eComments Report for City Council Meeting Agenda of March 12, 2013

	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City			Comment	Received
17. (13-0181)	Phil Osterlind	philosterlind@me.com			Long	California	90803	Reduced re-scoping is a concern. In earlier	3/12/13 8:40 AM
Recommendation to					Beach			study there were 11 Stakeholder groups; about	
authorize City Manager to								55 comments/concerns in total. Now that the	
amend the City's Feasibility								budget has gone from \$8MM to \$3MM; who is	
Cost Share Agreement								going to determine which comments/concerns	
(FCSA) with the United								will be addressed? Not addressing all bonafide	
States Army Corps of								comments/concerns will make the revised study	/
Engineers (Army Corps) to								a very weak one, with inaccurate results,	
contribute up to \$50,000 in								addressing only those of the noisiest sponsors,	
existing authorized local-								and not addressing all bonafide	
sponsor funds for the								stakeholders/comments/concerns.	
purposes of rescoping the									
East San Pedro Bay									
Ecosystem Restoration									
feasibility study; authorize									
City Manager to further									
amend the FCSA to									
accelerate a revised local-									
sponsor amount of \$1.5									
million and allow the City to									
contribute \$750,000 to fulfill									
a portion of the Army									
Corps' federal-cost share;									
and authorize City Manager									
to enter into the revised									
agreement with the Army									
Corps to implement the									
rescoped feasibility study.									
(Districts 2,3)									
ı									