Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
HEARING:	Lucy	lucyjohnson1@gmail.c om						Please support the Los Cerritos Wetlands Oil Consolidation and Restoration Project. This is a win-win for the city of Long Beach and the environment, conveying a significant portion of those wetlands to public ownership, w/ enhanced public access, educational opportunities, and an endowment for the maintenance of the wetlands in perpetuity. Vote tonight to restore degraded habitat and improve safety from oil operations. Please deny the appeals against this project. Thank you,	1/16/2018
, , ,	Susan Miller	mpshogrl@msn.com				CA		Approve the appeal. Allowing 44 years for cleanup is not a specific timetable. Oil may become an obsolete energy. More progressive types of energy technology are making oil drilling only a cancer on the environment. It seems there is a risk that the clean up may never occur. Otherwise this may be nothing more than a thinly disguised marketing ploy baiting the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority (LCWA) with promises that are not likely to be kept in exchange for access to oil without opposition.	1/16/2018
, , ,	warren blesofsky	warrenblesofsky@gma il.com						Because the Project will result in significant, undisclosed environmental impacts, the FEIR must be revised. In addition, the purported Project benefits have been exaggerated in order to obscure the true Project objective: increased oil extraction. Long Beach Citizens for Fair Development therefore strongly urges the Commission to recommend the Project be denied.	1/16/2018

Itom	Nomo	<u>-                                    </u>	Street					•	Possivod
1. (18-0029) Recommendation to receive supporting		anne@highpowermedi	Sireet	Neighborhood	City	State	ZIP	Comment Once again council is making a poorly planned, wrong turn with	<b>Received</b> 1/15/2018
documentation into the record, conclude the public	1	a.com						regard to pumping oil on the Cerritos Wetlands. This is a move	1/13/2016
hearing, consider third-party appeals by Ann Cantrell		u.00111				1		that will eventually go to court and you'll #lose again. The EIR is	
representing Citizens About Responsible Planning, and								faulty; your decision to approve (we all know that's what you're	
Anna Christensen/Charles Moore representing Protect								going to do) will be overturned by Coastal Commission and your	
the Long Beach/Los Cerritos Wetlands, and uphold the								lack of environmental concern is astounding. For once act for	
Planning Commission's recommendation and deny the								the citizens of this city, not your rich buddies.	
appeals, adopt resolution certifying Environmental								The chizens of this city, not your nich buddles.	
Impact Report (03-15), select the environmentally-									
superior project alternative (#5), and make certain									
findings and determinations related thereto; adopt a									
statement of overriding considerations, and approve a									
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Los									
Cerritos Wetlands and Oil Consolidation Project									
(State Clearinghouse No. 2016041083);									
(State Clearinghouse No. 2010041003),									
1. (18-0029) Recommendation to receive supporting	john toohig	meandguinness@yaho						Please approve this project.	1/13/2018
documentation into the record, conclude the public	ľ	o.com							
hearing, consider third-party appeals by Ann Cantrell									
representing Citizens About Responsible Planning, and									
Anna Christensen/Charles Moore representing Protect									
the Long Beach/Los Cerritos Wetlands, and uphold the									
Planning Commission's recommendation and deny the									
appeals, adopt resolution certifying Environmental									
Impact Report (03-15), select the environmentally-									
superior project alternative (#5), and make certain									
findings and determinations related thereto; adopt a									
statement of overriding considerations, and approve a									
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Los									
Cerritos Wetlands and Oil Consolidation Project									
(State Clearinghouse No. 2016041083);									
, ,									
(18-0030) Declare ordinance amending the Southeast	warren	warrenblesofsky@gma						Because the Project will result in significant, undisclosed	1/16/2018
' ' '	blesofsky	il.com				1		environmental impacts, the	
(Zoning Code Amendment) read the first time and laid						1		FEIR must be revised. In addition, the purported Project benefits	
over to the next regular meeting of the City Council for								have been exaggerated in	
final reading;								order to obscure the true Project objective: increased oil	
						1		extraction. Long Beach Citizens for Fair	
						1		Development therefore strongly urges the Commission to	
								recommend the Project be denied.	

