Beach, the proposed project does include provisions for walking, biking, and transit use as a mechanism to reduce the vehicle miles travelled (VMT) in the City and associated emissions (including particulate emissions). Furthermore, the implementation program includes the preparation of a CAAP, which is already being prepared. The focus of the CAAP is to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions but it is anticipated to have co-benefits including reductions in criteria pollutants, such as particulate emissions. The CAAP also identifies adaptation strategies to reduce the negative impacts of climate change on air quality in the City. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 6 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 7:</b> Reduce emissions through reduced energy consumption.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on compact, sustainable, and energy-efficient new development, as well as enhancements to existing neighborhoods such as energy retrofits and installation of rooftop solar systems (refer to LUE Strategy No. 2; Policies 1-6, 2-1, 4-1, 11-2, and 16-7, and Implementation Strategies LU-M-3, 9-13, and 59-69, as well as UDE Policies 5-5 and 5-10). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 7 of the Air Quality Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 8:</b> Education of the City residents concerning air quality, energy, and congestion issues, and the need to modify present travel behavior and energy consumption patterns.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Existing education programs would continue and be enhanced by the proposed project’s focus on creating sustainable communities (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 6; North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; and Implementation Strategy LU-M-11, as well as Strategies 42 and 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, and 40-8, and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 8 of the Air Quality Element.</p>
<p><b><i>Mobility Element (2013)</i></b></p>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The proposed project would further the goals of the City’s General Plan Mobility Element by concentrating new development in Downtown and along bus and rail corridors. The project also includes design provisions to encourage biking, walking, and transit use (refer to LUE Goals No. 1-6; Implementation Strategies LU-M-11 and 37; and North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; as well as UDE Strategies 42 and 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, and 40-8 and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the overall intent of the City’s General Plan Mobility Element.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Create an efficient, balanced, multimodal mobility network.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to complement the City’s General Plan Mobility Element by concentrating new development in Downtown and along bus and rail corridors. Under the design provisions of the proposed project, all new development would include features to encourage biking, walking, and transit use (refer to LUE Goals No. 1-6; Implementation Strategies LU-M-11 and 37; and North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; as well as UDE Strategies 42 and 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, and 40-8, and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Mobility Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> Maintain and enhance air, water, and ground transportation capacity.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project utilizes the network established in the City’s General Plan Mobility Element and distributes land uses by PlaceType around the City. The proposed project focuses on walkable corridors of mixed-use activity, but also economic development anchored by regional facilities such as the Port, Airport, and other significant regional facilities. The Waterfront PlaceType includes transportation-related provisions (including water transportation) to enhance mobility citywide (refer to LUE Goals No. 1–6; Implementation Strategies LU-M-11 and 37; and North Long Beach Strategy 10, Bixby</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	Knolls Strategy 8, Westside and Wrigley Strategy 9, Eastside Strategy 13, Central Strategy 8, Traffic Circle Strategy 9, Downtown Strategy 12, and Midshore Strategy 11; as well as UDE Strategies 42 and 43, Policies 15-3, 18-10, 31-2, 37-3, 38-8, and 40-8; and Implementation Strategy 48). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Mobility Element.
<b>Goal No. 3:</b> Lead the region by example with innovative and experimental practices.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project continues the current mobility approach including experimental approaches to repurposing right-of-way and applying complete streets principles. PlaceTypes, such as Neo-Industrial, represent an innovative approach to creating and retaining employment while reducing the environmental impacts of those companies (refer to LUE Goals No. 3, 7, and 8, as well as UDE Policy 6-3). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Mobility Element.
<b><i>Seismic Safety Element (1988)</i></b>	
<b>Overview.</b> The proposed project would be implemented through the regulations outlined in the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code, both of which include provisions for seismic safety. In addition, the City intends to update the Safety Element of the General Plan in the near future as resources are available (refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the overall intent of the City's General Plan Seismic Safety Element.	
