



To: 'Carolyn Harris' <Carolyn.Harris@longbeach.gov>,  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fw: Agenda item #3 Tuesday April 6 Meeting- appellant read from handwritten copy

---

City Council Meeting Tuesday 4/6/2010

Agenda Item #3 Cell Tower Appeal

Hearing 10-0359

(Below is a copy of the handwritten comment read by Vicki Pell to the City Council on 4/6/10 Hearing 10-0359.

Due to illness, I was not able to supply a written copy to the Council but promised to do so after the hearing.)

The comments I made PRIOR to reading my letter were IMPROMPTU and therefore not part of this transmission.

Text of handwritten letter I read to Council:

My name is Vicki Pell. I am the third appellant on this filing and previously spoke before the Architectural Committee.

My letter and concerns are on file.

I just got out of the hospital and have not had desirable time to prepare but will do my best.

I call your attention to EHHIBIT D of CHAIR and PLANNING COMMISSIONERS

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL- MODIFICATION TO AN APPROVED PERMIT

(0311-06) 212 Quincy Avenue Application No.0906-17 December 17, 2009.

On this said document (Conditions for approval stipulated by the Planning Commission),

I direct your attention to item # 12 and item # 20.

Item # 12 states: The use shall not adversely affect the health, peace or safety of persons residing or working on the premises or in the surrounding areas.

I understand this statement to mean that the Planning Commission of the City of Long Beach is, indeed, appropriately concerned about the health and safety of workers and residents as relates to this upgrade.

Item # 20 states: If for any reason, there is a violation of ANY of the conditions of this permit or if the use/operation is found to be detrimental to the surrounding community, including public health, safety or general welfare, environmental quality or quality of life, such shall cause the City to initiate revocation and termination procedures of all rights granted herewith.

Correct me if I am wrong, but item # 20 tells me the City wishes to see the applicant fulfill all stated obligations without violation and to protect public health of this community.

That said, the following questions arise:

1. HOW will the City monitor this updated installation for compliance?
2. What PERSON/s or GROUP will be specifically in charge of the monitoring process for health safety compliance?
3. What specific CRITERIA or STANDARDS will be used to monitor and how will this be conveyed/reported to the public?

4. HOW OFTEN will monitoring take place?
5. Will this monitoring cover the most EXTREME CONDITIONS of transmission?
6. What specific TOOLS will be employed to monitor the addition of microwave?
7. WHO is ultimately responsible for the monitoring process?
8. WHO will PAY for this process?

I have been informed that the Federal Government via FCC and Federal Telecommunications Act 1996 direct my community to give no consideration to health concerns in granting industry permits.

Yet, upon reading the Planning Commission's own Conditions of Approval, I see that my City has the GOOD SENSE to protect the health and wellbeing of its citizens. I thank you for this.

In view of your own document which clearly states conditions for approval that include the public health, general welfare and environmental quality of life, how do you specifically propose to monitor permit compliance for item # 12 and item # 20?

I respectfully request that this appeal process will address those relevant questions BEFORE your final stamp of approval.