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
(18-0031) Adopt resolution directing the Director of Development Services to submit a request to the California Coastal Commission to certify an amendment to the Certified Local Coastal Program;	warren blesofsky	warrenblesofsky@gma il.com						Expansion of oil production activities comes at a cost to existing wetlands. As noted in the Coastal Commission's comment letter, a portion of the Pumpkin Patch site slated for development is appropriately classified as wetland habitat under Commission jurisdiction. The FEIR's dismissive response misses the point. Coastal Commission biologist has identified onsite wetlands which meet the Commission's definition of wetland.	1/16/2018
(18-0032) Adopt ordinance approving an amendment to the City's Oil Map by adding the Pumpkin Patch Site to Oil Area 8 and creating a new Oil Area for the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority Site; and  Approve Site Plan Review and Certificate of Compliance to consolidate existing oil operations, implement a wetlands habitat restoration project, and provide public access opportunities, located on four sites (Synergy Oil Field at 6433 East 2nd Street, City property at the southeast corner of Studebaker Road and 2nd Street, Pumpkin Patch site at 6701 East Pacific Coast Highway, and the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority site at the northeast corner of Studebaker Road and 2nd Street) within the Southeast Area Development and Improvement Plan (SEADIP / PD-1) area. (District 3)		warrenblesofsky@gma il.com						Because the Project will result in significant, undisclosed environmental impacts, the FEIR must be revised. In addition, the purported Project benefits have been exaggerated in order to obscure the true Project objective: increased oil extraction. Long Beach Citizens for Fair Development therefore strongly urges the Commission to recommend the Project be denied.	1/16/2018
(18-0032) Adopt ordinance approving an amendment to the City's Oil Map by adding the Pumpkin Patch Site to Oil Area 8 and creating a new Oil Area for the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority Site; and  Approve Site Plan Review and Certificate of Compliance to consolidate existing oil operations, implement a wetlands habitat restoration project, and provide public access opportunities, located on four sites (Synergy Oil Field at 6433 East 2nd Street, City property at the southeast corner of Studebaker Road and 2nd Street, Pumpkin Patch site at 6701 East Pacific Coast Highway, and the Los Cerritos Wetlands Authority site at the northeast corner of Studebaker Road and 2nd Street) within the Southeast Area Development and Improvement Plan (SEADIP / PD-1) area. (District 3)		anne@highpowermedi a.com						No, No, No. Can't you get it through your heads that the conservation of our wetlands is more important than pay, perks, pensions and pablum for the people that give you megamoney? Some day you'll all get caught out with your hands in the cookie jar. Besides which, Coastal Commission will shut you down on this; you'll have to pay court costs and ask for more money to cover it. Idiots.	1/16/2018

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
6. (18-0039) Recommendation to request that the City	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedi						Here's a change - I'm onboard with getting homeless to work. By	1/16/2018
Manager's office; Health and Human Services		a.com					1	the same token, when I see people wandering through	
Department; Parks, Recreation, and Marine Department;							1	downtown, relieving themselves on the side of the downtown	
and/or Public Works Department engage nonprofits							1	post office building (witnessed on MLK Day) and screaming as	
regarding the feasibility of establishing a pilot Day Work							1	they harass taxpaying citizens, I wonder how big the pool of	
Program focused on people suffering from							1	workers might be?	
homelessness based on successful programs							1		
implemented by other cities. The City will look into the							1		
fiscal impact of such a program, possible partners, as							1		
well as the potential benefits to the efforts being made to							1		
help individuals experiencing homelessness, as well as a							1		
public education campaign to encourage donations to							1		
this program and other opportunities to support							1		
addressing homelessness. The intent of this item is to									
offer new opportunities to those experiencing							1		
homelessness not to replace workers already employed							1		
by the city.							1		
							1		
Should include but is not limited to:									
Community partners to serve as fiscal agents, to									
provide housing for participants, and to provide other							1		
necessary components to success of the program;									
necessary components to success of the program,									
Various forms of payment and daily wage for							1		
participants in the program, taking into consideration							1		
challenges posed when participants do not have									
identification;							1		
identification,									
3. Pick-up locations;									
Screening process for interested participants;									