<b>Management Goal No. 1:</b> Develop implementable mechanisms for a more stringent review of the earthquake potential associated with various projects.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the regulations outlined in the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Management Goal No. 1 of the Seismic Safety Element.
<b>Management Goal No. 2:</b> Coordinate and cooperate with other political jurisdictions in implementing seismic safety programs.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goal No. 7 and UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. In addition, the City cooperates with surrounding jurisdictions, the Gateway Cities COG, and the County of Los Angeles in preparing for emergencies such as a major seismic event. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Management Goal No. 2 of the Seismic Safety Element.

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Management Goal No. 3:</b> Establish seismic safety guidelines to evaluate all potential hazards and mitigate existing problems.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City’s approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Management Goal No. 3 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Development Goal No. 1:</b> Utilize seismic safety considerations as a means of encouraging and enhancing desired land use patterns.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) as well as the Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Development Goal No. 1 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Development Goal No. 2:</b> Provide an urban environment, which is as safe as possible from seismic risk.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project is implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Development Goal No. 2 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Development Goal No. 3:</b> Use physical planning as a means of achieving greater degrees of protection from seismic safety hazards.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures to new structures built at higher levels of seismic</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City's approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Development Goal No. 3 of the Seismic Safety Element.
<p><b>Development Goal No. 4:</b> Encourage development that would be most in harmony with nature and thus less vulnerable to earthquake damage.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, Goal 9, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City's approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Development Goal No. 4 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Development Goal No. 5:</b> Strive to encourage urbanization patterns which preserve and/or create greater earthquake safety for residents and visitors.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4 and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) as well as the Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City's approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Development Goal No. 5 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Protection Goal No. 1:</b> Reduce public exposure to seismic risks.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4 and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) as well as the Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City’s approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Protection Goal No. 1 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Protection Goal No. 2:</b> Reduce the potential adverse economic, environmental, and social conditions which could result from a major earthquake.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4 and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. In addition, the City co-operates with surrounding jurisdictions, the Gateway Cities COG, and the County of Los Angeles in preparing for emergencies such as a major seismic event. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Protection Goal No. 2 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Protection Goal No. 3:</b> Assure continued economic stability and growth by minimizing potential seismic hazards.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. However, please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4 and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Protection Goal No. 3 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Protection Goal No. 4:</b> Inform the public of existing or potential seismic hazards and what to do in times of earthquake events.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Existing programs including the City’s Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) and neighborhood outreach around preparedness currently meet this goal. These programs would continue under the proposed project (refer to LUE Strategy No. 15 and UDE Policy 6-3). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Protection Goal No. 4 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Protection Goal No. 5:</b> Provide the maximum feasible level of seismic safety protection services.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. Please also refer to LUE Goals 1-4, Goal 7, and Policies 16-2 and 17-2, as well as UDE Policy 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. Existing programs also assist property owners with undertaking rehabilitation projects such as foundation bolting. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Protection Goal No. 5 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Remedial Action Goal No. 1:</b> Eliminate or reconstruct uses and structures which pose seismic risks.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. Please also refer to LUE Policies 6-6 and 7-1 and Southeast Strategy 6, as well as UDE Policies 6-1 and 6-3. The proposed project would be implemented through the Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for seismic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of seismic safety. In addition, upon completion of the CAAP, the City, based on available resources, will update the Safety Element of the General Plan to further enhance the City's approach to mitigating existing threats. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Remedial Action Goal No. 1 of the Seismic Safety Element.</p>
