

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of June 21, 2016

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
12. (16-0557) Recommendation to increase appropriations in the General Fund (GF) in the Legislative Department (LD) by \$9,928, offset by the Council District Three FY 15 office budget surplus to be used for community meetings, events, and public outreach efforts.	Stephen Downing	steve@sonarnetworks.com						As I understand this matter we are taking funds originally earmarked for Public Works infrastructure and allocation it to Public Relations events? Is this not a corruption of all that the Council argued in order to pass Measure A? Please deny this request and initiate measure that prohibit these kinds of money transfers.	6/21/2016
21. (16-0566) Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. RFP EP16-121 for the purchase and development opportunity at 4800 Long Beach Boulevard, Assessor Parcel Numbers 7133-010-900, -901, -902, -903, -904 (Subject Property); Declare the City-owned Subject Property as surplus; Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute any and all necessary documents including a Purchase and Sale Agreement with City Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, or affiliate, for the sale of the Subject Property in the amount of \$1,250,000; and Accept Categorical Exemption CE 16-137. (District 8)	Laura Isabel Serna	sernali@post.harvard.edu			Long Beach	CA	90807	Dear City Council, The 8th district has seen a lot of high-density residential development of late. While I want the 8th district to thrive, I am not convinced that selling city property, which as late as May of 2012 was proposed as much needed park space to a private developer is a wise course of action. Regardless of whether an environmental assessment is technically required the impact of more homes and cars to an area that already has traffic needs consideration.	6/21/2016

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of June 21, 2016

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
<p>21. (16-0566) Recommendation to adopt Specifications No. RFP EP16-121 for the purchase and development opportunity at 4800 Long Beach Boulevard, Assessor Parcel Numbers 7133-010-900, -901, -902, -903, -904 (Subject Property);</p> <p>Declare the City-owned Subject Property as surplus;</p> <p>Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute any and all necessary documents including a Purchase and Sale Agreement with City Ventures, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, or affiliate, for the sale of the Subject Property in the amount of \$1,250,000; and</p> <p>Accept Categorical Exemption CE 16-137. (District 8)</p>	Rae Gabelich	hoorae1@aol.com			Long Beach	CA	90805	I express my concern for a saturation of housing in this neighborhood. This is the third high density project that will increase demands on public safety, traffic and other congestion issues. The 8th is in need of additional open space for residents to recreate and communicate. After other 8th district skatepark sites, denied by area residents, this location seemed appropriate and blessed by NLBPD. Please recognize that overbuilding will realize its own problems down the road.	6/21/2016
<p>41. (16-0587) Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare and return to the City Council for its consideration, a sensible, financially sustainable, fiscally prudent, Medical Marijuana regulatory ordinance for possible placement on the November 8, 2016 General Election ballot. Such Council initiated ordinance would be placed on the ballot only in the event a proposed Initiative Petition regarding the same subject matter qualifies for placement on the November 2016 ballot. The ordinance prepared by the City Attorney for Council consideration and placement shall be modeled upon an ordinance considered by the City Council on February 2, 2016, which contained a phased in regulatory approach to Medical Marijuana in Long Beach.</p>	diana lejins	dianalejins@yahoo.com						Please vote NO on this ludicrous attempt to thwart the Democratic process.	6/21/2016

eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of June 21, 2016

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
41. (16-0587) Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare and return to the City Council for its consideration, a sensible, financially sustainable, fiscally prudent, Medical Marijuana regulatory ordinance for possible placement on the November 8, 2016 General Election ballot. Such Council initiated ordinance would be placed on the ballot only in the event a proposed Initiative Petition regarding the same subject matter qualifies for placement on the November 2016 ballot. The ordinance prepared by the City Attorney for Council consideration and placement shall be modeled upon an ordinance considered by the City Council on February 2, 2016, which contained a phased in regulatory approach to Medical Marijuana in Long Beach.	Stephen Downing	steve@sonarnetworks.com						It is requested that the subject agenda item promoted by Council-members Suzie Price, Daryl Supernaw and Stacy Mungo be removed from the June 21, 2011 Council Agenda as it does not comply with Brown Act requirements as outlined in Municipal Code Section 2.03.070 (B) as one or more of the three authorizing signatures is a forgery. Phone conversations with the City Clerks office confirm that the signatures are forgeries. Thank You, Stephen Downing Long Beach Resident	6/21/2016
41. (16-0587) Recommendation to request City Attorney to prepare and return to the City Council for its consideration, a sensible, financially sustainable, fiscally prudent, Medical Marijuana regulatory ordinance for possible placement on the November 8, 2016 General Election ballot. Such Council initiated ordinance would be placed on the ballot only in the event a proposed Initiative Petition regarding the same subject matter qualifies for placement on the November 2016 ballot. The ordinance prepared by the City Attorney for Council consideration and placement shall be modeled upon an ordinance considered by the City Council on February 2, 2016, which contained a phased in regulatory approach to Medical Marijuana in Long Beach.	Stefan Borst-Censullo	stefanbc317@gmail.com		Downtown	Long Beach	CA	90802	This measure lacks any affirmative recognition of distribution, transportation, cultivation, or manufacturing. Therefore it explicitly creates a massive secondary market in an area of this state that is already beset with unlicensed activities. Rather than acting as a "phased in" approach, this act guarantees that legitimate operations will never exist here. This is a dangerously unsafe piece of legislation designed with cynical intent by someone who despises cannabis for purely cultural reasons	6/20/2016
PUBLIC COMMENT:	diana lejins	dianalejins@yahoo.com					90815	Why are we spending so much for Susie's pool that will only benefit the East Side and her District? What will it do for your district and the rest of the city?	6/21/2016