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
6. (18-0039) Recommendation to request that the City		gouldvideo@aol.com		_	1			Most of the homeless in Long Beach do not want help, they	1/15/2018
Manager's office; Health and Human Services	Goulding					rnia		want to live on the streets. You should make it illegal to live on	
Department; Parks, Recreation, and Marine Department;								the streets of Long Beach, if they want help we can provide that,	
and/or Public Works Department engage nonprofits								but if they refuse help they should not be allowed to live on the	
regarding the feasibility of establishing a pilot Day Work								streets of Long beach, I have been a homeowner fro 20 years in	
Program focused on people suffering from								downtown Long Beach, the homeless situation here is out of	
homelessness based on successful programs								control, these people are dangerous, but the city lets them do	
implemented by other cities. The City will look into the								whatever they want with little or no consequences.	
fiscal impact of such a program, possible partners, as									
well as the potential benefits to the efforts being made to									
help individuals experiencing homelessness, as well as a									
public education campaign to encourage donations to									
this program and other opportunities to support									
addressing homelessness. The intent of this item is to									
offer new opportunities to those experiencing									
homelessness not to replace workers already employed									
by the city.									
Should include but is not limited to:									
1. Community partners to serve as fiscal agents, to									
provide housing for participants, and to provide other									
necessary components to success of the program;									
Various forms of payment and daily wage for									
participants in the program, taking into consideration									
challenges posed when participants do not have									
identification;									
3. Pick-up locations;									
4. Screening process for interested participants;									
9. 18-0042 Recommendation to direct City Attorney to	Anne Proffit	anne@highpowermedi						nice "gimme" for Groundworks - she's pandered to you and now	1/16/2018
draft an ordinance amending Long Beach Municipal		a.com						you're pandering to her another THUMBS DOWN no play to	
Code Chapter 14.14 to enable a gym parklet pilot project								pay for you cosseted criminals	
(Project) for the installation of a sidewalk extension									
parklet for fitness activities and equipment within the									
City's right-of-way adjacent to 333 Pine Avenue; and									
Authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute a Public									
Walkways Occupancy Permit with sidewalk extension									
parklet for the Project at Groundwork Fitness located at									
333 Pine Avenue.									

Item	Name	E-mail	Street	Neighborhood	City	State	Zip	Comment	Received
13. (18-0037) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute documents necessary to establish an agreement with the Long Beach Downtown Development Corporation, a non-profit organization located in Long Beach, CA, to provide services and financial support for economic development		anne@highpowermedi a.com		· ·	,			How many departments to we need for DTLB? You've got the skanky DLBA, you've got LBDS and now this ill-gotten Bob Foster operation to divert attention from your invisible government? I'm getting very tired of your "gifts" to your paymasters and boosters. This group is unnecessary and redundant. Do not do this - you will be sued and lose again,	1/16/2018
activities in the City of Long Beach. (Citywide)								costing the city money that needs to go for pay, perks, pensions, right?	
13. (18-0037) Recommendation to authorize City Manager, or designee, to execute documents necessary to establish an agreement with the Long Beach Downtown Development Corporation, a non-profit organization located in Long Beach, CA, to provide services and financial support for economic development activities in the City of Long Beach. (Citywide)	Dolce	glennisd@mac.com						Oppose! While seeming innocuous, this agreement would allow this non-profit the ability to act outside of any public records review and further thwart any public input as to developments within our neighborhoods. This is also contradictory to the Mayor's recent deal with Robert Fox to make sure that the neighborhoods have a say in all development across the city. Oppose please.	1/15/2018