<p><b><i>Noise Element (1975)</i></b></p>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The proposed project promotes an active, sustainable environmental with a high quality of life. Limiting noise exposure, while still allowing positive activity is part of implementing the proposed project (refer to LUE Goals 1, 4, Policies 16-6 through 16-8, Bixby Knolls Strategy 1, and Westside and Wrigley Strategy 6, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). The Noise Element contains various goals related to different sections of the General Plan. The Land Use Planning goals are analyzed herein. Additionally, the City is currently updating the 1975 Noise Element as part of its overall General Plan update process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with applicable provisions in the Noise Element.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> Provide the City with limited maximum noise levels by judicious land use planning policies.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The PlaceType map and supporting policies were created with consideration of the existing built environment and the natural setting. Different urban forms are available throughout the City, thereby providing residents and visitors the opportunity to make an informed decision to visit or live in a more boisterous environment (e.g., Downtown) or a more sedate environment (e.g., Founding and Contemporary</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	Neighborhoods PlaceType) (refer to LUE Goals 1, 4, and 7; Bixby Knolls Strategy 1, and Westside and Wrigley Strategy 6, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). This Program EIR also includes an evaluation of potential noise impacts resulting from project implementation (refer to Section 4.5, Noise, and Appendix D). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 2:</b> Develop standards for local fixed point noise sources.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented through the City's adoption and enforcement of the Noise Ordinance. Maximum noise levels, hours of activity and noise, and construction hours are all currently regulated. The proposed project continues these existing programs (refer to LUE Goal 4, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 3:</b> Set measurable goals for the reduction of noise in problem areas.	<b>Consistent.</b> The City has established noise regulations. Additionally the proposed project contemplates increased open space and buffers from noxious uses. The proposed project also contemplates changes to industrial areas that are adjacent to residential neighborhoods, allowing for a reduction in impacts over time (refer to LUE Goals 1 through 4, 6, 8, and 9; Bixby Knolls Strategy 1, and Westside and Wrigley Strategy 6, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 4:</b> Propose land uses or activities that would act as buffer zones between incompatible land uses.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for increased open-space and buffers to reduce land-use conflicts including noise (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, 8, and 9, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 5:</b> Consider existing ambient noise levels before establishing specific permitted levels of sound.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented through the City's adoption and enforcement of the Noise Ordinance. Maximum noise levels, hours of activity and noise, and construction hours are all currently regulated. The Noise Ordinance measures noise in the context of existing ambient levels. The proposed project continues these existing programs (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, 8, and 9; Bixby Knolls Strategy 1, and Westside and Wrigley Strategy 6, as well as UDE Strategies 14 and 17 and Policies 23-1, 23-6, 24-3, 24-8, 24-9, 25-1, and 38-4). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 6:</b> Locate and mitigate noise impacts from highways and freeways on residential land uses and institutional, recreational and school facilities.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented through the City's adoption and enforcement of the Noise Ordinance. Maximum noise levels, hours of activity and noise, as well as construction hours are all currently regulated. The proposed project continues

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	these existing programs while seeking to calm traffic and provide increased buffers between residents and noise sources, including roadways (refer to LUE Goal 4, as well as UDE Policies 14-5 and 23-1). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 6 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 7:</b> Identify and anticipate existing or proposed land uses that cause (directly or indirectly) noise-generating activities.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for increased open-space and buffers to reduce land-use conflicts including noise (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, 8, and 9, as well as UDE Strategies 14 and 17 and Policies 23-1, 23-6, 24-3, 24-8, 24-9, 25-1, 38-4). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 7 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 8:</b> Promote the health and well-being of the people of Long Beach by adopting standards for the proper balance, relationship, and distribution of the various types of land uses.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented. PlaceTypes have been distributed around the City based on existing conditions, transportation corridors and the jobs and housing projections set in the RTP/SCS (refer to Map 6 in the proposed LUE and Map 2 in the proposed UDE). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 8 of the Noise Element.
<b>Goal No. 9:</b> Protect business and industrial areas against intrusion of non-business or non-industrial land uses which are highly sensitive to noise.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project does not allow residential uses within the Community Commercial or Open Space PlaceTypes and restricts residential uses within the Neo-Industrial PlaceType to the adaptive reuse of existing buildings. The proposed project seeks to maintain and expand existing employment (refer to LUE Goal 2, as well as UDE Strategies 24 and 25 and Policies 5-10 and 24-8). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 9 of the Noise Element.
<b><i>Public Safety Element (1975)</i></b>	
<b>Overview.</b> The 1975 Public Safety Element contains goals relating to multiple areas of the General Plan and City operations. The development goals are analyzed herein. Additionally, upon completion of the CAAP and dependent on available resources, the City intends to update the Safety Element consistent with Senate Bill (SB) 379 (refer to LUE Goal 4 and UDE Policies 6-3 and 41-7). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the Public Safety Element.	
<b>Goal No. 1:</b> Promote the redevelopment of areas, which may present safety problems.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for safety, as well as design features to improve safety through new development. The proposed project focuses growth in transit corridors and other major areas of change. The LUE is implemented through the Zoning Code, including crime prevention through environmental design provisions applied during the City's Site Plan review process (refer to LUE Goals 2 and 3, as well as UDE

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	Strategy 7 and Implementation Strategy 50). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Public Safety Element.
<b>Goal No. 2:</b> Utilize safety considerations, as a means of encouraging and enhancing desired land use patterns.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for safety, as well as design features to improve safety through new development. The proposed project focuses growth in transit corridors and other major areas of change. The LUE is implemented through the Zoning Code, including crime prevention through environmental design provisions applied during the City’s Site Plan review process (refer to LUE Goals 2 and 3, as well as UDE Strategy 7 and Implementation Strategy 50). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Public Safety Element.
<b>Goal No. 3:</b> provide an urban environment, which is safe from all types of hazards as possible	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for safety, as well as design features to improve safety through new development. The proposed project focuses growth in transit corridors and other major areas of change. The LUE is implemented through the Zoning Code, including crime prevention through environmental design provisions applied during the City’s Site Plan review process (refer to LUE Goals 2 and 3, as well as UDE Strategy 7 and Implementation Strategy 50). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Public Safety Element.
<b>Goal No. 4:</b> Continue to identify existing or proposed uses or activities that may pose safety hazards.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to develop safe, attractive neighborhoods throughout the City. Safety is also addressed through implementation of the City’s Violence Prevention Plan and associated programs (refer to LUE Goal 4, as well as UDE Strategy 7 and Policies 6-3 and 41-7). Additionally, upon completion of the CAAP and dependent on available resources, the City will update the Safety Element consistent with SB 379. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Public Safety Element.
<b>Goal No. 5:</b> Use physical planning as a means of achieving greater degrees of protection from safety hazards.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions for safety, as well as design features to improve safety through new development (refer to LUE Goals 2 through 4, as well as UDE Strategy 7, Policies 6-3 and 41-7, and Implementation Strategy 50). The proposed project focuses growth in transit corridors and other major areas of change. The proposed project is implemented through the Zoning Code, including crime prevention through environmental design provisions applied during the City’s Site Plan review process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Public Safety Element.

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 6:</b> Encourage transportation systems, utilities, industries and similar uses to locate and operate in a manner consistent with public safety goals.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project contemplates new jobs and housing as well as improved buffers to reduce land-use conflicts (refer to Refer to LUE Goals 2 through 7, as well as UDE Strategies 7, 14, and 17; Policies 6-3, 23-1, 23-6, 24-3, 24-8, 24-9, 25-1, 38-4, and 41-7; and Implementation Strategy 50). The proposed project is implemented through the Zoning Code, including crime prevention through environmental design provisions applied during the City’s Site Plan review process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 6 of the Public Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 7:</b> Assure continued safe accessibility to all urban land uses throughout the City.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The transportation system is primarily addressed in the City’s General Plan Mobility Element. However, there are supporting policies in the plan that promote complete streets and focus on land use and design features that promote walking, biking, and transit use. Safety is an important component in designing street improvements along with complementary land uses (refer to LUE Goals 2 through 7, as well as UDE Strategies 7, 14, and 17; Policies 6-3, 23-1, 23-6, 24-3, 24-8, 24-9, 25-1, 38-4, and 41-7; and Implementation Strategy 50). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 7 of the Public Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 8:</b> Encourage development that would be most in harmony with nature and less vulnerable to natural disasters.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Existing codes and regulations, including the zoning and building code provide for high levels of safety. In addition, the plan seeks to reconnect development with consideration of the natural environment. Provisions for new and redevelopment within the proposed project would encourage structures to be built and designed at higher levels of safety than exist in the current built environment (refer to LUE Goals 1 through 4 and Policy 16-2, as well as UDE Strategy 7 and Policy 6-3). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 8 of the Public Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 9:</b> Encourage development that would augment efforts of other safety-related Departments of the City (i.e. design for adequate access for firefighting equipment and police surveillance).</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provision for safety through the distribution of land uses and also the design of those future improvements. The proposed project is implemented through the Zoning Code, including review by police, fire, transit, and public works officials applied during the City’s Site Plan Review process (refer to LUE Goal 7, as well as UDE Strategies, 1, 6, and 7). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 9 of the Public Safety Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 10:</b> Strive to encourage urbanization patterns, which preserve and/or create greater safety for residents or visitors.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes goals and provisions for improved safety both in new development and through improvements to existing neighborhoods (refer to LUE Goal 4 and UDE Strategy 7). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 10 of the Public Safety Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 11:</b> Critically evaluate proposed public or private actions, which may pose safety hazards to residents or visitors.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provision for safety through the distribution of land uses and also the design of those future improvements (refer to LUE Goal 7, as well as UDE Strategies, 1, 6, and 7). The proposed project is implemented through the Zoning Code, including review by police, fire, transit, and public works officials applied during the City’s Site Plan Review process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 11 of the Public Safety Element.</p>
<p><b><i>Conservation Element (1973)</i></b></p>	
<p><b>Overview.</b> The 1973 Conservation Element assures that natural resources, including mineral resources are considered in land use planning. This is consistent with the proposed project’s goal to expand open space and plan in relation to the natural world (refer to LUE Goals 7-9; Policies 11-2, 18-4, 18-5, 19-1, 19-3, 19-4, 19-5, and 20-1 through 20-11; Implementation Strategies LU-M-28, 37, 55, 97, 98, 99, and 110, as well as UDE Strategies 5, 39, and 40; Policies UD 4-2, 6-4, 31-7, 31-8, and 34-2; and Implementation Strategies 51 and 53). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the Conservation Element.</p>	
<p><b>Goal No. 1:</b> To conserve the natural resources of Long Beach through wise management and well planned utilization of water, vegetation, wildlife, minerals, and other resources.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project applies sustainability standards to protect and enhance water and other natural resources. The proposed project seeks to expand resource protection and integrate sustainability into all land use and design decisions (refer to LUE Goals 7-9; Policies 11-2, 18-4, 18-5, 19-1, 19-3, 19-4, 19-5, and 20-1 through 20-11; Implementation Strategies LU-M-28, 37, 55, 97, 98, 99, and 110, as well as UDE Strategies 5, 39, and 40; Policies UD 4-2, 6-4, 31-7, 31-8, and 34-2; and Implementation Strategies 51 and 53). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 2:</b> To create and maintain a productive harmony between man and his environment through conservation of natural resources and protection of significant areas having environmental or aesthetic value.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project seeks to create additional open space, through both large and small additions of park, plaza, and buffer space. The proposed project seeks to expand resource protection and integrates sustainability into all land use and design decisions (refer to LUE Goals 7 through 9, as well as UDE Strategies 17, 29, 30, and 33, and Policies 23-1, 24-7, 24-8, and 24-9). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Goal No. 3:</b> To revitalize and enhance areas where inadequate conservation measures occurred in the past.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project places a particular emphasis on disadvantaged communities and ways to lessen land-use conflicts including through the reduction of environmental hazards (refer to LUE Goal 6 and UDE Strategy 13). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 3 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 4:</b> To improve and preserve the unique and fine qualities of Long Beach and to eliminate undesirable or harmful elements.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes a number of goals to improve the quality of life in Long Beach for residents, workers, and visitors (refer to all goals, policies, and strategies listed throughout the proposed LUE and UDE). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 4 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 5:</b> To promote the health, safety and well-being of the people of Long Beach by adopting standards for the proper balance, relationship, and distribution of the various types of land uses, and by formulating and adopting a long-term capital improvement program.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented by the City. PlaceTypes have been distributed around the City based on existing conditions, transportation corridors, and the jobs and housing projections set in the RTP/SCS (refer to Map 7 in the proposed LUE and Map 2 in the proposed UDE). The annual Capital Improvement Program is certified by the Planning Commission for conformity with the City's General Plan. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 5 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Goal No. 6:</b> To establish a balanced program aimed at improving the qualitative conditions of life for all segments of the population of the City.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes a number of goals to improve the quality of life in Long Beach for residents, workers, and visitors. Refer to all goals, policies, and strategies listed throughout the proposed LUE and UDE. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Goal No. 6 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 1:</b> To assure adequate quantity and quality of water to meet present and future domestic, agricultural and industrial needs of the City.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This Recirculated Draft EIR includes a full analysis of water supply including conformity with the Urban Water Management Plan in Section 4.9, Utilities. The proposed project includes provisions aimed at the careful management of water and integrating water savings into project design (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 8, Strategies 10, 19, and 20, and Policy 18-4, as well as UDE Strategy 39, Policies 4-3, 5-1, 5-7, 6-4, 31-7, 31-8, and 34-2, and Implementation Strategy 69). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 2:</b> To enforce existing ordinances and develop new ordinances and promote continuing research directed toward achieving the required stringent water quality standards which regulate waste water effluent discharge to ocean waters, bay and estuaries, fresh water and groundwater.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Wastewater standards are established under the Clean Water Act and other federal, State, and regional regulations. The proposed project promotes proper infrastructure and promotes improved water quality through improved stormwater diversion and infiltration (refer to LUE Goal 7 and Strategy 6). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 3:</b> To assure that the waters of San Pedro and Alamitos Bays and Colorado Lagoon are maintained at the highest quality feasible in order to enhance their recreational, and commercial utilization.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project includes provisions and design policies to promote stormwater capture, treatment, and infiltration. Preventing stormwater from reaching the bays and lagoons is essential to maintaining the good water quality the City currently enjoys (refer to LUE Goal 7 and Strategy 6). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 3 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 4:</b> To enforce existing controls and ordinances regulating waste discharge from vessels.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The City of Long Beach Marine Bureau, the Long Beach Police Department, and the Health Department all assist State and federal agencies in enforcing water quality rules. Untreated effluent cannot be legally discharged from any vessel in the waters in and around Long Beach. In addition, LUE Goal 7 and Strategy 6 are aimed at improving water quality and natural resources in the City. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 4 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 5:</b> To maintain, upgrade, and improve waste water systems and facilities serving Long Beach.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This Recirculated Draft EIR includes an analysis of wastewater systems and capacities in Section 4.9, Utilities. Existing capacity is sufficient for the anticipated levels of growth. In addition, LUE Goal 7 and Strategy 6 are aimed at improving water quality and natural resources in the City. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 5 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 6:</b> To develop a comprehensive citywide water supply and management program which utilizes water from all sources including groundwater.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal is already implemented through the City's Urban Water Management Plan. This Recirculated Draft EIR includes a full analysis of water supply including conformity with the Urban Water Management Plan in Section 4.9, Utilities. The proposed project also includes provisions regarding the careful management of water and integrating water savings into project design (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 8, Strategies 10, 19, and 20, and Policy 18-4, as well as UDE Strategy 39, Policies 4-3, 5-1, 5-7, 6-4, 31-7, 31-8, and 34-2, and Implementation Strategy 69). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 6 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Water Resource Goal No. 7:</b> To preserve and enhance the open space opportunities offered by the inland waterways of the City through improved access and beautification.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project strives to protect, enhance, and promote the public use of all the City's parks and open space including its inland waterways (refer to LUE Major Area of Change 1, Goal 9, Policy 18-1, Strategies 19 and 20, and Implementation Strategies 84, 85, 86, 91, and 96, as well as UDE Strategies 17 and 29). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Water Resource Goal No. 7 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Soils Management Goal No. 1:</b> To preserve and enhance lands of significant value such as beaches and bluffs.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The City's General Plan, by definition, is general in nature and does not contain specific development plans for the sandy area of the beach. No specific changes to the recreational facilities on the beach are contemplated in the proposed project. The</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
	<p>proposed project does, however, seek to promote use of the enhancement and use of coastal resources by residents and visitors alike (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, and 9; and Maps 7 and 9, as well as UDE Maps 2 and 12). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Soils Management Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Soils Management Goal No. 2:</b> To critically evaluate any proposed public improvements on the beach and any project that would contribute to the erosion of the beaches.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The City’s General Plan is by definition general in nature and does not contain specific development plans for the sandy area of the beach. No specific changes to the recreational facilities on the beach are contemplated in the proposed project that would exacerbate beach erosion. The proposed project does, however, seek to promote use of the enhancement and use of coastal resources by residents and visitors alike. Specific impacts of any future projects would be evaluated through the City’s Site Plan Review process, including potential impacts with respect to beach erosion. Refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, and 9; and Maps 7 and 9, as well as UDE Maps 2 and 12. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Soils Management Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Soils Management Goal No. 3:</b> To minimize those activities which would have a critical or detrimental effect on geologically unstable areas and soils subject to erosion.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> This goal has already been implemented and is reflected in existing programs and regulations. Future projects facilitated by the proposed project would be implemented through the City’s Zoning Code (Title 21) and Building Code. These codes include provisions for soil stability and geologic safety. The proposed project allows modest increases in height and intensity, which would encourage the redevelopment of existing structures, resulting in new structures built at higher levels of safety (refer to LUE Goals 1–4, and 7, as well as UDE Policy 6-3). Specific impacts of any future projects would be evaluated through the City’s Site Plan Review process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Soils Management Goal No. 3 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Soils Management Goal No. 4:</b> To preserve the beach from Alamitos Boulevard to the Long Beach Marina as a unique geologic zone and to perpetuate its public use as an open entity.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The City’s General Plan is by definition general in nature and does not contain specific development plans for the sandy area of the beach. No specific changes to the recreational facilities on the beach are contemplated in the proposed project. The proposed project would promote the enhancement and use of coastal resources by residents and visitors alike (refer to LUE Goals 1, 3, and 9; and Maps 7 and 9, as well as UDE Maps 2 and 12). Specific impacts of any future projects would be evaluated through the City’s Site Plan Review process. The public use of the beach is guaranteed under park abandonment laws, the California Coastal Act, the adopted LCP, tidelands restrictions and other laws. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Soils Management Goal No. 4 of the Conservation Element.</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
<p><b>Soils Management Goal No. 5:</b> To continue to monitor areas subject to siltation and deposition of soils which could have a detrimental effect upon water quality and the marine biosphere.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project applies sustainability standards to protect and enhance water and other natural resources. The proposed project seeks to expand resource protection and integrate sustainability into all land use and design decisions (refer to LUE Goals 7-9; Strategy 20; Policies 11-2, 18-4, 19-3, 19-4, 19-5, and 20-5; and Implementation Strategies 99, 100, 101, as well as UDE Policies 17-3, 17-4, 21-8, and 39-7, and Implementation Strategy 70). Specific impacts of any future projects, including sediment deposition, would be evaluated through the City’s Site Plan Review process. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Soils Management Goal No. 5 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Vegetation Goal No. 1:</b> To provide protective controls for lands supporting distinctive native vegetation, wildlife species which can be used for ecologic, scientific and educational purposes.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project designates specific open space areas and focuses on acquisition of open space for multiple uses, including as buffer and habitat or natural areas (refer to LUE Goal 9, Policy 18-1, Strategies 18 through 20, and Implementation Strategies 84, 85, 86, and 88, as well as UDE Strategies 17 and 29). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Vegetation Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Vegetation Goal No. 2:</b> To perpetuate the ecological preserve in El Dorado Park.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project continues the operation of El Dorado Park as a nature preserve (refer to LUE Implementation Strategy 108 and UDE Map 12). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Vegetation Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Vegetation Goal No. 3:</b> To locate, define, and protect other beneficial habitats in and about the City.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on acquisition of open space for multiple uses, including as buffer and habitat or natural areas. Acquisition and restoration of the Los Cerritos wetlands, in cooperation with the Los Cerritos Wetland Authority, is a major focus of that effort. Refer to LUE Goals 1 and 2, as well as UDE Strategy 29. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Vegetation Goal No. 3 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Wildlife Goal No. 1:</b> to promote measures and plans which protect and preserve distinctive types of wildlife including mammals, birds, marine organisms and especially endangered species.</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project focuses on acquisition of open space for multiple uses, including as buffer and habitat or natural areas that could be utilized for varying types of wildlife (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 2, as well as UDE Strategy 29). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Wildlife Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.</p>
<p><b>Mineral Resource Goal No. 1:</b> To manage the petroleum resources of the City in a manner that not</p>	<p><b>Consistent.</b> Oil drilling is conducted according to the City’s Oil Map. City proceeds from those activities benefit tidelands and uplands activities, including the acquisition and</p>

General Plan Policy or Goal	Project Consistency
only maximize their economic value, but would enhance the quality of open space.	improvement of open space (refer to LUE Goals 1 and 2, as well as UDE Strategy 29). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Mineral Resource Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.
<b>Mineral Resource Goal No. 2:</b> To continue good management practices in the production of petroleum including aesthetics, ecological compatibility and other environmental aspects.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project encourages gradual consolidation of oil drilling activities in order to lessen the aesthetic and environmental impacts. Refer to LUE Policies 7-3, 11-2, and 20-6, as well as UDE Policy 28-12. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Mineral Resource Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.
<b>Mineral Resource Goal No. 3:</b> To continue to take restorative measures to remedy and prevent subsidence associated with oil extraction.	<b>Consistent.</b> This goal has been implemented through local and State regulations. The proposed project encourages the consolidation and thus modernization of oil drilling equipment, which would result in higher levels of geologic safety, further preventing subsidence (refer to LUE Policies 7-3, 11-2, and 20-6, as well as UDE Policy 28-12). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Mineral Resource Goal No. 3 of the Conservation Element.
<b>Other Resources Goal No. 1:</b> To identify and preserve sites of outstanding scenic, historic, and cultural significance or recreational potential.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project is consistent with the Scenic Routes contained within the Mobility Element. Additionally, the proposed project continues the City's Historic Preservation program and includes a map of designated City parks and open space (refer to LUE Maps 7 and 9, as well as UDE Maps 1, 2, and 12). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Other Resources Goal No. 1 of the Conservation Element.
<b>Other Resources Goal No. 2:</b> To encourage citizen participation in the identification and preservation of historic and cultural sites.	<b>Consistent.</b> The proposed project is consistent with the Scenic Routes contained within the Mobility Element. Additionally, the plan continues the City's Historic Preservation program and includes a map of designated City parks and open space (refer to LUE Maps 7 and 9, as well as UDE Maps 1, 2, and 12). Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with Other Resources Goal No. 2 of the Conservation Element.

Source: City of Long Beach General Plan, as amended.